

**INTERACTION PROFILE FOR:
PERSISTENT CHEMICALS FOUND IN BREAST MILK
(CHLORINATED DIBENZO-*p*-DIOXINS,
HEXACHLOROBENZENE, *p,p'*-DDE, METHYLMERCURY, and
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS)**

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry**

May 2004

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) wishes to thank the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for its support in the production of this Interaction Profile.

PREFACE

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) mandates that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) shall assess whether adequate information on health effects is available for the priority hazardous substances. Where such information is not available or under development, ATSDR shall, in cooperation with the National Toxicology Program, initiate a program of research to determine these health effects. The Act further directs that where feasible, ATSDR shall develop methods to determine the health effects of substances in combination with other substances with which they are commonly found.

To carry out the legislative mandate, ATSDR's Division of Toxicology (DT) has developed and coordinated a mixtures program that includes trend analysis to identify the mixtures most often found in environmental media, *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological testing of mixtures, quantitative modeling of joint action, and methodological development for assessment of joint toxicity. These efforts are interrelated. For example, the trend analysis suggests mixtures of concern for which assessments need to be conducted. If data are not available, further research is recommended. The data thus generated often contribute to the design, calibration or validation of the methodology. This pragmatic approach allows identification of pertinent issues and their resolution as well as enhancement of our understanding of the mechanisms of joint toxic action. All the information obtained is thus used to enhance existing or developing methods to assess the joint toxic action of environmental chemicals. Over a number of years, ATSDR scientists in collaboration with mixtures risk assessors and laboratory scientists have developed approaches for the assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. As part of the mixtures program a series of documents, Interaction Profiles, are being developed for certain priority mixtures that are of special concern to ATSDR.

The purpose of an Interaction Profile is to evaluate data on the toxicology of the "whole" priority mixture (if available) and on the joint toxic action of the chemicals in the mixture in order to recommend approaches for the exposure-based assessment of the potential hazard to public health. Joint toxic action includes additivity and interactions. A weight-of-evidence approach is commonly used in these documents to evaluate the influence of interactions in the overall toxicity of the mixture. The weight-of-evidence evaluations are qualitative in nature, although ATSDR recognizes that observations of toxicological interactions depend greatly on exposure doses and that some interactions appear to have thresholds. Thus, the interactions are evaluated in a qualitative manner to provide a sense of what influence the interactions may have when they do occur.

The assessments in the document are not intended to trigger a regulatory action, but rather to serve as screening tools to assess the potential for joint toxic action of chemicals in the mixture of concern.

Literature searches for this Interaction Profile were conducted in 1999–2000, with limited updating in 2001, following peer review. This final version of the document, released in 2004, includes changes made in response to public comments. However, no new literature searches were done.

CONTRIBUTORS

CHEMICAL MANAGER(S)/AUTHORS:

Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D.
ATSDR, Division of Toxicology, Atlanta, GA

Peter McClure, Ph.D., DABT
Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

Marc Odin, M.S., DABT
Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

Joan Colman, Ph.D.
Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

PEER REVIEW

A peer review panel was assembled for this profile. The panel consisted of the following members:

Dr. Christopher Borgert
Applied Pharmacology and Toxicology, Inc.
238 Turkey Creek
10514 Palmetto Boulevard
Alachua, FL 32615

Dr. John Giesy
Department of Zoology
Michigan State University
Natural Science Building
East Lansing, MI 48824

Dr. Raymond Yang
Center for Environmental Toxicology and Technology
Colorado State University
Foothills Campus
Rampart Road
Fort Collins, CO 80523-1680

All reviewers were selected in conformity with the conditions for peer review specified in Section 104(I)(13) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended.

Scientists from the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) have reviewed the peer reviewers' comments and determined which comments will be included in the profile. A listing of the peer reviewers' comments not incorporated in the profile, with a brief explanation of the rationale for their exclusion, exists as part of the administrative record for this compound. A list of databases reviewed and a list of unpublished documents cited are also included in the administrative record.

The citation of the peer review panel should not be understood to imply its approval of the profile's final content. The responsibility for the content of this profile lies with the ATSDR.

SUMMARY

Breast-feeding offers the developing infant the benefits of balanced nutrition and passive immunization, but the detection of persistent, environmental chemicals in human breast milk samples from various regions of the world has led to concerns that these chemicals may have detrimental effects on the health and/or development of children. Chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (CDDs), hexachlorobenzene, *p,p'*-DDE, methylmercury, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were selected as an important subset of persistent chemicals detected in breast milk for the purposes of reviewing data on their joint toxic actions following oral exposure.

