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Director Westmeier,

Preface
Early this year and at your request, I provided a memorandum (see Attachment A) regarding the health implications of wood smoke to sensitive individuals. At the request of Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (ATSDR) for the purpose of meeting required formatting and documentation, I have re-formatted my original memorandum into a letter health consultation document. This letter health consultation confirms the findings of the January 22, 2009 memorandum and states that this issue has been addressed.

Question
What are the health implications of ongoing wood smoke exposure for medically identified sensitive individuals?

Background
This letter health consultation describes health concerns from wood smoke generation at a residential neighborhood in Jackson County, Michigan in relation to individuals who have medically documented conditions that place their health at risk from continued exposure to wood smoke. MDCH-Division of Environmental Health (MDCH-DEH) conducted an investigation into a smoke and odor complaint at this same location in March of 2008 regarding a different wood burning device (MDCH Memo dated April 1, 2008, Re: Outdoor Wood Boiler Jackson County 2008 – PM$_{2.5}$ Data Collection). The findings of this memorandum are based on a conversation with Michael D. Maillard (Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Air Quality Division, District Engineer) who conducted a site visit regarding this current wood smoke source, the neighbors medical reports, visual documentation of the stack and smoke, and government or peer-reviewed science literature.

Discussion

DEQ Findings
Michael D. Maillard, DEQ Engineer, visited the residential area under question and observed the smoke stack placement in relation to the surroundings (topography, trees, buildings, wind direction). The smoke stack is on the west side of the owner’s house and
approximately 150 feet west of the medically sensitive neighbor. The prevailing wind is
out of the west. The smoke stack exit is some five feet below the peak roof line of the
second floor of the owner’s home. A rain cap prevents smoke emissions from dispersing
properly, with the second floor roof line causing down draft of emissions and resultant
ground level impact at the neighbor’s residence during prevailing winds. The wood
source for the heating unit is not protected from rain or snow (see attached photo, Figure
1); resulting in increased emissions when the fuel used is wet. Mr. Maillard provided
suggestions that should reduce some smoke generation and improve dispersion of smoke
at a higher elevation. He recommended the owner keep the wood source dry and
protected from weather, add 6-7 feet of additional stack height, and remove the rain cap
and replace it with a rain sleeve. Mr. Maillard states that these suggestions provide no
guarantee that problems would not remain due to the location of the trees, topography of
the region and the proximity of the medically sensitive neighbors.

Mr. Maillard spoke with the Henrietta Township Building Inspector that issued a permit
for the already installed heating unit. The inspector relayed to Mr. Maillard that the
heating unit met the local permitting requirements, as to fire safety and that he thought
the unit was not new, however, he believes it was certified because it had a “tag”. The
inspector was not able to give Mr. Maillard any details on model or year of the unit.

Overview of Neighbors’ Medical Conditions
The neighbors with documented medical sensitivity to wood smoke have been under
medical evaluation for respiratory and/or cardiovascular conditions. The neighbors are
non-smokers and report regular exercise. Medical results from the male complainant's
pulmonologist and cardiologist state findings of chronic lung disease and coronary artery
disease with severe calcification in two major coronary arteries. The spouse's
pulmonologist diagnosed a severe cough likely due to chronic bronchitis and sinusitis
brought on by smoke exposure, and possible asthmatic bronchitis secondary to smoke
inhalation. During a particularly severe smoke event caused by a smoke source from the
property in question, one of the smoke-sensitive neighbors was taken to the hospital due
to a severe restriction in breathing. The spirometry report stated a finding of "very severe
obstruction". The medical spirometry report stated that the patient’s lung function, as
measured by FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75, and PEF, were 9-66 percent (%) of normal lung
function for a person of that age, height, ethnicity, and gender.

