NITRATE AND NITRITE AND ALL THE RESERVE AND ALL THE RESERVE AND ALL THE RESERVE ALL THE RESERVE AND ALL THE RESERV

#### **APPENDIX A. ATSDR MINIMAL RISK LEVELS AND WORKSHEETS**

 profiles for each substance included on the priority list of hazardous substances; and assure the initiation The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) [42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.], as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) [Pub. L. 99– 499], requires that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) develop jointly with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in order of priority, a list of hazardous substances most commonly found at facilities on the CERCLA National Priorities List (NPL); prepare toxicological of a research program to fill identified data needs associated with the substances.

 toxicological profiles, Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) are derived when reliable and sufficient data exist to identify the target organ(s) of effect or the most sensitive health effect(s) for a specific duration for a given route of exposure. An MRL is an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified route and consideration of cancer effects. These substance-specific estimates, which are intended to serve as The toxicological profiles include an examination, summary, and interpretation of available toxicological information and epidemiologic evaluations of a hazardous substance. During the development of duration of exposure. MRLs are based on noncancer health effects only and are not based on a screening levels, are used by ATSDR health assessors to identify contaminants and potential health effects that may be of concern at hazardous waste sites. It is important to note that MRLs are not intended to define clean-up or action levels.

 approach. They are below levels that might cause adverse health effects in the people most sensitive to such chemical-induced effects. MRLs are derived for acute (1–14 days), intermediate (15–364 days), and chronic (365 days and longer) durations and for the oral and inhalation routes of exposure. Currently, suitable for this route of exposure. MRLs are generally based on the most sensitive substance-induced the liver or kidneys, or birth defects) are not used as a basis for establishing MRLs. Exposure to a level MRLs are derived for hazardous substances using the no-observed-adverse-effect level/uncertainty factor MRLs for the dermal route of exposure are not derived because ATSDR has not yet identified a method endpoint considered to be of relevance to humans. Serious health effects (such as irreparable damage to above the MRL does not mean that adverse health effects will occur.

 MRLs are intended only to serve as a screening tool to help public health professionals decide where to look more closely. They may also be viewed as a mechanism to identify those hazardous waste sites that

NITRATE AND NITRITE AND ASSESSMENT AND A LOCAL AND A LOCAL AND A LOCAL AND A LO

#### APPENDIX A

 are not expected to cause adverse health effects. Most MRLs contain a degree of uncertainty because of uses a conservative (i.e., protective) approach to address this uncertainty consistent with the public health principle of prevention. Although human data are preferred, MRLs often must be based on animal studies because relevant human studies are lacking. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, ATSDR assumes the lack of precise toxicological information on the people who might be most sensitive (e.g., infants, elderly, nutritionally or immunologically compromised) to the effects of hazardous substances. ATSDR that humans are more sensitive to the effects of hazardous substance than animals and that certain persons may be particularly sensitive. Thus, the resulting MRL may be as much as 100-fold below levels that have been shown to be nontoxic in laboratory animals.

 Workgroup reviews, with participation from other federal agencies and comments from the public. They profiles. Thus, MRLs in the most recent toxicological profiles supersede previously published levels. Proposed MRLs undergo a rigorous review process: Health Effects/MRL Workgroup reviews within the Division of Toxicology and Human Health Sciences, expert panel peer reviews, and agency-wide MRL are subject to change as new information becomes available concomitant with updating the toxicological For additional information regarding MRLs, please contact the Division of Toxicology and Human Health Sciences, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Mailstop F-57, Atlanta, Georgia 30329-4027.

## **MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET**



Minimal Risk Level: 4 [x] mg/kg/day [ ] ppm

Reference: Walton G. 1951. Survey of literature relating to infant methemoglobinemia due to nitratecontaminated water. Am J Public Health 41:986-996.

Experimental design: Walton (1951) reviewed available literature and found 278 reported cases of infant methemoglobinemia in a total of 14 U.S. states from which information was available. Cases were grouped by state according to ranges of nitrate levels in drinking water sources.

Effect noted in study and corresponding doses: Among methemoglobinemia cases for which nitrate levels in water sources used to prepare infant formula were available, 173 cases were associated with >50 mg nitrate-nitrogen/L (220 mg nitrate/L), 36 cases with 21–50 mg nitrate-nitrogen/L (92–220 mg nitrate/L), and 5 cases with 11–20 mg nitrate-nitrogen (48–88 mg nitrate/L). None of the methemoglobinemia cases were associated with drinking water sources measuring <10 mg nitratenitrogen/L (<44 mg nitrate/L). Limitations of the contributing studies include lack of information regarding the actual ages of the infants, total nitrate doses, and other water source contaminants (e.g., bacterial levels).

 acute-, intermediate-, and chronic-duration oral MRL values are equivalent. Following ingestion of relatively large amounts of nitrate by healthy normal individuals, blood methemoglobin levels increase rapidly, followed by a return to normal within several hours following intake. Repeated ingestion for intermediate- or chronic-duration time periods would be expected to result in changes in methemoglobin levels similar to those elicited from a single exposure. Therefore, the

Dose and end point used for MRL derivation: 4.33 mg nitrate/kg/day

[x] NOAEL [ ] LOAEL

Uncertainty Factors used in MRL derivation:

- [ ] 10 for use of a LOAEL
- [] 10 for extrapolation from animals to humans
- [x] 1 for human variability

A total uncertainty factor of 1 is justified because the point of departure is a NOAEL for nitrate-induced effects on methemoglobin in a particularly sensitive human subpopulation (i.e., <3-month-old infants, which in many cases may have been at increased risk of methemoglobinemia due to microbial contamination and associated gastrointestinal infection).

