

APPENDIX A. ATSDR MINIMAL RISK LEVEL WORKSHEETS

MRLs are derived when reliable and sufficient data exist to identify the target organ(s) of effect or the most sensitive health effect(s) for a specific duration for a given route of exposure. An MRL is an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified route and duration of exposure. MRLs are based on noncancer health effects only; cancer effects are not considered. These substance-specific estimates, which are intended to serve as screening levels, are used by ATSDR health assessors to identify contaminants and potential health effects that may be of concern at hazardous waste sites. It is important to note that MRLs are not intended to define clean-up or action levels.

MRLs are derived for hazardous substances using the NOAEL/uncertainty factor approach. They are below levels that might cause adverse health effects in the people most sensitive to such chemical-induced effects. MRLs are derived for acute (1–14 days), intermediate (15–364 days), and chronic (≥ 365 days) durations and for the oral and inhalation routes of exposure. Currently, MRLs for the dermal route of exposure are not derived because ATSDR has not yet identified a method suitable for this route of exposure. MRLs are generally based on the most sensitive substance-induced endpoint considered to be of relevance to humans. Serious health effects (such as irreparable damage to the liver or kidneys, or birth defects) are not used as a basis for establishing MRLs. Exposure to a level above the MRL does not mean that adverse health effects will occur.

MRLs are intended only to serve as a screening tool to help public health professionals decide where to look more closely. They may also be viewed as a mechanism to identify those hazardous waste sites that are not expected to cause adverse health effects. Most MRLs contain a degree of uncertainty because of the lack of precise toxicological information on the people who might be most sensitive (e.g., infants, elderly, nutritionally or immunologically compromised) to the effects of hazardous substances. ATSDR uses a conservative (i.e., protective) approach to address this uncertainty consistent with the public health principle of prevention. Although human data are preferred, MRLs often must be based on animal studies because relevant human studies are lacking. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, ATSDR assumes that humans are more sensitive to the effects of hazardous substance than animals and that certain persons may be particularly sensitive. Thus, the resulting MRL may be as much as 100-fold below levels that have been shown to be nontoxic in laboratory animals.

APPENDIX A

Proposed MRLs undergo a rigorous review process: Health Effects/MRL Workgroup reviews within the Office of Innovation and Analytics, Toxicology Section, expert panel peer reviews, and agency-wide MRL Workgroup reviews, with participation from other federal agencies and comments from the public. They are subject to change as new information becomes available concomitant with updating the toxicological profiles. Thus, MRLs in the most recent toxicological profiles supersede previously published MRLs. For additional information regarding MRLs, please contact the Office of Innovation and Analytics, Toxicology Section, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Mailstop S102-1, Atlanta, Georgia 30329-4027.

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: Dinitrophenols
CAS Numbers: 66-56-8; 51-28-5; 329-71-5; 573-56-8; 577-71-9; 586-11-8; 2550-58-7
Date: August 2021
Profile Status: Final
Route: Inhalation
Duration: Acute

MRL Summary: There are insufficient data for derivation of an acute-duration inhalation MRL.

Rationale for Not Deriving an MRL: Although health effects have occurred in humans exposed to 2,4-DNP occupationally (Gisclard and Woodward 1946; Perkins 1919), exposure appeared to involve both the inhalation and dermal routes, and exposure concentrations were not known or inadequately characterized. No studies of health effects in animals exposed to DNP via inhalation were identified in the available literature. Therefore, data are insufficient to derive MRLs for acute-duration inhalation exposure to DNP.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Jennifer Przybyla

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: Dinitrophenols
CAS Numbers: 66-56-8; 51-28-5; 329-71-5; 573-56-8; 577-71-9; 586-11-8; 2550-58-7
Date: August 2021
Profile Status: Final
Route: Inhalation
Duration: Intermediate

MRL Summary: There are insufficient data for derivation of an intermediate-duration inhalation MRL.

Rationale for Not Deriving an MRL: Although health effects have occurred in humans exposed to 2,4-DNP occupationally (Gisclard and Woodward 1946; Perkins 1919), exposure appeared to involve both the inhalation and dermal routes, and exposure concentrations were not known or inadequately characterized. No studies of health effects in animals exposed to DNP via inhalation were identified in the available literature. Therefore, data are insufficient to derive MRLs for intermediate-duration inhalation exposure to DNP.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Jennifer Przybyla

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: Dinitrophenols
CAS Numbers: 66-56-8; 51-28-5; 329-71-5; 573-56-8; 577-71-9; 586-11-8; 2550-58-7
Date: August 2021
Profile Status: Final
Route: Inhalation
Duration: Chronic

MRL Summary: There are insufficient data for derivation of a chronic-duration inhalation MRL.

Rationale for Not Deriving an MRL: Although health effects have occurred in humans exposed to 2,4-DNP occupationally (Gisclard and Woodward 1946; Perkins 1919), exposure appeared to involve both the inhalation and dermal routes, and exposure concentrations were not known or inadequately characterized. No studies of health effects in animals exposed to DNP via inhalation were identified in the available literature. Therefore, data are insufficient to derive MRLs for chronic-duration inhalation exposure to DNP.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Jennifer Przybyla

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: 2,4-Dinitrophenol
CAS Numbers: 51-28-5
Date: August 2021
Profile Status: Final
Route: Oral
Duration: Acute

MRL Summary: There are insufficient data for derivation of an acute-duration oral MRL for 2,4-DNP.

Rationale for Not Deriving an MRL: An MRL derived based on the lowest LOAELs for effects of acute oral exposure to 2,4-DNP would not provide adequate protection, because the POD would fall within an order of magnitude of levels known to cause death in humans. Fatalities have occurred in humans exposed to 2,4-DNP at doses between ~3 and 7 mg/kg/day for 3–14 days (Masserman and Goldsmith 1934; McFee et al. 2004; Poole and Haining 1934). The only effect levels identified at lower doses after acute-duration exposure were LOAELs between 0.9 and 2 mg/kg/day for dermal lesions (Anderson et al. 1933; Hitch and Schwartz 1936; Lee et al. 2014; Nadler 1935), decreased body weight (Tainter et al. 1935), cataracts (Hitch and Schwartz 1936), and polyneuritis (Hitch and Schwartz 1936) in human case reports or clinical studies from the 1930s. Use of any of these LOAELs as the POD for acute-duration oral MRL derivation may not provide adequate protection against the lethal effects of 2,4-DNP, because the dose estimates for human case reports are in some cases uncertain (when body weight information is not reported) and the difference between death and less severe effects is extremely small. Furthermore, variability in susceptibility to pyrexia with changes in ambient temperature have been reported in animals (Harvey 1959), raising the possibility that lower doses may be fatal in humans exposed to 2,4-DNP at higher ambient temperatures. No acute-duration studies of 2,4-DNP in animals used dose levels below those associated with lethality in humans, so animal data cannot be used to derive an acute MRL. Therefore, data are insufficient to derive an acute MRL for exposure to 2,4-DNP.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Jennifer Przybyla

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: 2,4-Dinitrophenol
CAS Numbers: 51-28-5
Date: August 2021
Profile Status: Final
Route: Oral
Duration: Intermediate
MRL 0.00007 mg/kg/day
Critical Effect: Decreased body weight
Reference: Caldeira da Silva et al. 2008
Point of Departure: LOAEL of 0.07 mg/kg/day
Uncertainty Factor: 1,000
LSE Graph Key: 85
Species: Mouse

MRL Summary: An intermediate-duration oral MRL of 0.00007 mg/kg/day was derived for 2,4-DNP based on decreased body weight in mice exposed to 0.07 mg/kg/day 2,4-DNP in drinking water for 50 weeks (Caldeira da Silva et al. 2008). The MRL is based on a LOAEL of 0.07 mg/kg/day and a total uncertainty factor of 1,000 (10 for interspecies extrapolation, 10 for human variability, and 10 for use of a LOAEL).

Selection of the Critical Effect: Human fatalities have been reported after acute- and intermediate-duration oral exposures to 2,4-DNP doses as low as 1–5 mg/kg/day (Dameshek and Gargill 1934; Goldman and Haber 1936; Masserman and Goldsmith 1934; Silver 1934; Zack et al. 2016). The only effects reported at lower doses in humans or animals were decreased body weight (at least 10% lower than controls after 20 weeks of exposure) and decreased serum glucose, triglycerides, and insulin (after 12 weeks of exposure) in a chronic mouse study in which 2,4-DNP was administered at an average dose of 0.07 mg/kg/day in drinking water (Caldeira da Silva et al. 2008). The mice were maintained at a lower ambient temperature (22°C) than normal to enable heat to dissipate; thus, it is not possible to assess whether hyperthermia might also occur at this exposure level. A clinical study in humans provides limited support for the effects of 2,4-DNP on serum glucose and insulin, as decreased glucose tolerance was seen after acute and intermediate exposures to 4 mg/kg/day 2,4-DNP (MacBryde and Taussig 1935).