On December 19, 2008, [name redacted], M.D., F.C.C.P, cardiopulmonary specialist,
advised in writing that these individuals "avoid all smoke exposure", based on their
health conditions. The statement further elaborated the severity by stressing that "his"
lung condition is “absolutely exacerbated by exposure to smoke” and “ongoing exposure
could cause permanent and progressive damage to his lungs, which could cause him
significant disability”. On December 22, 2008, [name redacted], D.O., F.A.C.O.I.,
F.A.C.C., F.S.C.A.I., wrote that "Continued exposure from this source could of course
cause progression of the disease, serious health complications and eventually contribute
to his demise. As a cardiologist, I would strongly advise the patient to be removed from
this dangerous source and or other source of smoke caused by burning."
Exposure Pathway

Based on the proximity, prevailing wind direction, observations of the DEQ engineer, and video documentation provided by the neighbor, MDCH concludes that a completed exposure pathway exists from the new smoke stack and the medically sensitive neighbor. Mr. Ted Westmeier (RS, MPH, Director, Health Office) from the Jackson County Health Department described the wood burning unit in question as a heat source for the house and that it presumably would operate 24 hours a day during the winter heating season. The neighbor describes the wood smoke emissions as continuous, which is in agreement with Mr. Westmeier’s understanding of the wood burning unit. It is not known if the wood burning unit in question has technology that would allow for more complete combustion of the wood smoke and thus reduce emissions by some amount.

Air concentrations may be expected to be elevated well over background levels, depending on the burning practices (US EPA 1993). As part of a previous wood smoke investigation at this location, ambient PM$_{2.5}$ data was collected from the complainant’s property while the neighbor operated a wood smoke source (ATSDR 2009). Findings from that investigation demonstrated that PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were significantly higher when mild smoke odors were sensed but the air on the complainant’s property was clear. Ground level smoke was observed on the neighbor’s property when the mild odors were sensed. PM$_{2.5}$ levels would be expected to increase within plumes of ground level wood smoke. Johnson (2006) conducted a controlled experiment with a wood burning source and found that placing the PM$_{2.5}$ meter downwind (150 feet) of the wood smoke source resulted in PM$_{2.5}$ measurement that averaged 130 µg/m$^3$ and exceed 810 µg/m$^3$ over a 50 minute period. Residential wood burning has been shown to impact local air quality (Hellén et al. 2008).

Toxicology Overview of Wood Smoke and Associated Fine Particles

Description of Wood Smoke - Cordwood (i.e., split short chunks of wood) heaters burn wood in an atmosphere of low oxygen and generate incomplete combustion products such as carbon monoxide and numerous organic chemicals. If these vapors are not immediately oxidized, they cool as they vent to the outside and forms fine particulate matter (PM) that is rich in high molecular weight organic chemicals (US EPA 1993). This fine PM has a size range measured in micrometers (µm). PM less than 10 µm (PM$_{10}$) and PM less than 2.5 µm (PM$_{2.5}$) are common size ranges of PM found in wood smoke (Park and Lee 2003, Hellén et al. 2008, Johnson 2006, NESCAUM 2006, Gullett et al. 2004). The smaller the PM the further into the lungs these particles can reach. Thus PM$_{2.5}$ contains the size range that can go furthest into the lungs with the smallest of these particles (less than 0.1 µm) having been shown to pass through the lungs into a person’s blood stream (Nemmers et al. 2002).

The gas and particles of wood smoke contain numerous types of organic chemicals (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phenols, aldehydes, alkenes, alkanes, and aromatics) (US EPA 1993, Gullett et al. 2004). This includes several chemicals that can increase a person’s risk of cancer including benzo(a)pyrene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, indeno(1,2,3 cd)pyrene, benzo(k)fluoranthene,
benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzene, and formaldehyde (Brown et al. 2007, Hellén et al. 2008, NESCAUM 2008). Additionally, trace elements can be released during the combustion of wood or other combustible material (US EPA 1993). Amount and types of chemicals in any particular wood smoke will depend on the characteristics of the materials being burned, system used to conduct the combustion, and how the system is operated (Hellén et al. 2008, US EPA 1996, NESCAUM 2008). In residential setting, wood burning can be the dominant source of these chemicals to the atmosphere (e.g. benzene in the air was 70% from wood burning) (Hellén et al. 2008).

