Health Consultation

KERR-MCGEE KRESS CREEK/WEST BRANCH OF THE DUPAGE RIVER

WEST CHICAGO, DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

EPA FACILITY ID: ILD980823991

MAY 23, 2006

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
Division of Health Assessment and Consultation
Atlanta, Georgia 30333
Health Consultation: A Note of Explanation
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Purpose

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) requested that the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) prepare a health consultation for the Kerr-McGee Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River areas of the Kerr-McGee site in West Chicago, Illinois. This document updates the status of the site and evaluates environmental data since the release of the 1994 public health assessment.

Background and Statement of Issues

The Kerr-McGee Radiation Areas

The Kerr-McGee Radiation Areas are sites where environmental media were contaminated by radioactive thorium waste material generated by a processing facility that operated from 1932 to 1973 in West Chicago. Mill tailings, a sand-like waste, were available as free fill material from the 1930s to the 1950s and were used throughout the area (IDPH 1994).

In November 1994, ATSDR released a public health assessment (PHA) for the Kerr-McGee Radiation Areas, which included Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River (IDPH 1994). The Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) wrote the PHA, which was released by ATSDR under a cooperative agreement.

Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River

Kress Creek and the contaminated portion of the West Branch of the DuPage River are in and near the city of West Chicago, DuPage County, Illinois (Figure 1-1). As discussed in the PHA (IDPH 1994), runoff from the former thorium processing facility (now owned by Kerr-McGee) contaminated Kress Creek with radioactive material. The creek transported this material downstream, contaminating sediments and flood plain soils along the creek. Kress Creek discharges into the West Branch of the DuPage River, resulting in contaminated sediments and flood plain soils along the river.

This site is defined as the 1.2 mile stretch of Kress Creek upstream of its confluence with the West Branch of the DuPage River, and approximately 5 miles of the river downstream to the McDowell Dam (USEPA 2004). This document discusses only Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River downstream of its confluence with Kress Creek. Radioactive contamination in the West Branch of the DuPage River upstream from the confluence came from the erosion of tailings used as fill by the West Chicago Wastewater Treatment Plant (WTP). A separate health consultation assesses the WTP and discusses contamination upstream from the confluence.

In the 1994 PHA, IDPH concluded that Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River were a public health hazard and made the following key recommendations:
• Action should be taken to reduce public exposure in residential areas that still have tailings, including properties along Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River. Highest priority should be given to homes with tailings next to their foundations or under them (e.g., in crawlspace). If no permanent solution is available, interim measures should be taken.

• The water quality of Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River should be assessed. Additional sampling of the West Branch of the DuPage River should be undertaken to define the extent and nature of radioactive and non-radioactive contamination of sediments and soils in and along the river.

• The concentrations of chemicals in filets of fish from Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River should be determined.

• The possibility that radioactive and non-radioactive contaminants have affected downstream properties in Warrenville and Naperville should be investigated.

Since the release of the 1994 PHA, further sediment and floodplain soil samples were collected from the Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River site. These were analyzed for metals, volatile organic chemicals, semi-volatile organic chemicals, pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, and radionuclides (USEPA 2004). Surface water and fish tissue samples also were collected and analyzed for metals and radionuclides.

Originally, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) planned to do a Remedial Investigation (RI) and Feasibility Study (FS) of Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River (USEPA 2002). However, USEPA postponed those plans to give the affected communities time to try to reach a cleanup agreement with Kerr-McGee. On June 3, 2002, the DuPage County Board (2002) announced that they, the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County, the City of West Chicago, the City of Warrenville, and the City of West Chicago Park District had reached a conceptual agreement with Kerr-McGee for the cleanup of Kress Creek, the West Branch of the DuPage River, and the West Chicago Wastewater Treatment Plant. U.S. Speaker of the House J. Dennis Hastert, USEPA, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA), the Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety, and the DuPage County State’s Attorney also were involved in the agreement.

Under the conceptual agreement, the cleanups of these sites by Kerr-McGee will use the same cleanup criterion used for the Residential Areas and Reed-Keppler Park (DuPage County Board 2002), which was 7.2 picoCuries per gram (pCi/g), and is based on federal and state standards of 5 pCi/g greater than background levels (USEPA 2005).

USEPA issued a Human Health Risk Assessment for this area in May 2004 and a Record of Decision in March 2005. In August 2005, Kerr-McGee, with the oversight of USEPA, released a proposed cleanup schedule for radioactive contamination in and around portions of Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River. Cleanup of the first section of Kress Creek is scheduled to begin in the summer of 2006 and the proposed schedule calls for all sections to be
cleaned up by late 2009. The plan approved by USEPA calls for contaminated riverbank soil and river sediment to be removed and disposed of in an approved facility not on the site. According to USEPA, Kerr-McGee did some cleanup work at affected residential properties along Kress Creek in the 1990s (USEPA 2005).

