

ADDENDUM TO THE TOXICOLOGICAL PROFILE FOR ARSENIC

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Division of Toxicology and Human Health Sciences Atlanta, GA 30329-4027

February 2016

CONTENTS

	ST OF FIGURES ST OF TABLES	
	HEALTH EFFECTS	
5.	3.1 INTRODUCTION	
	3.2 DISCUSSION OF HEALTH EFFECTS BY ROUTE OF EXPOSURE	
	3.2.1 Inhalation Exposure	
	3.2.1.3 Immunological and Lymphoreticular Effects	
	3.2.2 Oral Exposure	
	3.2.2.1 Death	
	3.2.2.2 Systemic Effects	
	3.2.2.3 Immunological and Lymphoreticular Effects	
	3.2.2.4 Neurological Effects	
	3.2.2.5 Reproductive Effects	
	3.2.2.6 Developmental Effects	
	3.2.2.7 Cancer	
	3.3 GENOTOXICITY	
	3.4 TOXICOKINETICS	
	3.4.1 Absorption	85
	3.4.1.2 Oral Exposure	
	3.4.1.3 Dermal Exposure	
	3.4.2 Distribution	94
	3.4.2.1 Inhalation Exposure	94
	3.4.3 Metabolism	94
	3.4.5 Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK)/Pharmacodynamic (PD) Models	95
	3.4.5.1 Summary of PBPK Models	95
	3.4.5.2 Arsenic PBPK Model Comparison	98
	3.4.5.3 Discussion of Models	99
	3.5 MECHANISMS OF ACTION	100
	3.5.1 Pharmacokinetic Mechanisms	
	3.5.2 Mechanisms of Toxicity	
	3.7 CHILDREN'S SUSCEPTIBILITY	
	3.10 POPULATIONS THAT ARE UNUSUALLY SUSCEPTIBLE	103
4.	CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION	103
5.	PRODUCTION, IMPORT/EXPORT, USE, AND DISPOSAL	103
	5.1 PRODUCTION	
	5.2 IMPORT/EXPORT	103
	5.3 USE	
	5.4 DISPOSAL	104
6.	POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE	
	6.1 OVERVIEW	
	6.2 RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT	
	6.4 LEVELS MONITORED OR ESTIMATED IN THE ENVIRONMENT	
	6.4.1 Air	105

6.4	4.2	Water	
6.4	4.3	Sediment and Soil	
6.4	4.4	Other Environmental Media	
6.5	GEI	NERAL POPULATION AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE	
6.6	EXI	POSURES OF CHILDREN	
6.7	POI	PULATIONS WITH POTENTIALLY HIGH EXPOSURES	
6.8	AD	EQUACY OF THE DATA BASE	
6.8	8.1	Identification of Data Needs	
6.8	8.2	Ongoing Studies	159
7. ANA		ICAL METHODS	
7.1		DLOGICAL SAMPLES	
7.2	EN	VIRONMENTAL SAMPLES	160
8. REG	ULA.	ΓΙΟΝS AND ADVISORIES	162
9. REF	EREN	ICES	167

LIST OF FIGURES

3-1. Flow- and Diffusion-Limited DMA(V) PBPK Model
--

LIST OF TABLES

3-1.	Respiratory Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	4
3-2.	Cardiovascular Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	13
3-3.	Gastrointestinal Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	30
3-4.	Endocrine Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	33
3-5.	Ocular Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	46
3-6.	Neurological Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	49
3-7.	Reproductive Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	53
3-8.	Reproductive Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Animals	54
3-9.	Developmental Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans	59
3-10	. Developmental Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Animals	76
3-11	. Cancer in Humans Following In Utero and/or Early Life Exposure to Arsenic in Drinking Water	80
3-12	. Genotoxicity in Humans Exposed to Oral Arsenic	86
3-13	. Comparison of Major Features of PBPK Models for Arsenic	98
6-1.	Speciation of Arsenic in U.S. Commercial Rice 1	.14
6-2.	Total Arsenic Concentrations for Main Brand Infant Formulas1	.15
6-3.	Total Arsenic Concentration in First-Food Purees1	.16
6-4.	Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (in $\mu g/L$) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	.19
6-5.	Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (Creatinine Corrected) (in $\mu g/g$ of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	.22
6-6.	Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenic(V) Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	.24
6-7.	Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenic(V) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	26

6-8. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (in μg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	127
6-9. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	130
6-10. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenocholine (in μg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	132
6-11. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenocholine (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	134
6-12. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (in μg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	
6-13. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	137
6-14. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (in μg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	139
6-15. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).	142
6-16. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (in μg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	145
6-17. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	148
6-18. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Trimethylarsine Oxide (in μg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	151
6-19. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Trimethylarsine Oxide (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).	153
7-1. Analytical Methods for Determining Arsenic in Biological Samples	160
7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Arsenic	161
8-1. Regulations and Guidelines Applicable to Arsenic and Arsenic Compounds	162

ADDENDUM for ARSENIC Supplement to the 2007 Toxicological Profile for Arsenic

Background Statement

This addendum to the *Toxicological Profile for Arsenic* supplements the profile that was released in 2007.

Toxicological profiles are developed in response to the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986 which amended the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA or Superfund). CERCLA mandates that the Administrator of ATSDR prepare toxicological profiles on substances on the CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances. CERCLA further states that the Administrator will "establish and maintain inventory of literature, research, and studies on the health effects of toxic substances" [Title 42, Chapter 103, Subchapter I, § 9604 (i)(1)(B)].

The purpose of this addendum is to provide to the public and federal, state, and local agencies a non-peer reviewed supplement of the scientific data that were published in the open peer-reviewed literature since the release of the profile in 2007.

Chapter numbers in this addendum coincide with the <u>Toxicological Profile for Arsenic</u> (ATSDR 2007). <i>This document should be used in conjunction with the profile. It does not replace it.

ARSENIC

3. HEALTH EFFECTS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

A large number of studies on the toxicity of arsenic have been published since the most recent update of the ATSDR Toxicological Profile for Arsenic (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry 2007). The scope of this addendum is focused on new data in humans and on information that advances the understanding of arsenic-induced toxicity. Toxicity studies in humans primarily were selected from a recent EPA draft development document that identified studies with a low risk of bias and provided measures of arsenic exposure (EPA 2014a). All toxicity outcomes were considered for inclusion in this addendum, except for studies on well-established effects of arsenic exposure (skin lesions, hematological effects, and acute arsenicosis). Where multiple epidemiology studies were available on the same end point, the discussion focuses mainly on the strongest study designs (e.g., prospective cohort studies, casecontrol studies, large cross-sectional cohort studies). As it is widely accepted that arsenic is carcinogenic, studies confirming the carcinogenesis of arsenic in humans are identified, but are not reviewed in detail; however, studies on the transplacental carcinogenesis potential of arsenic are reviewed in detail. Animal studies are limited to those that focus on toxicity outcomes that are difficult to assess or have not been assessed in humans (e.g., developmental effects, transplacental carcinogenesis, toxicokinetics) and toxicity of organic arsenicals, which has a very limited database. For most toxicological end points, studies details are provided in tables, with summaries of results described in the text.

Since publication of the 2007 ATSDR Toxicological Profile for Arsenic (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 2007), numerous epidemiological studies have examined associations between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and various health outcomes. These have included large-scale longitudinal cohort studies, case-control studies, and cross-sectional studies. The newer studies strengthen the evidence that exposure to arsenic in drinking water can produce a wide array of health effects. Increasing levels of arsenic in drinking water and/or urinary arsenic levels have been associated with increasing risks for the following outcomes:

- death and pulmonary disease in adults and increased risk of respiratory disease in children following *in utero* and early life exposure;
- cardiovascular outcomes including arrhythmia (e.g., QTc interval prolongation), increased blood
 pressure and hypertension, atherosclerosis, and death from various forms of cardiovascular
 disease, including ischemic heart disease and stroke;
- diarrhea in children and lesions of the gums and tongue in adults;

- diabetes in children and adults;
- ocular effects, including conjunctivitis, cataract/ocular opacity, and pterygium;
- disturbances in immune responses including delayed hypersensitivity response in adults and risk of infection in infants;
- impairment of neurological function in adults including decreased peripheral nerve conduction velocity, peripheral neuropathy, and altered sensory function;
- developmental effects ranging from fetal and infant deaths, congenital heart anomalies, delays in growth and neurological development, and increased susceptibility to infections; and
- cancer of the bladder and urothelium, gastrointestinal tract, kidney, liver, lung, pancreas, and skin; with associations between *in utero* exposure and cancers of the bladder and kidney.

Recent studies also provide additional evidence for the role of genetic polymorphisms in contributing to population variability in pharmacokinetics and sensitivity to the adverse effects of exposure to arsenic. Polymorphisms that have been examined include AS3MT, cystathione- β -synthase, glutathione S-transferase π 1, glutathione S-transferase ω 1, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase, and N-6 adenine-specific DNA methyltransferase 1. Individuals with polymorphisms associated with a higher monomethylarsonic acid (MMA):dimethylarsinic acid (DMA) ratio in urine may be more susceptible to arsenic-induced toxicity.

3.2 DISCUSSION OF HEALTH EFFECTS BY ROUTE OF EXPOSURE

3.2.1 Inhalation Exposure

3.2.1.3 Immunological and Lymphoreticular Effects

Inorganic Arsenicals. Humoral immunity was suppressed in male C57B1/6N mice following inhalation exposure (nose-only) to arsenic trioxide (mean measured concentrations: 0, 0.064, or 1.0 mg/m³) for 14 days (3 hours/day). The primary T cell-dependent antibody response to sheep red blood cell challenge was suppressed by >70% (data presented graphically; p<0.05) in both arsenic trioxide exposure groups. No effects on immune response were observed following stimulation of B cells to lipopolysaccharide or of T cells to Concavalin A. No cytotoxicity was observed in spleen cells and no effects were observed for spleen cell surface markers expression for B cells, T cells, natural killer cells, or macrophages (Burchiel et al. 2009).

