

ORRHES Brief

Oak Ridge Reservation Health Effects Subcommittee



Uranium Releases from the Oak Ridge Reservation— a Review of the Quality of Historical Effluent Monitoring Data and a Screening Evaluation of Potential Off-Site Exposures, Report of the Oak Ridge Dose Reconstruction, Vol. 5 The Report of Project Task 6

Site: Oak Ridge Reservation

Conducted by: ChemRisk/ORHASP
for the Tennessee Department of Health

Time Period: 1999

Location: Oak Ridge, Tennessee

Purpose

The purpose of the Task 6 study was to further evaluate the quality of historical uranium operations and effluent monitoring records, to confirm or modify previous uranium release estimates for the period from 1944 to 1995 for all three complexes on the Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR), and to determine if uranium releases from the ORR likely resulted in off-site doses that warrant further study. The main results of the study are revised uranium release estimates from the Y-12 plant, K-25 gaseous diffusion plant, and the S-50 liquid thermal diffusion plant and screening-level estimates of potential health effects to people living near the ORR. These results, which are called "screening indices," are conservative estimates of potential exposures and health impacts and are intended to be used with the decision guide established by Oak Ridge Health Agreement Steering Panel (ORHASP) to determine if further work is warranted to estimate the human health risks from past uranium releases.

Background

The 1993 Oak Ridge Health Studies, Phase I Dose Reconstruction Feasibility Study by the Tennessee Department of Health indicated that uranium was not among the list of contaminants that warranted highest priority for detailed dose reconstruction investigation of off-site health effects. After receiving comments from several long-term employees at the ORR uranium facilities, a number of ORHASP members recommended that past uranium emissions and potential resulting exposures receive closer examination. In 1994, the Task 6 uranium screening evaluation was included in the Oak Ridge Dose Reconstruction project.

The Oak Ridge Y-12 plant was built in 1945, as part of the Manhattan project. Located at the eastern end of Bear Creek Valley, the Y-12 complex is within the corporate limits of the city of Oak Ridge and is separated from the main residential areas of the city by Pine Ridge. The Y-12 plant housed many operations involving uranium, including the preparation, forming, machining, and recycling of uranium for Weapon Component Operations.

Construction of the K-25 uranium enrichment facility began in 1943, and the facility was operational by January 1945. The K-25 site is located near the western end of the ORR, along Poplar Creek near where it meets the Clinch River. The primary mission of K-25 was to enrich uranium by the gaseous diffusion process.

Uranium Releases from the Oak Ridge Reservation

Located along the Clinch River near the K-25 site was a liquid thermal diffusion plant (the S-50 site) that operated from October 1944 to September 1945. Because of their close proximity, the K-25 and S-50 complexes were generally discussed together in the Task 6 report.

The X-10 facility, which conducted chemical processing of reactor fuel and other nuclear materials, was not a primary focus of the Task 6 study.

Methods

An extensive information gathering and review effort was undertaken by the project team in searching for information related to historical uranium operations at the Y-12, K-25, and S-50 sites. Thousands of documents were searched and many active and retired workers were interviewed.

The Task 6 investigation followed these basic steps:

- Information that described uranium uses and releases on the ORR was collected.
 - Effluent monitoring data were evaluated for quality and consistency with previous U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) historical uranium release reports.
 - Updated estimates of airborne uranium releases over time were generated using the more complete data available to the project team.
 - Air dispersion models were used to estimate uranium air concentrations at selected reference locations near each ORR facility. The reference locations were:
 - the Scarboro community (for Y-12),
 - the Union/Lawnville community (for K-25/S-50), and
 - Jones Island area along the Clinch River (for X-10).
- Because the terrain surrounding the Y-12 facility has complex topography, air dispersion modeling techniques were not employed. Instead, an empirical relative concentration (χ/Q) relationship was established between measured releases of uranium from Y-12 and measured airborne concentrations of uranium at Scarboro. The χ/Q relationship was then used to extrapolate airborne uranium concentrations for times in which it was not directly measured.
- The screening evaluation of potential off-site exposures to waterborne uranium was based on environmental measurements of uranium at local surface waters. The sampling sites were: White Oak Dam, downstream of New Hope Pond, and the confluence of Poplar Creek and the Clinch River.
 - A screening-level evaluation of the potential for health effects was performed by calculating intakes and associated radiation doses. A two-tiered exposure assessment methodology was employed, which provided both upper bound and more typical results. Because of the scarcity of information regarding estimates of uranium concentrations in the environment over the period of interest, some conservatism was maintained in the uranium concentrations used in the Level II screening.
 - Annual radiation doses from uranium intake and external exposure were calculated for the adult age group for each screening assessment and then converted to screening indices using a dose-to-risk coefficient of $7.3\% \text{ Sv}^{-1}$.
 - Estimates of annual-average intakes of uranium by inhalation and ingestion were also used to evaluate the potential for health effects due to the chemical toxicity of uranium compounds, specifically for damage to the kidneys. Uranium was assumed to be in its most soluble form and safety factors were included to minimize the potential for underestimation of the potential for toxic effects.

Uranium Releases from the Oak Ridge Reservation

Study Subjects

The screening evaluation estimated potential off-site exposure and screening indices for hypothetical individuals in three reference locations (Scarboro, Union/Lawnville, and Jones Island). These reference locations represent residents who lived closest to the ORR facilities and would have received the highest exposures from past uranium releases. Thus, they are associated with the highest screening indices derived by the screening evaluation.

Exposures

The following potential air exposure pathways were evaluated:

1. Air to humans-direct inhalation of airborne particulates
2. Air to humans (immersion in contaminated air)
3. Air to livestock (via inhalation) to beef to humans
4. Air to dairy cattle (via inhalation) to milk to humans
5. Air to vegetables (deposition) to humans
6. Air to pasture (deposition) to cattle beef to humans
7. Air to pasture (deposition) to dairy cattle to milk to humans

The following potential water exposure pathways were evaluated:

1. Incidental ingestion by humans during recreation
2. Water to livestock (ingestion) to beef to humans
3. Water to dairy cattle (ingestion) to milk to humans
4. Water to fish to humans
5. Water to humans via immersion during recreation

The following potential soil exposure pathways were evaluated:

1. Soil to air (dust resuspension) to humans
2. Soil incidental ingestion

3. Soil to livestock (soil ingestion) to beef to humans
4. Soil to dairy cattle (soil ingestion) to milk to humans
5. Soil to vegetables (root uptake) to humans
6. Soil to pasture (root uptake) to livestock to beef to humans
7. Soil to pasture (root uptake) to dairy cattle to milk to humans
8. Soil to humans via external radiation

Outcome Measures

Health outcomes were not studied.

Results

Airborne uranium releases from the Y-12, K-25, and S-50 sites were found to be greater than previously reported. DOE estimated that the amount of uranium released from the Y-12 plant was 6,535 kilograms. The Task 6 team estimated that 50,000 kilograms of uranium was released to the air by the Y-12 plant. DOE estimated that the amount released from the K-25 and S-50 plants (combined) was 10,713 kilograms. The Task 6 team estimated that 16,000 kilograms were released to the air by the K-25/S-50 complex.

The Scarboro community was associated with the highest total screening index attributable to uranium releases from the Y-12 plant. The screening indices were 1.9×10^{-3} for the Level I assessment and 8.3×10^{-5} for the Level II assessment. While the overall Level I screening index for the Scarboro community is above the ORHASP decision guide of 1.0×10^{-4} (1 in 10,000), the Level II value is below that guide value. This indicates that the Y-12 uranium releases are candidates for further study, but that they are not high priority candidates for further study.

For the K-25/S-50 assessment, the total screening index for Union/Lawnville from the Level I assessment (2.7×10^{-4}) exceeded the ORHASP decision guide. The less conservative Level II screening result (4.0×10^{-5}) did not exceed the

Uranium Releases from the Oak Ridge Reservation

guide. This indicates that the K-25/S-50 uranium releases are also candidates for further study, but that they are not high priority candidates for further study.