Beginning in upstream areas, contaminated areas will be dewatered and contaminated soil and sediment will be removed. After cleanup, Kerr-McGee will restore and replant the affected areas. A detailed restoration plan has been developed for each segment of the creek and individual affected property owners will have input into the restoration of their individual properties (USEPA 2005).

Site Visit

IDPH staff most recently visited the site in August 2005 to confirm the site conditions. About 20,000 people live within a 3-mile radius of the site. Most area residents obtain their drinking water from a public supply unaffected by the contamination of soil and sediments in Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River.

Discussion

Chemicals of Interest

IDPH compared the results of each environmental sample with the appropriate comparison values used to select chemicals for further evaluation for carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic health effects. Chemicals found at levels greater than comparison values or those for which no comparison value exists were selected for further evaluation. A brief explanation of each comparison value used is found in Attachment 1.

Comparison values do not represent thresholds of toxicity. Although some chemicals may exist at levels greater than comparison values, adverse health effects require human exposure at sufficient doses. The amount of a chemical, the duration and route of exposure, and the health status of exposed individuals are important factors in determining the potential for adverse health effects.

Radionuclides associated with past activities at the former thorium processing facility are the chemicals of interest. Specifically, radium-226, radium-228, thorium-232, uranium-235, and uranium-238 are the radioisotopes of interest (USEPA 2004). This health consultation focuses on soil and sediment contaminated with these radionuclides.

Air

The 1994 PHA discussed the airborne concentrations of radionuclides near Kress Creek that were not greater than background levels (Jensen 1992). As discussed in the PHA (IDPH 1994), elevated airborne radionuclides also are not expected near the West Branch of the DuPage River.
Biota

On June 21 to 24, 1993, USEPA collected fish from Kress Creek and analyzed whole fish samples for radionuclides (Table 1). Exposure to the trace amounts of radionuclides in the fish is evaluated further.

Groundwater

Private wells serve homes along the contaminated parts of Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River. The PHA (IDPH 1994) discussed the past sampling of private wells around the City, which found no radioactive contamination. Because of the small amounts of radioactive materials in the creek and river, groundwater contamination should not occur.

Sediments

The PHA (IDPH 1994) identified radioactive contamination in sediments of Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River. These sediments will be evaluated further in the exposure assessment.

Soil

The PHA (IDPH 1994) discussed radioactive contamination in soils along Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River. In their surveys of the Residential Areas, USEPA found six contaminated properties along Kress Creek that had contamination only along the creek (Frey 2002). These soils will be evaluated further in the exposure assessment.

Exposure Pathways

A hazardous chemical can affect people only if they contact it through an exposure pathway at a sufficient concentration to cause a toxic effect. This requires four components:

- A source of exposure,
- An environmental transport medium,
- A route of exposure, and
- An exposed population (point of exposure).

A pathway is complete if all of its components are present and exposure of people occurred in the past, is occurring, or will occur in the future. If (1) parts of a pathway are absent, (2) data are insufficient to decide whether it is complete, or (3) exposure may occur at some time (past, present, future), then it is a potential pathway. If a part of a pathway is not present and will never exist, the pathway is incomplete and can be eliminated from further consideration.
Soil and Sediment

USEPA considered exposure to gamma radiation to be the primary exposure pathway for the Kerr-McGee Residential Areas. The incidental ingestion of soil and the inhalation of dust from soil contributed negligibly to exposure (USEPA 1997b). The same would be true for exposure to soil and sediment along Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River. Persons contacting and playing in contaminated soils and sediments would have exposure to radionuclides and the radiation they emit.

For a high exposure recreational scenario, we assumed that a person spent 3 hours per day, 3 days per week, for 20 weeks of the year at the most contaminated location along Kress Creek. This would result in negligible radiation exposure compared to natural background radiation. Consequently, adverse health effects would not be expected from this exposure scenario.

For exposure to possibly cause adverse health effects, exposure duration would need to increase considerably. That would require the construction of a home or other building on contaminated soils along the creek. Continual lifetime inhabitation of the area along Kress Creek with the highest concentrations of soil radionuclides could result in an estimated cancer risk about four to six times background (approximately 20 in 10,000); however, actual residential exposure probably would result in a smaller risk.

On-site workers may contact contaminated soil and sediment, particularly if they do excavations. This warrants the use of personal protective equipment by remediation workers.