(mg nitrogen per liter from nitrate source) can be converted to its ionic concentration (mg  $NO_3$ )  $\div$  62 [molecular mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>] = 0.226). Was a conversion factor used from ppm in food or water to a mg/body weight dose? Nitrate may be expressed in terms of ionic concentration (i.e., mg nitrate/L), or elemental concentration (i.e., mg nitratenitrogen/L or mg nitrogen as nitrate/L). A concentration of nitrate expressed in elemental concentration according to the following relationship: 1 mg nitrate-nitrogen  $= 4.4$  mg nitrate (i.e., the proportion of N

 is selected as the point of departure for deriving acute-, intermediate-, and chronic-duration oral MRLs for Table A-1 presents estimated nitrate doses to infants (birth–<3 months of age) calculated using estimated mean values for drinking water ingestion rates (Kahn and Stralka 2009) and body weight (EPA 2008) and assuming a drinking water level of 44 mg nitrate/L as a concentration not expected to cause methemoglobinemia; the calculated doses of 4.31–4.34 mg nitrate/kg/day represent NOAELs for the age ranges. The TWA-based calculated dose of 4.33 mg nitrate/kg/day for the age range of birth–<3 months nitrate.

## **Table A-1. Estimated Nitrate Dose to Infants of Selected Age Ranges Assuming a Drinking Water Level of 44 mg Nitrate/La**



aConsidered a no-adverse-effect concentration for nitrate intake by infants up to 6 months of age, based on weightof-evidence analysis of available human data.

**bEstimated mean water intake (combined direct intake [ingested largely as a beverage] and indirect intake [added in** preparation of food or beverages]) from community water; data from Table 3-14 of EPA (2008) and Table 2 of Kahn and Stralka (2009).

cEstimated mean body weight; data from Table 8-1 of EPA (2008).

<sup>d</sup>Nitrate dose = 44 mg nitrate/L (NOAEL) x water intake (L/day) / body weight (kg).

eCalculated TWA for birth–<1 month and 1–<3 months (e.g., TWA water intake for birth–<3 months = (0.470 L/day x 1 month) + (0.552 L/day x 2 months)/3 months =  $0.525$  L/day.

EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; NOAEL = no-observed-adverse-effect level; TWA = time-weighted average

If an inhalation study in animals, list conversion factors used in determining human equivalent dose: Not applicable.

Was a conversion used from intermittent to continuous exposure? Not applicable.

 levels <10%. Tachycardia, weakness, and other signs of tissue hypoxia may be observed at 10–20% Other additional studies or pertinent information that lend support to this MRL: Methemoglobinemia is a condition in which increased methemoglobin as a percentage of total hemoglobin results in the expression of clinical signs that increase in severity with increasing percent methemoglobin (ATSDR 2013a; Bloom et al. 2013; Denshaw-Burke et al. 2013; Haymond et al. 2005). In normal healthy individuals, methemoglobin levels are <1% of total hemoglobin. Discoloration (e.g., pale, gray blue) of the skin is often observed at methemoglobin levels in the range of 3–15%; most patients tolerate methemoglobin methemoglobin levels. Effects on the central nervous system (e.g., headache, dizziness, fatigue) and dyspnea and nausea appear at >20% methemoglobin; the severity of symptoms increases with increasing methemoglobin level. High risk of mortality occurs at levels >70% methemoglobin.

 Proposed explanations for increased susceptibility of infants to methemoglobinemia following ingestion of nitrate include: (1) increased reduction of nitrate to nitrite in the newborn, (2) increased tendency for