3.2.2 Oral Exposure

3.2.2.1 Death

Inorganic Arsenicals. Several epidemiological studies have evaluated the association between exposure to arsenic in drinking water with deaths due to respiratory disease, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. These studies are discussed in Sections 3.2.2.2 (Systemic Effects: Respiratory and Cardiovascular) and 3.2.2.7 (Cancer).

3.2.2.2 Systemic Effects

Respiratory Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Several epidemiological studies have examined associations between exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and mortality from nonmalignant respiratory disease and morbidity, as measured by pulmonary function and respiratory symptoms (Dauphine et al. 2011; Ghosh et al. 2007; Majumdar et al. 2009; Nafeess et al. 2011; Parvez et al. 2010, 2013; Paul et al. 2013b; Pesola et al. 2012; Smith et al. 2013). Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-1. These studies have found increased risks of death and pulmonary disease in adults and increased risk of respiratory disease in children following *in utero* and early life exposure.

The risk of death due to nonmalignant respiratory disease in humans exposed to arsenic in drinking water was examined in a large prospective study of 26,043 adults in Bangladesh (Argos et al. 2014). Increased risk of mortality due to nonmalignant respiratory disease occurred, with an adjusted hazard ratios of 1.75 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.15, 2.66) for urine arsenic concentrations \geq 332.0 µg/g creatinine, respectively. A positive trend for increasing risk with increasing urinary arsenic level (p=0.008) was observed.

The risk of nonfatal respiratory disease in humans exposed to arsenic in drinking water has been examined in prospective cohort studies in adults (Parvez et al. 2010, 2013) and children (Smith et al. 2013). The risk of clinical symptoms of respiratory disease, including cough and breathing problems, was increased in a cohort of 10,833 adults in Bangladesh exposed to arsenic in drinking water (Parvez et al. 2010). Adjusted hazard ratios for cough were increased at drinking water concentration ranges of 90–178 μ g/L (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.52; 95% CI: 1.23, 1.88) and >178 μ g/L (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.51; 95% CI: 1.21, 1.87). Adjusted hazard ratios for breathing problems were increased at a drinking water concentration range of 7–40 μ g/L (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.44; 95% CI: 1.20, 1.74), with slightly higher risk estimates for arsenic concentration ranges of 90–178 and >178 μ g/L. Similar results were observed

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Death due to I	lung disease			
Argos et al. 2014	Study design: prospective cohort Location: Bangladesh Population: 26,043 adults Data collection period: initial enrollment 2000– 2002, with an average 8.5-year follow-up	creatinine <u>As concentration</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <132.5 μg/g - T2: 132.5–331.9 μg/g	Variables assessed: death due to nonmalignant lung disease <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, BMI, education, smoking <u>Analysis</u> : Cox proportional hazards regression	The risk of mortality due to nonmalignant lung disease was increased in T2 and T3, compared to T2, with a positive test for trend (p=0.008). Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI): - T2: 1.37 (0.90, 2.08) - T3: 1.75 (1.15, 2.66)
Respiratory sy	mptoms and pulmonary	^r function		
Dauphine et al. 2011	Study design: retrospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Chile <u>Population</u> : 32 exposed adults (mean age: 48 years; exposed to >800 µg/L before age 10); compared to 65 reference adults with high early-life exposure <u>Data collection</u> period: 2008	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water calculated from municipal water sources <u>As concentration</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <50 µg/L - T2: 50–250 µg/L - T3: >800 µg/L	Variables assessed: any respiratory symptom, chronic cough, chronic phlegm, chronic bronchitis, trouble breathing, breathlessness upon walking fast/uphill, at group pace, at one pace, pulmonary functions tests (predicted FEV ₁ , predicted FVC, FEV ₁ residual, FVC residual) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, smoking, childhood secondhand smoke, use of wood, charcoal, or kerosene in childhood home, occupational air pollution, education <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate logistic regression	across tertiles showed a statistically significant decreasing trend for all pulmonary function tests (p=0.005–0.008).

-	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Ghosh et al. 2007	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: India Population: 725 exposed (373 with skin lesions, 352 without skin lesions), 389 controls; age range: 15– 70 years Data collection period: 2003–2005	Range (all participants):	<u>Variables assessed</u> : respiratory illness based on presence of history of cough, chest sounds in lungs, shortness of breath <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, smoking <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	Exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with a higher risk of respiratory illness compared to controls. Exposed participants with skin lesions had a higher risk of developing respiratory illness than those without skin lesions: Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - cases (no skin lesions): 3.21 (1.65, 6.26) - cases (with skin lesions): 13.54 (7.45, 24.62) A trend test for odds ratios was statistically significant (p<0.001).
Majumdar et al. 2009	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: India Population: exposed: 3,825; controls: 3,451; children and adults (age range specified as ≤9– ≥60 years of age); no participants had arsenical skin lesions Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: drinking water from wells used by each participant. <u>As concentration</u> : Quintiles: - Q1: <50 µg/L - Q2: 50–199 µg/L - Q3: 200–499 µg/L - Q4: 500–799 µg/L - Q5: ≥800 µg/L	Variables assessed: chronic lung disease, diagnosed based on symptoms of cough and respiratory distress, as reported by participants to a physician, and "chest signs" (not specified) during physical examination <u>Adjustments</u> : none reported <u>Analysis</u> : Chi-square	The prevalence of chronic lung disease in female, but not male, participants in was significantly increased in Q5 compared to Q1. Prevalence odds ratios (95% CI): - males: 0.93 (0.65, 1.3) - females: 1.76 (1.1, 2.6)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Nafeess et al. 2011	Study design: cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : Pakistan <u>Population</u> : exposed: 100, controls: 100; ≥15 years of age <u>Data collection</u> period: 2009	Exposure measures: drinking water collected from each participant <u>As concentration</u> : Controls: ≤10 μg/L Exposed: - ≥100 μg/L - ≥250 μg/L	Variables assessed: respiratory symptoms (cough, phlegm, wheezing, breathlessness), pulmonary function tests (FEV1, FVC, FEV1/FVC) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, height, smoking status <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate linear regression	For arsenic exposure to drinking water concentrations $\geq 100 \ \mu g/L$, FVC was significantly (p=0.028) decreased by 221.9 mL, compared to controls. No statistically significant decreases in FEV ₁ or FEV ₁ /FVC were observed. For arsenic exposure to drinking water concentrations $\geq 250 \ \mu g/L$, FEV ₁ and FVC were significantly decreased by 226.4 mL (p=0.030) and 354.8 mL (p=0.003), respectively. No statistically significant decrease in FEV ₁ /FVC was observed. No association was observed between arsenic exposure and symptoms of respiratory disease.
Parvez et al. 2010	Study design: prospective cohort Location: Bangladesh Population: 10,833 adults Data collection period: 2002–2006, with 4-year follow-up	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water from primary well for each participant and urine (corrected for creatinine) As concentration: Drinking water quintiles: - Q1: $\leq 7 \mu g/L$ - Q2: 7–40 $\mu g/L$ - Q3: 40–90 $\mu g/L$ - Q4: 90–178 $\mu g/L$ - Q5: >178 $\mu g/L$ Urine quintiles: - Q1: $\leq 90 \mu g/g$ - Q2: 90–160 $\mu g/g$ - Q3:160–246 $\mu g/g$	blood in sputum, evaluated by	Significant positive associations between exposure to arsenic (based on levels in drinking water and urine) and clinical symptoms of respiratory disease. For chronic cough based on arsenic concentration in drinking water, adjusted

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Reference	population	Exposure - Q4: 246–406 μg/g - Q5: >406 μg/g	Variables assessed	 Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for cough (urine): Q4: 1.52 (1.23, 1.88) Q5: 1.51 (1.21, 1.87) Adjusted hazard ratios for breathing problems were significant based on drinking water in Q2–Q5, compared to Q1, and based on urine in Q4 and Q5, compared to Q1. Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for breathing problems (drinking water): Q2: 1.44 (1.20, 1.74) Q3: 1.52 (1.25, 1.84) Q4: 1.42 (1.16, 1.73) Q5: 1.41 (1.56, 1.72) Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) for breathing problems (drinking water):
				 Q4: 1.28 (1.06, 1.54) Q5: 1.27 (1.05, 1.53) Hazard ratios for blood in sputum were significant drinking water for Q3 and Q4, but not Q5, compared to Q1. Hazard ratios based on urine were not significant.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Parvez et al. 2013	Study design: prospective cohort	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water from primary well for each participant and urine (corrected for creatinine) <u>As concentration</u> : Drinking water tertiles: - T1: <19 μg/L - T2: >19–97 μg/L - T3: >97 μg/L Urine tertiles: - T1: <125 μg/g - T2: >125–285 μg/g - T3 >285 μg/g	Variables assessed: pulmonary function tests (FEV ₁ , FVC) Adjustments: age, sex, BMI,	
Paul et al. 2013b	Study design: cross- sectional Location: India Population: adult males and females; 189 exposed, 171 controls Data collection period: Data were collected from same participants for two time periods: 2005–	Exposure measures: drinking water from individual participants <u>As concentration</u> : mean±SD for 2005–2006: - controls: 4.13±3.18 - cases: 190.1±110.53 mean±SD for 2010–2011: - controls: 3.7±3.0 - cases: 37.94±27.08	Variables assessed: respiratory symptoms (persistent cough, thoracic sounds, throat irritation, shortness of breath, hoarseness) <u>Adjustments</u> : none <u>Analysis</u> : ratio of incidence in exposed to control groups	The risk of development of respiratory symptoms was significantly increased in cases compared to controls for both collection periods. Odds ratios (95% CI): 2005–2006: - 6.07 (2.47, 14.95) 2010–2011: - 11.45 (5.04, 25.97)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
	2006 and 2010–2011	•		
Pesola et al. 2012	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : United States (Arizona) <u>Population</u> : 7,568 adult nonsmokers <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water and urine <u>As concentration</u> : Drinking water quintiles: - Q1: <7 µg/L - Q2: 7-<39 µg/L - Q3: 39-<91 µg/L - Q4: 91-<179 µg/L - Q5: ≥179 µg/L Urine quintiles were not reported	<u>Variables assessed</u> : history of dyspnea, as determined by a physician <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, education, BMI, blood pressure <u>Analysis</u> : unconditional logistic regression for odds ratios; Chi- squared test for trend test	A significant positive association was observed between arsenic concentrations in drinking water and urine and dyspnea in nonsmokers for Q3–A5, compared to Q1. Positive trends (p<0.01) were observed for arsenic in drinking water and urine. Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for drinking water: - Q3: 1.96 (1.43, 2.70); p<0.001 - Q4: 2.14 (1.56, 2.92); p<0.001 - Q5: 1.80 (1.31, 2.49); p<0.001 Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for urine: - Q3: 1.92 (1.38, 2.65); p<0.001 - Q4: 1.94 (1.41, 2.68)' p<0.001 - Q5: 1.87 (1.36, 2.58); p<0.001
Smith et al. 2013	Study design: prospective cohort Location: Bangladesh Population: children with <i>in utero</i> exposure, assessed at 7–17 years of age; 491 exposed; 159 controls Data collection period: wells sampled 2002–2003; dates for data collection in children not specified	early life (first 5 years of life) from tube wells for each participant <u>As concentration</u> : Tertiles: - T1 (control): <10 μg/L - T2: 10–499 μg/L - T3: ≥500 μg/L	symptoms (cough, wheeze, shortness of breath), respiratory disease (asthma, pneumonia),	controls, children exposed to arsenic were significantly more likely to have cough (when not having a cold), wheezing (all wheezing