**Mortality** - Daily PM concentrations in ambient air have been associated with death (Ostro et al. 2006, Schwartz 2000, Dockery et al. 1993). Daily deaths increased by 0.67% for a 10 µg/m³ increase in PM₁₀ (Schwartz 2000). This result was based on a study comparing daily deaths in 10 US cities and the daily PM₁₀ air concentrations (Mean PM₁₀ concentrations ranged from 27-41 µg/m³). Dockery et al. (1993) found increased mortality rates due to cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer in cities with higher concentrations of PM. Ostro et al. (2006) reports that short-term (1 day) increases in PM₂.₅ results in cardiovascular and respiratory mortality, with respiratory mortality having a stronger association to PM₂.₅. Kan et al. (2008) reported an increase of 10 µg/m³ in PM₁₀ concentrations, calculated as two-day averages, was associated with increases in all-cause mortality of 0.25% (CI: 0.14-0.37).

Long-term exposure to PM₂.₅ (mean and standard deviation = 17.7 ± 3.7µg/m³) significantly increases the relative risk of dying from cardiopulmonary disease (8 percent per 10 µg/m³ increase in average PM₂.₅) and lung cancer (12 percent per 10 µg/m³ increase in average PM₂.₅) in a study of 319,000 people from 51 US metropolitan areas between 1979-2000 (Pope et al. 2002). Exposure to PM₂.₅ for people that smoke increases their risk in at least an additive manner and may be greater than additive, making smokers at some of the highest increased risk of cardiovascular disease mortality (Pope et al. 2004). For adults 65 years and older, Mar et al (2000) documented increased risk of cardiovascular mortality relative to several indicators of fine PM concentration including PM₂.₅.

**Respiratory Effects** - Wood smoke has been found to impair the human respiratory system. Wood smoke is shown to be a risk factor for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Ocozco-Levi et al. 2006). A study of nine children with mild asthma (not using corticosteroids) exposed to wood smoke reported associations between measures of airway inflammation and decreased lung function with measures of increased wood smoke exposure (Allen et al. 2008). Dennis et al. (1996) reported wood smoke to increase the risk by four times (odd ratio 3.9; range of 1.7-9.1) for women contracting obstructed airway disease (OAD). These women were chronically exposed to wood smoke during childhood and the common risk factors for OAD such as cigarette smoking, were not prevalent in these individuals. Sandoval et al. (1993) suggests that the chronic breathing of wood smoke may result in a more severe level of chronic high blood pressure (pulmonary arterial hypertension) than people suffering from smoking-related COPD. They further found that people with pulmonary arterial hypertension and a history
of wood smoke exposure were less able to move oxygen into their blood than people with smoking-related COPD.

Short-term exposures (one hour to one day) to increases in PM, including PM$_{2.5}$, has been found to result in significant changes in measurable indicators of airway inflammation (Adamkiewicz et al. 2003, Koenig et al. 2003, Koenig et al. 2005, Jansen et al. 2005, Delfino et al. 2006) and lung obstruction (Delfino et al. 2004, Trenga et al. 2006, Delfino et al. 2008), which are commonly used to diagnose asthma. Increased obstruction and airway inflammation can cause more sensitive individuals (elderly or preexisting respiratory condition) to be admitted to the hospital for treatment (Dominici et al. 2006). Host et al. (2007) reported increased risk (6.2% per 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5-10}$) of respiratory disease for children under 14 years and increased risk of respiratory infections (2.5% per 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$, 4.4% per 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5-10}$) for all ages.