Fish

Radionuclides may accumulate in aquatic animals, such as fish. IDPH has observed people fishing in the West Branch of the DuPage River, but not Kress Creek. One citizen reported that her family occasionally ate frogs from Kress Creek (Citizen 1993). The radionuclides in the tailings tend to accumulate in bone rather than in meat. The available data (Table 1) are whole fish data, which would have higher radionuclide concentrations than the fish fillet portion alone. Consequently, using whole fish data rather than filet data would overestimate health risks.

For exposure calculations, IDPH used estimated consumption rates of an average or 95th percentile recreational angler published by USEPA (1997). The 95th percentile is the amount that only 5% of the population would exceed. For an average recreational angler, the cancer risk from consuming radionuclides in Kress Creek fish would be negligible. A small stream the size of Kress Creek is unlikely to support a subsistence angler, so IDPH did not consider that scenario.

Radon

Radium in the tailings decays into radon, a gas that may move through soil or dissolve and move in groundwater. Elevated outdoor radon levels are unlikely, given the radium concentrations in the wastes. Radon may enter buildings constructed on soil with elevated radium levels. Currently, no buildings exist along Kress Creek or the West Branch of the DuPage River where
radon could accumulate; however, given that thorium remains radioactive for billions of years, the possible future construction of buildings along the creek or river cannot be excluded. The removal of the contaminated sediments and soils will remove this potential future pathway.

**Public Health Implications**

**Radiation**

The emission of alpha, beta, and gamma radiation depends on the radionuclide involved. Some radionuclides emit only one type of radiation, but others emit more than one type. The emissions of some radionuclides have more energy and thus can do more damage to the body than others. Shorter-lasting radionuclides emit more radiation in a given amount of time than longer-lasting radionuclides.

Radiation spreads in all directions from a source. Radiation exposure depends on time (exposure duration), distance from a source, and shielding (attenuation by materials through which it passes). The intensity of radiation decreases with increasing distance from a source. Alpha and beta particles cannot travel far through the air; therefore, exposure to them requires that a radionuclide be ingested, inhaled, or contact the skin (or come near the skin, for beta emitters). Some alpha particles and many beta particles can penetrate the skin, but others cannot. Gamma radiation can travel easily through low-density materials, such as air, and it can pass through the body. However, it can lose much of its energy in thin layers of high-density material (Hobbs and McClellan 1986, Jensen 1992).

Everyone is exposed to background levels of alpha, beta, and gamma radiation from naturally-occurring radionuclides in the environment. People also are exposed to radiation through human-generated sources, mainly medical in nature. People who receive repeated x-rays or radiation therapy are exposed to more radiation than most people. Smokers expose their lungs to radiation levels up to 56 times background because tobacco plants accumulate naturally-occurring polonium-210 from the soil, which is then present in the smoke (BEIR V 1990).

Studies have observed chromosomal aberrations in humans and animals exposed to high doses of radiation. Depending upon the absorbed radiation dose and exposure duration, ionizing radiation can cause cancer. Cancers caused by radiation cannot be distinguished from cancers that occur spontaneously. Also, cancers caused by radiation usually occur 10 or more years after exposure. Although high doses of radiation can cause mutations and cancer in animals and humans, the effects of low doses are less certain. As for chemical carcinogens, some researchers believe that body repair mechanisms can handle low doses of radiation, and that higher doses are needed to cause cancer. To be protective, USEPA assumes that any increased exposure to radiation increases the cancer risk, and they have classified all radionuclides as known human carcinogens.

Because background radiation results in an increased risk of cancer (about 5 in 10,000 according to USEPA and about 7.3 in 10,000 according to the International Commission on Radiological Protection), there is some debate about what risk above background is acceptable (Charp 1996).
**Gamma Radiation**

High dose exposure to gamma rays can cause leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, as well as cancers of the brain and central nervous system, bladder, bone, breast, colon, esophagus, kidney, lung, parathyroid, rectum, salivary glands, skin, stomach, and thyroid (BEIR V 1990). Hodgkin's disease has not been associated with radiation exposure (BEIR V 1990). However, the health effects of low levels of radiation, such as occurring in the Kerr-McGee areas, are less certain. Some areas of the world have natural radiation levels about two to six times average background levels. Health studies of people in those areas have not observed increased cancer rates (Ghiassi-nejad et al. 2002, Tao et al. 2000, Cheriyan et al. 1999, Nair et al. 1999, BEIR V 1990). One study of people in those areas found no increase in birth defects in newborns (Jaikrishan et al. 1999).

For exposure to possibly cause adverse health effects, exposure duration would need to increase considerably. That would require the construction of a home or other building on contaminated soils along the creek. Continual lifetime inhabitation of the area along Kress Creek with the highest concentrations of soil radionuclides could result in an estimated cancer risk about four to six times background (approximately 20 in 10,000); however, actual residential exposure probably would result in a smaller risk. Based on current conditions, exposure to radiation would not be expected to cause adverse health effects.

