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Health Consultation: A Note of Explanation

An ATSDR health consultation is a verbal or written response from ATSDR to a specific request for information about health risks related to a specific site, a chemical release, or the presence of hazardous material. In order to prevent or mitigate exposures, a consultation may lead to specific actions, such as restricting use of or replacing water supplies; intensifying environmental sampling; restricting site access; or removing the contaminated material.

In addition, consultations may recommend additional public health actions, such as conducting health surveillance activities to evaluate exposure or trends in adverse health outcomes; conducting biological indicators of exposure studies to assess exposure; and providing health education for health care providers and community members. This concludes the health consultation process for this site, unless additional information is obtained by ATSDR which, in the Agency’s opinion, indicates a need to revise or append the conclusions previously issued.

You may contact ATSDR toll free at
1-800-CDC-INFO

or

visit our home page at: https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov
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January 28, 2022

Mr. Christian W. Matta (3SD23)
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

Dear Mr. Matta:

The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) asked The Virginia Department of Health (VDH) Office of Environmental Health Services (OEHS) to evaluate the C&R Battery Company site (2018 Five-year Review) for public health implications. Through a cooperative agreement with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), VDH has completed reviewing the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s) fifth Five-year review of the site, dated September 2018, along with other historical documents.

SUMMARY

The Virginia Department of Health concludes:

- Drinking groundwater from private wells is not expected to harm people’s health. Lead is not migrating from soil to groundwater because of the soil’s high clay content and the closure of a former acidic pond (following Resource Conservation and Recovery Act [RCRA] closure requirements). However, because of the low pH of the groundwater, leaching of lead and other contaminants from old water distribution private well pipes is possible.
- Exposure to residual onsite soil lead contamination will not harm the health of people in Chesterfield County because the soil will be managed according to the approved Soil Management Plan (USEPA 2020). There is no known offsite soil lead contamination as all lead contamination remained onsite at the 1306 and 1320 Bellwood Street property parcels.
- Breathing in fugitive dust from the site will not harm people’s health because contaminated shallow onsite soils have been excavated and clean fill has been added to the site.
In support of the EPA’s planned action, the Virginia Department of Health recommends that DEQ:

- Implement institutional controls executed pursuant to the Virginia Uniform Environmental Covenants Act, §§ 10.1-1238 et seq. of the Code of Virginia (“UECA”) and 9VAC15-90. These covenants will enforce the implementation of the Soil Management Plan.
- Implement a soil management plan to direct how to properly manage any surface or subsurface soil that in the future needs to be excavated or removed on the site.
- Re-evaluate site conditions and subsurface soil with EPA and DEQ standards of protectiveness if any soil removal or demolition of buildings/structures is required, or if land use restrictions change in the future to permit residential use.

**BACKGROUND**

**Removal Action**

C&R Battery Company is located next to the James River in Chesterfield County south of Richmond, Virginia. Land use surrounding the site is primarily commercial and light industrial, with no residences nearby. A map of the site can be found in [Attachment A. Site Map](#). The C&R Battery Company operated a battery recycling operation at the site from 1973-1985. The operation used a mobile crusher in multiple locations across the site, resulting in widespread contamination. In addition, the company conducted the following activities at the site:

- Breaking open batteries from cars and trucks
- Pouring battery acid into unlined pits
- Extracting and recycling lead from batteries
- Shredding and stockpiling empty battery casings.
- Burying whole batteries in the ground (in some places)
- Crushing casings, which were found across the site

The Virginia State Water Control Board became concerned about groundwater contamination and began monitoring the site in the late 1970s, finding lead in soil, surface water, and groundwater.

- In 1983, the Virginia Occupational Safety and Health Administration inspected the site and found airborne lead concentrations that exceeded the permissible exposure limit of 50 micrograms per cubic meter of air.
- In 1986, EPA investigated the site and collected samples of soil, surface water, and groundwater. Onsite soil was contaminated, and laboratory analysis indicated that concentrations were sufficient to warrant a removal action under Section 104 of CERCLA (42 USC Section 9604) during the summer of 1986.
- The following year, the site was placed on the National Priorities List (NPL).