D /	Study design and	_	.,	
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				Shortness of breath (walking fast/climbing) - T2:2.74 (1.18, 6.37); p<0.01 - T3: 3.19 (1.22, 8.32); p<0.01
				Shortness of breath (walking on level ground):
				- 3.86 (1.08, 13.7); p=0.02
				Asthma: - T2: 1.84 (1.04, 3.26); p=0.02 - T3: 2.33 (1.19, 4.57); p<0.01
				Analysis based on quintiles: Compared to Q1, significant adjusted odds ratios were observed for all wheezing, wheezing when not having a cold, cough when not having a cold, shortness of breath when walking fast/climbing, shortness of breath when walking on level ground, and asthma. Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI):
				Wheezing (all): - Q2: 1.98 (1.03, 3.80) - Q3: 1.51 (0.83, 2.74) - Q4: 3.17 (1.78, 5.64) - Q5: 2.12 (1.19, 3.76)
				Wheezing when not having a cold: - Q4: 8.65 (1.64, 45.7) - Q5: 8.21 (1.56, 43.1)
				Cough without having a cold: - Q5: 2.47 (1.05, 5.79)

	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				Shortness of breath (walking fast/climbing):
				- Q3: 2.89 (1.06, 7.91)
				- Q4: 4.09 (1.56, 10.7)
				- Q5: 3.20 (1.18, 8.71)
				Shortness of breath (walking on level
				ground):
				- Q4: 4.50 (1.17, 17.3)
				Asthma:
				- Q4: 2.26 (1.13, 4.49)
				- Q5: 2.38 (1.17, 4.83)
				Statistically significant trends were observe
				for wheezing (p<0.001), asthma (<0.01),
				cough when not having a cold (p=0.03),
				shortness of breath when walking
				fast/climbing (p<0.01), shortness of breath
				when walking on level ground (p=0.01), and
				wheezing when not having a cold (p<0.01).
				No association was observed between
				arsenic exposure and pulmonary function.

As = arsenic; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC = forced vital capacity; SD = standard deviation

ARSENIC

for this cohort based on urine arsenic concentrations. Associations were observed between arsenic concentrations in drinking water and urine and decrements in pulmonary function, measured by forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC), in a cohort of 950 adults in Bangladesh (Parvez et al. 2013). For each increase of 1 standard deviation (SD) for arsenic concentration in water (118.1 µg/L), FEV₁ and FVC were decreased by 46.5 mL (p=0.01) and 53.1 mL (p=0.005), respectively. For each increase of 1 SD for arsenic concentration in urine (277.2 µg/g creatinine), FEV₁ and FVC were decreased by 46.5 mL (p=0.02), respectively. For each increase of 1 SD for arsenic concentration in urine (277.2 µg/g creatinine), FEV₁ and FVC were decreased by 48.3 mL (p=0.005) and 55.2 mL (p=0.02), respectively. The risk of asthma and respiratory symptoms was increased in a cohort of 491 children (aged 7–17 years) exposed *in utero* and throughout childhood (Smith et al. 2013). Elevated risk of asthma was observed at drinking water concentration ranges of 400–599 µg/L (adjusted odds ratio: 2.26; 95% CI: 1.13, 4.49) and \geq 600 µg/L (2.38; 95% CI: 1.17, 4.83). Similarly, adjusted hazard ratios for symptoms of respiratory disease (wheeze and shortness of breath) were increased at arsenic drinking water ranges of 200–399 µg/L and higher. Retrospective cohort and cross-sectional studies also show increased risks for decreased pulmonary function and symptoms of respiratory disease (Dauphin et al. 2011; Ghosh et al. 2007; Majumdar et al. 2009; Nafeess et al. 2011; Paul et al. 2013b; Pesola et al. 2012).

Cardiovascular Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Several epidemiological studies have examined effects of exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and effects on the cardiovascular system, including death due to, and incidence or prevalence of, cardiovascular disease, cardiac arrhythmias, increased blood pressure, pulse pressure and hypertension, and atherosclerosis. Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-2. In general, these studies have found increased risk in association with exposures to arsenic in drinking water and/or urinary arsenic concentrations for the following cardiovascular outcomes: arrhythmia (e.g., QTc interval prolongation), increased blood pressure and hypertension, atherosclerosis, and death from various forms of cardiovascular disease, including ischemic heart disease and stroke.

Risk of death due to cardiovascular disease in humans exposed to arsenic in drinking water have been examined in several prospective cohort studies (Chen et al. 2011b; Liao et al. 2012; Moon et al. 2013; Rahman et al. 2014; Wade et al. 2009). Most of these studies found positive associations (Chen et al. 2011b; Moon et al. 2013; Rahman et al. 2014; Wade et al. 2009). Increased risk for cardiovascular-related deaths occurred in association with drinking water arsenic concentrations ranging from 50 to 900 μ g/L. The largest prospective cohort studies were conducted in Bangladesh (Chen et al. 2011b; Rahmann et al. 2014). The Chen et al. (2011b) study (11,746 adults) found increased risk of mortality due to heart disease in association with drinking water concentrations ranging from 148.1 to 864.0 μ g/L

12

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Chen et al. 20011b	Study design: prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 11,746 men and women <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : initial assessment in 2000, with an average 6-year follow-up	Exposure measures: arsenic in 5,966 contiguous wells in the area As concentration range: Quartiles: - Q1: 0.1–12.0 μg/L - Q2: 12.1–62.0 μg/L - Q3: 62.1–148.0 μg/L - Q4: 148.1–864.0 μg/L	due to cardiovascular disease (circulatory disease, ischemic	A dose-response relationship was observed for arsenic exposure in drinking water and mortality from ischemic heart disease and other heart disease (p=0.0019) and from ischemic heart disease (p=0.0294). Hazard ratios (95% CI) for ischemic heart disease and other heart disease: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 1.22 (0.65, 2.32) - Q3: 1.35 (0.71, 2.57) - Q4: 1.92 (1.07, 3.43) Hazard ratios (95% CI) for ischemic heart disease: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 1.22 (0.56, 2.65) - Q3: 1.49 (0.70, 3.19) - Q4: 1.94 (0.99, 3.84)
				No relationship was observed for exposure to arsenic in drinking water and death due to circulatory disease or cerebrovascular disease.
Liao et al. 2012	Study design: prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Taiwan <u>Population</u> : 380 exposed; 303 controls <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 2002	Exposure measures: cumulative arsenic exposure, based on arsenic concentrations in artisan wells <u>As concentration range</u> : - <14.6 mg/L-year - >14.7 mg/L-year	Variables assessed: death due to cardiovascular disease <u>Adjustments</u> : age, gender, smoking, hypertension, diabetes <u>Analysis</u> : Cox proportional hazards	Compared to controls, no association was observed between cumulative arsenic exposure and death due to cardiovascular disease (hazard ratio: 1.89; 95% CI: 0.50, 7.10).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Moon et al. 2013	Study design: prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : United States <u>Population</u> : 3,575 adult American Indian males (40%) and females (60%) <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : baseline 1989–1991; follow-up through 2008	- Q2: 5.8–9.7 µg/g - Q3: 9.8–15.7 µg/g - Q4: >15.7 µg/g		For the highest exposure group, but not lower exposure groups, significant elevated risk of death due to cardiovascular disease, coronary artery disease, and stroke was observed. Adjusted hazard ratios (95% Cl) for the Q4 group: - cardiovascular disease: 1.65 (1.20, 2.27 - coronary artery disease: 1.71 (1.19, 2.44) - stroke: 3.03 (1.08, 8.50) A positive trend was observed for mortality due to cardiovascular disease (p<0.001) and coronary artery disease (p<0.001), but not mortality due to stroke (p=0.061).