In contrast, there are abundant data indicating that oral 2,4-DNP exposure results in decreased body weight or decreased body weight gain in humans and animals. Decreases in body weight have been reported in humans exposed to acute- (Anderson et al. 1933; Bortz 1934; Cutting and Tainter 1933; Tainter et al. 1935), intermediate- (Beinhauer 1934; Boardman 1935; Cutting et al. 1933, 1934; Horner et al. 1935; Looney and Hoskins 1934; Masserman and Goldsmith 1934; Nadler 1935; Simkins 1937a, 1937b; Tainter et al. 1935; Whalman 1936), and chronic-duration (Horner et al. 1935) doses of 1–4 mg/kg/day. Likewise, body weight decrements have been reported in intermediate- (Bakke and Lawrence 1965; Goldgof et al. 2014; Koizumi et al. 2001, 2002; Pugsley 1935; Spencer et al. 1948; Tainter and Borley 1938; Takahashi et al. 2009) and chronic-duration (Tainter 1938) studies in rats and mice, albeit at much higher doses (≥ 20 mg/kg/day). Furthermore, the mechanism for 2,4-DNP-induced decreases in body weight is well established: 2,4-DNP uncouples oxidative phosphorylation, leading to an increase in basal metabolic rate and an increase in carbohydrate consumption as the body endeavors to produce ATP needed for cell functions. In summary, decreased body weight occurs in both humans and animals exposed to 2,4-DNP by a well-studied mode of action, and was selected as the critical effect for MRL derivation.

APPENDIX A

Selection of the Principal Study: The Caldeira da Silva et al. (2008) study provided the lowest adverse effect level.

Summary of the Principal Study:

Caldeira da Silva CC, Cerqueira FM, Barbosa LF, et al. 2008. Mild mitochondrial uncoupling in mice affects energy metabolism, redox balance and longevity. *Aging Cell* 7(4):552-560.

Six groups (three pairs of control and exposed groups) of 30 female Swiss mice were exposed to DNP in drinking water at a concentration of 0 or 1 mg/L beginning at 18 weeks of age (Caldeira da Silva et al. 2008). The animals were housed at 22°C to allow heat due to uncoupling to dissipate and to prevent hyperthermia. Food and water intake and body weight were recorded weekly. The authors reported that the animals received doses between 0.03 and 0.105 mg/kg/day (midpoint of the dose range is 0.07 mg/kg/day) based on body weight and water intake. At 22 and 32 weeks of age (after 4 and 14 weeks of exposure), one exposed and one control group each were sacrificed for evaluation of oxygen consumption and oxidative stress in the heart, brain, and liver (oxidative stress was measured as peroxide release, protein carbonyl signal, and oxidative DNA adducts). In the groups sacrificed at 32 weeks of age (14 weeks of exposure), blood was collected for analysis of triglycerides, glucose, and insulin. The third sets of exposed and control groups were observed until natural death; the only endpoints assessed in these animals were food and water intake, body weight, body temperature, and survival.

Serum levels of glucose, triglycerides, and insulin were significantly lower than controls after 14 weeks of DNP treatment. Oxygen consumption was significantly increased in the brain and liver of exposed mice, but not in the heart. In all three organs, oxidative stress was reduced by exposure to DNP. In the groups exposed for their natural lifespans, food and water intake, and body temperature at 75 weeks of age did not differ from controls. Exposed mice exhibited significantly reduced body weight (~8–13% less than controls based on digitization of data shown graphically; see Table A-1) after the first 20 weeks of exposure; the decrease persisted throughout the study. The last body weight measurement reported in the study was ~week 68, reflecting at least 50 weeks of exposure. Mean lifespan was significantly higher in exposed mice than in controls.

Table A-1. Body Weight Changes Over Time in Mice Exposed to 2,4-Dinitrophenol in Drinking Water from 18 Weeks of Age until Natural Death^a

Mouse age (weeks)	Exposure duration (weeks)	Approximate body weight (g)		Approximate percent change from control
		Control	Exposed	
18	Pretreatment	40	39	Not applicable
28	10	48	45	6%
38	20	51	47 ^b	8%
48	30	55	50 ^b	9%
58	40	56	51 ^b	9%
68	50	55	48 ^b	13% ^c

^aMice were exposed for ~140 weeks, but the only body weight data presented were measurements through the first 50 weeks of exposure.

^bSignificantly different from control mean, $p < 0.05$.

^cExceeds ATSDR benchmark for adverse change in body weight (10% change from control mean).

Source: Caldeira da Silva et al. (2008). Data digitized from Figure 1 using Grab It!™.

APPENDIX A

Selection of the Point of Departure for the MRL: The LOAEL of 0.07 mg/kg/day (midpoint of the dose range reported by the authors) for decreased body weight was selected as the POD for deriving an MRL for intermediate-duration oral exposure to DNP. Benchmark dose modeling was not considered for this dataset, as only one drinking water concentration was used in the critical study.

Calculations: None.

Intermittent Exposure: Not applicable.

Uncertainty Factor: The LOAEL of 0.07 mg/kg/day was divided by a total uncertainty factor of 1,000:

- 10 for interspecies extrapolation
- 10 for use of a LOAEL
- 10 for human variability

Other Additional Studies or Pertinent Information that Lend Support to this MRL: See *Selection of the Critical Effect* above.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Jennifer Przybyla

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: 2,4-Dinitrophenol
CAS Numbers: 51-28-5
Date: August 2021
Profile Status: Final
Route: Oral
Duration: Chronic

MRL Summary: There are insufficient data for derivation of a chronic-duration oral MRL for 2,4-DNP.

Rationale for Not Deriving an MRL: An MRL derived based on the lowest LOAELs for effects of chronic oral exposure to 2,4-DNP would not provide adequate protection, because the POD would be at or above dose levels known to cause death in humans. However, the intermediate-duration oral MRL is believed to be protective for chronic exposures.

Only two chronic-duration studies of 2,4-DNP (Horner et al. 1935; Tainter 1938) provided enough information to identify effect levels, and the effect levels were at or above doses associated with human fatalities. The NOAELs and LOAELs in the Horner et al. (1935) human study and Tainter (1938) were 2 and 30 mg/kg/day, respectively, and human fatalities have been reported after acute- or intermediate-duration exposures of 1–5 mg/kg/day (Dameshek and Gargill 1934; Goldman and Haber 1936; Masserman and Goldsmith 1934; Silver 1934; Zack et al. 2016).

Caldeira da Silva et al. (2008) included an experiment with chronic-duration exposure (mice were exposed from 18 weeks of age until their natural deaths), but the only endpoints evaluated in the groups exposed until natural death were food and water intake, body weight, body temperature, and survival. Furthermore, the authors reported data on food and water intake and body temperature only at 75 weeks of age (57 weeks of exposure), and on body weights only through 68 weeks of age (50 weeks of exposure). The intermediate-duration MRL is based on the LOAEL for decreased body weight in mice in the study by Caldeira da Silva et al. (2008). In this study, the mice exhibited body weight decrements of 8–13% less than controls between 20 and 50 weeks of exposure. The body weight data, reported graphically, showed a persistent or slightly expanding decrement from control weights over the exposure period.

The intermediate-duration MRL is believed to be protective for chronic exposures, for several reasons. The exposure duration in the critical experiment was just short of 1 year (50 weeks, or 350 days), and thus approximated a chronic duration (≥ 365 days). In addition, as noted above, the body weight data showed a continued trend in reduced weight throughout the experiment that is likely to have persisted with longer exposure. Furthermore, available chronic-duration studies in humans (Horner et al. 1935) and rodents (Tainter 1938) indicated that weight loss is a common and sensitive effect of chronic exposure to 2,4-DNP. Finally, the mechanism for the weight loss (uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation and increased basal metabolic rate) is very well characterized, and is expected to be operant at all exposure durations.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Jennifer Przybyla

APPENDIX B. LITERATURE SEARCH FRAMEWORK FOR DINITROPHENOLS

The objective of the toxicological profile is to evaluate the potential for human exposure and the potential health hazards associated with inhalation, oral, or dermal/ocular exposure to DNPs.

B.1 LITERATURE SEARCH AND SCREEN

A literature search and screen was conducted to identify studies examining health effects, toxicokinetics, mechanisms of action, susceptible populations, biomarkers, chemical interactions, physical and chemical properties, production, use, environmental fate, environmental releases, and environmental and biological monitoring data for DNPs. ATSDR primarily focused on peer-reviewed articles without publication date or language restrictions. Non-peer-reviewed studies that were considered relevant to the assessment of the health effects of DNPs have undergone peer review by at least three ATSDR-selected experts who have been screened for conflict of interest. The inclusion criteria used to identify relevant studies examining the health effects of DNPs are presented in Table B-1.

