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Background

The general population is most commonly exposed to mercury from eating fish containing methylmercury in their tissues. In 2009, the DES Environmental Health Program was contacted by a concerned citizen regarding mercury exposure from fish caught in Turee Pond located in Bow, New Hampshire. To address this concern, DES assembled and analyzed the available fish samples collected from Turee Pond during the summer of 2000 and 2001. This health consultation (HC) presents a water body-specific evaluation of fish tissue samples and the related potential public health implications for local residents who may consume these fish. This scientific evaluation employs health-based benchmarks developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Pathways of Exposure

Environmental contamination cannot affect a person’s health unless there is a “completed exposure pathway.” A completed exposure pathway exists when all of the following five elements are present: 1) a source of contamination; 2) transport through an environmental medium; 3) a point of exposure; 4) a route of human exposure; and 5) a receptor population. These five elements do not define exposure; rather they contribute to determining the probability of exposure (3).

The only completed exposure pathway in this HC is the ingestion of fish contaminated with mercury. All water bodies throughout the northeastern United States are subject to mercury pollution from local, regional, and distant sources of contamination. Mercury is transported through ambient air and deposited into water bodies including Turee Pond (transport). This mercury becomes incorporated into the aquatic food chain and is ingested by fish that may be caught and consumed by people who recreationally fish (point of exposure, route of human exposure & receptor population). This is the pathway by which mercury becomes a potential human health risk (3).

People who eat recreationally-caught fish may have been exposed and may be currently exposed to the mercury from those fish. The potential for future exposures also exists. This completed exposure pathway is illustrated below.

Pathway Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Environmental Transport And Media</th>
<th>Exposure Point</th>
<th>Exposure Route</th>
<th>Exposed Population</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food (Fish)</td>
<td>Air Emissions to Turee Pond to Fish</td>
<td>Dinner Table</td>
<td>Ingestion</td>
<td>Recreational Fishermen</td>
<td>Past</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Future</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fish Sampling

Turee Pond is located in the northern area of Bow, approximately 3 miles south-south-west of Concord, New Hampshire. A map showing the location of the Bow-Concord area is available in Appendix A (4).

In August 2000, DES worked in partnership with the New Hampshire Fish and Game Department (NH F&G) to collect fish samples from Turee Pond for subsequent mercury analysis. This initial fish collection effort sought to generally assess mercury levels in New Hampshire water bodies. NH F&G collected legal-sized fish mainly using electro-fishing techniques. Nine total fish samples were collected including species of eastern chain pickerel, largemouth bass, and yellow perch. All samples were subsequently analyzed by the New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Public Health Laboratory (5, 6).

A second fish collection effort was conducted in July 2001. This endeavor was part of a more focused collaboration between DES, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and NH F&G to study the impact of mercury emissions near the Merrimack Station Power Plant located in Bow, NH. This 2001 initiative was prompted by modeling projections presented in the Northeast States/Canadian Provinces Mercury Study as well as research conducted by the BioDiversity Research Institute documenting levels of mercury in Loon blood and eggs. This 2001 study collected eastern chain pickerel, largemouth bass, and yellow perch tissue samples. Five of the eleven total fish samples collected in 2001 were analyzed at the USFWS contract lab (Trace Elements Research Laboratory –TERL) located at Texas A&M University. The remaining six samples were analyzed by the DHHS Public Health Laboratory (5, 6).

The mercury concentrations in the thirteen yellow perch and largemouth bass samples collected during 2000-01 were evaluated by EHP. These species were chosen because they are commonly caught and consumed by recreational anglers. Eastern chain pickerel are edible, but require a careful, specialized filleting technique to remove their many small bones. As a result, they are normally not consumed and were therefore were eliminated from this analysis.

Fish-Specific Information

This section provides a brief overview of the fish species that were used for RBCL calculations.

The yellow perch (perca flavescens) is a game fish that prefers relatively shallow waters near shore. They travel in schools and remain active during the winter; hence they are a popular target for ice fisherman. Adult perch (4-10 inches) primarily eat immature insects, larger invertebrates such as crayfish, other small fish and fish eggs (7).

The largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) is a predator game fish species that lives in warm, shallow water habitats, among reeds, waterlilies, and other vegetation. Like smallmouth bass, the species is a good indicator of persistent pollutants. Young largemouth bass feed on microscopic animals, small crustaceans such as crayfish, insects, and smaller fishes such as minnows. Adult largemouth bass will eat any available fish (including their own), crayfish, frogs, salamanders, snakes, mice, turtles and birds (8).
Laboratory Sample Preparation and Analyses

The Conference of New England Governors and Eastern Canadian Premiers report *Fish Tissue Sampling and Analysis Practices in the New England States and Eastern Canadian Provincial* outlines the procedure for fish tissue preparation and analysis at the New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Public Health Laboratory. Upon submittal to the DHHS Public Health Laboratory, fish samples were identified by species, weighed and measured. Samples of skinless portions from the right side of each upper-back fillet were then digested in a Teflon vessel using nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide. Digested samples were then analyzed using a Perkin Elmer, FIMS Mercury Analyzer to measure the total mercury levels (wet weight). Fish tissue samples were analyzed in groups of ten. For each group, a method blank, a blank spike, and a sample spike were analyzed for Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) purposes (6).