Note that hypertension, diabetes, and kidney disease were identified as confounding factors.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Rahman et al. 2014	Study design: prospective cohort Location: Bangladesh Population: 61,074 adults; males: 42.5%; females: 57.5% Data collection period: 2003–2010	As concentration:	Variables assessed: <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, education, socio-economic status <u>Analysis</u> : Cox proportional hazards	Exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with an increased risk of mortality due to stroke. The association was significant for combined males and females and for females, but not males, exposed to \geq 50 µg/L. Significant trends were observed for combined males and females (p=0.00058) and for females (p=0.00004), but not males (p=0.45). Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI): Males and females: - T1: 1 - T1: 1.20 (0.92, 1.57) - T3: 1.35 (1.04, 1.75) Females: - T1: 1 - T2: 1.31 (0.87, 1.98) - T3: 1.72 (1.15, 2.57)
Wade et al. 2009	Study design: retrospective cohort <u>Location</u> : China <u>Population</u> : 572 males (57%) and females (43%); children (<16 years: ~4%) and adults (~96%) <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 1997–2004	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water from each household <u>As concentration range</u> : Quintiles: - Q1: 0–5 µg/L - Q2: 5.1–20 µg/L - Q3: 20.1–100 µg/L - Q3: 100.1–300 µg/L - Q4: >300 µg/L	Variables assessed: mortality due to heart disease and stroke <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, education, smoking, drinking, farm work <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate Poisson regression	A significant association was observed between arsenic concentration in drinking water and death due to heart disease at arsenic concentrations >300 µg/L (adjusted incidence ratio risk: 5.08; 95% CI: 1.45, 17.81; p=0.011). No association was observed at lower drinking water arsenic concentrations and mortality due to cardiovascular disease or for any drinking water arsenic concentration and mortality due to stroke.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Incidence of ca	ardiovascular disease			
Moon et al. 2013	Study design: prospective cohort Location: United States Population: 3,575 adult American Indian males (40%) and females (60%) Data collection period: baseline 1989–1991; follow-up through 2008	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine, corrected for creatinine <u>As concentration range</u> : Quartiles: - Q1: <5.8 μg/g - Q2: 5.8–9.7 μg/g - Q3: 9.8–15.7 μg/g - Q4: >15.7 μg/g	Variables assessed: incidence of fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular disease, coronary heart disease, and stroke <u>Adjustments</u> : study center, age, sex, education, smoking, BMI, LDL cholesterol <u>Analysis</u> : Cox proportional hazards	 For Q4, but not lower quartiles, significant elevated risk for incidence of cardiovascular disease and coronary artery disease was observed. Adjusted hazard ratios (95% Cl) for the >15.7 μg/g group: cardiovascular disease: 1.65 (1.20, 2.27) coronary artery disease: 1.71 (1.19, 2.44) stroke: 3.03 (1.08, 8.50) A positive trend was observed for cardiovascular disease (p<0.001), coronary artery disease (p=0.061). Note that hypertension, diabetes, and kidney disease were identified as confounding
Xia et al. 2009	Study design: cross- sectional Location: China Population: 12,309 male (50%) and female (50%) children (≤16 years: ~18%) and adults (≥17 years: ~82%) Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration collected from individual households <u>As concentration range</u> : Mean: 37.94 µg/L Range: 0.05–637.7 µg/L	Variables assessed: cardiovascular disease (self- reported, doctor diagnosed <u>Adjustments</u> : gender, alcohol use, occupation, farm work, water source <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	factors. A borderline association for cardiovascular disease with arsenic exposure was observed in males (odds ratio: 1.10, p=0.07), but not females (odds ratio: 0.99, p=0.80). The presence of arsenic-induced skin lesions was associated with cardiovascular disease (odds ratio: 1.62, p<0.01) but not associated with stroke (odds ratio 1.04, p=0.89).

	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Cardiac arrhyth	nmias			
Chen et al. 2013b	Population: 1,715 male (61%) and female (39%) adults	Exposure measures: concentration of arsenic in well water (measured in 10,971 contiguous wells) and urine (adjusted for urinary creatinine) <u>As concentration range:</u> - well water: 0.1– 790 μg/L - Urinary As: 7– 4,306 μg/g creatinine	Variables assessed: PR interval, QRS duration and QTc interval (QT interval corrected for heart rate); QTc prolongation defined as ≥450 mseconds in men and ≥460 mseconds in women) Adjustments: sex, age, BMI, smoking status, education <u>Analysis</u> : linear regression using continuous dependent variable	Based on the adjusted odds ratio for a 1-SD increase in baseline well water (108.7 μg/L) and in urinary arsenic concentration (270.7 μg/g creatinine), a positive association was observed for QTc prolongation in women. Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI): - well water arsenic: 1.24 (1.05, 1.47) - urinary arsenic: 1.24 (1.01, 1.53) A positive trend for QTC prolongation in women was also observed for both well water (p=0.01) and urinary arsenic (p=0.04). No associations for QTc prolongation in men or PR or QRS prolongation in men or women were observed.
Morduhkovich et al. 2009	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: USA (Boston, Massachusetts area) Population: 226 males; mean age 73 Data collection period: 2000–2002 or 2006	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in toenails <u>As concentration range</u> : mean 0.069 µg/g; range 0.052–0.11 µg/g	Variables assessed: QT and QTc interval Adjustments: age, body mass index, mean arterial pressure, fasting glucose, serum C- reactive protein, smoking, calcium channel blocker use, antioxidant use Analysis: multivariate linear regression	 Positive association between toenail arsenic concentration and QT and QTc interval duration. QT interval increase of 3.8 mseconds per interquartile range arsenic toenail concentration (corresponding to a 0.059 µg/g increment of toenail arsenic concentration); p<0.05 QTc increase of 2.5 msec per interquartile range arsenic toenail concentration; p<0.05

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Wang et al. 2009b	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: Taiwan Population: 441 exposed (257 with 0.1– 19.9 mg/L cumulative arsenic exposure and 184 with ≥20 mg/L cumulative arsenic exposure); 194 controls Data collection period: not reported	each village); lifetime cumulative exposure (arsenic concentration in drinking	Variables assessed: P wave duration, PR interval, QRS duration, QT and QTc interval (>460 mseconds) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, gender, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, serum total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	Statistically significant (p<0.001) exposure- related trends were observed for increased duration of QT and QTc intervals. Significant associations were observed between QTc interval and ischemic heart disease and carotid intima-medium thickness and plaque. No apparent associations were observed between cumulative arsenic exposure and P wave duration, PR interval and QRS duration.
Hypertension/	blood pressure			
Chen et al. 2012b	Study design: cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : Taiwan <u>Population</u> : 240 adults; 95 males and 145 females (60 per quartile); lowest quartile treated as control <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : not reported	Exposure measures: urine arsenic (adjusted for urinary creatinine) <u>As concentration range</u> : - Q1: <1.4 μg/g creatinine - Q2: 1.4–4.3 μg/g creatinine - Q3: 4.3–8.0 μg/g creatinine - Q4: >8.0 μg/g creatinine	Variables assessed: blood pressure (hypertension defined as average systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg, average diastolic blood pressure ≥85 mmHg, and/or history of hypertension that was regularly treated with antihypertensive drugs) <u>Adjustments</u> : not reported <u>Analysis</u> : unconditional logistic regression	A statistically significant trend (p=0.021) between urine arsenic concentration and risk of hypertension was observed. Odds ratio for development of hypertension was significant in Q2 (odds ratio: 2.1; 95% Cl: 1.0–4.4; p<0.05) and Q4 (odds ratio: 3.0; 95% Cl: 1.4–6.3; p<0.01)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Guha- Mazumder et al. 2012	<u>Study design</u> : case- control (recruited from a cross-sectional study)	Exposure measures: arsenic measured in wells of each participant; cumulative arsenic exposure (mg/L-year) and arsenic concentration in urine and hair <u>As cumulative exposure</u> : - 0-4.5 mg/L-year - >4.5 mg/L-year <u>As concentration in hair</u> : - 0-0.18 mg/kg - 0.19-2.0 mg/kg - >2.0 mg/kg	Variables assessed: blood pressure (hypertension defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or	A positive association between cumulative exposure to >4.5 mg/L-year and hypertension was observed. Adjusted odds ratio: 2.87 (95% CI: 1.26, 4.83). A dose-response relationship was observed between increasing cumulative arsenic exposure and arsenic level in hair and hypertension (p-values not reported).
Hawkesworth et al. 2013	Study design: prospective cohort Location: Bangladesh Population: 2,499 child-mother pairs Data collection period: 2000–2004 and 2007–2009	Exposure measures: maternal urine arsenic at weeks 8 and 30 of gestation; urine arsenic in children at 18 months Urine As concentration: - maternal (8 weeks): $80 \mu g/L (10^{th}, 90^{th})$ percentile: 24, $383 \mu g/L$) - maternal (30 weeks): $83 \mu g/L (10^{th}, 90^{th}): 26,$ $415 \mu g/L$) at week 30 - child (18 months): $34 \mu g/L (10^{th}, 90^{th})$ percentile: 12, $154 \mu g/L$)	Variables assessed: diastolic and systolic blood pressure children at 4.5 years of age <u>Adjustments</u> : sex, age, parental wealth index, height at 4.5 years, season of birth, maternal early pregnancy blood pressure <u>Analysis</u> : log-transformed linear regression	<i>In utero</i> exposure to arsenic was associated with a minimal increase in blood pressure at 4.5 years of age. Each 1 mg/L increase in maternal urinary arsenic during pregnancy was associated with a 3.69 mmHg (95% CI: 0.74, 6.63; p=0.01) increase in child systolic and a 2.91 mmHg (95% CI: 0.41, 5.42; p=0.02) increase in child diastolic blood pressure. Adjusted beta (95% CI), based on combined 8- and 30-week maternal urine arsenic: - systolic: 3.69 (0.74, 6.63) - diastolic: 2.91 (0.41, 5.42) A 1 mg/L increase in child urinary arsenic at 18 months of age was associated with an 8.25 mmHg (95% CI: 1.37, 15.1; p=0.02) increase in systolic blood pressure at 4.5 years. Adjusted beta (95% CI), based of child urine arsenic: - systolic: 8.25 (1.37, 15.1)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				- diastolic: 2.75 (-3.09, 8.59)
Islam et al. 2012a	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 1,004 adult males and females <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 2009	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water (from wells of each participant) <u>As concentration:</u> Quartiles: - Q1: 10–22 µg/L - Q2: 23–32 µg/L - Q3: 33–261 µg/L - Q4: >262 µg/L	<u>Variables assessed</u> : systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg (systolic hypertension), diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg (diastolic hypertension), elevated pulse pressure (≥55 mmHg). <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, education, marital status, religion, monthly income, BMI <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression; Cuzick's nonparametric test for trend	A dose-response relationship (p for trend <0.01) between arsenic concentration in drinking water and increased pulse pressure was observed, based on exposure quartiles. Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - Q1: 1 - Q2: 3.87(1.22, 12.20) - Q3: 4.32 (1.23, 15.11) - Q4: 7.32 (2.18, 24.60) No association was observed between arsenic concentration in drinking water and hypertension.
Jones et al. 2011	Study design: cross- sectional Location: United States (national; NHANES) Population: 4,167 adults, ≥20 years of age Data collection period: 2003–2008	Exposure measures: concentrations of total arsenic and DMA in urine <u>As concentration</u> : Total arsenic median: 8.3 µg/L Total DMA: 3.6 µg/L	Variables assessed: hypertension, defined as a mean systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, a mean diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg, a self-reported physician diagnosis, or use of antihypertensive medication. <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, race, ethnicity, education, BMI, serum cotinine, urine creatinine levels <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	No association was observed between urinary total arsenic or DMA concentration and systolic or diastolic blood pressure.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Kunrath et al. 2013	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : Romania <u>Population</u> : normotensive adult men; 19 exposed, 16 controls <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water (from main drinking water source for each participant) <u>As concentration range (mean±SD)</u> : - controls: 1.0±0.2 µg/L - cases: 40.2±30.4 µg/L	Variables assessed: blood pressure (hyperreactivity defined as a combined stress- induced change in systolic pressure of >20 mmHg and diastolic pressure of >15 mmHg) under conditions of anticipatory stress (anticipation to cold exposure) and cold stress <u>Adjustments</u> : none reported <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate ANOVA and logistic regression	Compared to controls, cases had a higher percentage of individuals with blood pressure hyperreactivity to anticipatory stress (12.5 versus 47.4%, p=0.035) and cold stress (37.5 versus 73.7%; p=0.044). Logistic regression analysis showed that blood pressure hyperactivity was associate with arsenic concentration in drinking wate Odds ratios (95% CI): - anticipatory stress: 6.30 (1.11, 35.67); p=0.038 - cold stress: 4.67 (1.11–19.65); p=0.03
Li et al. 2013a	Mongolia)	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water (from main drinking water source for each participant), cumulative exposure for each participant <u>As concentration</u> : Range: 0–760 µg/L Tertiles: T1: <10 µg/L T2:10–50 µg/L T3: >50 µg/L	Variables assessed: blood pressure (hypertension defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg, or history of hypertension under regular treatment with antihypertensive agents) Adjustments: gender, age, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, cumulative arsenic exposure, diabetes <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	The risk of hypertension for the highest tertile was 1.937 (95% CI: 1.018, 3.687) an was significantly increased (p<0.05) compared to the lowest tertile. The adjuste odds ratio for T2 was not significant compared to the lowest exposure group. A dose-response trend for prevalence of hypertension was observed (p-value not reported).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Li et al. 2013b	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : China (Shanyin county of Shanxi province) <u>Population</u> : 604 adult males (42%) and females (58%) <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : not reported	Exposure measures: cumulative arsenic exposure (based on arsenic in main drinking water source for each participant and duration of residence); urine arsenic concentration (adjusted for creatinine) <u>As cumulative exposure</u> : Range: 0–0.65 mg/L-year Tertiles: - T1: <0.10 mg/L-year - T2: 0.10–0.35 mg/L- year - T3: >0.35mg/L-year <u>Total urinary As</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <93.77 µg/g - T2: 93.77–250.61 µg/g - T3: >250.61 µg/g	Variables assessed: blood pressure (hypertension defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg, or history of hypertension under regular treatment with antihypertensive agents) <u>Adjustments</u> : gender, age, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	For the T3, a positive association was observed, based on arsenic cumulative exposure (adjusted odd ratio: 1.871; 95% CI: 1.022, 3.424). The adjusted odds ratio based on total urinary arsenic was 1.648 (95% CI: 0.999, 2.721). Significant trends were observed for increased risk of hypertension based on arsenic cumulative exposure (p=0.040) and on total urinary arsenic (p=0.046).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Wang et al. 2011	Study design: prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Taiwan <u>Population</u> : 352 adult males (46%) and females (54%) <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 1990 to 2002– 2003	participant);cumulative arsenic exposure; urine arsenic concentration	<u>Variables assessed</u> : blood pressure (hypertension defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg, or history of hypertension under regular treatment with antihypertensive agents) <u>Adjustments</u> : age <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate analysis	Compared to T1, an association was observed between urinary As(V) and hypertension (adjusted relative risk: 2.43; 95% Cl%: 1.10, 5.86; p=0.047) for T3. No association was observed for T2. No association was observed between cumulative arsenic exposure or arsenic concentration in drinking water and hypertension. Diastolic blood pressure increased with increased cumulative arsenic exposure (beta=0.27; p<0.001).