Table B-1. Inclusion Criteria for the Literature Search and Screen

Health Effects

Species

Human

Laboratory mammals

Route of exposure

Inhalation

Oral

Dermal (or ocular)

Parenteral (these studies will be considered supporting data)

Health outcome

Death

Systemic effects

Body weight effects

Respiratory effects

Cardiovascular effects

Gastrointestinal effects

Hematological effects

Musculoskeletal effects

Hepatic effects

Renal effects

Dermal effects

Ocular effects

Endocrine effects

Immunological effects

Neurological effects

Reproductive effects

Developmental effects

Table B-1. Inclusion Criteria for the Literature Search and Screen

Other noncancer effects
Cancer
Toxicokinetics
Absorption
Distribution
Metabolism
Excretion
PBPK models
Biomarkers
Biomarkers of exposure
Biomarkers of effect
Interactions with other chemicals
Potential for human exposure
Releases to the environment
Air
Water
Soil
Environmental fate
Transport and partitioning
Transformation and degradation
Environmental monitoring
Air
Water
Sediment and soil
Other media
Biomonitoring
General populations
Occupation populations

B.1.1 Literature Search

The current literature search was intended to update the draft toxicological profile for DNPs released for public comment in 2019; thus, the literature search was restricted to studies published between April 2016 and June 2020. The following main databases were searched in June 2020:

- PubMed
- National Technical Reports Library (NTRL)
- Scientific and Technical Information Network's TOXCENTER

The search strategy used the chemical names, Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) numbers, synonyms, Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) headings, and keywords for DNPs. The query strings used for the literature search are presented in Table B-2.

APPENDIX B

The search was augmented by searching the Toxic Substances Control Act Test Submissions (TSCATS), NTP website, and National Institute of Health Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools Expenditures and Results (NIH RePORTER) databases using the queries presented in Table B-3. Additional databases were searched in the creation of various tables and figures, such as the TRI Explorer, the Substance Priority List (SPL) resource page, and other items as needed. Regulations applicable to DNPs were identified by searching international and U.S. agency websites and documents.

Review articles were identified and used for the purpose of providing background information and identifying additional references. ATSDR also identified reports from the grey literature, which included unpublished research reports, technical reports from government agencies, conference proceedings and abstracts, and theses and dissertations.

Table B-2. Database Query Strings

Database	search date	Query string
PubMed		
06/2020		((((66-56-8[rn] OR "2,3-dinitrophenol"[nm] OR 51-28-5[rn] OR "2,4-Dinitrophenol"[mh] OR 329-71-5[rn] OR "2,5-dinitrophenol"[nm] OR 573-56-8[rn] OR "2,6-dinitrophenol"[nm] OR 577-71-9[rn] OR 586-11-8[rn] OR 25550-58-7[rn] OR "dinitroaminophenol"[nm] OR "3,4-Dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "3,4-DNP"[tw] OR "3,5-Dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "6,5-Dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "Phenol, 3,4-dinitro-"[tw] OR "Phenol, 3,5-dinitro-"[tw]) OR ("1'alpha-2,4-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "1-hydroxy-2,4-dinitrobenzene"[tw] OR "2,3-dnp"[tw] OR "2,4-dnp"[tw] OR "2,5-dnp"[tw] OR "2,6-dnp"[tw] OR "aldifen"[tw] OR "camello mosquito coils"[tw] OR "chemox pe"[tw] OR "cobra salts"[tw] OR "dinitroaminophenol"[tw] OR "dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "dinitrophenols"[tw] OR "dinofan"[tw] OR "fenoxyl carbon n"[tw] OR "impregna salts"[tw] OR "maroxol-50"[tw] OR "nitro kleenup"[tw] OR "nitrophen"[tw] OR "nitrophenene"[tw] OR "osmoplastic-r"[tw] OR "osmotox-plus"[tw] OR "shirakiku brand mosquito coils"[tw] OR "solfo black 2b supra"[tw] OR "solfo black b"[tw] OR "solfo black bb"[tw] OR "solfo black g"[tw] OR "solfo black sb"[tw] OR "tertrosulphur black pb"[tw] OR "tertrosulphur pbr"[tw] OR "2,3-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "2,4-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "2,5-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "2,6-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "alpha-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "beta-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "gamma-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "o-dinitrophenol"[tw] OR "p-dinitrophenol"[tw]) NOT medline[sb])) AND (2017/04/01:3000[mhda] OR 2017/04/01:3000[crdt] OR 2017/04/01:3000[edat] OR 2016/04/01:3000[dp])) OR ("1,3-dinitro-4-hydroxybenzene"[tw] OR "aldifren"[tw] OR "dinitrophenate"[tw] OR "ek 102"[tw] OR "phenol, 2,3-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, 2,4-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, 2,5-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, 2,6-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, alpha-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, beta-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, gamma-dinitro-"[tw] OR "phenol, alpha-dinitro-"[tw])
NTRL		
06/2020		"3,4-DNP" OR "Phenol, 3,4-dinitro-" OR "Phenol, 3,5-dinitro-" OR "1 alpha-2,4-dinitrophenol" OR "1-hydroxy-2,4-dinitrobenzene" OR "2,3-dnp" OR "2,4-dnp" OR "2,5-dnp" OR "2,6-dnp" OR "aldifen" OR "camello mosquito coils" OR "chemox pe" OR "cobra salts" OR "dinitroaminophenol" OR "dinitrophenol" OR "dinitrophenols" OR "dinofan" OR "fenoxyl carbon n" OR "impregna salts" OR "maroxol-50" OR "nitro kleenup" OR "nitrophen" OR "nitrophenene" OR "osmoplastic-r" OR "osmotox-plus" OR "shirakiku brand mosquito coils" OR "solfo black 2b supra" OR "solfo black b" OR "solfo black bb" OR "solfo black g" OR "solfo black sb" OR "tertrosulphur black pb" OR "tertrosulphur pbr" OR "1,3-dinitro-4-hydroxybenzene" OR "aldifren" OR "dinitrophenate" OR "ek 102" OR "phenol, 2,3-dinitro-" OR "phenol, 2,4-dinitro-" OR "phenol, 2,5-dinitro-" OR "phenol, 2,6-dinitro-" OR "phenol, alpha-dinitro-" OR "phenol, beta-dinitro-" OR "phenol, dinitro-" OR "phenol, gamma-dinitro-" OR "phenol, alpha-dinitro-"

Table B-2. Database Query Strings

Database search date	Query string
	Date Published 2016 to 2020
Toxcenter	
06/2020	FILE 'TOXCENTER' ENTERED AT 11:44:15 ON 05 JUN 2020 CHARGED TO COST=EH038.06.01.LB.02
L1	5846 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER 66-56-8 OR 51-28-5 OR 329-71-5 OR 573-56-8 OR 577-71-9 OR 586-11-8 OR 25550-58-7
L2	5377 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L1 NOT PATENT/DT
L3	337 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L2 AND ED>=20160101
L4	337 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L2 AND ED>=2016 ACT TOXQUERY/Q -----
L5	QUE (CHRONIC OR IMMUNOTOX? OR NEUROTOX? OR TOXICOKIN? OR BIOMARKER? OR NEUROLOG?)
L6	QUE (PHARMACOKIN? OR SUBCHRONIC OR PBPK OR EPIDEMIOLOGY/ST,CT, IT)
L7	QUE (ACUTE OR SUBACUTE OR LD50# OR LD(W)50 OR LC50# OR LC(W)50)
L8	QUE (TOXICITY OR ADVERSE OR POISONING)/ST,CT,IT
L9	QUE (INHAL? OR PULMON? OR NASAL? OR LUNG? OR RESPIR?)
L10	QUE ((OCCUPATION? OR WORKPLACE? OR WORKER?) AND EXPOS?)
L11	QUE (ORAL OR ORALLY OR INGEST? OR GAVAGE? OR DIET OR DIETS OR DIETARY OR DRINKING(W)WATER?)
L12	QUE (MAXIMUM AND CONCENTRATION? AND (ALLOWABLE OR PERMISSIBLE))
L13	QUE (ABORT? OR ABNORMALIT? OR EMBRYO? OR CLEFT? OR FETUS?)
L14	QUE (FOETUS? OR FETAL? OR FOETAL? OR FERTIL? OR MALFORM? OR OVUM?)
L15	QUE (OVA OR OVARY OR PLACENTA? OR PREGNAN? OR PRENATAL?)
L16	QUE (PERINATAL? OR POSTNATAL? OR REPRODUC? OR STERIL? OR TERATOGEN?)
L17	QUE (SPERM OR SPERMAC? OR SPERMAG? OR SPERMATI? OR SPERMAS? OR SPERMATOB? OR SPERMATOC? OR SPERMATOG?)
L18	QUE (SPERMATOI? OR SPERMATOL? OR SPERMATOR? OR SPERMATOX? OR SPERMATOZ? OR SPERMATU? OR SPERMI? OR SPERMO?)
L19	QUE (NEONAT? OR NEWBORN? OR DEVELOPMENT OR DEVELOPMENTAL?)
L20	QUE (ENDOCRIN? AND DISRUPT?)
L21	QUE (ZYGOTE? OR CHILD OR CHILDREN OR ADOLESCEN? OR INFANT?)
L22	QUE (WEAN? OR OFFSPRING OR AGE(W)FACTOR?)
L23	QUE (DERMAL? OR DERMIS OR SKIN OR EPIDERM? OR CUTANEOUS?)
L24	QUE (CARCINO? OR COCARCINO? OR CANCER? OR PRECANCER? OR NEOPLAS?)