#### APPENDIX A

 nitrite-induced methemoglobin formation by fetal hemoglobin compared to adult hemoglobin, (3) lower levels of NADH-dependent methemoglobin reductase (the major enzyme responsible for reduction of the growth of such bacteria and most of the nitrate that reaches the stomach passes to the small intestine from which it is nearly completely absorbed into the blood. Although Kanady et al. (2012) reported little or no bacterial conversion of nitrate to nitrite in the saliva of a group of 10 infants during the first relatively lower methemoglobin reductase levels, methemoglobin would not be expected to be as readily (2012) reported that blood nitrite levels in newborns approximately 1–2 days of age were 35–55% lower respectively, indicating that methemoglobin reduction occurs more slowly in newborns than adults (Power et al. 2007). Although specific mechanisms have not been elucidated, the increased susceptibility methemoglobin to normal hemoglobin; also termed NADH-diaphorase, a soluble form of cytochrome-b5 reductase) in the newborn compared to older infants and adults, and (4) incompletely developed hepatic microsomal enzyme system in the infant and consequent lower rate of hepatic reduction of circulating nitrite compared to that of older children and adults. A portion of ingested nitrate is reduced to nitrite by commensal bacteria in the mouth; however, the acid environment of the normal stomach does not support 2 postnatal months (considered mainly due to lower numbers of major nitrate-reducing oral bacteria than adults), a higher pH in the stomach of the newborn may favor growth of nitrate-reducing bacteria, resulting in increased reduction of nitrate to nitrite and increased plasma methemoglobin. Most hemoglobin in the newborn is in the form of fetal hemoglobin, which appears to be more readily oxidized to methemoglobin than adult hemoglobin; fetal hemoglobin is replaced by adult hemoglobin during early postnatal life. Levels of NADH-dependent methemoglobin reductase in the newborn increase approximately 2-fold during the first 4 months of postnatal life to reach adult levels. During the period of reduced, resulting in increased susceptibility to methemoglobinemia. In apparent contrast, Ibrahim et al. than that of adults. However, one study that evaluated reduction rates of methemoglobin in human adult blood and cord blood from term newborns estimated methemoglobin half-lives of 162 and 210 minutes, to nitrite-induced methemoglobinemia in infants is well-documented.

 <2 months of age; range 8 days to 5 months). Samples from 129 wells that served as water sources to the 88 mg nitrate/L) in the other 2 wells. A major limitation of this study was the detection of coliform Bosch et al. (1950) evaluated 139 reported cases of cyanosis among infants in Minnesota (90% were cases revealed nitrate-nitrogen concentrations  $>100$  mg/L ( $>440$  mg nitrate/L) in 49 wells, 50–100 mg/L (220–440 mg nitrate/L) in 53 wells, 21–50 mg/L (92–220 mg nitrate/L) in 25 wells, and 10–20 mg/L (44– organisms in 45 of 51 well water samples tested for bacterial contamination.

levels reconstructed from parental responses to dietary questionnaires and environmental sampling. of controls in the low, medium, and high exposure categories were 21/45, 11/45, and 13/45, respectively, the controls; diarrheal disease was reported for 13/45 controls. Univariate and multifactorial analysis of (p=0.0376). Controls in the high exposure category were less likely than high exposure breastfed for >2 weeks. Major limitations to the study include the collection of information contributing A nested case-control study included 26 cases of infants diagnosed with methemoglobinemia at  $\leq$ 2 months of age and 45 age-matched controls (Zeman et al. 2002). Nitrate exposure levels were categorized as low (<0.5 ppm), medium (1–10 ppm), or high (>10 ppm) according to estimated nitrate Numbers of methemoglobinemia cases in the low, medium, and high exposure categories were  $0/26$ ,  $4/26$ , and 22/26, respectively, and estimated dietary nitrate intake ranged from 2.83 to 451.20 mg/kg/day (mean 103.6 mg nitrate/kg/day). Diarrheal disease was reported for 14/26 methemoglobinemia cases. Numbers and estimated dietary nitrate intake ranged from 0 to 182 mg/kg/day (mean 11.2 mg nitrate/kg/day) for risk factors for methemoglobinemia indicated that methemoglobinemia was most strongly associated with dietary exposure to nitrate/nitrite (p=0.0318), but also significantly associated with diarrheal disease methemoglobinemia cases to have experienced severe diarrhea and were more likely to have been to the exposure estimates several years following the occurrences of methemoglobinemia and reliance on parental recollection of infant nutritional intake.

 1964). At the end of the second trimester (6 months), methemoglobin averaged 0.7–0.8% for each group. Results from other studies suggest an association between nitrate in drinking water sources and elevated methemoglobin among infants. Average methemoglobin levels of 1.0, 1.3, and 2.9% during the first postnatal trimester (0–3 months of age) were reported among groups healthy infants with water sources that were nitrate-free or contained 50–100 mg nitrate/L or >100 mg nitrate/L, respectively (Simon et al. Super et al. (1981) reported mean methemoglobin levels of 1.54% among infants ingesting ≤2.93 mg nitrate/kg/day and 3.03% among infants ingesting >2.93 mg nitrate/kg/day.

 Limited data are available regarding administration of controlled amounts of nitrate and methemoglobin levels. Cornblath and Hartmann (1948) administered sodium nitrate in the formula fed to four infants The highest observed level of methemoglobin was 5.3% of total hemoglobin; there was no evidence of for 6–9 days, the only reported effect was that of 7.5% methemoglobin in a 10-day-old infant following (1 week to 10 months of age) for 1 day with formula prepared using water containing 15 mg nitrate/L (~0.8–1.5 mg nitrate/kg, based on age-specific values for water consumption [Kahn and Stralka 2009] and body weight [EPA 2008]), increased to 108 mg nitrate/L for the next 3 days (~5.5–10.6 mg nitrate/kg/day, 2008], and returned to 15 mg nitrate/L for 1 additional day. Mean methemoglobin levels were 0.89% after the first day of feeding, 1.3, 0.91, and 0.93% after days 2, 3, and 4, and dropped to 0.8% on the fifth the fact that only 57 of the 104 infants supplied blood samples on all 5 treatment days. (ages 11 days to 11 months) for 2–18 days at a concentration resulting in a dose of 50 mg nitrate/kg/day. cyanosis. Among four other infants (ages 2 days to 6 months) similarly treated at 100 mg nitrate/kg/day 8 days of treatment in the absence of clinical cyanosis. Gruener and Toeplitz (1975) fed 104 infants based on age-specific values for water consumption [Kahn and Stralka 2009] and body weight [EPA day. Among three of these infants (ages not specified), methemoglobin levels reached 6.9, 13.9, and 15.9% during the high-dose days. Limitations of this study include the use of a wide range of ages and

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Carolyn Harper, Ph.D.