Epidemiological studies in Michigan, North Carolina, New York, the Netherlands, and the Faroe Islands found statistically significant associations between increasing concentrations of particular persistent chemicals found in maternal fluid samples (i.e., PCBs, CDDs, *p,p'*-DDE, or mercury in cord serum or breast milk) and deficits in motor and cognitive functions in children. The Netherlands and Faroe Islands studies also demonstrated beneficial effects of breast feeding on neurological development. A study of formula-fed monkeys exposed to a PCB mixture from birth to 20 weeks found evidence that lactational exposure to persistent chemicals may contribute to neurodevelopmental deficits. These studies identify mild neurodevelopmental deficits as a possible health hazard, but the results are suggestive that observed deficits may have been associated with gestational rather than lactational exposure to persistent chemicals. These studies do not establish causal relationships between exposure to persistent chemicals in breast milk and neurological deficits. Furthermore, they are not useful for assessment of health hazards specific to a community or scenarios involving exposures to mixtures of CDDs, hexachlorobenzene, *p,p'*-DDE, methylmercury, and PCBs.

To facilitate exposure-based assessments of possible health effects associated with oral exposures to mixtures of CDDs, hexachlorobenzene, *p,p'*-DDE, methylmercury, and PCBs in environmental media, food, and/or breast milk, available data on the joint toxic action of mixtures of these breast milk contaminants were reviewed, and the weights of evidence were assessed concerning the mode of joint toxic action of pairs of the five chemicals. Only a limited amount of evidence is available on the existence of greater-than-additive or less-than-additive interactions between a few pairs of the chemicals of concern: (1) hexachlorobenzene potentiation of tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) reduction of body and thymus weights (a greater-than-additive interaction); (2) PCB antagonism of TCDD immunotoxicity (less-than-additive interaction); (3) PCB antagonism of TCDD developmental toxicity (less-than-additive

interaction); and (4) synergism between PCBs and methylmercury in disrupting regulation of brain levels of dopamine that may influence neurological function and development (greater-than-additive interaction). Weight-of-evidence analyses of these data, however, indicate that scientific evidence for these interactions is limited and is inadequate to characterize the possible modes of joint action on these toxicity targets. For the remaining pairs, additive joint action at shared targets of toxicity is either supported by data (for a few pairs) or is recommended as a public health protective assumption due to lack of interaction data, conflicting interaction data, and/or lack of mechanistic understanding to reliably project potential non-additive interactions. Therefore, it is recommended that additivity be assumed as a public health protective measure in exposure-based assessments of health hazards from exposure to mixtures of these components.

A target-organ toxicity dose (TTD) modification of the hazard index approach is recommended for carrying out exposure-based screening assessments of possible health effects from oral exposure to mixtures of the chemicals. TTDs for the individual chemical components are derived, and application of the approach is described. There are several reasons supporting this recommendation to use a component-based approach. There are no direct data available to characterize health hazards (and dose-response relationships) from mixtures containing all five components. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) models have not yet been developed that would predict pertinent target doses of the components under scenarios involving exposure to mixtures of all five components. Finally, available information on toxic actions of the individual components indicates that joint actions of CDDs, hexachlorobenzene, *p,p'*-DDE, methylmercury, and PCBs on several toxicity targets are plausible, including nervous system development, immune functions, reproductive organ development, and cancer. If the screening assessment indicates a potential hazard to public health, further evaluation is needed, using biomedical judgment and community-specific health outcome data, and taking into account community health concerns.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iii
PREFACE	v
CONTRIBUTORS	vii
PEER REVIEW	ix
SUMMARY	xi
CONTENTS	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS	xvii
1. Introduction	1
2. Joint Toxic Action Data for the Mixture of Concern and Component Mixtures	7
2.1 Mixture of Concern	7
2.2 Component Mixtures	15
2.2.1 2,3,7,8-TCDD and Hexachlorobenzene	15
2.2.2 2,3,7,8-TCDD and <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	20
2.2.3 Hexachlorobenzene and <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	24
2.2.4 2,3,7,8-TCDD and Methylmercury	25
2.2.5 Hexachlorobenzene and Methylmercury	27
2.2.6 <i>p,p'</i> -DDE and Methylmercury	31
2.2.7 PCBs and 2,3,7,8-TCDD	31
2.2.8 PCBs and Hexachlorobenzene	51
2.2.9 PCBs and <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	51
2.2.10 PCBs and Methylmercury	52
2.3 Relevance of the Joint Toxic Action Data and Approaches to Public Health	62
3. Recommendation for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of the Mixture	95
4. Conclusions	101
5. List of References	103
Appendix A: Background Information for Chlorinated Dibenzo- <i>p</i> -Dioxins (CDDs)	147
A.1 Toxicokinetics	147
A.2 Health Effects	148
A.3 Mechanisms of Action	149
A.4 Health Guidelines	151
A.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	153
Appendix B: Background Information for Hexachlorobenzene	157