APPENDIX B

Table B-2. Database Query Strings

Database search date	Query string
L25	QUE (TUMOR? OR TUMOUR? OR ONCOGEN? OR LYMPHOMA? OR CARCINOM?)
L26	QUE (GENETOX? OR GENOTOX? OR MUTAGEN? OR GENETIC(W)TOXIC?)
L27	QUE (NEPHROTOX? OR HEPATOTOX?)
L28	QUE (ENDOCRIN? OR ESTROGEN? OR ANDROGEN? OR HORMON?)
L29	QUE (OCCUPATION? OR WORKER? OR WORKPLACE? OR EPIDEM?)
L30	QUE L5 OR L6 OR L7 OR L8 OR L9 OR L10 OR L11 OR L12 OR L13 OR L14 OR L15 OR L16 OR L17 OR L18 OR L19 OR L20 OR L21 OR L22 OR L23 OR L24 OR L25 OR L26 OR L27 OR L28 OR L29
L31	QUE (RAT OR RATS OR MOUSE OR MICE OR GUINEA(W)PIG? OR MURIDAE OR DOG OR DOGS OR RABBIT? OR HAMSTER? OR PIG OR PIGS OR SWINE OR PORCINE OR MONKEY? OR MACAQUE?)
L32	QUE (MARMOSSET? OR FERRET? OR GERBIL? OR RODENT? OR LAGOMORPHA OR BABOON? OR CANINE OR CAT OR CATS OR FELINE OR MURINE)
L33	QUE L30 OR L31 OR L32
L34	QUE (HUMAN OR HUMANS OR HOMINIDAE OR MAMMALS OR MAMMAL? OR PRIMATES OR PRIMATE?)
L35	QUE L33 OR L34
L36	172 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L4 AND L35
L37	47 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L36 AND MEDLINE/FS
L38	125 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L36 NOT L37
L39	163 DUP REM L37 L38 (9 DUPLICATES REMOVED) ANSWERS '1-163' FROM FILE TOXCENTER
L*** DEL	47 S L36 AND MEDLINE/FS
L*** DEL	47 S L36 AND MEDLINE/FS
L40	47 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L39
L*** DEL	125 S L36 NOT L37
L*** DEL	125 S L36 NOT L37
L41	116 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER L39
L42	116 SEA FILE=TOXCENTER (L40 OR L41) NOT MEDLINE/FS D SCAN L42

APPENDIX B

Table B-3. Strategies to Augment the Literature Search

Source	Query and number screened when available
TSCATS via ChemView	
06/2020	Compounds searched: 66-56-8; 51-28-5; 329-71-5; 573-56-8; 577-71-9; 586-11-8; 25550-58-7
NTP	
06/2020	<p>2016-present: 66-56-8 51-28-5 329-71-5 573-56-8 577-71-9 586-11-8 25550-58-7 "3,4-DNP" "Phenol, 3,4-dinitro-" "Phenol, 3,5-dinitro-" "1 alpha-2,4-dinitrophenol" "1-hydroxy-2,4-dinitrobenzene" "2,3-dnp" "2,4-dnp" "2,5-dnp" "2,6-dnp" "aldifen" "canello mosquito coils" "chemox pe" "cobra salts" "dinitroaminophenol" "dinitrophenol" "dinitrophenols" "dinofan" "fenoxyl carbon n" "impregna salts" "maroxol-50" "nitro kleenup" "nitrophen" "nitrophenene" "osmoplastic-r" "osmotox-plus" "shirakiku brand mosquito coils" "solfo black 2b supra" "solfo black b" "solfo black bb" "solfo black g" "solfo black sb" "tertrosulphur black pb" "tertrosulphur pbr" No date limit: "1,3-dinitro-4-hydroxybenzene" "aldifren" "dinitrophenate" "ek 102" "phenol, 2,3-dinitro-" "phenol, 2,4-dinitro-" "phenol, 2,5-dinitro-" "phenol, 2,6-dinitro-" "phenol, alpha-dinitro-" "phenol, beta-dinitro-" "phenol, dinitro-" "phenol, gamma-dinitro-" "phenol, α-dinitro-"</p>
Regulations.gov	
06/2020	Compounds searched: 66-56-8; 51-28-5; 329-71-5; 573-56-8; 577-71-9; 586-11-8; 25550-58-7
NIH RePORTER	
09/2020	<p>Search Criteria: Text Search: "3,4-Dinitrophenol" OR "3,4-DNP" OR "3,5-Dinitrophenol" OR "6,5-Dinitrophenol" OR "Phenol, 3,4-dinitro-" OR "Phenol, 3,5-dinitro-" OR "1'alpha-2,4-dinitrophenol" OR "1-hydroxy-2,4-dinitrobenzene" OR "2,3-dnp" OR "2,4-dnp" OR "2,5-dnp" OR "2,6-dnp" OR "aldifen" OR "canello mosquito coils" OR "chemox pe" OR "cobra salts" OR "dinitroaminophenol" OR "dinitrophenol" OR "dinitrophenols" OR "dinofan" OR "fenoxyl carbon n" OR "impregna salts" OR "maroxol-50" OR "nitro kleenup" OR "nitrophen" OR "nitrophenene" OR "osmoplastic-r" OR "osmotox-plus" OR "shirakiku brand mosquito coils" OR "solfo black 2b supra" OR "solfo black b" OR "solfo black bb" OR "solfo black g" OR "solfo black sb" OR "tertrosulphur black pb" OR "tertrosulphur pbr" OR "2,3-dinitrophenol" OR "2,4-dinitrophenol" OR "2,5-dinitrophenol" OR "2,6-dinitrophenol" OR "alpha-dinitrophenol" OR "beta-dinitrophenol" OR "gamma-dinitrophenol" OR "o-dinitrophenol" OR "p-dinitrophenol" OR "1,3-dinitro-4-hydroxybenzene" OR "aldifren" OR "dinitrophenate" OR "ek 102" OR "phenol, 2,3-dinitro-" OR "phenol, 2,4-dinitro-" OR "phenol, 2,5-dinitro-" OR "phenol, 2,6-dinitro-" OR "phenol, alpha-dinitro-" OR "phenol, beta-dinitro-" OR "phenol, dinitro-" OR "phenol, gamma-dinitro-" OR "phenol, α-dinitro-" (Advanced), Search in: Projects AdminIC: All, Fiscal Year: Active Projects</p>

Table B-3. Strategies to Augment the Literature Search

Source	Query and number screened when available
Other	Identified throughout the assessment process

The 2020 results were:

- Number of records identified from PubMed, NTRL, and TOXCENTER (after duplicate removal): 205
- Number of records identified from other strategies: 28
- Total number of records to undergo literature screening: 233

B.1.2 Literature Screening

A two-step process was used to screen the literature search to identify relevant studies on DNPs:

- Title and abstract screen
- Full text screen

Title and Abstract Screen. Within the reference library, titles and abstracts were screened manually for relevance. Studies that were considered relevant (see Table B-1 for inclusion criteria) were moved to the second step of the literature screening process. Studies were excluded when the title and abstract clearly indicated that the study was not relevant to the toxicological profile.

- Number of titles and abstracts screened: 233
- Number of studies considered relevant and moved to the next step: 31


Full Text Screen. The second step in the literature screening process was a full text review of individual studies considered relevant in the title and abstract screen step. Each study was reviewed to determine whether it was relevant for inclusion in the toxicological profile.

- Number of studies undergoing full text review: 31
- Number of studies cited in the pre-public draft of the toxicological profile: 320
- Total number of studies cited in the profile: 349

A summary of the results of the literature search and screening is presented in Figure B-1.