## **MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET**



Minimal Risk Level: 0.1 [x] mg/kg/day [ ] ppm

Reference: Walton G. 1951. Survey of literature relating to infant methemoglobinemia due to nitratecontaminated water. Am J Public Health 41:986-996.

Experimental design: Walton (1951) reviewed available literature and found 278 reported cases of infant methemoglobinemia in a total of 14 U.S. states from which information was available. Cases were grouped by state according to ranges of nitrate levels in drinking water sources.

Effect noted in study and corresponding doses: Among methemoglobinemia cases for which nitrate levels in water sources used to prepare infant formula were available, 173 cases were associated with >50 mg nitrate-nitrogen/L (220 mg nitrate/L), 36 cases with 21–50 mg nitrate-nitrogen/L (92–220 mg nitrate/L), and 5 cases with 11–20 mg nitrate-nitrogen (48–88 mg nitrate/L). None of the methemoglobinemia cases were associated with drinking water sources measuring <10 mg nitratenitrogen/L (<44 mg nitrate/L). Limitations of the contributing studies include lack of information regarding the actual ages of the infants, total nitrate doses, and other water source contaminants (e.g., bacterial levels).

 be expected to result in changes in methemoglobin levels similar to those elicited from a single exposure. Therefore, the acute-, intermediate-, and chronic-duration oral MRL values are equivalent. Following ingestion of relatively large amounts of nitrate by healthy normal individuals, blood methemoglobin levels increase rapidly, followed by a return to normal within several hours following intake. Repeated ingestion of nitrate or nitrite for intermediate- or chronic-duration time periods would

 to nitrite in the saliva of an adult (Spiegelhalder et al. 1976); most salivary nitrite is absorbed into the blood in the small intestine. Therefore, the ingestion of 0.2 mg nitrite/kg/day by an adult would be nitrate/kg/day, based on essentially 100% absorption of the ingested dose of nitrite (i.e., 0.2 mg Dose and end point used for MRL derivation: 0.2 mg nitrite/kg/day. The ingestion of nitrate results in the formation of nitrite, which is the moiety responsible for methemoglobinemia. On average, approximately 25% of an ingested dose of nitrate enters the saliva of an adult where a portion (ca. 20%  $g/g$ ) is reduced by commensal bacteria to nitrite (i.e., approximately 5%  $g/g$  of ingested nitrate is reduced expected to result in a nitrite blood level similar to that achieved following ingestion of 4 mg nitrite/kg/day is 5% of an oral dose of nitrate at the oral MRL of 4 mg nitrate/kg/day).

## [x] NOAEL [ ] LOAEL

Uncertainty Factors used in MRL derivation:

- [ ] 10 for use of a LOAEL
- [ ] 10 for extrapolation from animals to humans

#### [x] 1 for human variability

A total uncertainty factor of 1 is justified because the point of departure is a NOAEL for nitrate-induced effects on methemoglobin in a particularly sensitive human subpopulation (i.e., <3-month-old infants, which in many cases may have been at increased risk of methemoglobinemia due to microbial contamination and associated gastrointestinal infection).

#### Modifying factor used in MRL derivation:

 [x] 2 because young infants exhibit increased susceptibility to methemoglobinemia following regarding conversion of nitrate to nitrite in the infant are lacking. nitrate ingestion; the modifying factor assumes that the effective methemoglobin level from a given intake of nitrate by an infant is up to twice that of an adult; however, quantitative data

(mg nitrogen per liter from nitrate source) can be converted to its ionic concentration (mg  $NO_3$ )  $\div$  62 [molecular mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>] = 0.226). Was a conversion factor used from ppm in food or water to a mg/body weight dose? Nitrate may be expressed in terms of ionic concentration (i.e., mg nitrate/L), or elemental concentration (i.e., mg nitratenitrogen/L or mg nitrogen as nitrate/L). A concentration of nitrate expressed in elemental concentration according to the following relationship: 1 mg nitrate-nitrogen  $= 4.4$  mg nitrate (i.e., the proportion of N

 formula represents a NOAEC for infants <3 months of age. Table A-1 presents estimated nitrate doses to 44 mg nitrate/L as a concentration not expected to cause methemoglobinemia; the calculated doses of 4.31–4.34 mg nitrate/kg/day represent NOAELs for the age ranges. The TWA-based calculated dose of 4.33 mg nitrate/kg/day for the age range of birth–<3 months is selected as the point of departure for deriving acute-, intermediate-, and chronic-duration oral MRLs for nitrite. A concentration of 44 mg nitrate/L (10 mg nitrate-nitrogen/L) in drinking water used to prepare infant infants (birth–<3 months of age) calculated using estimated mean values for drinking water ingestion rates (Kahn and Stralka 2009) and body weight (EPA 2008) and assuming a drinking water level of

If an inhalation study in animals, list conversion factors used in determining human equivalent dose: Not applicable.