	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Atheroscleros	sis/carotid thickness/caro	tid plaque		
Chen et al. 2013a	Population: 959 adult males (40%) and females (60%) (HEALS participants) Data collection	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water from 10,971 tube wells; arsenic concentration in urine (adjusted for creatinine) <u>As in drinking water</u> : - mean 81.1 µg/L - 10 th –90 th percentiles: 1– 225 µg/L	intima-media thickness Adjustments: gender, age, BMI, smoking, systolic blood pressure, diabetes <u>Analysis</u> : multiple linear regression using continuous	Every 1 SD increases in urinary arsenic concentration (357.9 μg/g creatinine) was associated with an increase of 11.7 μm in carotid intima-media thickness. - adjusted Beta: 11.7 μm/357.8 μg/creatinine (95% CI: 1.8, 21.6); p=0.020 Every 1 SD increase in well water
	<u>period</u> : 2010–2011	As in urine: - Mean: 259.5 μg/g - 10 th -90 th percentiles: 60.3–538.6 μg/g		 concentration (102.0 μg/L) was associated with an increase of 5.1-μm in carotid intimamedia thickness, although the association was not statistically significant (p=0.058). adjusted Beta: 5.1 μm/102.5 μg/gL (95% CI: -0.2, 10.3)
Hsieh et al. 2008b	<u>Study design</u> : case control <u>Location</u> : Taiwan <u>Population</u> : adult males and females; 235 cases;	Exposure measures: well water (individual homes); cumulative arsenic exposure <u>As in drinking water</u> : Tertiles: - T1: ≤10 μg/L	Variables assessed: presence of carotid atherosclerosis based on ultrasound results for intima-media thickness (≥1.0 mm), plaque (occurrence in at least	A significant trend was observed for the relationship between both arsenic concentration in well water (p=0.0049) and cumulative arsenic exposure (p=0.0047) and carotid atherosclerosis.
	244 controls <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 1997–1998	- T2: 10.1–50.0 μg/L - T3: ≥50.1 μg/L <u>Cumulative As exposure</u> : Tertiles: - T1: ≤0.2 mg/L-year	two locations) and presence of stenosis >50% in the left or right common carotid artery <u>Adjustments</u> : age, gender <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic	A positive association was observed for T3 based on arsenic concentration in well water and for arsenic cumulative exposure and carotid atherosclerosis.
		- T2: 0.3–1 mg/L-year - T3: ≥1.1 mg/L-year	regression	Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for well water - T1: 1.0 - T2: 1 5 (0 7 2 1)

- T2: 1.5 (0.7, 2.1) T3: 2.4 (1.2, 4.6); p<0.05

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
		•		Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for cumulative arsenic exposure: - T1: 1.0 - T2: 1.1 (0.5, 2.1) - T3: 1.9 (1.1, 3.1); p<0.05
Li et al. 2009	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: southwestern Taiwan Population: adults males (41%) and females (59%); 145 exposed; 345 controls Data collection period: 2002–2004	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water (obtained from previous surveys of village well water); cumulative arsenic exposure <u>As in drinking water</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <1 μg/L - T2: 1–700 μg/L - T3: >700 μg/L <u>Cumulative As exposure</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <0.1 mg/L-year - T2: 0.1–15.0 mg/L-year - T3: >15 mg/L-year	Variables assessed: carotid atherosclerosis (defined as carotid artery intima-media wall thickness of >1.0 mm) Adjustments: age, gender, BMI, smoking, cholesterol (LDL-HDL), hypertension, diabetes Analysis: multiple logistic regression	Prevalence of carotid atherosclerosis was increased in cases compared to controls Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for arsenic in drinking water: - T1: 1 - T2: 3.04 (1.48, 6.24); p<0.01 - T3: 1.99 (0.90, 4.37) Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI) for cumulative arsenic exposure: - T1: 1 - T2: 2.20 (0.95, 5.09) - T3: 2.74 (1.34, 5.60); p<0.01 A dose-response trend was positive for arsenic cumulative exposure and carotid atherosclerosis (p<0.003), but not for arsenic concentration in drinking water and carotid atherosclerosis.
Osorio-Yanze et al. 2013	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: Mexico Population: 199 male (54%) and female (46%) children 3– 14 years of age Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine <u>As concentration range</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <35 μg/L (used to represent the permissible limit for occupational exposure) - T2: 35–70 μg/L - T3: >70 μg/L	intima-media thickness <u>Adjustments</u> : atherogenic index (total cholesterol/LDL), BMI, age, plasma asymmetric dimethylarginine (a predictor of cardiovascular disease)	Compared to T1, T3 was associated with a 0.058 mm increase in carotid intima-media thickness (p=0.003). No association for carotid intima-media thickness was observed T2. Adjusted Betas (95% CI): - T1: 1 - T2: 0.035 mm per µg/L (-0.0028–0.072); p=0.070 - T3: 0.058 mm per µg/L (0.0198–0.095); p=0.003

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Wu et al. 2010	Study design: case control Location: Taiwan Population: adult males and females; Lanang cohort: low exposure (250 cases; 256 controls); LMN cohort: high exposure (117 cases; 164 controls) Data collection period: 1998–1999	- Q2: 10.1–50 μg/L	Variables assessed: carotid atherosclerosis (measured by extracranial carotid artery intima-media thickness and plaque) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, hypertension history, diabetes history <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	drinking water for both low and high exposure cohorts. A positive trend also was observed for high, but not low, exposure cohort (p<0.05).