**Contaminants of Concern**

EPA found the primary contaminant of concern at the site was lead, which was present onsite in surface soil at an average concentration of 17,890 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The average
lead concentration in eastern United States soil is 17 mg/kg (NUS Corporation, 1990a; NUS Corporation, 1990b). Because of the extremely high lead concentration and the potential occupational health risk for exposed workers, an action level of 1,000 mg/kg lead in soil was set for the site to be protective for industrial/commercial workers. EPA has remediated contaminated surface and subsurface soil so that on-site surface soils are below the action level of 1,000 mg/kg for lead.

The 1990 Record of Decision (ROD) determined that groundwater migration of lead was not a hazard (US Environmental Protection Agency, 1990). Monitoring wells showed lead concentrations above background, but below the EPA standard at that time, which was set at 50 parts per billion (ppb). The current EPA action level for lead of 15 ppb for municipal water systems was not established until 1991 (US Code of Federal Regulations, 1991). A layer of clay underlying lead-contaminated soils prevents migration of lead into deeper soil and groundwater.

Site Remediation Measures

The 1990 Record of Decision called for the following:

1) excavating surface and subsurface soils containing lead above the 1,000 mg/kg action level,
2) closing a former acidic pond following RCRA closure requirements,
3) backfilling all excavated areas with six inches of clean topsoil, and
4) planting vegetation over all areas with lead levels above 120 mg/kg (US Environmental Protection Agency, 1990)

The first Five-year Review noted that the soil cleanup standard used was for industrial sites (1,000 mg/kg) and would not be protective in the event of residential use (US Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1998).

EPA recommended the following:

- A residential standard of 500 mg/kg for residential use
- A follow-up evaluation if land use changes to determine any further actions needed
- Continued groundwater monitoring for low pH

The second Five-year Review recommended

- Institutional controls to restrict land use to industrial
- Additional investigation of groundwater pH and manganese

The third and fourth Five-year Reviews reported land use restrictions and low groundwater pH as continuing issues.

The fifth Five-year Review, which EPA released in September 2018

- Concluded the low groundwater pH was typical for the area and not a result of the acid waste pond and
- Recommended requiring land use restrictions before repurposing the land.
Site Visit

The site encompasses two land parcels that EPA determined require land use restrictions.

- One parcel of land (1306 Bellwood Road) is owned by Capitol Oil, which is currently operating a business.
- The second parcel (1320 Bellwood Road) is owned by a real estate developer and is not currently used.

On October 18, 2018, The Virginia Office of Environmental Health Services (OEHS) visited the site and observed the following:

- The gate at the entrance to the parcel located at 1320 Bellwood Road was secured with a new chain and padlock (see Attachment C. Site Photos, October 18, 2018);
- The 1320 Bellwood Road parcel was heavily overgrown with grass, shrubs, and small trees.
- The 1320 Bellwood Road parcel appeared enclosed in a chain link fence, but tree cover limited most visibility from neighboring businesses (Capitol Oil Services Propane and C.D. Hall Construction).
- A faint trail at the gate and litter inside the gate of the 1320 Bellwood Road parcel appeared to indicate that trespassers had access at some time, but the gate shows no signs of being opened recently and the lock is secure.

DISCUSSION

Groundwater Evaluation

During the initial evaluation from August 1988 to January 1990, monitoring wells around the site and nearby private wells were sampled and tested for lead (NUS Corporation, 1990a). Compared to an up-gradient well, concentrations were not above background levels.

However, low groundwater pH raised the possibility that the acid pool clean closure was not effective. Drinking water has no health-based standard for pH, but a pH below 6.5 can give water a bitter, metallic flavor and corrode fixtures. In addition, acidic groundwater could allow lead and other contaminants to leach from soil. A reservoir of acid-contaminated soil could also be hazardous to people excavating the area if the pH is very low.

Early groundwater studies found an elevated concentration of manganese that decreased over time. While metal concentrations in groundwater were not found to be a human health hazard, EPA was concerned about a future health hazard if the low groundwater pH was caused by site activity.

To address the groundwater pH concerns, in 2015 and 2016 groundwater was sampled on 4 background wells in the vicinity of the site to establish background pH (Arcadis Design and Consultancy, 2016).

- Three wells, BG-01, BG-02, and BG-03, were located within approximately 250 feet east of the site.
- The last well, BG-04, was located approximately 700 feet west of the site.
• All background wells were located upgradient of the site along Bellwood Road to obtain groundwater quality data.