#### Was a conversion used from intermittent to continuous exposure? Not applicable.

 methemoglobin levels are <1% of total hemoglobin. Discoloration (e.g., pale, gray blue) of the skin is levels <10%. Tachycardia, weakness, and other signs of tissue hypoxia may be observed at 10–20% Other additional studies or pertinent information that lend support to this MRL: Methemoglobinemia is a condition in which increased methemoglobin as a percentage of total hemoglobin results in the expression of clinical signs that increase in severity with increasing percent methemoglobin (ATSDR 2013a; Bloom et al. 2013; Denshaw-Burke et al. 2013; Haymond et al. 2005). In normal healthy individuals, often observed at methemoglobin levels in the range of 3–15%; most patients tolerate methemoglobin methemoglobin levels. Effects on the central nervous system (e.g., headache, dizziness, fatigue) and dyspnea and nausea appear at >20% methemoglobin; the severity of symptoms increases with increasing methemoglobin level. High risk of mortality occurs at levels >70% methemoglobin.

 Proposed explanations for increased susceptibility of infants to methemoglobinemia following ingestion nitrite-induced methemoglobin formation by fetal hemoglobin compared to adult hemoglobin, (3) lower levels of NADH-dependent methemoglobin reductase (the major enzyme responsible for reduction of of nitrate include: (1) increased reduction of nitrate to nitrite in the newborn, (2) increased tendency for methemoglobin to normal hemoglobin; also termed NADH-diaphorase, a soluble form of cytochrome-b5

#### APPENDIX A

 the growth of such bacteria and most of the nitrate that reaches the stomach passes to the small intestine from which it is nearly completely absorbed into the blood. Although Kanady et al. (2012) reported little or no bacterial conversion of nitrate to nitrite in the saliva of a group of 10 infants during the first (2012) reported that blood nitrite levels in newborns approximately 1–2 days of age were 35–55% lower (Power et al. 2007). Although specific mechanisms have not been elucidated, the increased susceptibility reductase) in the newborn compared to older infants and adults, and (4) incompletely developed hepatic microsomal enzyme system in the infant and consequent lower rate of hepatic reduction of circulating nitrite compared to that of older children and adults. A portion of ingested nitrate is reduced to nitrite by commensal bacteria in the mouth; however, the acid environment of the normal stomach does not support 2 postnatal months (considered mainly due to lower numbers of major nitrate-reducing oral bacteria than adults), a higher pH in the stomach of the newborn may favor growth of nitrate-reducing bacteria, resulting in increased reduction of nitrate to nitrite and increased plasma methemoglobin. Most hemoglobin in the newborn is in the form of fetal hemoglobin, which appears to be more readily oxidized to methemoglobin than adult hemoglobin; fetal hemoglobin is replaced by adult hemoglobin during early postnatal life. Levels of NADH-dependent methemoglobin reductase in the newborn increase approximately 2-fold during the first 4 months of postnatal life to reach adult levels. During the period of relatively lower methemoglobin reductase levels, methemoglobin would not be expected to be as readily reduced, resulting in increased susceptibility to methemoglobinemia. In apparent contrast, Ibrahim et al. than that of adults. However, one study that evaluated reduction rates of methemoglobin in human adult blood and cord blood from term newborns estimated methemoglobin half-lives of 162 and 210 minutes, respectively, indicating that methemoglobin reduction occurs more slowly in newborns than adults to nitrite-induced methemoglobinemia in infants is well-documented.

 Bosch et al. (1950) evaluated 139 reported cases of cyanosis among infants in Minnesota (90% were <2 months of age; range 8 days to 5 months). Samples from 129 wells that served as water sources to the 88 mg nitrate/L) in the other 2 wells. A major limitation of this study was the detection of coliform organisms in 45 of 51 well water samples tested for bacterial contamination. cases revealed nitrate-nitrogen concentrations  $>100$  mg/L ( $>440$  mg nitrate/L) in 49 wells, 50–100 mg/L (220–440 mg nitrate/L) in 53 wells, 21–50 mg/L (92–220 mg nitrate/L) in 25 wells, and 10–20 mg/L (44–