Five fish samples were collected as part of the 2001 DES, USFWS, and NH F&G initiative studying mercury emission deposition southeast of the Merrimack Station Power Plant. Each sample was analyzed by Trace Elements Research Laboratory (TERL) located at Texas A&M University. Four samples were skinless fillets, while the fifth consisted of tissue remaining on the fish after the fillets were removed (referred to as "offal"). All samples were freeze dried, homogenized to a fine powder, and then digested with a mixture of nitric acid, sulfuric acid, potassium permanganate, and potassium persulfate. Digested samples were then analyzed for mercury by cold-vapor atomic absorption. Quality control samples included method blanks, certified reference materials as well as laboratory control, duplicate, and spiked samples (9).

Summary of Analytical Results

Table 1 summarizes the species, sample year, length, weight, and mercury level of the thirteen fish collected from Turee Pond including six largemouth bass and seven yellow perch. Analytical results indicated concentrations of total mercury ranging from 0.176 parts-per-million (ppm) found in a yellow perch fillet, to 0.884 ppm found in a largemouth bass fillet. Levels of mercury were generally higher in largemouth bass than in yellow perch, which most likely reflects both their larger size and the greater proportion of their diet that consists of smaller fish (5).
Table 1. Turee Pond fish tissue sampling results (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sample Year</th>
<th>Length (inches)</th>
<th>Weight (grams)</th>
<th>Total Mercury (*ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth bass</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.699(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth bass</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>0.862(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth bass</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>0.560(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth bass</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>0.884(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth bass</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>943</td>
<td>0.867(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth bass</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>0.797(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.287(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.659(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.646(^{(1)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.217(^{(2)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.176(^{(2)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.381(^{(2)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow perch</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>0.275(^{(2)})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) - parts per million (ppm)
(1) - Lab analysis by the NH DHHS Public Health Laboratory
(2) - Lab analysis by Trace Elements Research Laboratory (TERL) - Texas A&M University

**Health Risk Assessment Methods**

Mercury was found in the tissue of thirteen fish caught in Turee Pond. Fish plays a vital role in maintaining a healthy, well-balanced diet and is a valuable source of omega-3 fatty acids. People who eat mercury containing fish, are exposed to varying amounts of mercury (based on species, amount eaten, and the water body where the fish was caught). Using EPA methodology and protective estimates for methylmercury toxicity, DES has determined water body and species-specific eating guidelines whereby residents can safely enjoy the benefits of eating fish from Turee Pond, while protecting against the harmful effects of mercury exposure (5, 10).

The primary effect of methylmercury exposure in humans is neurotoxicity (affects the nerve cells within the brain and spinal cord). RBCLs are determined using an estimate of mercury intake from eating fish, as well as an estimate of mercury intake that may present an increased risk of adverse health effects (EPA Reference Dose or RfD). Several safety factors are incorporated into EPA RfDs to account for variability and uncertainty (1, 10, 11).

Species-specific RBCLs for three receptor groups were calculated using an estimate of mercury intake from fish consumption and an estimate of the level of mercury intake that may present an increased risk of adverse health effects. The 95\(^{th}\) percentile upper confidence limit (UCL) of the arithmetic mean mercury concentration is defined as a value that equals or exceeds the true mean 95\% of the time, and provides reasonable confidence that the true mean will not be underestimated. Calculation of UCLs was accomplished using the EPA statistical software program ProUCL version 4.0.04 (12).
Using UCL values for both largemouth bass and yellow perch, mercury-specific RBCLs for three receptor groups; women of child-bearing age, young children (ages 1-6), and other adults and children were then calculated according to EPA guidance (13). Parameters used for the RBCL calculations are described in the Technical Background for the 2008 Update to the New Hampshire Statewide Mercury Fish Consumption Advisory, and included an adult fish portion size of eight ounces and a child portion of three ounces (uncooked weight). These parameters are illustrated in the Appendix B calculations. For clarity, RBCLs were rounded to the closest whole meal per month (1).

The RBCLs presented in this health consultation allow for fish consumption at a rate that is expected to prevent the development of adverse health effects from exposure to mercury. If an individual chooses to eat fish in excess of the recommended RBCL, it is possible that they could consume enough mercury for adverse health effects to develop. It is not possible to predict how much mercury consumption in excess of the EPA RfD will result in the development of symptoms in any individual (1).