ANOVA = analysis of variance; As = arsenic; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; DMA = dimethylarsinic acid; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; SD = standard deviation

(adjusted hazard ratio: 1.92; 95% CI: 1.07, 3.43). The Rahman et al. (2014) study (61,074 adults) found increased risk of death due to stroke in females (but not males) in association with drinking water concentrations \geq 50 µg/L (adjusted hazard ratio: 1.72; 95% CI: 1.15, 2.57). A retrospective cohort study conducted in China (572 adults) found increased risk of death due to heart disease associated with exposure to drinking water concentrations >300 µg/L (adjusted incidence ratio risk: 5.08; 95% CI 1.45, 17.81; Wade et al. 2009). Increased risk of cardiovascular death was also associated with urinary arsenic in a prospective cohort study conducted in the United States (3575 adults; Moon et al. 2013). The highest risk was for stroke (adjusted hazard ratio: 3.03; 95% CI: 1.08, 8.50), which occurred in association with urinary arsenic levels >15.7 µg/g creatinine.

Several studies have examined increased risk of nonfatal cardiovascular disease in humans exposed to arsenic in drinking water (Chen et al. 2012b, 2013a, 2013c; Guha-Mazumder et al. 2012; Hawkesworth et al. 2013; Hsieh et al. 2008b; Islam et al. 2012a; Jones et al. 2011; Li et al. 2009, 2013a, 2013b; Morduhkovich et al. 2009; Osorio-Yanze et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2009a, 2011; Wu et al.2010). Morbidity outcomes have included coronary artery disease, stroke, increased blood pressure, and cardiac arrhythmias. Increased risks occurred in association with drinking water arsenic concentrations and were also associated with increased urinary arsenic levels.

Case-control studies have found increased risk of coronary artery disease in association with exposure to arsenic in drinking water (Hsieh et al. 2008b; Wu et al. 2010). In the Hsieh et al. (2008b) study (235 cases, Taiwan), occurrence of carotid atherosclerosis was associated with increasing well water concentration and cumulative exposure. Risk was elevated in association with well water concentrations >50 µg/L (adjusted odds ratio: 2.4; 95% CI: 1.2, 4.6). In the Wu et al. (2010) study (250 cases, Taiwan), risk of carotid atherosclerosis was elevated in association with drinking water concentrations of 50-100 µg/L (adjusted odds ratio: 2.98 (95% CI: 1.21, 7.34). Elevated risk of carotid atherosclerosis was also found in several cross-sectional cohort studies (Chen et al. 2013a; Li et al. 2009; Osorio-Yanze et al. 2013). In the Li et al. (2009) study (adults; 145 exposed; 345 controls; Taiwan), the adjusted odds ratio for carotid atherosclerosis was 3.04 (95% CI: 1.48, 6.24) in association with drinking water arsenic levels of $1-700 \,\mu\text{g/L}$. The Osorio-Yanze et al. (2013) study evaluated thickening of the carotid intima-media in children (199 children, Mexico) and found thickening to be significantly associated with urinary arsenic levels. The estimated strength of the effect was a 58 μ m increase per μ g As/L urine (95% CI: 19.8, 95) in subjects who had urinary arsenic levels $>70 \ \mu g/L$. Chen et al. (2013a) also found a significant association between carotid thickening and urinary arsenic levels (959 adults, Bangladesh). The effect size was 11.7 µm per 357.8 µg As/g creatinine (95% CI: 1.8, 21.6).

ARSENIC

Associations between arsenic exposure in drinking water and cardiac arrhythmias have been studied in a prospective cohort study and in several cross-sectional cohort studies (Chen et al. 2013b; Morduhkovich et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009a). The largest of the studies was a prospective cohort study conducted in Bangladesh (1,715 adults; Chen et al. 2013b). Risk of QTc interval prolongation was elevated in females (but not males). The adjusted odds ratio in females was 1.24 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.47) for a 108.6 μ g/L increase in well water arsenic concentration and 1.24 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.53) for a 270.7 μ g/g creatinine increase in urinary arsenic level. Prolongation of QTc interval was also observed in a cross-sectional cohort study (411 adults, Taiwan) in association with cumulative exposures to arsenic in drinking water over the range 0.1–>20 mg/L-year (Wang et al. 2009a).

Associations between arsenic in drinking water and blood pressure have been studied in cohort and casecontrol studies. Outcomes evaluated have included increased blood pressure, increased pulse pressure, and hypertension (Chen et al. 2012b; Guha-Mazumder et al. 2012; Hawkesworth et al. 2013; Islam et al. 2012a; Jones et al. 2011; Kunrath et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013a, 2013b; Wang et al. 2011). A case-control study conducted in India (280 cases) found a significant association between cumulative exposure to arsenic in well water and hypertension (Guha-Mazumber et al. 2012). The adjusted odds ratio for hypertension associated with cumulative exposure >4.5 mg/L-year was 2.87 (95% CI: 1.26, 4.83). In a prospective cohort study (352 adult subjects, Taiwan), risk of hypertension was elevated in association with urinary arsenic levels >2.67 µg/g creatinine (adjusted relative risk: 2.43; 95% CI: 1.10, 5.86; Wang et al. 2011). Several cross-sectional cohort studies have also found significant associations between arsenic exposure and hypertension (Chen et al. 2012b; Kunrath et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013a, 2013b). Chen et al. (2012b, 240 adults, Bangladesh) found elevated risk of hypertension in association with urinary arsenic levels >8.0 µg/g creatinine (adjusted odds ratio: 3.0; 95% CI: 1.4, 6.3). Li et al. (2013a, 2013b) examined associations between risk of hypertension and drinking water arsenic concentration in two populations in China (669 and 604 adults). In one population, risk of hypertension increased in association with drinking water arsenic concentrations >50 µg/L (adjusted odds ratio: 1.937; 95% CI: 1.018, 3.687; Li et al. 2013a). In another population, risk of hypertension increased in association with cumulative drinking water arsenic exposure >0.35 mg/L-year (adjusted odds ratio: 1.871; 95% CI: 1.022, 3.424; Li et al. 2013b).

A prospective cohort study examined blood pressure outcomes children (4.5 years of ages) born in areas with endemic contamination of well water (2,499 child-mother pairs, Bangladesh; Hawkesworth et al. 2013). Systemic and diastolic blood pressure in the children increased in association with both maternal

and child urinary arsenic levels. The estimated effect size was an increase of 3.69 mmHg systolic (95% CI: 0.74, 6.63) and 2.91 mmHg diastolic (95% CI: 0.41, 5.42) per mg/L increase in maternal urinary arsenic. In relation to child urinary arsenic levels, the effect size was 8.25 mmHg systolic (95% CI: 1.37, 15.1) and 2.75 mmHg diastolic (95% CI: -3.09, 8.59) per mg/L increase in urinary arsenic measured at age 18 months. A cross-sectional study (1,004 adults, Bangladesh) found increased risk of elevated pulse pressure (>55 mmHg) in association with drinking water arsenic concentrations of 23–32 μ g/L (3.87; 95% CI: 1.22, 12.20; Islam et al. 2012a). Adjusted odds ratios were 7.32 (95% CI: 2.18, 24.6) for exposures to >262 μ g/L.

Gastrointestinal Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Epidemiological studies have examined effects of exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water on the gastrointestinal system (Majumdar et al. 2009; Syed et al. 2013). Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-3. The prevalence of diarrhea was increased in a cross-sectional study (3,451 children and adults, India) (Majumdar et al. 2009). Prevalence odds ratios for males and females were 4.97 (95% CI: 2.0, 12.0) and 5.49 (95% CI: 2.7, 10.9), respectively, for drinking water concentrations \geq 800 µg/L. Results of a cross-sectional study in 11,454 adults (Bangladesh) showed an increased risk of lesions of the gums and tongue at urine arsenic concentrations of 134–286 µg/g creatinine, but not at urine arsenic concentrations \geq 286–5,000 µg/g creatinine (Syed et al. 2013). Adjusted multinomial odds ratios for lesions of the gums and tongue were 2.90 (95% CI: 1.11, 7.54; p<0.05) and 2.79 (95% CI: 1.51, 5.15; p<0.01), respectively.

Musculoskeletal Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Endochondrial ossification in rats was affected by exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water (Aybar Odstrcil et al. 2010). Male Wistar rats were exposed to drinking water with 0 or 10 mg/L sodium arsenite (equivalent to 0.21 mg/kg body weight/day, as reported by study authors) for 45 days. Microscopic examination of sections of the tibia showed significant increases (p<0.05) in the thickness of growth plate cartilage (124% of control) and the hypertrophic zone (113% of control) in arsenic-exposed rats, compared to controls. Bone volume and the number of circulating osteocytes were not affected by arsenic exposure.