APPENDIX B

Figure B-1. June 2020 Literature Search Results and Screen for Dinitrophenols



APPENDIX C. USER'S GUIDE

Chapter 1. Relevance to Public Health

This chapter provides an overview of U.S. exposures, a summary of health effects based on evaluations of existing toxicologic, epidemiologic, and toxicokinetic information, and an overview of the minimal risk levels. This is designed to present interpretive, weight-of-evidence discussions for human health endpoints by addressing the following questions:

1. What effects are known to occur in humans?
2. What effects observed in animals are likely to be of concern to humans?
3. What exposure conditions are likely to be of concern to humans, especially around hazardous waste sites?

Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs)

Where sufficient toxicologic information is available, ATSDR derives MRLs for inhalation and oral routes of entry at each duration of exposure (acute, intermediate, and chronic). These MRLs are not meant to support regulatory action, but to acquaint health professionals with exposure levels at which adverse health effects are not expected to occur in humans.

MRLs should help physicians and public health officials determine the safety of a community living near a hazardous substance emission, given the concentration of a contaminant in air or the estimated daily dose in water. MRLs are based largely on toxicological studies in animals and on reports of human occupational exposure.

MRL users should be familiar with the toxicologic information on which the number is based. Section 1.2, Summary of Health Effects, contains basic information known about the substance. Other sections, such as Section 3.2 Children and Other Populations that are Unusually Susceptible and Section 3.4 Interactions with Other Substances, provide important supplemental information.

MRL users should also understand the MRL derivation methodology. MRLs are derived using a modified version of the risk assessment methodology that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provides (Barnes and Dourson 1988) to determine reference doses (RfDs) for lifetime exposure.

To derive an MRL, ATSDR generally selects the most sensitive endpoint which, in its best judgement, represents the most sensitive human health effect for a given exposure route and duration. ATSDR cannot make this judgement or derive an MRL unless information (quantitative or qualitative) is available for all potential systemic, neurological, and developmental effects. If this information and reliable quantitative data on the chosen endpoint are available, ATSDR derives an MRL using the most sensitive species (when information from multiple species is available) with the highest no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) that does not exceed any adverse effect levels. When a NOAEL is not available, a lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) can be used to derive an MRL, and an uncertainty factor of 10 must be employed. Additional uncertainty factors of 10 must be used both for human variability to protect sensitive subpopulations (people who are most susceptible to the health effects caused by the substance) and for interspecies variability (extrapolation from animals to humans). In deriving an MRL, these individual uncertainty factors are multiplied together. The product is then divided into the inhalation concentration or oral dosage selected from the study. Uncertainty factors used in developing a

substance-specific MRL are provided in the footnotes of the levels of significant exposure (LSE) tables that are provided in Chapter 2. Detailed discussions of the MRLs are presented in Appendix A.

Chapter 2. Health Effects

Tables and Figures for Levels of Significant Exposure (LSE)

Tables and figures are used to summarize health effects and illustrate graphically levels of exposure associated with those effects. These levels cover health effects observed at increasing dose concentrations and durations, differences in response by species and MRLs to humans for noncancer endpoints. The LSE tables and figures can be used for a quick review of the health effects and to locate data for a specific exposure scenario. The LSE tables and figures should always be used in conjunction with the text. All entries in these tables and figures represent studies that provide reliable, quantitative estimates of NOAELs, LOAELs, or Cancer Effect Levels (CELs).

The legends presented below demonstrate the application of these tables and figures. Representative examples of LSE tables and figures follow. The numbers in the left column of the legends correspond to the numbers in the example table and figure.

TABLE LEGEND

See Sample LSE Table (page C-5)

- (1) Route of exposure. One of the first considerations when reviewing the toxicity of a substance using these tables and figures should be the relevant and appropriate route of exposure. Typically, when sufficient data exist, three LSE tables and two LSE figures are presented in the document. The three LSE tables present data on the three principal routes of exposure (i.e., inhalation, oral, and dermal). LSE figures are limited to the inhalation and oral routes. Not all substances will have data on each route of exposure and will not, therefore, have all five of the tables and figures. Profiles with more than one chemical may have more LSE tables and figures.
- (2) Exposure period. Three exposure periods—acute (<15 days), intermediate (15–364 days), and chronic (≥ 365 days)—are presented within each relevant route of exposure. In this example, two oral studies of chronic-duration exposure are reported. For quick reference to health effects occurring from a known length of exposure, locate the applicable exposure period within the LSE table and figure.
- (3) Figure key. Each key number in the LSE table links study information to one or more data points using the same key number in the corresponding LSE figure. In this example, the study represented by key number 51 identified NOAELs and less serious LOAELs (also see the three "51R" data points in sample LSE Figure 2-X).
- (4) Species (strain) No./group. The test species (and strain), whether animal or human, are identified in this column. The column also contains information on the number of subjects and sex per group. Chapter 1, Relevance to Public Health, covers the relevance of animal data to human toxicity and Section 3.1, Toxicokinetics, contains any available information on comparative toxicokinetics. Although NOAELs and LOAELs are species specific, the levels are extrapolated to equivalent human doses to derive an MRL.
- (5) Exposure parameters/doses. The duration of the study and exposure regimens are provided in these columns. This permits comparison of NOAELs and LOAELs from different studies. In this case (key number 51), rats were orally exposed to "Chemical X" via feed for 2 years. For a

APPENDIX C

more complete review of the dosing regimen, refer to the appropriate sections of the text or the original reference paper (i.e., Aida et al. 1992).

- (6) Parameters monitored. This column lists the parameters used to assess health effects. Parameters monitored could include serum (blood) chemistry (BC), behavioral (BH), biochemical changes (BI), body weight (BW), clinical signs (CS), developmental toxicity (DX), enzyme activity (EA), food intake (FI), fetal toxicity (FX), gross necropsy (GN), hematology (HE), histopathology (HP), lethality (LE), maternal toxicity (MX), organ function (OF), ophthalmology (OP), organ weight (OW), teratogenicity (TG), urinalysis (UR), and water intake (WI).
- (7) Endpoint. This column lists the endpoint examined. The major categories of health endpoints included in LSE tables and figures are death, body weight, respiratory, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, hematological, musculoskeletal, hepatic, renal, dermal, ocular, endocrine, immunological, neurological, reproductive, developmental, other noncancer, and cancer. "Other noncancer" refers to any effect (e.g., alterations in blood glucose levels) not covered in these systems. In the example of key number 51, three endpoints (body weight, hematological, and hepatic) were investigated.
- (8) NOAEL. A NOAEL is the highest exposure level at which no adverse effects were seen in the organ system studied. The body weight effect reported in key number 51 is a NOAEL at 25.5 mg/kg/day. NOAELs are not reported for cancer and death; with the exception of these two endpoints, this field is left blank if no NOAEL was identified in the study.
- (9) LOAEL. A LOAEL is the lowest dose used in the study that caused an adverse health effect. LOAELs have been classified into "Less Serious" and "Serious" effects. These distinctions help readers identify the levels of exposure at which adverse health effects first appear and the gradation of effects with increasing dose. A brief description of the specific endpoint used to quantify the adverse effect accompanies the LOAEL. Key number 51 reports a less serious LOAEL of 6.1 mg/kg/day for the hepatic system, which was used to derive a chronic exposure, oral MRL of 0.008 mg/kg/day (see footnote "c"). MRLs are not derived from serious LOAELs. A cancer effect level (CEL) is the lowest exposure level associated with the onset of carcinogenesis in experimental or epidemiologic studies. CELs are always considered serious effects. The LSE tables and figures do not contain NOAELs for cancer, but the text may report doses not causing measurable cancer increases. If no LOAEL/CEL values were identified in the study, this field is left blank.
- (10) Reference. The complete reference citation is provided in Chapter 8 of the profile.
- (11) Footnotes. Explanations of abbreviations or reference notes for data in the LSE tables are found in the footnotes. For example, footnote "c" indicates that the LOAEL of 6.1 mg/kg/day in key number 51 was used to derive an oral MRL of 0.008 mg/kg/day.

FIGURE LEGEND

See Sample LSE Figure (page C-6)

LSE figures graphically illustrate the data presented in the corresponding LSE tables. Figures help the reader quickly compare health effects according to exposure concentrations for particular exposure periods.

- (12) Exposure period. The same exposure periods appear as in the LSE table. In this example, health effects observed within the chronic exposure period are illustrated.