Groundwater samples were previously collected from Defense Supply Center Richmond (DSCR) monitoring wells as background data. DSCR is a 640-acre active federal facility and Superfund Site in Chesterfield County, Virginia, about eight miles south of Richmond, Virginia. The pH of the DSCR samples was similar to the samples from the C&R Battery background wells, but EPA had concerns about whether DSCR monitoring wells groundwater samples were representative of background conditions. All DSCR monitoring wells are located within approximately two miles of the site.

**Table 1. Groundwater pH Measurements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of Wells</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>pH Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;R background</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.7 4.7 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSCR background*</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.8 4.8 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site wells</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.0 4.9 5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Defense Supply Center Richmond (nearby surficial aquifer)


The pH of the six wells on the site was in the same range as both the background groundwater pH near the site and the pH in the more distant DSCR background wells. EPA concluded that the pH of groundwater on the site was naturally low and not caused by persisting acid in site soil. EPA notified Verizon that groundwater sampling could be discontinued (US Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 2017).

**Soil Sampling Discrepancies**

In the 1990 Remedial Investigation report, lead was reported to exceed the action level of 1,000 mg/kg at depths 6–15 feet below ground surface, primarily in the south-central portion of the site (see **Attachment B. Soil Lead Concentrations (mg/kg) from Final Remedial Investigation**).

• The 1991 Treatability and Site Characterization Report (Woodward-Clyde, 1991) did not corroborate these findings, but only two deep soil samples were taken, and they were not in areas examined in the remedial investigation.
• To address these discrepancies, the fifth five-year review conducted in 2018 concluded that areas of soil contamination above the action level of 1,000 mg/kg were not likely to remain at depths 6–15 feet below ground surface at the original depth.
• To delineate these areas of soil contamination above the action level of 1,000 mg/kg, EPA recommended additional sampling as part of its fifth five-year review (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2018).

**Potential for Lead in Soil**

The 2018 fifth five-year review by EPA investigated the likelihood of remaining areas of subsurface lead soil contamination (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2018).
• EPA reports that soil with elevated lead remains in place beneath and immediately adjacent to structures, including an office building and tank farm on the portion of the site occupied by Capitol Oil Company.
• Therefore, EPA suggests institutional controls to mitigate the hazards of excavating soil with lead concentrations greater than the action level.
• EPA concluded that restricting the disturbance of soil deeper than 1 foot below the existing grade would eliminate the potential for exposure to lead from subsurface soil (US Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 2018).

In 2020, EPA Approved a Soil Management Plan for both properties associated with the C&R Battery Superfund Site (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2020). The plan specifies how to properly manage soil where lead concentration may exceed 1,000 mg/kg. The requirement to follow the Soil Management Plan will be mentioned in the Uniform Environmental Covenant in the land records for 1306 Bellwood and 1320 Bellwood properties. The environmental covenants executed pursuant to the Virginia Uniform Environmental Covenants Act, §§ 10.1-1238 et seq. of the Code of Virginia (“UECA”) and 9VAC15-90, are currently under development by the landowner, EPA, and DEQ and projected to be finalized by early 2022. The environmental covenant will prevent residential use of both properties, will restrict excavation of soil except in accordance with the Soil Management Plan, and require suitable wear surface be installed for vehicular traffic and parking to prevent disturbance of subsurface soil and erosion.

Exposure Pathways

VDH’s health evaluations are exposure driven. Exposure might occur by eating food, drinking water, breathing air, or having skin contact with a substance containing the contaminant. However, a release does not always result in exposure. An exposure pathway has the following five elements (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2005):

- a source of contamination (e.g., spill or release);
- an environmental media and transport mechanism (e.g., groundwater);
- a point of exposure (e.g., tap water);
- a route of exposure (e.g., drinking); and
- a receptor population (e.g., people potentially or actually exposed).

When all five elements are present, the exposure pathway is considered complete. When evaluating exposure pathways, VDH identifies whether exposure to contaminated media (such as drinking water) has occurred, is occurring, or might occur (or past, present, and future scenarios). VDH may also identify an exposure pathway as “potential” or “eliminated.” A potential pathway occurs when one or more pathway elements cannot be proved or disproved. A pathway is eliminated if at least one element is missing. In either case, the pathway would not be considered for further evaluation.