Hepatic Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. The prevalence of hepatomegaly was investigated in a cross-sectional cohort study of 3,825 children and adults (age range $\leq 9-\geq 60$ years) exposed to arsenic in drinking water in India (Majumdar et al. 2009). The study authors did not report any adjustments for confounding factors.
Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Lesions of the	oral cavity			
Syed et al. 2013	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 11,454 adults, ages 17–75 years; male: 43%; female: 57% <u>Data collection period</u> : 2000–2002	Exposure measures: Urine arsenic concentration, corrected for creatinine <u>As concentration</u> : Mean: 101.6 µg/g Range: 0.1–854 µg/g Tertiles: - Q1: 7–134 µg/g - Q2: >134–286 µg/g - Q3: >286–5,000 µg/g	Variables assessed: lesions of the gums, lips, and tongue <u>Adjustments</u> : study authors state that adjustments to odds ratios were made, but do not indicate specify specific adjustments <u>Analysis</u> : multinomial multivariate regression	A significant association between urinary arsenic concentrations for Q2 participants, but not Q3 participants, for arsenical lesions of the gums, compared to participants in Q1 Adjusted multinomial odds ratio: 2.90; 95% CI: 1.11–7.54; p<0.05. A significant association between urinary arsenic concentrations for Q2 participants, but not Q3 participants, for arsenical lesions of the tongue, compared to participants in Q1. Adjusted multinomial odds ratio: 2.79; 95% CI: 1.51, 5.15; p<0.01. No statistically significant (p>0.05) association between urinary arsenic concentration and lesions of the lips were identified.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Diarrhea				
Majumdar et al. 2009	Study design: cross- sectional Location: India Population: exposed: 3,825; controls: 3,451 children and adults (age range specified as ≤9–≥60 years); no participants had arsenical skin lesions Data collection period not reported	; Quintiles: - Q1: <50 µg/L - Q2:59–199 µg/L - Q3: 200–499 µg/L - Q4: 500–799 µg/L - Q5: ≥800 µg/L	<u>Variables assessed</u> : diarrhea as reported to a physician <u>Adjustments</u> : none reported <u>Analysis</u> : Chi-square	s The overall prevalence of diarrhea in male and female participants in Q5 was significantly increased compared to Q1. Prevalence: - males: 4; p=0.01 - females: 3.8; p=0.04 Prevalence odds ratios (95% CI): - males: 4.97 (2.0, 12.0) - females: 5.49 (2.7, 10.9)

 Table 3-3. Gastrointestinal Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans

AS = arsenic; CI = confidence interval

Prevalence odds ratios were increased for drinking water concentrations \geq 500 µg/L in males (5.13; 95% CI: 3.4, 7.6) and females (4.34; 95% CI: 2.8, 6.5), compared to controls exposed to <50 µg/L.

Renal Effects.

Organic Arsenicals. Effects of subchronic exposure to methylated arsenic metabolites in drinking water on the bladder urothelium have been studied in F344 rats (Shen et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2009b). Shen et al. (2006) exposed male and female rats to drinking water containing 0, 187 mg/L monomethylarsonic acid [MMA(V)], 184 mg/L dimethylarsinic acid [DMA(V)], or 182 mg/L trimethylarsine oxide (TMAO) for 13 weeks. Examination of the urothelium by scanning electron microscopy showed that rats exposed to MMA(V) or TMAO were similar in appearance to controls. In rats exposed to DMA(V), the urothelium showed several pathological changes including leafy or ropy microridges, short uniform microvilli, and pleomorphic microvilli exfoliation, necrosis, and epithelial separation. In DMA(V)-exposed rats, the average urothelial lesion severity score in females was 83% higher than the score in males, indicating that females rats are more sensitive than rats to DMA(V)-induced bladder toxicity. Similar effects were observed in female rats exposed to 0, 1, 4, 40, or 100 mg/L DMA(V) for 13 weeks (Wang et al. 2009b). Examination of the urinary bladder by light microscopy showed vacuolization and nuclear hyperchromatin in the transitional epithelium for all exposure groups, with a dose-dependent increase in incidence. Electron microscopy of rats exposed to 100 mg/L revealed sites of necrotic and exfoliated cells of the transitional epithelium and round superficial transitional cells of variable size with polymorphic microvilli (an indication of regeneration and hyperplasia). Rats exposed to 1-40 mg/L were similar in appearance to controls.

Endocrine Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Several case-control and cross-sectional cohort studies have examined associations between exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and diabetes (Chen et al. 2010c, 2011a, 2012a; Coronado-Gonzolez et al. 2007; Del Razo et al. 2011; Gribble et al. 2012; Islam et al. 2012b; James et al. 2013; Kim and Lee 2011; Kim et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013a; Navas-Acien et al. 2008, 2009; Pan et al. 2013; Rhee et al. 2013; Steinmaus et al. 2009). A prospective cohort study evaluated the association between arsenic exposure and impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy (Ettinger et al. 2009). Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-4. Most, but not all, studies found an increased risk of diabetes in association with exposures to arsenic in drinking water and/or urinary arsenic concentrations.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Diabetes		· ·		
Chen et al. 2010c	Study design: cross- sectional Location: Bangladesh Population: 11,319 participants in HEALS Data collection period: 2000–2002	As concentration range:	Variables assessed: self- reported physician diagnosis of diabetes, dipstick urinalysis for glucose <u>Adjustments</u> : age, BMI, smoking, education, urinary creatinine <u>Analysis</u> : unconditional logistic regression	No association or dose-response trends were observed between arsenic concentration in drinking water or urine and the prevalence of diabetes mellitus or glucosuria.
Chen et al. 2011a	Study design: cross- sectional Location: Taiwan Population: 910 exposed, 133 controls Data collection period: 2002–2005	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine (corrected for creatinine) <u>As concentrations</u> : Quartiles: - Q1: ≤35 μg/g - Q2: >35–75 μg/g - Q3: >75–200 μg/g - Q4: >200 μg/g	<u>Variables assessed</u> : diabetes (fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL or treatment with diabetic therapy) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, location of residence, smoking, hypertension, urinary lead, cadmium, and nickel <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	For subjects in Q3 and Q4, the risk for type 2 diabetes was increased approximately 2-fold compared to Q1. A dose-response relationship was observed (p<0.05). Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - Q3: 2.08 (1.05, 3.69) - Q4: 2.22 (1.21, 4.09)
Coronado- Gonzalez et al. 2007	Study design: case control Location: Mexico Population: adult males and females; 200 cases, 200 controls Data collection period: 2003	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine, corrected for creatinine <u>As concentration</u> : Tertiles: - T1: <63.5 - T2: 63.5–104 µg/g - T3: >104 µg/g	Variables assessed: diagnosis of diabetes (fasting glucose values 126 mg/100 mL or a history of diabetes treated with insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, hypertension, family history of diabetes, obesity, serum lipids <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate analysis with unconditional logistic regression	Compared to T1, urine arsenic concentrations of arsenic for T2 and T3 were associated with an increased risk of diabetes. Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - T2: 2.16 (1.23, 3.79) - T3: 2.84 (1.64, 4.92)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Del Razo et al. 2011	Study design: cross-sectional Location: Mexico Population: 258 male and female children (28% ≤18 years old) and adults (72% >18 years old) Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in main drinking water source for participant; arsenic concentration (total and metabolites) in urine <u>As concentration range</u> : Drinking water:	regression	A positive association was observed between arsenic concentration in drinking water and urine levels of DMA (but not total arsenic) and the prevalence of diabetes. Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - drinking water: 1.13 (1.05, 1.22); p<0.0 - urine DMA: 1.24 (1.00, 1.55); p=0.05 Negative associations were observed for arsenic concentration in drinking water and for concentration of total arsenic in urine. Results indicate that different arsenic- induced diabetes has a different underlying mechanism that type 2 diabetes (characterized by insulin resistance). Adjusted beta (95% CI: - FPI (drinking water) =: -2.084 (-2.720, -1.448); p<0.01 - IR (drinking water): -1.641 (-2.358, -0.924); p<0.01 - FPI (total arsenic in urine: -5.313 (8.068, -2.559); p<0.01 - IR (total arsenic in urine): -4.538 (-7.514, -1.562); p<0.01

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Gribble et al. 2012	Study design: cross- sectional Location: United States (Arizona, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota) <u>Population</u> : 3,925 male (41%) and female (59%) adults from Native American communities <u>Data collection period</u> : 1989–1991	Mean: 14.1 μg/L Quartiles: - Q1: <7.9 μg/L - Q2: 7.9-<14.0 μg/L - Q3: 14.1-<24.1 μg/L - Q4:≥24.2 μg/L	Variables assessed: diabetes (fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL, 2-hour glucose level ≥200 mg/dL, HbA1c ≥ 6.5%, or diabetes treatment) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, education, alcohol consumption, smoking, BMI, urine creatinine <u>Analysis</u> : Poisson regression models	The prevalence of diabetes was associated with urine total arsenic concentration in Q2– Q4, although the association was restricted to participants with poorly controlled diabetes (i.e., HbA1c \geq 8%. Adjusted prevalence ratios (95% CI): - Q2: 1.15 (1.04, 1.27) - Q3: 1.21 (1.08, 1.34) - Q4: 1.28 (1.14, 1.44)
Islam et al. 2012b	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : adult males and females; 89 exposed; 915 controls <u>Data collection period</u> : not reported	<u>As concentration</u> : Mean±SD: 159±198.5 μg/L Quartiles: - Q1: 10–22 μg/L	Variables assessed: diagnosis of diabetes (fasting blood glucose >126 mg/dL or self- reported physician diagnosis of type 2 diabetes) Adjustments: age, sex, education, BMI, family history of type 2 diabetes Analysis: multivariate linear regression	For data stratified into two groups by arsenic concentration in drinking water (referents: <50 µg/L; exposed: ≥50 µg/L), exposure was associated with an increase in the risk of diabetes of 2.1-fold. Adjusted odds ratios: - <50 µg/L: 1 - >50 µg/L: 2.1 (95% CI: 1.3, 3.2) For data stratified into quartiles, an association for arsenic exposure and the risk of diabetes was observed Q4 compared to Q1 (adjusted OR: 1.9; 95% CI: 1.1–3.5), but not Q2 and Q3 compared to Q1. A positive trend (p<0.01) was observed across

quartiles.