APPENDIX C

- (13) Endpoint. These are the categories of health effects for which reliable quantitative data exist. The same health effect endpoints appear in the LSE table.
- (14) Levels of exposure. Concentrations or doses for each health effect in the LSE tables are graphically displayed in the LSE figures. Exposure concentration or dose is measured on the log scale "y" axis. Inhalation exposure is reported in mg/m³ or ppm and oral exposure is reported in mg/kg/day.
- (15) LOAEL. In this example, the half-shaded circle that is designated 51R identifies a LOAEL critical endpoint in the rat upon which a chronic oral exposure MRL is based. The key number 51 corresponds to the entry in the LSE table. The dashed descending arrow indicates the extrapolation from the exposure level of 6.1 mg/kg/day (see entry 51 in the sample LSE table) to the MRL of 0.008 mg/kg/day (see footnote "c" in the sample LSE table).
- (16) CEL. Key number 59R is one of studies for which CELs were derived. The diamond symbol refers to a CEL for the test species (rat). The number 59 corresponds to the entry in the LSE table.
- (17) Key to LSE figure. The key provides the abbreviations and symbols used in the figure.

APPENDIX C


Table 2-X. Levels of Significant Exposure to [Chemical X] – Oral ← 1

	4 Species	5 Exposure parameters	5 Doses (mg/kg/day)	6 Parameters monitored	7 Endpoint	8 NOAEL (mg/kg/day)	9 Less serious LOAEL (mg/kg/day)	9 Serious LOAEL (mg/kg/day)	Effect
CHRONIC EXPOSURE									
2	51 Rat (Wistar) 40 M, 40 F	2 years (F)	M: 0, 6.1, 25.5, 138.0 F: 0, 8.0, 31.7, 168.4	CS, WI, BW, OW, HE, BC, HP	Bd wt Hemato Hepatic	25.5 138.0	138.0	6.1 ^c	Decreased body weight gain in males (23–25%) and females (31–39%) Increases in absolute and relative weights at ≥6.1/8.0 mg/kg/day after 12 months of exposure; fatty generation at ≥6.1 mg/kg/day in males and at ≥31.7 mg/kg/day in females, and granulomas in females at 31.7 and 168.4 mg/kg/day after 12, 18, or 24 months of exposure and in males at ≥6.1 mg/kg/day only after 24 months of exposure
	10 Aida et al. 1992								
	52 Rat (F344) 78 M	104 weeks (W)	0, 3.9, 20.6, 36.3	CS, BW, FI, BC, OW, HP	Hepatic Renal Endocr	36.3 20.6 36.3	36.3		Increased incidence of renal tubular cell hyperplasia
	George et al. 2002								
	59 Rat (Wistar) 58M, 58F	Lifetime (W)	M: 0, 90 F: 0, 190	BW, HP	Cancer		190 F		Increased incidence of hepatic neoplastic nodules in females only; no additional description of the tumors was provided
	Tumasonis et al. 1985								

11 → ^aThe number corresponds to entries in Figure 2-x.
^bUsed to derive an acute-duration oral minimal risk level (MRL) of 0.1 mg/kg/day based on the BMDL₀₅ of 10 mg/kg/day and an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability).
^cUsed to derive a chronic-duration oral MRL of 0.008 mg/kg/day based on the BMDL₁₀ of 0.78 mg/kg/day and an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animals to humans and 10 for human variability).

APPENDIX C

Figure 2-X. Levels of Significant Exposure to [Chemical X] - Oral



APPENDIX D. QUICK REFERENCE FOR HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS

Toxicological Profiles are a unique compilation of toxicological information on a given hazardous substance. Each profile reflects a comprehensive and extensive evaluation, summary, and interpretation of available toxicologic and epidemiologic information on a substance. Health care providers treating patients potentially exposed to hazardous substances may find the following information helpful for fast answers to often-asked questions.

Primary Chapters/Sections of Interest

Chapter 1: Relevance to Public Health: The Relevance to Public Health Section provides an overview of exposure and health effects and evaluates, interprets, and assesses the significance of toxicity data to human health. A table listing minimal risk levels (MRLs) is also included in this chapter.

Chapter 2: Health Effects: Specific health effects identified in both human and animal studies are reported by type of health effect (e.g., death, hepatic, renal, immune, reproductive), route of exposure (e.g., inhalation, oral, dermal), and length of exposure (e.g., acute, intermediate, and chronic).

NOTE: Not all health effects reported in this section are necessarily observed in the clinical setting.

Pediatrics:

Section 3.2 **Children and Other Populations that are Unusually Susceptible**
Section 3.3 **Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect**

ATSDR Information Center

Phone: 1-800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636) or 1-888-232-6348 (TTY)

Internet: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov>

The following additional materials are available online:

Case Studies in Environmental Medicine are self-instructional publications designed to increase primary health care providers' knowledge of a hazardous substance in the environment and to aid in the evaluation of potentially exposed patients (see <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/csem/csem.html>).

Managing Hazardous Materials Incidents is a set of recommendations for on-scene (prehospital) and hospital medical management of patients exposed during a hazardous materials incident (see <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/MHMI/index.asp>).

Fact Sheets (ToxFAQs™) provide answers to frequently asked questions about toxic substances (see <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaqs/Index.asp>).

Other Agencies and Organizations

The National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) focuses on preventing or controlling disease, injury, and disability related to the interactions between people and their environment outside the workplace. Contact: NCEH, Mailstop F-29, 4770 Buford Highway, NE, Atlanta, GA 30341-3724 • Phone: 770-488-7000 • FAX: 770-488-7015 • Web Page: <https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/>.

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) conducts research on occupational diseases and injuries, responds to requests for assistance by investigating problems of health and safety in the workplace, recommends standards to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), and trains professionals in occupational safety and health. Contact: NIOSH, 395 E Street, S.W., Suite 9200, Patriots Plaza Building, Washington, DC 20201 • Phone: 202-245-0625 or 1-800-CDC-INFO (800-232-4636) • Web Page: <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/>.

The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) is the principal federal agency for biomedical research on the effects of chemical, physical, and biologic environmental agents on human health and well-being. Contact: NIEHS, PO Box 12233, 104 T.W. Alexander Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 • Phone: 919-541-3212 • Web Page: <https://www.niehs.nih.gov/>.

Clinical Resources (Publicly Available Information)

The Association of Occupational and Environmental Clinics (AOEC) has developed a network of clinics in the United States to provide expertise in occupational and environmental issues. Contact: AOEC, 1010 Vermont Avenue, NW, #513, Washington, DC 20005 • Phone: 202-347-4976 • FAX: 202-347-4950 • e-mail: AOEC@AOEC.ORG • Web Page: <http://www.aoec.org/>.

The American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) is an association of physicians and other health care providers specializing in the field of occupational and environmental medicine. Contact: ACOEM, 25 Northwest Point Boulevard, Suite 700, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007-1030 • Phone: 847-818-1800 • FAX: 847-818-9266 • Web Page: <http://www.acoem.org/>.

The American College of Medical Toxicology (ACMT) is a nonprofit association of physicians with recognized expertise in medical toxicology. Contact: ACMT, 10645 North Tatum Boulevard, Suite 200-111, Phoenix AZ 85028 • Phone: 844-226-8333 • FAX: 844-226-8333 • Web Page: <http://www.acmt.net>.

The Pediatric Environmental Health Specialty Units (PEHSUs) is an interconnected system of specialists who respond to questions from public health professionals, clinicians, policy makers, and the public about the impact of environmental factors on the health of children and reproductive-aged adults. Contact information for regional centers can be found at <http://pehsu.net/findhelp.html>.

The American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC) provide support on the prevention and treatment of poison exposures. Contact: AAPCC, 515 King Street, Suite 510, Alexandria VA 22314 • Phone: 701-894-1858 • Poison Help Line: 1-800-222-1222 • Web Page: <http://www.aapcc.org/>.

APPENDIX E. GLOSSARY

Absorption—The process by which a substance crosses biological membranes and enters systemic circulation. Absorption can also refer to the taking up of liquids by solids, or of gases by solids or liquids.

Acute Exposure—Exposure to a chemical for a duration of ≤ 14 days, as specified in the Toxicological Profiles.

Adsorption—The adhesion in an extremely thin layer of molecules (as of gases, solutes, or liquids) to the surfaces of solid bodies or liquids with which they are in contact.

Adsorption Coefficient (K_{oc})—The ratio of the amount of a chemical adsorbed per unit weight of organic carbon in the soil or sediment to the concentration of the chemical in solution at equilibrium.

Adsorption Ratio (K_d)—The amount of a chemical adsorbed by sediment or soil (i.e., the solid phase) divided by the amount of chemical in the solution phase, which is in equilibrium with the solid phase, at a fixed solid/solution ratio. It is generally expressed in micrograms of chemical sorbed per gram of soil or sediment.