**Results**

Analysis of the Turee Pond fish tissue data revealed that yellow perch could safely be eaten at least one time per month by all receptor groups (Table 2) (5). Largemouth bass could also be safely eaten at least one time per month by women of childbearing age and older children and adults. **DES, however, recommends that young children (ages 1-6) not consume any largemouth bass from Turee Pond.** This recommendation is based on the analysis of six largemouth bass (14+ inches in length) with a 95% UCL mercury concentration of 0.882 ppm. According to the DES Technical Background for the 2008 Update to the New Hampshire Statewide Mercury Fish Consumption Advisory document, mercury concentrations in largemouth bass generally increase with length. This is because largemouth bass are a long-lived species and accumulate mercury over time from the prey they consume. For example, New Hampshire largemouth bass averaging 14-inches in length have a mean mercury level nearly twice that of fish in the 8-12 inch size range. Because DES does not have data to represent 8-12 inch largemouth bass from Turee Pond, DES is unable to definitively state whether smaller-sized largemouth bass from Turee Pond could be safely eaten by young children (1, 8).
Table 2. Average Length, Mercury Concentration and Recommended Risk-Based Consumption Limits (RBCLs) for Turee Pond Fish (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Body</th>
<th>Fish Species</th>
<th>Average Fish Length (inches)</th>
<th>95th Percentile UCL Mercury Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Human Receptor</th>
<th>RBCL (meals/month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turee Pond</td>
<td>Yellow Perch</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.497 (n=7)</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Young Children</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largemouth Bass</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.882 (n=6)</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Young Children</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Women” - Women of child-bearing age  
“Young Children” - Children 1 to 6 years of age  
“Other” – Older children and other adults  
NOTE: For clarity, the calculated “Risk-Based Consumption Limits” were rounded to the nearest whole meal per month

Limitations

This health consultation was conducted using a limited amount of largemouth bass fish tissue data. The 95th percentile UCL was, calculated using six samples instead of the minimum of eight recommended by the DES document *Technical Background for the 2008 Update to the New Hampshire statewide Mercury Fish Consumption Advisory*. The largemouth bass UCL value was higher than the calculated mean concentration (0.882 ppm vs. 0.778 ppm). Likewise, the limited number of samples for yellow perch may have lead to an overly conservative estimate. Use of the 95th% UCL value provides a protective estimate of fish tissue concentration for assessing fish consumption risk for recreational fishermen who eat the fish they catch (1, 5).

DES was unable to calculate RBCLs for some of the edible fish species available in Turee Pond (e.g., black crappie, sunfish and brown bullhead) but not represented in the data. Consequently, the risk associated with eating these species of fish remains unknown. Given these circumstances, DES suggests that anglers follow the protective recommendations outlined in the existing *New Hampshire Fish Consumption Guidelines 2009* available at: [http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/ard/documents/ard-ehp-25.pdf](http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/ard/documents/ard-ehp-25.pdf) (2).

The data used for this health consultation were collected a number of years ago (2000-01) (5). It is unknown whether mercury levels in Turee Pond fish have fluctuated over time, or have remained relatively static. This older data, nevertheless, represent a foundation whereby additional fish tissue samples could be added in the future. Additional samples would enable DES to more accurately ascertain risks associated with eating fish from Turee Pond, and could also facilitate analysis of size thresholds for consumption (e.g., smaller-sized largemouth bass).
Public Health Implications

Fish are an excellent source of protein, minerals, and vitamins, and plays an important role in maintaining a healthy, well-balanced diet. Fish are also a valuable source of omega-3 fatty acids, which are essential for proper fetal development (14). Conversely, the general population is most commonly exposed to mercury from eating fish tissue containing methylmercury (10).

After inorganic mercury compounds are released into the environment and deposited in water and sediment (washed out of the air by precipitation & discharged to the water), microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi convert inorganic mercury to methylmercury. In aquatic environments, methylmercury subsequently accumulates in fish to levels that are many times greater than levels found in the surrounding water (10).

The primary effect of methylmercury exposure in humans is neurotoxicity (affects the nerve cells within the brain and spinal cord). Methylmercury can cause adverse effects in young children because it can interfere with critical processes in the developing brain. Methylmercury can accumulate in an unborn baby's blood, at concentrations higher than in the mother, and can be passed from a mother's breast milk to a nursing infant. Over time, the bodies of children and adults can also build-up harmful levels of methylmercury (10).

DES accounted for these sensitive populations (prospective mothers and young children) when calculating risk-based consumption limits (RBCLs). Specifically, it is considered to be protective to use the EPA RfD, or amount that is thought to be without risk of adverse health effects for risk based calculations. EPA derived its RfD from epidemiological studies of: 1) mother-infant pairs from fish-eating populations; and 2) children of mothers with higher mercury levels matched with children whose mothers had lower mercury levels. This focus was deemed as the appropriate strategy for protecting public health (11).