**Cardiovascular Effects** - Pope et al. (2006) reported that same day ambient air PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are associated with acute ischemic heart disease (IHD) for people with pre-existing factor for heart disease. IHD is heart disease that is due to blocked or partially blocked arteries. They found a 3.2-4.8 percent increase in ischemic cardiovascular events with every 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ on the same day as the cardiac event (primarily myocardial infarction or unstable angina). Pope et al. (2006) conclude that people with diseased coronary arteries were the individuals at greatest acute risk from elevated PM$_{2.5}$ exposures, compared to people with relatively healthy arteries. Host et al. (2007) studied the number of cardiovascular, cardiac, and ischemic heart disease admission in hospitals of five major French cities relative to PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{2.5-10}$ ambient air concentrations. Similar to Pope et al., Host et al. reported a 4.5, 2.4, and 1.9 percent increase in excess relative risk of IHD, cardiac diseases, and cardiovascular diseases, respectively, with each 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ among people 65 years and older. Across all ages, 0.9 percent increase in relative risk of cardiovascular diseases with each 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$. D’Ippoliti et al. (2003) observed similar findings in a study of 6,531 individuals hospitalized for a first episode of acute myocardial infarction. D’Ippoliti et al. used measures of total suspended solids (TSP), nitrogen dioxide, and carbon monoxide as surrogates for the amount of fine PM exposure. They found that daily hospital admissions for myocardial infarction events were most strongly correlated with TSP measures on the day of hospital admission or on the immediately previous day. Although TSP is a crude measure for fine PM, they found an association of a 2.8 percent increase in hospital admissions with a 10 µg/m$^3$ increase in TSP. Peters et al. (2001) used PM$_{2.5}$ measures and found significant increased risk of myocardial infarction with increased exposure (OR: 1.48; 95%CI: 1.09-2.02; for an increase of 25 µg/m$^3$ during a 2-hour period prior onset). In a study of 22,000 survivors of a myocardial infarction across five European cities, von Klot et al. (2005) found that cardiac re-admissions to the hospital were significantly higher on days with elevated PM$_{10}$ (rate ratio=1.021; 95% confidence interval=1.004-1.039). Zanobetti and Schwartz (2005) found similar significant correlations between increased same day PM$_{10}$ concentrations and increased hospital admissions for myocardial infarction among US citizens 65 years and older covered by Medicare. Sullivan et al. (2005) reported non-significant higher risk for
people with preexisting heart disease that experience increases in PM (odds ratio: 1.05, 95% confidence interval = 0.95-1.16).

Mechanisms attempting to explain how PM exposures cause cardiovascular damage have been proposed. PM exposures can cause both an inflammation response and an increase in oxidative stress at a cellular level either in the lungs or at specific tissues within the body. This response may be due to either chemicals associated with PM or small particles causing activation of cellular immune responses (Brook 2007). The inflammation response causes a release of proteins throughout the body that can alter the normal function of the inner lining of blood vessels (i.e., endothelial cells) (Rajagopalan et al. 2005). Change in blood vessel function may alter the stability or build-up of plaques in arteries increasing the chance of free-moving plaques (thrombosis) and blockage of arteries (O’Neill et al. 2005, Brook 2007, Rajagopalan et al. 2005, Pope et al. 2004).

PM may alter the function of the nervous system that controls the heart (i.e., autonomic nervous system (ANS)). This altered ANS function may be caused by the inflammation response described above or by the particles causing direct irritation to nerves in the lungs that may results in a nerve reflex altering ANS function (Brook 2007, Pope et al. 2004). Abnormal electrocardiograms (ECG) have been observed in relation to increased concentrations of PM. Gold et al. (2000) studied 21 adults between the ages of 53 and 87 years living in Boston, MA and found that a 24-hr average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (mean=15.5 µg/m$^3$; range 2.3-45.1µg/m$^3$) was correlated with a significant decrease in heart rate and the 4-hr average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (mean=14.7 µg/m$^3$; range 0 – 44.9 µg/m$^3$) was correlated with a significant decrease in heart rate variability. Reduced heart rate variability is a predictor of increased risk of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity. Magari et al. (2001) in a study of 40 workers (19-59 years old) found significantly reduced heart rate variability in relation to short-term increased exposure to PM$_{2.5}$.

Pekkanen et al. (2002) conducted an observational study of a sensitive population of 45 adults (over 60 years old, current nonsmokers, diagnosed with stable coronary heart disease) living in Helsinki, Finland (PM$_{2.5}$ range 8.1-39.8 µg/m$^3$) and found decreased ST-segment depression on ECG during periods of exercise two days after participants experience elevated PM$_{2.5}$ exposures (Odds Ratio (OR): 4.56; 95%CI: 1.73-12.03). ST-segment depression during exercise indicates an increased probability of myocardial ischemia (ACC/AHA guidelines as reported by Pekkanen et al. 2002). Chuang et al. (2008) found similar results in 48 Boston, MA residents (43-75 years old) where the combination of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon exposure two days before the ECG correlated with a significant risk of ST-segment depression.