Benchmark Dose (BMD) or Benchmark Concentration (BMC)—is the dose/concentration corresponding to a specific response level estimate using a statistical dose-response model applied to either experimental toxicology or epidemiology data. For example, a BMD_{10} would be the dose corresponding to a 10% benchmark response (BMR). The BMD is determined by modeling the dose-response curve in the region of the dose-response relationship where biologically observable data are feasible. The BMDL or BMCL is the 95% lower confidence limit on the BMD or BMC.

Bioconcentration Factor (BCF)—The quotient of the concentration of a chemical in aquatic organisms at a specific time or during a discrete time period of exposure divided by the concentration in the surrounding water at the same time or during the same period.

Biomarkers—Indicators signaling events in biologic systems or samples, typically classified as markers of exposure, effect, and susceptibility.

Cancer Effect Level (CEL)—The lowest dose of a chemical in a study, or group of studies, that produces significant increases in the incidence of cancer (or tumors) between the exposed population and its appropriate control.

Carcinogen—A chemical capable of inducing cancer.

Case-Control Study—A type of epidemiological study that examines the relationship between a particular outcome (disease or condition) and a variety of potential causative agents (such as toxic chemicals). In a case-control study, a group of people with a specified and well-defined outcome is identified and compared to a similar group of people without the outcome.

Case Report—A report that describes a single individual with a particular disease or exposure. These reports may suggest some potential topics for scientific research, but are not actual research studies.

Case Series—Reports that describe the experience of a small number of individuals with the same disease or exposure. These reports may suggest potential topics for scientific research, but are not actual research studies.

APPENDIX E

Ceiling Value—A concentration that must not be exceeded.

Chronic Exposure—Exposure to a chemical for ≥ 365 days, as specified in the Toxicological Profiles.

Clastogen—A substance that causes breaks in chromosomes resulting in addition, deletion, or rearrangement of parts of the chromosome.

Cohort Study—A type of epidemiological study of a specific group or groups of people who have had a common insult (e.g., exposure to an agent suspected of causing disease or a common disease) and are followed forward from exposure to outcome, and who are disease-free at start of follow-up. Often, at least one exposed group is compared to one unexposed group, while in other cohorts, exposure is a continuous variable and analyses are directed towards analyzing an exposure-response coefficient.

Cross-sectional Study—A type of epidemiological study of a group or groups of people that examines the relationship between exposure and outcome to a chemical or to chemicals at a specific point in time.

Data Needs—Substance-specific informational needs that, if met, would reduce the uncertainties of human health risk assessment.

Developmental Toxicity—The occurrence of adverse effects on the developing organism that may result from exposure to a chemical prior to conception (either parent), during prenatal development, or postnatally to the time of sexual maturation. Adverse developmental effects may be detected at any point in the life span of the organism.

Dose-Response Relationship—The quantitative relationship between the amount of exposure to a toxicant and the incidence of the response or amount of the response.

Embryotoxicity and Fetotoxicity—Any toxic effect on the conceptus as a result of prenatal exposure to a chemical; the distinguishing feature between the two terms is the stage of development during which the effect occurs. Effects include malformations and variations, altered growth, and *in utero* death.

Epidemiology—The investigation of factors that determine the frequency and distribution of disease or other health-related conditions within a defined human population during a specified period.

Excretion—The process by which metabolic waste products are removed from the body.

Genotoxicity—A specific adverse effect on the genome of living cells that, upon the duplication of affected cells, can be expressed as a mutagenic, clastogenic, or carcinogenic event because of specific alteration of the molecular structure of the genome.

Half-life—A measure of rate for the time required to eliminate one-half of a quantity of a chemical from the body or environmental media.

Health Advisory—An estimate of acceptable drinking water levels for a chemical substance derived by EPA and based on health effects information. A health advisory is not a legally enforceable federal standard, but serves as technical guidance to assist federal, state, and local officials.

Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health (IDLH)—A condition that poses a threat of life or health, or conditions that pose an immediate threat of severe exposure to contaminants that are likely to have adverse cumulative or delayed effects on health.

APPENDIX E

Immunotoxicity—Adverse effect on the functioning of the immune system that may result from exposure to chemical substances.

Incidence—The ratio of new cases of individuals in a population who develop a specified condition to the total number of individuals in that population who could have developed that condition in a specified time period.

Intermediate Exposure—Exposure to a chemical for a duration of 15–364 days, as specified in the Toxicological Profiles.

In Vitro—Isolated from the living organism and artificially maintained, as in a test tube.

In Vivo—Occurring within the living organism.

Lethal Concentration_(LO) (LC_{LO})—The lowest concentration of a chemical in air that has been reported to have caused death in humans or animals.

Lethal Concentration₍₅₀₎ (LC₅₀)—A calculated concentration of a chemical in air to which exposure for a specific length of time is expected to cause death in 50% of a defined experimental animal population.

Lethal Dose_(LO) (LD_{LO})—The lowest dose of a chemical introduced by a route other than inhalation that has been reported to have caused death in humans or animals.

Lethal Dose₍₅₀₎ (LD₅₀)—The dose of a chemical that has been calculated to cause death in 50% of a defined experimental animal population.

Lethal Time₍₅₀₎ (LT₅₀)—A calculated period of time within which a specific concentration of a chemical is expected to cause death in 50% of a defined experimental animal population.

Lowest-Observed-Adverse-Effect Level (LOAEL)—The lowest exposure level of chemical in a study, or group of studies, that produces statistically or biologically significant increases in frequency or severity of adverse effects between the exposed population and its appropriate control.

Lymphoreticular Effects—Represent morphological effects involving lymphatic tissues such as the lymph nodes, spleen, and thymus.

Malformations—Permanent structural changes that may adversely affect survival, development, or function.

Metabolism—Process in which chemical substances are biotransformed in the body that could result in less toxic and/or readily excreted compounds or produce a biologically active intermediate.

Minimal Risk Level (MRL)—An estimate of daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified route and duration of exposure.

Modifying Factor (MF)—A value (greater than zero) that is applied to the derivation of a Minimal Risk Level (MRL) to reflect additional concerns about the database that are not covered by the uncertainty factors. The default value for a MF is 1.

APPENDIX E

Morbidity—The state of being diseased; the morbidity rate is the incidence or prevalence of a disease in a specific population.

Mortality—Death; the mortality rate is a measure of the number of deaths in a population during a specified interval of time.

Mutagen—A substance that causes mutations, which are changes in the DNA sequence of a cell's DNA. Mutations can lead to birth defects, miscarriages, or cancer.

Necropsy—The gross examination of the organs and tissues of a dead body to determine the cause of death or pathological conditions.

Neurotoxicity—The occurrence of adverse effects on the nervous system following exposure to a hazardous substance.

No-Observed-Adverse-Effect Level (NOAEL)—The dose of a chemical at which there were no statistically or biologically significant increases in frequency or severity of adverse effects seen between the exposed population and its appropriate control. Although effects may be produced at this dose, they are not considered to be adverse.

Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient (K_{ow})—The equilibrium ratio of the concentrations of a chemical in *n*-octanol and water, in dilute solution.

Odds Ratio (OR)—A means of measuring the association between an exposure (such as toxic substances and a disease or condition) that represents the best estimate of relative risk (risk as a ratio of the incidence among subjects exposed to a particular risk factor divided by the incidence among subjects who were not exposed to the risk factor). An odds ratio that is greater than 1 is considered to indicate greater risk of disease in the exposed group compared to the unexposed group.

Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL)—An Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulatory limit on the amount or concentration of a substance not to be exceeded in workplace air averaged over any 8-hour work shift of a 40-hour workweek.

Pesticide—General classification of chemicals specifically developed and produced for use in the control of agricultural and public health pests (insects or other organisms harmful to cultivated plants or animals).

Pharmacokinetics—The dynamic behavior of a material in the body, used to predict the fate (disposition) of an exogenous substance in an organism. Utilizing computational techniques, it provides the means of studying the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of chemicals by the body.

Pharmacokinetic Model—A set of equations that can be used to describe the time course of a parent chemical or metabolite in an animal system. There are two types of pharmacokinetic models: data-based and physiologically-based. A data-based model divides the animal system into a series of compartments, which, in general, do not represent real, identifiable anatomic regions of the body, whereas the physiologically-based model compartments represent real anatomic regions of the body.

Physiologically Based Pharmacodynamic (PBPD) Model—A type of physiologically based dose-response model that quantitatively describes the relationship between target tissue dose and toxic endpoints. These models advance the importance of physiologically based models in that they clearly describe the biological effect (response) produced by the system following exposure to an exogenous substance.

APPENDIX E

Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK) Model—A type of physiologically based dose-response model that is comprised of a series of compartments representing organs or tissue groups with realistic weights and blood flows. These models require a variety of physiological information, including tissue volumes, blood flow rates to tissues, cardiac output, alveolar ventilation rates, and possibly membrane permeabilities. The models also utilize biochemical information, such as blood:air partition coefficients, and metabolic parameters. PBPK models are also called biologically based tissue dosimetry models.