Conclusions

DES reached two important conclusions about eating fish caught from Turee Pond:

1. The RBCLs presented in Table 2 support that residents who eat recreationally-caught fish from Turee Pond should observe the statewide fish consumption advisory. Although site specific RBCLs were calculated in this analysis, due to the limited dataset, people consuming fish from these waters should continue to follow the recommendations in the statewide fish consumption advisory. If fish are eaten within the recommended limits, harmful health effects from exposure to mercury are not expected. If an individual chooses to eat fish in excess of the recommended RBCL, it is possible that they could consume enough mercury for adverse health effects to develop.
2. DES concludes that eating **largemouth bass caught in Turee Pond** could harm the health of young children (ages 1-6). This is a public health hazard. This DES public health conclusion is based on fish tissue data from largemouth bass in excess of 14-inches in length. The statewide mercury fish consumption advisory restricts consumption of bass to fish less than 12 inches in length. Data from other New Hampshire locations show that mercury levels are typically lower in smaller-sized largemouth bass (8-10 inches in length). Therefore, it is possible that smaller largemouth bass caught in Turee Pond may be safe for limited consumption. In the absence of additional fish tissue data, however, DES is unable to make this determination.

**Recommendations**

1. Residents can minimize their exposure while obtaining the health benefits associated with fish consumption by reducing serving sizes, the frequency of fish consumption, eating smaller-sized fish, and substituting lower mercury-containing species (e.g., panfish).

2. Tentative arrangements are underway to have local volunteer Fishers collect, for DES analysis, a minimum of eight sufficiently-sized fish tissue samples from each edible species in Turee Pond. Such data will facilitate a more up-to-date, statistically-sound, and comprehensive review of the mercury levels in Turee Pond fish. As part of this effort, DES also recommends that the largemouth bass tissue samples be collected from smaller-sized fish (< 12-inches) in order to ascertain whether they may be consumed on a limited basis.

**Public Health Action Plan**

The purpose of the Public Health Action Plan is to ensure that the current document not only identifies exposure potentials and possible health risks, but also provides a plan of action to mitigate and prevent adverse human health effects resulting from exposures to mercury in fish tissue. The first section of the Public Health Action Plan contains a description of completed and ongoing actions taken to collect fish tissue samples for subsequent mercury analysis. The second section presents a list of public health actions planned for the future.

**Actions Completed**

1. In 2000 and 2001, DES, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and NH Fish & Game Department worked collaboratively to collect fish samples from Turee Pond for subsequent mercury analysis.

**Actions Planned**

1. DES will continue to provide health outreach and education to the community as needed. This includes disseminating this health consultation and the existing DES fish consumption advisory to the local community members and elected officials.
2. DES will review additional fish tissue data as it becomes available and provide
documents, including follow-up health consultations, if appropriate.
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Appendix A

Vicinity of Turce Pond
Bow, New Hampshire
APPENDIX B - Sample RBCL Calculations

Yellow Perch Example:

Other Adults & Older Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity Reference Dose</td>
<td>RfD</td>
<td>3.00E-04</td>
<td>mg/kg-day</td>
<td>USEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight</td>
<td>BW</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>USEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion Size</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>(8 oz) - professional judgement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration in Fish</td>
<td>C_fish</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>95% UCL USEPA ProUCL output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion Factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>days/month</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum Allowable Consumption R

\[
CR_{\text{limit}} = \frac{\text{RfD} \times \text{BW}}{\text{C}_\text{fish}}
\]

# Meals/month (UCL)

\[
= CR_{\text{limit}} \times \frac{\text{days/month}}{\text{PS}}
\]

Largemouth Bass Example:

Women of Childbearing Age & Young Children (ages 1-6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity Reference Dose</td>
<td>RfD</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>mg/kg-day</td>
<td>USEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight</td>
<td>BW</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>61 woman &amp; 16.6 Child (1-6 yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion Size</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>8 oz (0.227kg) woman &amp; 3 oz (0.111kg) child of 1-6 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration in Fish</td>
<td>C_fish</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>mg/kg</td>
<td>95% UCL USEPA ProUCL output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion Factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>days/month</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Maximum Allowable Consumption R

\[
CR_{\text{limit}} = \frac{\text{RfD} \times \text{BW}}{\text{C}_\text{fish}}
\]

# Meals/month (UCL)

\[
= CR_{\text{limit}} \times \frac{\text{days/month}}{\text{PS}}
\]

* RfD is based on three epidemiological studies of mother-infant pairs (sensitive groups).