The X-10 Level I assessment yielded a screening index for Jones Island (7.6×10^{-5}) below the decision guide. This indicates that releases from the X-10 site warrant lower priority, especially given the pilot-plant nature and relatively short duration of most X-10 uranium operations.

The Scarboro community was selected for the initial chemical toxicity evaluation since its screening index for radiological exposures was the highest. Estimated kidney burdens resulting from simultaneous intake of uranium by ingestion and inhalation under the Scarboro assessment do not exceed an effects threshold criterion (1 microgram per gram of kidney tissue) proposed by some scientists, but they do exceed an effects threshold criterion (0.02 micrograms per gram of kidney tissue) proposed by other scientists. The Task 6 team also evaluated the average-annual intakes using a reference dose/Hazard Index approach and concluded that further study of chemical toxicity from past ORR uranium exposures did not warrant high priority.

Conclusions

The Task 6 team reached the following general conclusions:

- Estimates of uranium releases previously reported by DOE are incomplete and; therefore, were not used in the Task 6 screening evaluation.
- Historical uranium releases from the Y-12 plant are likely significantly higher (over seven times higher) than totals reported by DOE. There are several reasons why previous estimates were so much lower.
- Historical uranium releases from the K-25/S-50 complex are likely higher than totals reported by DOE.
- Operations at the S-50 plant are poorly documented.
- The Scarboro community had the highest total screening index from uranium releases at the ORR, specifically the Y-12 plant. Since the Level II screening index is just below the ORHASP decision criterion, with most of the conservative assumptions regarding source term and exposure parameters removed, potential exposure to uranium releases could have been of significance from a health standpoint and should; therefore, be considered for dose reconstruction.
- The Union/Lawnville community evaluation (releases from the K-25/S-50 complex) had a Level II screening index below the ORHASP criterion. However, without quantification of the uncertainties associated with the release estimates and the exposure assessment, it is not possible to say that these releases do not warrant further characterizations.
- The Level I screening index for the Jones Island area (releases from the X-10 site) are below the ORHASP decision criterion.
- Because Pine Ridge separates the Y-12 plant from Scarboro, an alternate approach (chi/Q) was used to estimate uranium air concentrations in Scarboro.
- The concentrations of uranium in soil are a major factor in the screening analyses. Because limited soil data are available for the reference locations, alternative approaches should be considered for future analyses.
- While the estimated uranium intake from ingestion and inhalation exceed one effects threshold criterion, they do not exceed another. Calculated hazard indices indicate that further study of chemical effects of the kidneys rank as a low priority.

If the evaluation of ORR uranium releases is to proceed beyond a conservative screening stage and on to a nonconservative screening with uncertainty and sensitivity analyses, activities that should be evaluated for possible follow-up work include:

- Additional records research and data evaluation regarding S-50 plant operations and potential releases.
- Additional searching for and review of effluent monitoring data for Y-12 electromagnetic enrichment operations from 1944 to 1947 and data relating to releases from unmonitored depleted uranium operations in the 1950s through the 1990s.
- Uncertainty analysis of the Y-12 uranium release estimates derived in this study.
- Review of additional data regarding unmonitored K-25 uranium releases.
- Refinement of the approach used to evaluate surface water and soil-based exposure concentrations.
- Evaluation of the effects of the ridges and valleys that dominate the local terrain surrounding Y-12 and Scarboro and investigation of alternative approaches to estimate air concentrations at Scarboro with an emphasis on identifying additional monitoring data.
- Performance of a bounding assessment of the amounts of uranium that were handled at the X-10 site.
- Improvement of the exposure assessment to include region-specific consumption habits and lifestyles, identification of likely exposure scenarios instead of hypothetical upper bound and typical assessments, and inclusion of uncertainty analysis to provide statistical bounds for the evaluation of risk.
- Refinement of the chemical toxicity evaluation, possibly to include other approaches and models, as well as an uncertainty analysis.