**Health Outcome Data**

Health studies of residents of the Kerr-McGee Residential Areas (including areas along Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River) were described in the PHA (IDPH 1994). Those studies did not show a link between the tailings and cancer in the community. However, given the relatively low level of exposure, no study of such a small population would be sensitive enough to detect the slightly increased incidence of cancer that exposure to the tailings could produce.

**Community Health Concerns**

The PHA (IDPH 1994) discussed community health concerns. Other than interest expressed about the methods and timing of the clean up, no new community health concerns have arisen.

**Child Health Considerations**

IDPH recognizes that children are especially sensitive to some contaminants. The radiation levels at the WTP and along the West Branch of the DuPage River were much less than levels associated with birth defects and reproductive effects. Studies have found that children exposed to x-rays in the uterus were more susceptible to leukemia and neurological cancers later in life (BEIR V 1990). Subsequent studies found lower risks, corresponding to the use of lower doses for medical x-rays. Similarly, studies of children irradiated for ringworm between 1948 and 1960 found increased rates of leukemia and thyroid cancer (Richardson et al. 2001). However, the
medically-irradiated people received much higher doses than people in the Kerr-McGee Residential Areas. Health risks from low-dose exposure to radiation are less clear.

Conclusions

Currently, Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River pose no apparent public health hazard because exposure is negligible, for both recreational contact of sediments and soil and the eating of fish by anglers. For exposure to present a public health hazard, exposure durations would need to increase considerably, such as the construction of a home or other building on contaminated soils along the creek. Considering that the contaminated soils will remain radioactive essentially forever, this possibility cannot be excluded unless the contaminated soils are removed. Therefore, IDPH concurs with the plan to remove contaminated soil and sediments with radiation levels greater than 7.2 picoCuries per gram (pCi/g) from Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River.

Some people may eat fish from Kress Creek. For an average recreational angler or upper 95% percentile recreational angler, the risk from consuming radionuclides in fish from the Kress Creek would be negligible.

Recommendations

IDPH recommends that:

- Kerr-McGee remediate the contamination along Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River with USEPA oversight. Cleanup efforts are scheduled to begin in 2006 and will continue through 2009.

- USEPA will ensure that the final cleanup plan for Kress Creek and the West Branch of the DuPage River will be protective of public health. The established cleanup level of 7.2 pCi/g should be protective of public health.

Preparers of Report
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Illinois Department of Public Health
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Table 1. Levels of radionuclides in fish from Kress Creek (USEPA 2005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radionuclide</th>
<th>Concentration Range in pCi/g</th>
<th>Frequency of Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radium-228</td>
<td>0.0054 - 0.13</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium-227</td>
<td>0.00011 - 0.024</td>
<td>11/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium-228</td>
<td>0.00044 - 0.027</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium-232</td>
<td>0.00033 - 0.0049</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium-234</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium-235</td>
<td>0.000034 – 0.0014</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium-238</td>
<td>0.00064 – 0.0065</td>
<td>5/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pCi/g = picoCuries per gram.
ATSDR Comparison Values Used in Screening Contaminants for Further Evaluation

**Environmental Media Evaluation Guides (EMEGs)** are developed for chemicals based on their toxicity, frequency of occurrence at National Priorities List (NPL) sites, and potential for human exposure. They are not action levels but are comparison values. They are developed without consideration for carcinogenic effects, chemical interactions, multiple route exposure, or exposure through other environmental media. They are very conservative concentration values designed to protect sensitive members of the population.

**Reference Dose Media Evaluation Guides (RMEGs)** are another type of comparison value. They are developed without consideration for carcinogenic effects, chemical interactions, multiple route exposure, or exposure through other environmental media. They are very conservative concentration values designed to protect sensitive members of the population.

**Cancer Risk Evaluation Guides (CREGs)** are estimated contaminant concentrations based on a probability of one excess cancer in a million persons exposed to a chemical over a lifetime.

**Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs)** have been established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) for public water supplies to reduce the chances of occurrence of adverse health effects from use of contaminated drinking water. These standards are well below levels for which health effects have been observed and take into account the financial feasibility of achieving specific contaminant levels. MCLs are limits that public water supplies must meet, and they are enforceable by USEPA.

**Lifetime Health Advisories (LTHAs)** USEPA has established LTHAs for drinking water. LTHAs are concentrations of specific chemicals in drinking water that are not expected to cause any adverse, noncarcinogenic health effects over a lifetime (70 years) of exposure. These are conservative values that incorporate a margin of safety.