**Conclusion**

The medically documented health conditions of the neighbor, medical recommendations to avoid wood smoke, the new frequent daily smoke source, and in consideration of the robust science literature on the toxicity of wood smoke and associated small particles, MDCH-Division of Environmental Health concludes that breathing particulate matter from wood smoke on a daily basis over a heating season could harm the health of medically sensitive neighbors at [address redacted]. The attached memorandum was issued when this wood smoke source was ongoing. The local health department...
facilitated changes in the operation of the wood burning unit that was demonstrated to limit the occurrence of the ground level wood smoke. MDCH concludes that as long as the wood burning unit is operated in a manner that does not result in ground level wood smoke or significantly elevated particulate matter, then the breathing of wood smoke in this neighborhood will be minimal and not expected to harm people’s health.

**Recommendations**
With the technical support of MDCH-DEH, local public health facilitated the necessary steps within the public health code to address the wood smoke exposures associated with the identified medically sensitive individuals.

**Public Health Action Plan**
MDCH-DEH provided technical support upon request to local public health in their efforts to address the described wood smoke exposure.
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Figure 1. Recent (1/19/2009) photo of wood pile used to fire the wood burning device in question.
Attachment A. Original Memorandum Issued January 22, 2009
MEMORANDUM
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48913

To: David Wade, PhD, Director, Division of Environmental Public Health
    Linda Dykema, PhD, Manager, Toxicology and Response Section

From: Kory Groetsch, Toxicologist, Toxicology and Response Section

Date: January 22, 2009

Re: Health Concerns Regarding Wood Smoke and Sensitive Individuals.

Background

This memorandum describes health concerns from wood smoke generation at a residential neighborhood in Jackson County, Michigan in relation to individuals who have medically documented conditions that place their health at risk from continued exposure to wood smoke. MDCH-Division of Environmental Health conducted an investigation into a smoke and odor complaint at this same location in March of 2008 regarding a different wood burning device (MDCH Memo dated April 1, 2008, Re: Outdoor Wood Boiler Jackson County 2008 – PM$_{2.5}$ Data Collection). The findings of this memorandum are based on a conversation with Michael D. Maillard (Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Air Quality Division, District Engineer) who conducted a site visit regarding this current wood smoke source, the neighbors medical reports, visual documentation of the stack and smoke, and government or peer-reviewed science literature.

DEQ Findings

Michael D. Maillard, DEQ Engineer, visited the residential area under question and observed the smoke stack placement in relation to the surroundings (topography, trees, buildings, wind direction). The smoke stack is on the west side of the owner’s house and approximately 150 feet west of the medically sensitive neighbor. The prevailing wind is out of the west. The smoke stack exit is some five feet below the peak roof line of the second floor of the owner’s home. A rain cap prevents smoke emissions from dispersing properly, with the second floor roof line causing down draft of emissions and resultant ground level impact at the neighbor's residence during prevailing winds. The wood source for the heating unit is not protected from rain or snow (see attached photo, Figure 1); resulting in increased emissions when the fuel used is wet. Mr. Maillard provided suggestions that should reduce some smoke generation and improve dispersion of smoke at a higher elevation. He recommended the owner keep the wood source dry and protected from weather, add 6-7 feet of additional stack height, and remove the rain cap and replace it with a rain sleeve. Mr. Maillard states that these suggestions provide no
guarantee that problems would not remain due to the location of the trees, topography of the region and the proximity of the medically sensitive neighbors.

Mr. Maillard spoke with the Henrietta Township Building Inspector that issued a permit for the already installed heating unit. The inspector relayed to Mr. Maillard that the heating unit met the local permitting requirements, as to fire safety and that he thought the unit was not new, however, he believes it was certified because it had a “tag”. The inspector was not able to give Mr. Maillard any details on model or year of the unit.

**Overview of Neighbors’ Medical Conditions**

The neighbors with documented medical sensitivity to wood smoke have been under medical evaluation for respiratory and/or cardiovascular conditions. The neighbors are non-smokers and report regular exercise. Medical results from the male complainant's pulmonologist and cardiologist state findings of chronic lung disease and coronary artery disease with severe calcification in two major coronary arteries. The spouse's pulmonologist diagnosed a severe cough likely due to chronic bronchitis and sinusitis brought on by smoke exposure, and possible asthmatic bronchitis secondary to smoke inhalation. During a particularly severe smoke event caused by a smoke source from the property in question, one of the smoke-sensitive neighbors was taken to the hospital due to a severe restriction in breathing. The spirometry report stated a finding of "very severe obstruction". The medical spirometry report stated that the patient’s lung function, as measured by FVC, FEV1, FEF25-75, and PEF, were 9-66 percent (%) of normal lung function for a person of that age, height, ethnicity, and gender.