In 1993, ATSDR completed a public health assessment of the site and determined that potential routes of exposure included ingestion of contaminants in soil and groundwater and inhalation of fugitive dust (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 1993). These pathways have been eliminated (see Table 2. Exposure Pathways).
### Table 2. Exposure Pathways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Media and transport mechanism</th>
<th>Point of exposure</th>
<th>Route of exposure</th>
<th>Receptor population</th>
<th>Time range (1973 – Present)</th>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead from battery casings</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>Nearby residents with private wells</td>
<td>Eliminated (1993 - Present)</td>
<td>EPA determined lead is not migrating from soil to groundwater because of high clay content in soil and low concentrations of lead in groundwater sampling. Therefore, no exposure has occurred through drinking well water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Fugitive dust</td>
<td>Ingestion, dermal contact, inhalation</td>
<td>Past visitors to the site and neighboring businesses</td>
<td>Past potential (1973–1993); Current and future eliminated</td>
<td>Pathway was eliminated by excavating contaminated shallow soils and covering with clean fill soil.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>Ingestion, dermal contact</td>
<td>Visitors or workers</td>
<td>Potential future</td>
<td></td>
<td>If restrictions and procedures found in environmental covenants and the Soil Management Plan are not followed, unsafe excavation could result in future exposure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site remediation was completed in 1993, and an evaluation of the past five-year reviews indicates no evidence that anyone has been exposed to lead from this site since that time.**

A remaining potential pathway is exposure to lead through contact with contaminated subsurface soil near an office building and tank farm on the portion of the site occupied by Capitol Oil Company. Institutional controls to prevent lead-contaminated soil from being exposed during future land development have not yet been implemented but are under development by the landowner, EPA, and DEQ.

**LIMITATIONS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

No known limitations or uncertainties hamper the assessment of current exposure conditions at the site. However, the institutional controls for property use restrictions are currently under development and not yet finalized. If future activities occur at the site that disturb lead-contaminated subsurface soils, a follow-up health evaluation will need to be conducted.
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ATTACHMENT A. SITE MAP

Disclaimer: This map and any boundary lines within the map are approximate and subject to change. The map is not a survey. The map is for informational purposes only regarding EPA’s response actions at the Site.

# ATTACHMENT B. SOIL LEAD CONCENTRATIONS (MG/KG) FROM FINAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>SO-25 (MW-4)</th>
<th>SO-05</th>
<th>SO-28 (MW-02)</th>
<th>SO-13</th>
<th>SO-22</th>
<th>SO-17</th>
<th>SO-26 (MW-01)</th>
<th>SO-29</th>
<th>SO-30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Saturation</td>
<td>Observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>7,332</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5,379</td>
<td>2,090</td>
<td>6,812</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>10,940</td>
<td>17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>4,220</td>
<td>12,034</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>16,517</td>
<td>41,400</td>
<td>9,626</td>
<td>16,400</td>
<td>9,240</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9,481</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>12,987</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7,069</td>
<td>5,420</td>
<td>14,748</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>&lt;120</td>
<td>9,324</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17,487</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7,869</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13,310</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-15</td>
<td>&lt;120</td>
<td>7,687</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14,810</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8,934</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13,310</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-22</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>11,226</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2,536</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6,746</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9,021</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-27</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>10,368</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2,817</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10,372</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-32</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>9,622</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3,286</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5,781</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10,405</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-37</td>
<td>&lt;120</td>
<td>7,666</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3,286</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13,194</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9,013</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-42</td>
<td>&lt;120</td>
<td>10,111</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3,349</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11,681</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1,939</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: Not analyzed  
NC: Not calculated

All concentrations in mg/kg  
* Exceeds calculated saturation concentration

Calculated saturation based upon a site-specific standard pH and cation exchange capacity of the soil  
Values in bold exceed the site-specific action level (1,000 mg/kg).

NUS Corp. Final Remedial Investigation Report, Volume I. Table 5-1: Observed TAL Lead Concentrations in Soil and Predicted Soil/Lead Saturation Levels (mg/kg). January 1990.
ATTACHMENT C. SITE PHOTOS, OCTOBER 18, 2018

*Front gate*

*Chain on front gate*  
*Inside front gate*