Point of Departure (POD)—The point on the dose-response curve that defines where low-dose extrapolation commences. The POD may be a NOAEL, LOAEL, or benchmark dose estimated from mathematical modeling of the dose-response relationship.

Prevalence—The number of cases of a disease or condition in a population at one point in time.

Prospective Study—A type of cohort study in which a group is followed over time and the pertinent observations are made on events occurring after the start of the study.

Recommended Exposure Limit (REL)—A National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) time-weighted average (TWA) concentration for up to a 10-hour workday during a 40-hour workweek.

Reference Concentration (RfC)—An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious noncancer health effects during a lifetime. The inhalation RfC is expressed in units of mg/m³ or ppm.

Reference Dose (RfD)—An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of the daily oral exposure of the human population to a potential hazard that is likely to be without risk of deleterious noncancer health effects during a lifetime. The oral RfD is expressed in units of mg/kg/day.

Reportable Quantity (RQ)—The quantity of a hazardous substance that is considered reportable under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). RQs are (1) ≥1 pound or (2) for selected substances, an amount established by regulation either under CERCLA or under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act. Quantities are measured over a 24-hour period.

Reproductive Toxicity—The occurrence of adverse effects on the reproductive system that may result from exposure to a hazardous substance. The toxicity may be directed to the reproductive organs and/or the related endocrine system. The manifestation of such toxicity may be noted as alterations in sexual behavior, fertility, pregnancy outcomes, or modifications in other functions that are dependent on the integrity of this system.

Retrospective Study—A type of cohort study based on a group of persons known to have been exposed at some time in the past. Data are collected from routinely recorded events, up to the time the study is undertaken. Retrospective studies are limited to causal factors that can be ascertained from existing records and/or examining survivors of the cohort.

Risk—The possibility or chance that some adverse effect will result from a given exposure to a hazardous substance.

APPENDIX E

Risk Factor—An aspect of personal behavior or lifestyle, an environmental exposure, existing health condition, or an inborn or inherited characteristic that is associated with an increased occurrence of disease or other health-related event or condition.

Risk Ratio/Relative Risk—The ratio of the risk among persons with specific risk factors compared to the risk among persons without risk factors. A risk ratio that is greater than 1 indicates greater risk of disease in the exposed group compared to the unexposed group.

Short-Term Exposure Limit (STEL)—A STEL is a 15-minute TWA exposure that should not be exceeded at any time during a workday.

Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR)—A ratio of the observed number of deaths and the expected number of deaths in a specific standard population.

Target Organ Toxicity—This term covers a broad range of adverse effects on target organs or physiological systems (e.g., renal, cardiovascular) extending from those arising through a single limited exposure to those assumed over a lifetime of exposure to a chemical.

Teratogen—A chemical that causes structural defects that affect the development of an organism.

Threshold Limit Value (TLV)—An American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) concentration of a substance to which it is believed that nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed, day after day, for a working lifetime without adverse effect. The TLV may be expressed as a Time-Weighted Average (TLV-TWA), as a Short-Term Exposure Limit (TLV-STEL), or as a ceiling limit (TLV-C).

Time-Weighted Average (TWA)—An average exposure within a given time period.

Toxicokinetic—The absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination of toxic compounds in the living organism.

Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)—The TRI is an EPA program that tracks toxic chemical releases and pollution prevention activities reported by industrial and federal facilities.

Uncertainty Factor (UF)—A factor used in operationally deriving the Minimal Risk Level (MRL), Reference Dose (RfD), or Reference Concentration (RfC) from experimental data. UFs are intended to account for (1) the variation in sensitivity among the members of the human population, (2) the uncertainty in extrapolating animal data to the case of human, (3) the uncertainty in extrapolating from data obtained in a study that is of less than lifetime exposure, and (4) the uncertainty in using lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) data rather than no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) data. A default for each individual UF is 10; if complete certainty in data exists, a value of 1 can be used; however, a reduced UF of 3 may be used on a case-by-case basis (3 being the approximate logarithmic average of 10 and 1).

Xenobiotic—Any substance that is foreign to the biological system.

APPENDIX F. ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

AAPCC	American Association of Poison Control Centers
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ACOEM	American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine
ACMT	American College of Medical Toxicology
ADI	acceptable daily intake
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion
AEGL	Acute Exposure Guideline Level
AIC	Akaike's information criterion
AIHA	American Industrial Hygiene Association
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AOEC	Association of Occupational and Environmental Clinics
AP	alkaline phosphatase
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
atm	atmosphere
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AWQC	Ambient Water Quality Criteria
BCF	bioconcentration factor
BMD/C	benchmark dose or benchmark concentration
BMD _x	dose that produces a X% change in response rate of an adverse effect
BMDL _x	95% lower confidence limit on the BMD _x
BMDS	Benchmark Dose Software
BMR	benchmark response
BUN	blood urea nitrogen
C	centigrade
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAS	Chemical Abstract Services
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CEL	cancer effect level
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Ci	curie
CI	confidence interval
cm	centimeter
CPSC	Consumer Products Safety Commission
CWA	Clean Water Act
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DOD	Department of Defense
DOE	Department of Energy
DWEL	drinking water exposure level
EAFUS	Everything Added to Food in the United States
ECG/EKG	electrocardiogram
EEG	electroencephalogram
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ERPG	emergency response planning guidelines
F	Fahrenheit
F1	first-filial generation
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act

APPENDIX F

FR	Federal Register
FSH	follicle stimulating hormone
g	gram
GC	gas chromatography
gd	gestational day
GGT	γ -glutamyl transferase
GRAS	generally recognized as safe
HEC	human equivalent concentration
HED	human equivalent dose
HHS	Department of Health and Human Services
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
HSDB	Hazardous Substance Data Bank
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
IDLH	immediately dangerous to life and health
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
K _d	adsorption ratio
kg	kilogram
kkg	kilokilogram; 1 kilokilogram is equivalent to 1,000 kilograms and 1 metric ton
K _{oc}	organic carbon partition coefficient
K _{ow}	octanol-water partition coefficient
L	liter
LC	liquid chromatography
LC ₅₀	lethal concentration, 50% kill
LC _{Lo}	lethal concentration, low
LD ₅₀	lethal dose, 50% kill
LD _{Lo}	lethal dose, low
LDH	lactic dehydrogenase
LH	luteinizing hormone
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
LSE	Level of Significant Exposure
LT ₅₀	lethal time, 50% kill
m	meter
mCi	millicurie
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal
MF	modifying factor
mg	milligram
mL	milliliter
mm	millimeter
mmHg	millimeters of mercury
mmol	millimole
MRL	Minimal Risk Level
MS	mass spectrometry
MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration
Mt	metric ton
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standard
NAS	National Academy of Science
NCEH	National Center for Environmental Health
ND	not detected
ng	nanogram

APPENDIX F

NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
NIEHS	National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NLM	National Library of Medicine
nm	nanometer
nmol	nanomole
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
NPL	National Priorities List
NR	not reported
NRC	National Research Council
NS	not specified
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OR	odds ratio
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PAC	Protective Action Criteria
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PBPD	physiologically based pharmacodynamic
PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetic
PEHSU	Pediatric Environmental Health Specialty Unit
PEL	permissible exposure limit
PEL-C	permissible exposure limit-ceiling value
pg	picogram
PND	postnatal day
POD	point of departure
ppb	parts per billion
ppbv	parts per billion by volume
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per trillion
REL	recommended exposure level/limit
REL-C	recommended exposure level-ceiling value
RfC	reference concentration
RfD	reference dose
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SCE	sister chromatid exchange
SD	standard deviation
SE	standard error
SGOT	serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (same as aspartate aminotransferase or AST)
SGPT	serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (same as alanine aminotransferase or ALT)
SIC	standard industrial classification
SLOAEL	serious lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
SMR	standardized mortality ratio
sRBC	sheep red blood cell
STEL	short term exposure limit
TLV	threshold limit value
TLV-C	threshold limit value-ceiling value
TRI	Toxics Release Inventory
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TWA	time-weighted average
UF	uncertainty factor

APPENDIX F

U.S.	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USNRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
VOC	volatile organic compound
WBC	white blood cell
WHO	World Health Organization
>	greater than
≥	greater than or equal to
=	equal to
<	less than
≤	less than or equal to
%	percent
α	alpha
β	beta
γ	gamma
δ	delta
μm	micrometer
μg	microgram
q ₁ *	cancer slope factor
-	negative
+	positive
(+)	weakly positive result
(-)	weakly negative result