On December 19, 2008, Robert D. Ablertson, M.D., F.C.C.P, cardiopulmonary specialist, advised in writing that these individuals "avoid all smoke exposure", based on their health conditions. The statement further elaborated the severity by stressing that "his" lung condition is “absolutely exacerbated by exposure to smoke” and “ongoing exposure could cause permanent and progressive damage to his lungs, which could cause him significant disability”. On December 22, 2008, Mark A Rasak, D.O., F.A.C.O.I., F.A.C.C., F.S.C.A.I., wrote that "Continued exposure from this source could of course cause progression of the disease, serious health complications and eventually contribute to his demise. As a cardiologist, I would strongly advise the patient to be removed from this dangerous source and or other source of smoke caused by burning."

**Exposure Pathway**

Based on the proximity, prevailing wind direction, observations of the DEQ engineer, and video documentation provided by the neighbor, MDCH concludes that a completed exposure pathway exists from the new smoke stack and the medically sensitive neighbor. Mr. Ted Westmeier (RS, MPH, Director, Health Office) from the Jackson County Health Department described the wood burning unit in question as a heat source for the house and that it presumably would operate 24 hours a day during the winter heating season. The neighbor describes the wood smoke emissions as continuous, which is in agreement with Mr. Westmeier’s understanding of the wood burning unit. It is not known if the
wood burning unit in question has technology that would allow for more complete combustion of the wood smoke and thus reduce emissions by some amount.

**Toxicology Overview of Wood Smoke and Associated Fine Particles**

**Description of Wood Smoke**

Cordwood (i.e., split short chunks of wood) heaters burn wood in an atmosphere of low oxygen and generate incomplete combustion products such as carbon monoxide and numerous organic chemicals. If these vapors are not immediately oxidized, they cool as they vent to the outside and forms fine particulate matter (PM) that is rich in high molecular weight organic chemicals (US EPA 1993). This fine PM has a size range measured in micrometers (µm). PM less than 10 µm (PM\textsubscript{10}) and PM less than 2.5 µm (PM\textsubscript{2.5}) are common size ranges of PM found in wood smoke (Park and Lee 2003, Hellén et al. 2008, Johnson 2006, NESCAUM 2006, Gullett et al. 2004). The smaller the PM the further into the lungs these particles can reach. Thus PM\textsubscript{2.5} contains the size range that can go furthest into the lungs with the smallest of these particles (less than 0.1 µm) having been shown to pass through the lungs into a person’s blood stream (Nemmers et al. 2002).

The gas and particles of wood smoke contain numerous types of organic chemicals (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), phenols, aldehydes, alkenes, alkanes, and aromatics) (US EPA 1993, Gullett et al. 2004). This includes several chemicals that can increase a person’s risk of cancer including benzo(a)pyrene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, indeno(1,2,3 cd)pyrene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzene, and formaldehyde (Brown et al. 2007, Hellén et al. 2008, NESCAUM 2008). Additionally, trace elements can be released during the combustion of wood or other combustible material (US EPA 1993). Amount and types of chemicals in any particular wood smoke will depend on the characteristics of the materials being burned, system used to conduct the combustion, and how the system is operated (Hellén et al. 2008, US EPA 1996, NESCAUM 2008). In residential setting, wood burning can be the dominant source of these chemicals to the atmosphere (e.g. benzene in the air was 70% from wood burning) (Hellén et al. 2008).