levels reconstructed from parental responses to dietary questionnaires and environmental sampling. of controls in the low, medium, and high exposure categories were 21/45, 11/45, and 13/45, respectively, breastfed for >2 weeks. Major limitations to the study include the collection of information contributing A nested case-control study included 26 cases of infants diagnosed with methemoglobinemia at  $\leq$ 2 months of age and 45 age-matched controls (Zeman et al. 2002). Nitrate exposure levels were categorized as low (<0.5 ppm), medium (1–10 ppm), or high (>10 ppm) according to estimated nitrate Numbers of methemoglobinemia cases in the low, medium, and high exposure categories were  $0/26$ ,  $4/26$ , and 22/26, respectively, and estimated dietary nitrate intake ranged from 2.83 to 451.20 mg/kg/day (mean 103.6 mg nitrate/kg/day). Diarrheal disease was reported for 14/26 methemoglobinemia cases. Numbers and estimated dietary nitrate intake ranged from 0 to 182 mg/kg/day (mean 11.2 mg nitrate/kg/day) for the controls; diarrheal disease was reported for 13/45 controls. Univariate and multifactorial analysis of risk factors for methemoglobinemia indicated that methemoglobinemia was most strongly associated with dietary exposure to nitrate/nitrite (p=0.0318), but also significantly associated with diarrheal disease (p=0.0376). Controls in the high exposure category were less likely than high exposure methemoglobinemia cases to have experienced severe diarrhea and were more likely to have been to the exposure estimates several years following the occurrences of methemoglobinemia and reliance on parental recollection of infant nutritional intake.

Results from other studies suggest an association between nitrate in drinking water sources and elevated methemoglobin among infants. Average methemoglobin levels of 1.0, 1.3, and 2.9% during the first postnatal trimester (0–3 months of age) were reported among groups healthy infants with water sources

#### APPENDIX A

 1964). At the end of the second trimester (6 months), methemoglobin averaged 0.7–0.8% for each group. that were nitrate-free or contained 50–100 mg nitrate/L or >100 mg nitrate/L, respectively (Simon et al. Super et al. (1981) reported mean methemoglobin levels of 1.54% among infants ingesting ≤2.93mg nitrate/kg/day and 3.03% among infants ingesting >2.93 mg nitrate/kg/day.

 Limited data are available regarding administration of controlled amounts of nitrate and methemoglobin levels. Cornblath and Hartmann (1948) administered sodium nitrate in the formula fed to four infants for 6–9 days, the only reported effect was that of 7.5% methemoglobin in a 10-day-old infant following 8 days of treatment in the absence of clinical cyanosis. Gruener and Toeplitz (1975) fed 104 infants (1 week to 10 months of age) for 1 day with formula prepared using water containing 15 mg nitrate/L (~0.8–1.5 mg nitrate/kg, based on age-specific values for water consumption [Kahn and Stralka 2009] and body weight [EPA 2008]), increased to 108 mg nitrate/L for the next 3 days (~5.5–10.6 mg nitrate/kg/day, 2008], and returned to 15 mg nitrate/L for 1 additional day. Mean methemoglobin levels were 0.89% after the first day of feeding, 1.3, 0.91, and 0.93% after days 2, 3, and 4, and dropped to 0.8% on the fifth the fact that only 57 of the 104 infants supplied blood samples on all 5 treatment days. (ages 11 days to 11 months) for 2–18 days at a concentration resulting in a dose of 50 mg nitrate/kg/day. The highest observed level of methemoglobin was 5.3% of total hemoglobin; there was no evidence of cyanosis. Among four other infants (ages 2 days to 6 months) similarly treated at 100 mg nitrate/kg/day based on age-specific values for water consumption [Kahn and Stralka 2009] and body weight [EPA day. Among three of these infants (ages not specified), methemoglobin levels reached 6.9, 13.9, and 15.9% during the high-dose days. Limitations of this study include the use of a wide range of ages and

 In a study designed to evaluate the oral bioavailability of sodium nitrite in healthy volunteers (seven nitrite/kg) resulted in maximum methemoglobin concentrations ranging from 3.4 to 4.5% of total females and two males; mean age 22.9 years), ingestion of  $\sim$ 2.2–2.7 mg sodium nitrite/kg (1.5–1.8 mg) hemoglobin at approximately 0.70 hours following ingestion (Kortboyer et al. 1997b). At a higher intake (~4.4–5.4 mg sodium nitrite/kg, or 2.9–3.6 mg nitrite/kg), the maximum methemoglobin concentrations ranged from 7.7 to 10.9% of total hemoglobin at approximately 1.14 hours following ingestion.

Agency Contacts (Chemical Managers): Carolyn Harper, Ph.D.

# **APPENDIX B. USER'S GUIDE**

#### **Chapter 1**

#### **Public Health Statement**

 This chapter of the profile is a health effects summary written in non-technical language. Its intended audience is the general public, especially people living in the vicinity of a hazardous waste site or chemical release. If the Public Health Statement were removed from the rest of the document, it would still communicate to the lay public essential information about the chemical.

 The major headings in the Public Health Statement are useful to find specific topics of concern. The topics are written in a question and answer format. The answer to each question includes a sentence that will direct the reader to chapters in the profile that will provide more information on the given topic.

#### **Chapter 2**

#### **Relevance to Public Health**

 of-evidence discussions for human health end points by addressing the following questions: This chapter provides a health effects summary based on evaluations of existing toxicologic, epidemiologic, and toxicokinetic information. This summary is designed to present interpretive, weight-

- 1. What effects are known to occur in humans?
- 2. What effects observed in animals are likely to be of concern to humans?
- 3. What exposure conditions are likely to be of concern to humans, especially around hazardous waste sites?