B.1 Toxicokinetics	157
B.2 Health Effects	157
B.3 Mechanisms of Action	158
B.4 Health Guidelines	160
B.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	161
Appendix C: Background Information for <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	165
C.1 Toxicokinetics	165
C.2 Health Effects	166
C.3 Mechanisms of Action	167
C.4 Health Guidelines	168
C.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	168
Appendix D: Background Information for Methylmercury	173
D.1 Toxicokinetics	173
D.2 Health Effects	173
D.3 Mechanisms of Action	175
D.4 Health Guidelines	176
D.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	177
Appendix E: Background Information for PCBs	181
E.1 Toxicokinetics	181
E.2 Health Effects	184
E.3 Mechanisms of Action	187
E.4 Health Guidelines	206
E.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	207
Appendix F: Chemical Structures of Mixture Components	211

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Health Effects Observed in Humans or Animals after Oral Exposure to Chemicals of Concern.	3
Table 2.	Levels of Chemicals of Concern in Human Breast Milk Samples from General Populations .	8
Table 3.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of Hexachlorobenzene and the Influence of Hexachlorobenzene on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of 2,3,7,8-TCDD by Sequential Exposure	19
Table 4.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of <i>p,p'</i> -DDE and the Influence of <i>p,p'</i> -DDE on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of 2,3,7,8-TCDD by Simultaneous Exposure	23
Table 5.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of Hexachlorobenzene on Toxicity/ Carcinogenicity of Mercuric Chloride and the Influence of Mercuric Chloride on Toxicity/ Carcinogenicity of Hexachlorobenzene by Simultaneous Exposure	30
Table 6.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of PCBs on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of 2,3,7,8-TCDD	39
Table 7.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of PCBs	47
Table 8.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of PCBs on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of Methylmercury	59
Table 9.	Summary of Available Data on the Influence of Methylmercury on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of PCBs	60
Table 10.	Health Effects Forming the Basis of ATSDR Oral MRLs for Chemicals of Concern.	63
Table 11.	Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical Interactions	67
Table 12.	Effect of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Hexachlorobenzene	68
Table 13.	Effect of Hexachlorobenzene on 2,3,7,8-TCDD	69
Table 14.	Effect of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	71
Table 15.	Effect of <i>p,p'</i> -DDE on 2,3,7,8-TCDD	72
Table 16.	Effect of <i>p,p'</i> -DDE on Hexachlorobenzene	73
Table 17.	Effect of Hexachlorobenzene on <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	74
Table 18.	Effect of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Methylmercury	75
Table 19.	Effect of Methylmercury on 2,3,7,8-TCDD	77
Table 20.	Effect of Hexachlorobenzene on Methylmercury	78
Table 21.	Effect of Methylmercury on Hexachlorobenzene	79
Table 22.	Effect of <i>p,p'</i> -DDE on Methylmercury	80
Table 23.	Effect of Methylmercury on <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	81
Table 24.	Effect of PCBs on 2,3,7,8-TCDD	82
Table 25.	Effect of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on PCBs	84
Table 26.	Effect of PCBs on Hexachlorobenzene	86
Table 27.	Effect of Hexachlorobenzene on PCBs	87
Table 28.	Effect of PCBs on <i>p,p'</i> -DDE	88
Table 29.	Effect of <i>p,p'</i> -DDE on PCBs	89
Table 30.	Effect of PCBs on Methylmercury	90
Table 31.	Effect of Methylmercury on PCBs	92
Table 32.	Matrix of BINWOE Determinations for Repeated Simultaneous Oral Exposure to Chemicals of Concern	94
Table 33.	MRLs and TTDs for Repeated Oral Exposure to Chemicals of Concern	97

LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

Ah	arylhydrocarbon	RfD	Reference Dose
AHH	arylhydrocarbon hydroxylase		
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	SD	standard deviation
		SRBC	sheep red blood cells
BINWOE	binary weight-of-evidence	T4	thyroxin
BROD	benzoxylresorufin-O-deethylase	TT3	total triiodothyronine
		TT4	total thyroxine and free thyroxine
CDD	chlorinated dibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin	TAO	triacytyleandomycin
CDF	chlorinated dibenzofuran	TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin
CI	confidence interval		
CYP	cytochrome P450	TCDF	tetrachlorodibenzofuran
		TCHQ	tetrachlorohydroquinone
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid	TEF	Toxic Equivalency Factor
DTH	delayed-type hypersensitivity	TEQ	toxic equivalents
		TGF	transforming growth factor
EGF	epidermal growth factor	TSH	thyroid stimulating hormone
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	TTD	target-organ toxicity dose
EROD	ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase		
		UDP	uridine-5'-diphosphate
HCB	hexachlorobenzene	UF	uncertainty factor
		U.S.	United States
IARC	International Agency Research on Cancer	WOE	weight-of-evidence
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System	>	greater than
		≥	greater than or equal to
kg	kilogram	=	equal to
		<	less than
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level	≤	less than or equal to
LSE	Levels of Significant Exposure		
mg	milligram		
MRL	Minimal Risk Level		
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid		
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level		
OR	odds ratio		
PBB	polybrominated biphenyl		
PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetic		
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl		
ppb	parts per billion		
ppm	parts per million		
ppt	parts per trillion		
RfC	Reference Concentration		