**Mortality**

Daily PM concentrations in ambient air have been associated with death (Ostro et al. 2006, Schwartz 2000, Dockery et al. 1993). Daily deaths increased by 0.67% for a 10 µg/m\textsuperscript{3} increase in PM\textsubscript{10} (Schwartz 2000). This result was based on a study comparing daily deaths in 10 US cities and the daily PM\textsubscript{10} air concentrations (Mean PM\textsubscript{10} concentrations ranged from 27-41 µg/m\textsuperscript{3}). Dockery et al. (1993) found increased mortality rates due to cardiopulmonary disease and lung cancer in cities with higher concentrations of PM. Ostro et al. (2006) reports that short-term (1 day) increases in PM\textsubscript{2.5} results in cardiovascular and respiratory mortality, with respiratory mortality having a stronger association to PM\textsubscript{2.5}. Kan et al. (2008) reported an increase of 10 µg/m\textsuperscript{3} in PM\textsubscript{10} concentrations, calculated as two-day averages, was associated with increases in all-cause mortality of 0.25% (CI: 0.14-0.37).
Long-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ (mean and standard deviation $= 17.7 \pm 3.7 \mu g/m^3$) significantly increases the relative risk of dying from cardiopulmonary disease (8 percent per 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in average PM$_{2.5}$) and lung cancer (12 percent per 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in average PM$_{2.5}$) in a study of 319,000 people from 51 US metropolitan areas between 1979-2000 (Pope et al. 2002). Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ for people that smoke increases their risk in at least an additive manner and may be greater than additive, making smokers at some of the highest increased risk of cardiovascular disease mortality (Pope et al. 2004). For adults 65 years and older, Mar et al (2000) documented increased risk of cardiovascular mortality relative to several indicators of fine PM concentration including PM$_{2.5}$.

Respiratory Effects

Wood smoke has been found to impair the human respiratory system. Wood smoke is shown to be a risk factor for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Ocozco-Levi et al. 2006). A study of nine children with mild asthma (not using corticosteroids) exposed to wood smoke reported associations between measures of airway inflammation and decreased lung function with measures of increased wood smoke exposure (Allen et al. 2008). Dennis et al. (1996) reported wood smoke to increase the risk by four times (odd ratio 3.9; range of 1.7-9.1) for women contracting obstructed airway disease (OAD). These women were chronically exposed to wood smoke during childhood and the common risk factors for OAD such as cigarette smoking, were not prevalent in these individuals. Sandoval et al. (1993) suggests that the chronic breathing of wood smoke may result in a more severe level of chronic high blood pressure (pulmonary arterial hypertension) than people suffering from smoking-related COPD. They further found that people with pulmonary arterial hypertension and a history of wood smoke exposure were less able to move oxygen into their blood than people with smoking-related COPD.

Short-term exposures (one hour to one day) to increases in PM, including PM$_{2.5}$, has been found to result in significant changes in measurable indicators of airway inflammation (Adamkiewicz et al. 2003, Koenig et al. 2003, Koenig et al. 2005, Jansen et al. 2005, Delfino et al. 2006) and lung obstruction (Delfino et al. 2004, Trenga et al. 2006, Delfino et al. 2008), which are commonly used to diagnose asthma. Increased obstruction and airway inflammation can cause more sensitive individuals (elderly or preexisting respiratory condition) to be admitted to the hospital for treatment (Dominici et al. 2006). Host et al. (2007) reported increased risk (6.2% per 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5-10}$) of respiratory disease for children under 14 years and increased risk of respiratory infections (2.5% per 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$, 4.4% per 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5-10}$) for all ages.

Cardiovascular Effects

Pope et al. (2006) reported that same day ambient air PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are associated with acute ischemic heart disease (IHD) for people with pre-existing factor for heart disease. IHD is heart disease that is due to blocked or partially blocked arteries. They
found a 3.2-4.8 percent increase in ischemic cardiovascular events with every 10 µg/m³ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ on the same day as the cardiac event (primarily myocardial infarction or unstable angina). Pope et al. (2006) conclude that people with diseased coronary arteries were the individuals at greatest acute risk from elevated PM$_{2.5}$ exposures, compared to people with relatively healthy arteries. Host et al. (2007) studied the number of cardiovascular, cardiac, and ischemic heart disease admission in hospitals of five major French cities relative to PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{2.5-10}$ ambient air concentrations. Similar to Pope et al., Host et al. reported a 4.5, 2.4, and 1.9 percent increase in excess relative risk of IHD, cardiac diseases, and cardiovascular diseases, respectively, with each 10 µg/m³ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ among people 65 years and older. Across all ages, 0.9 percent increase in relative risk of cardiovascular diseases with each 10 µg/m³ increase in PM$_{2.5}$. D’Ippoliti et al. (2003) observed similar findings in a study of 6,531 individuals hospitalized for a first episode of acute myocardial infarction. D’Ippoliti et al. used measures of total suspended solids (TSP), nitrogen dioxide, and carbon monoxide as surrogates for the amount of fine PM exposure. They found that daily hospital admissions for myocardial infarction events were most strongly correlated with TSP measures on the day of hospital admission or on the immediately previous day. Although TSP is a crude measure for fine PM, they found an association of a 2.8 percent increase in hospital admissions with a 10 µg/m³ increase in TSP. Peters et al. (2001) used PM$_{2.5}$ measures and found significant increased risk of myocardial infarction with increased exposure (OR: 1.48; 95%CI: 1.09-2.02; for an increase of 25 µg/m³ during a 2-hour period prior onset). In a study of 22,000 survivors of a myocardial infarction across five European cities, von Klot et al. (2005) found that cardiac re-admissions to the hospital were significantly higher on days with elevated PM$_{10}$ (rate ratio=1.021; 95% confidence interval=1.004-1.039). Zanobetti and Schwartz (2005) found similar significant correlations between increased same day PM$_{10}$ concentrations and increased hospital admissions for myocardial infarction among US citizens 65 years and older covered by Medicare. Sullivan et al. (2005) reported non-significant higher risk for people with preexisting heart disease that experience increases in PM (odds ratio: 1.05, 95% confidence interval = 0.95-1.16).