The chapter covers end points in the same order that they appear within the Discussion of Health Effects by Route of Exposure section, by route (inhalation, oral, and dermal) and within route by effect. Human data are presented first, then animal data. Both are organized by duration (acute, intermediate, chronic). *In vitro* data and data from parenteral routes (intramuscular, intravenous, subcutaneous, etc.) are also considered in this chapter.

 The carcinogenic potential of the profiled substance is qualitatively evaluated, when appropriate, using potency or perform cancer risk assessments. Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for noncancer end points (if existing toxicokinetic, genotoxic, and carcinogenic data. ATSDR does not currently assess cancer derived) and the end points from which they were derived are indicated and discussed.

Limitations to existing scientific literature that prevent a satisfactory evaluation of the relevance to public health are identified in the Chapter 3 Data Needs section.

#### **Interpretation of Minimal Risk Levels**

 routes of entry at each duration of exposure (acute, intermediate, and chronic). These MRLs are not meant to support regulatory action, but to acquaint health professionals with exposure levels at which adverse health effects are not expected to occur in humans. Where sufficient toxicologic information is available, ATSDR has derived MRLs for inhalation and oral

 a hazardous substance emission, given the concentration of a contaminant in air or the estimated daily dose in water. MRLs are based largely on toxicological studies in animals and on reports of human MRLs should help physicians and public health officials determine the safety of a community living near occupational exposure.

 "Relevance to Public Health," contains basic information known about the substance. Other sections such as Chapter 3 Section 3.9, "Interactions with Other Substances," and Section 3.10, "Populations that are MRL users should be familiar with the toxicologic information on which the number is based. Chapter 2, Unusually Susceptible" provide important supplemental information.

 modified version of the risk assessment methodology that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provides (Barnes and Dourson 1988) to determine reference doses (RfDs) for lifetime exposure. MRL users should also understand the MRL derivation methodology. MRLs are derived using a

 To derive an MRL, ATSDR generally selects the most sensitive end point which, in its best judgement, lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) can be used to derive an MRL, and an uncertainty factor caused by the substance) and for interspecies variability (extrapolation from animals to humans). In deriving an MRL, these individual uncertainty factors are multiplied together. The product is then divided into the inhalation concentration or oral dosage selected from the study. Uncertainty factors used represents the most sensitive human health effect for a given exposure route and duration. ATSDR cannot make this judgement or derive an MRL unless information (quantitative or qualitative) is available for all potential systemic, neurological, and developmental effects. If this information and reliable quantitative data on the chosen end point are available, ATSDR derives an MRL using the most sensitive species (when information from multiple species is available) with the highest no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) that does not exceed any adverse effect levels. When a NOAEL is not available, a (UF) of 10 must be employed. Additional uncertainty factors of 10 must be used both for human variability to protect sensitive subpopulations (people who are most susceptible to the health effects in developing a substance-specific MRL are provided in the footnotes of the levels of significant exposure (LSE) tables.

#### **Chapter 3**

#### **Health Effects**

#### **Tables and Figures for Levels of Significant Exposure (LSE)**

 associated with those effects. These levels cover health effects observed at increasing dose locate data for a specific exposure scenario. The LSE tables and figures should always be used in Tables and figures are used to summarize health effects and illustrate graphically levels of exposure concentrations and durations, differences in response by species, MRLs to humans for noncancer end points, and EPA's estimated range associated with an upper- bound individual lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 10,000,000. Use the LSE tables and figures for a quick review of the health effects and to conjunction with the text. All entries in these tables and figures represent studies that provide reliable, quantitative estimates of NOAELs, LOAELs, or Cancer Effect Levels (CELs).

 correspond to the numbers in the example table and figure. The legends presented below demonstrate the application of these tables and figures. Representative examples of LSE Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1 are shown. The numbers in the left column of the legends

#### **LEGEND**

#### **See Sample LSE Table 3-1 (page B-6)**

- using these tables and figures should be the relevant and appropriate route of exposure. Typically (LSE Figure 3-1) and oral (LSE Figure 3-2) routes. Not all substances will have data on each (1) Route of Exposure. One of the first considerations when reviewing the toxicity of a substance when sufficient data exist, three LSE tables and two LSE figures are presented in the document. The three LSE tables present data on the three principal routes of exposure, i.e., inhalation, oral, and dermal (LSE Tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3, respectively). LSE figures are limited to the inhalation route of exposure and will not, therefore, have all five of the tables and figures.
- 364 days), and chronic (365 days or more)—are presented within each relevant route of exposure. (2) Exposure Period. Three exposure periods—acute (less than 15 days), intermediate (15– In this example, an inhalation study of intermediate exposure duration is reported. For quick reference to health effects occurring from a known length of exposure, locate the applicable exposure period within the LSE table and figure.
- (3) Health Effect. The major categories of health effects included in LSE tables and figures include NOAELs and LOAELs can be reported in the tables and figures for all effects but cancer. Systemic effects are further defined in the "System" column of the LSE table (see key number death, systemic, immunological, neurological, developmental, reproductive, and cancer. 18).
- (4) Key to Figure. Each key number in the LSE table links study information to one or more data points using the same key number in the corresponding LSE figure. In this example, the study represented by key number 18 has been used to derive a NOAEL and a Less Serious LOAEL (also see the two "18r" data points in sample Figure 3-1).
- (5) Species. The test species, whether animal or human, are identified in this column. Chapter 2, "Relevance to Public Health," covers the relevance of animal data to human toxicity and Section 3.4, "Toxicokinetics," contains any available information on comparative toxicokinetics. Although NOAELs and LOAELs are species specific, the levels are extrapolated to equivalent human doses to derive an MRL.
- different studies. In this case (key number 18), rats were exposed to "Chemical x" via inhalation refer to the appropriate sections of the text or the original reference paper (i.e., Nitschke et al. (6) Exposure Frequency/Duration. The duration of the study and the weekly and daily exposure regimens are provided in this column. This permits comparison of NOAELs and LOAELs from for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week, for 13 weeks. For a more complete review of the dosing regimen, 1981).
- (7) System. This column further defines the systemic effects. These systems include respiratory, dermal/ocular. "Other" refers to any systemic effect (e.g., a decrease in body weight) not covered cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, hematological, musculoskeletal, hepatic, renal, and in these systems. In the example of key number 18, one systemic effect (respiratory) was investigated.
- footnote "b"). (8) NOAEL. A NOAEL is the highest exposure level at which no adverse effects were seen in the organ system studied. Key number 18 reports a NOAEL of 3 ppm for the respiratory system, which was used to derive an intermediate exposure, inhalation MRL of 0.005 ppm (see
- LOAEL. A LOAEL is the lowest dose used in the study that caused an adverse health effect. (9) LOAEL. A LOAEL is the lowest dose used in the study that caused an adverse health effect.<br>LOAELs have been classified into "Less Serious" and "Serious" effects. These distinctions help readers identify the levels of exposure at which adverse health effects first appear and the gradation of effects with increasing dose. A brief description of the specific end point used to quantify the adverse effect accompanies the LOAEL. The respiratory effect reported in key number 18 (hyperplasia) is a Less Serious LOAEL of 10 ppm. MRLs are not derived from Serious LOAELs.
- $(10)$  Reference. The complete reference citation is given in Chapter 9 of the profile.
- experimental or epidemiologic studies. CELs are always considered serious effects. The LSE (11) CEL. A CEL is the lowest exposure level associated with the onset of carcinogenesis in tables and figures do not contain NOAELs for cancer, but the text may report doses not causing measurable cancer increases.
- derive an MRL of 0.005 ppm. (12) Footnotes. Explanations of abbreviations or reference notes for data in the LSE tables are found in the footnotes. Footnote "b" indicates that the NOAEL of 3 ppm in key number 18 was used to

## **LEGEND**

#### **See Sample Figure 3-1 (page B-7)**

 reader quickly compare health effects according to exposure concentrations for particular exposure LSE figures graphically illustrate the data presented in the corresponding LSE tables. Figures help the periods.

- (13) Exposure Period. The same exposure periods appear as in the LSE table. In this example, health effects observed within the acute and intermediate exposure periods are illustrated.
- (14) **Health Effect.** These are the categories of health effects for which reliable quantitative data exists. The same health effects appear in the LSE table.
- graphically displayed in the LSE figures. Exposure concentration or dose is measured on the log scale "y" axis. Inhalation exposure is reported in mg/m<sup>3</sup> or ppm and oral exposure is reported in (15) Levels of Exposure. Concentrations or doses for each health effect in the LSE tables are mg/kg/day.
- the rat upon which an intermediate inhalation exposure MRL is based. The key number 18 corresponds to the entry in the LSE table. The dashed descending arrow indicates the extrapolation from the exposure level of 3 ppm (see entry 18 in the table) to the MRL of 0.005 ppm (see footnote "b" in the LSE table). (16) NOAEL. In this example, the open circle designated 18r identifies a NOAEL critical end point in
- $(17)$ CEL. Key number 38m is one of three studies for which CELs were derived. The diamond symbol refers to a CEL for the test species-mouse. The number 38 corresponds to the entry in the LSE table.
- bound for lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 10,000,000. These risk levels are derived from the EPA's Human Health Assessment Group's upper-bound estimates of the slope of the (18) Estimated Upper-Bound Human Cancer Risk Levels. This is the range associated with the uppercancer dose response curve at low dose levels  $(q_1^*)$ .
- (19) Key to LSE Figure. The Key explains the abbreviations and symbols used in the figure.



# **SAMPLE**

 $12 \rightarrow$  $\rightarrow$  a The number corresponds to entries in Figure 3-1.

 $^{\rm b}$  Used to derive an intermediate inhalation Minimal Risk Level (MRL) of 5x10<sup>-3</sup> ppm; dose adjusted for intermittent exposure and divided by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animal to humans, 10 for human variability).

# **SAMPLE**



This page is intentionally blank.

# **APPENDIX C. ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS**









## WHO World Health Organization