Mechanisms attempting to explain how PM exposures cause cardiovascular damage have been proposed. PM exposures can cause both an inflammation response and an increase in oxidative stress at a cellular level either in the lungs or at specific tissues within the body. This response may be due to either chemicals associated with PM or small particles causing activation of cellular immune responses (Brook 2007). The inflammation response causes a release of proteins throughout the body that can alter the normal function of the inner lining of blood vessels (i.e., endothelial cells) (Rajagopalan et al. 2005). Change in blood vessel function may alter the stability or build-up of plaques in arteries increasing the chance of free-moving plaques (thrombosis) and blockage of arteries (O’Neill et al. 2005, Brook 2007, Rajagopalan et al. 2005, Pope et al. 2004).

PM may alter the function of the nervous system that controls the heart (i.e., autonomic nervous system (ANS)). This altered ANS function may be caused by the inflammation response described above or by the particles causing direct irritation to nerves in the lungs that may results in a nerve reflex altering ANS function (Brook 2007, Pope et al. 2004).
Abnormal electrocardiograms (ECG) have been observed in relation to increased concentrations of PM. Gold et al. (2000) studied 21 adults between the ages of 53 and 87 years living in Boston, MA and found that a 24-hr average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (mean=15.5 µg/m$^3$; range 2.3-45.1µg/m$^3$) was correlated with a significant decrease in heart rate and the 4-hr average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations (mean=14.7 µg/m$^3$; range 0 – 44.9 µg/m$^3$) was correlated with a significant decrease in heart rate variability. Reduced heart rate variability is a predictor of increased risk of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity. Magari et al. (2001) in a study of 40 workers (19-59 years old) found significantly reduced heart rate variability in relation to short-term increased exposure to PM$_{2.5}$. Pekkanen et al. (2002) conducted an observational study of a sensitive population of 45 adults (over 60 years old, current nonsmokers, diagnosed with stable coronary heart disease) living in Helsinki, Finland (PM$_{2.5}$ range 8.1-39.8 µg/m$^3$) and found decreased ST-segment depression on ECG during periods of exercise two days after participants experience elevated PM$_{2.5}$ exposures (Odds Ratio (OR): 4.56; 95%CI: 1.73-12.03). ST-segment depression during exercise indicates an increased probability of myocardial ischemia (ACC/AHA guidelines as reported by Pekkanen et al. 2002). Chuang et al. (2008) found similar results in 48 Boston, MA residents (43-75 years old) where the combination of PM$_{2.5}$ and black carbon exposure two days before the ECG correlated with a significant risk of ST-segment depression.

**Conclusion**

Given the medically documented health conditions of the neighbor, medical recommendations to avoid wood smoke, the new frequent daily smoke source, and in consideration of the robust science literature on the toxicity of wood smoke and associated small particles, MDCH-Division of Environmental Health concludes that a significant health risks exist for the medically sensitive neighbors at 10328 Hankerd Road, Pleasant Lake, Michigan.
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Figure 1. Recent (1/19/2009) photo of wood pile used to fire the wood burning device in question.