	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
James et al. 2013	Study design: case cohort Location: United States (Colorado) <u>Population</u> : adult males and females; 141 cases; 347 control cohort <u>Data collection period</u> : initial visit 1984–1988; follow-up visits 1988– 1992 and 1997–1998	<u>TWA exposure</u> : Quartiles: - Q1: 1–<4 μg/L-year - Q2: ≥4–<8 μg/L-year - Q3: ≥8–<20 μg/L-year	Variables assessed: diabetes (self-reported diagnosis with medical record verification, plasma glucose ≥140 mg/dL or 2-hour glucose level ≥200 mg/dL Adjustments: age, gender, ethnicity, BMI, physical activity level Analysis: Cox proportional hazards model	A significant association was observed between arsenic exposure and risk of diabetes. For each 15 μ g/L increase in TWA arsenic exposure, the risk of diabetes increases by 27%. Adjusted hazard ratio: 1.27 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.59); p=0.04 For exposure based on quartiles, significant adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI) were observed Q4, but not Q2 or Q3 compared to Q1. Adjusted hazard ratio: 1.55 (1.00, 2.51); p=0.05 No trend was observed across TWA
Kim and Lee 2011	Study design: cross- sectional Location: South Korea Population: KNHANES 2008; 1,677 adult males (47%) and females (53%) Data collection period: 2007–2009	 Q4: ≥20 µg/L-year Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine, corrected for creatinine Mean As concentrations (95% CI): All participants: 121.1 µg/g (111.5, 131.6) Males: 103.3 µg/g (93.1, 114.7) Females: 137.8 µg/g (122.4, 155.1) 	Variables assessed: diagnosis of diabetes (fasting serum glucose ≥126 mg/dL, self- reported physician diagnosis of diabetes, or self-reported use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, BMI, smoking, alcohol use, education, hypertension, regional area, residential area, seafood consumption <u>Analysis</u> : multiple regression analysis	

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Kim et al. 2013	Study design: case control Location: United States (Arizona) Population: Native American adults (≥25 years); 150 exposed; 150 controls Data collection period: 1982–2007	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine, adjusted for creatinine [Note: units as reported in the publication (μg/L) are not typical for urine concentrations that have been corrected for creatinine (μg/g)] <u>As concentration range</u> : - total arsenic: 6.6– 123.1 μg/L - inorganic arsenic: 0.1– 36.0 μg/L	75-g oral glucose tolerance test of ≥200 mg/dL) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, BMI <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	Risk for diabetes was not significantly elevated for total arsenic or inorganic arsenic in urine. Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI): - Total arsenic: 1.11 (0.79, 1.57) - Inorganic arsenic: 1.16 (0.89, 1.53)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Li et al. 2013a	Study design: cross- sectional Location: China (Inner Mongolia) Population: 669 adult men (43%) and women (57%) Data collection period: not reported	Range: 0–760 μg/L Tertiles: - T1: <10 μg/L - T2: 10–50 μg/L	<u>Variables assessed</u> : diabetes (diagnosis not specified) <u>Adjustments</u> : gender, age, smoking, alcohol, cumulative arsenic exposure <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	No significant association was observed between arsenic exposure and type 2 diabetes. Adjusted odds ratios (95'% CI): - T2: 1.362 (0.519, 3.571) - T3: 1.578 (0.584, 4.262)
Navas-Acien et al. 2008	Study design: cross- sectional Location: United States Population: 788 adults (≥20 years); males (49%) and females (51%) NHANES participants Data collection period: 2003–2004	20 th percentile: 3.0 μg/L 80 th percentile: 16.5 μg/L Tertiles: - T1: <4.8 μg/L	Variables assessed: diagnosis of diabetes (defined as fasting serum glucose level ≥126 mg/dL, self-reported physician diagnosis of diabetes, or self-reported use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication) <u>Adjustments</u> : sex, age, race/ethnicity, urine creatinine, education, BMI, hypertension medication use, urine markers of seafood intake <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Navas-Acien et al. 2009	Study design: cross- sectional Location: US Population: 1,279 adult male and female NHANES participants (160 with diabetes; aged ≥20 years) Data collection period: 2003–2006	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine <u>As concentration</u> : Median: 7.4 µg/L 20 th percentile: 3.4 µg/L 80 th percentile: 17.2 µg/L	Variables assessed: diagnosis of diabetes (defined as fasting serum glucose level ≥126 mg/dL, self-reported physician diagnosis of diabetes, or self-reported use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, race/ethnicity, urine creatinine, urine arsenobetaine, blood mercury <u>Analysis</u> : not reported	•

39

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Pan et al. 2013	Study design: case control Location: Bangladesh Population: adult males and females; 84 cases, 849 controls Data collection period: 2001–2011	$\label{eq:product} \begin{split} \underline{\text{Exposure measures}:} \\ arsenic concentration in \\ drinking water and toenails \\ for each participant \\ \underline{\text{Drinking water (\mug/L):}} \\ & - \text{ mean}\pm\text{SD, controls:} \\ & 142\pm278.1 \\ & - \text{ mean}\pm\text{SD, exposed:} \\ & 202.4\pm277.2 \\ \text{Quartiles:} \\ & - \text{Q1:} \leq 1.7 \ \mu\text{g/L} \\ & - \text{Q2:} 1.8-15.5 \ \mu\text{g/L} \\ & - \text{Q3:} 15.6-170 \ \mu\text{g/L} \\ & - \text{Q4:} \geq 170 \ \mu\text{g/L} \\ \hline \\ \underline{\text{Toenails (}\mu\text{g/g):}} \\ & - \text{ mean}\pm\text{SD, controls:} \\ & 4.8\pm7.1 \\ & - \text{ mean}\pm\text{SD, exposed:} \\ & 5.4\pm\text{SD} \\ \text{Quartiles:} \\ & - \text{Q1:} \leq 0.93 \ \mu\text{g/g} \\ & - \text{Q2:} 0.94-2.12 \ \mu\text{g/g} \\ & - \text{Q3:} 2.13-6.18 \ \mu\text{g/g} \\ & - \text{Q4:} \geq 6.19 \ \mu\text{g/g} \end{split}$	<u>Variables assessed</u> : diagnosis of diabetes (based on hemoglobin A1c levels ≥6.5%) <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, BMI, smoking, skin lesions, arsenic in drinking water, arsenic in toenails <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	An association was observed between exposure to arsenic in drinking water (Q3 and Q4 compared to Q1) and in toenails (Q2–Q4 compared to Q1) and increased ris of diabetes. Drinking water adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - Q3: 3.07 (1.38, 6.85) - Q4: 4.51 (2.01, 10.09) Toenail adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - Q2: 3.34 (1.46, 7.64) - Q3: 3.40 (1.46, 7.89) - Q4: 6.22 (2.63, 14.69)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Rhee et al. 2013	Study design: cross-sectional Location: Korea (not specified) Population: 3,602 adults (aged ≥20 years); males (49%) and females (51%); NHANES participants; Data collection period: 2008–2009	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in urine, corrected for creatinine <u>As concentration range</u> : 70.7–193.4 μg/g <u>As quartiles</u> : - Q1: <70.7 μg/g - Q2: 70.7–117.7 μg/g - Q3: 117.7– <193.4 μg/g - Q4: ≥193.4 μg/g	Variables assessed: diagnosis of diabetes (self-reported physician diagnosis or treatment with insulin or oral antidiabetic medication); glucose tolerance test, insulin resistance test, insulin secretion capacity <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, urban or rural residence, smoking, alcohol consumption, occupation, serum mercury level. <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression (for risk of diabetes based on quartiles) and multiple linear regression (for continuous exposure)	
Steinmaus et al. 2009	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional <u>Location</u> : United States (national) <u>Population</u> : NHANES participants; 795 adult males (53%) and females (47%) <u>Data collection period</u> : 2003–2004	Tertiles: - T1: ≤5.2 μg/L - T2: 5.3–11.8 μg/L	<u>Variables assessed</u> : diagnosis of diabetes (self-report physician diagnosed or use of insulin or other antidiabetic medications) <u>Adjustments</u> : sex, age, ethnicity, BMI, serum cotinine, current use of hypertensive medication <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	No evidence of increased risk of diabetes for T2 or T3 compared to T1 was observed using total urinary arsenic or inorganic arsenic.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Metabolic synd	drome			
Chen et al. 2012a	<u>Study design</u> : case- control <u>Location</u> : Taiwan <u>Population</u> : adult males and females; exposed 111; controls 136 <u>Data collection period</u> : 2002–2003	Exposure measures: drinking water (measured in village wells) and cumulative exposure <u>As concentration</u> : Drinking water tertiles: - T1: <700 μg/L - T2: 700–767.65 μg/L - T3: >767.65 μg/L Cumulative exposure - T1: <12.60 μg/L-year - T2: 12.60–18.90 μg/L- year - T3: >18.9 μg/L-year	syndrome (a strong predictor of	

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Impaired gluco	ose tolerance during pre	gnancy		
Ettinger et al. 2009	Study design: prospective cohort Location: United States (Oklahoma) Population: 532 pregnant women, examined during weeks 24 and 28 of gestation Data collection period: 2002–2008	Mean±SD:1.7±1.5 Quartiles: - Q1: 0.23–0.92 μg/L	Variables assessed: glucose tolerance test (impair glucose tolerance defined as blood glucose level of >140 mg/dL 1 hour after oral challenge with 50-g oral glucose <u>Adjustments</u> : age, Native American race, pre-pregnancy BMI, Medicaid use, marital status <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate logistic regression	Arsenic concentration in blood was associated with an increased risk of impaired glucose tolerance at 24–28 weeks of gestation for Q3 and Q4, compared to Q1 Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - Q3: 2.65 (1.12, 6.36) - Q4: 2.79 (1.13, 6.87) Arsenic concentration in blood was significantly correlated with 1-hour blood glucose levels (p=0.02). An interquartile increase in blood arsenic concentration of 1.2 μ g/L was associated with 1.76 times higher odds of impaired glucose tolerance. No correlation was observed for arsenic concentration in hair (p=0.08) and 1-hour blood glucose levels. Blood and hair levels of arsenic were not significantly correlated (p=0.08) with each other.

As = arsenic; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; DMA = dimethylarsinic acid; GSD = geometric standard deviation; HEALS = Health Effects for Arsenic Longitudinal Study; KNHANES = Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey SD = standard deviation; TWA = time-weighted average

ARSENIC

A case-cohort study conducted in Colorado (141 adult cases) found a significant association between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and the risk of diabetes (James et al. 2013). For exposure based on time-weighted average drinking water concentrations $\geq 20 \ \mu g/L$ -year, the adjusted hazard ratio for diabetes was 1.55 (95% CI: 1.00, 2.51). In a case-control study conducted in Mexico (200 adult cases), adjusted odds ratios were 2.16 (95% CI: 1.23, 3.79) and 2.84 (95% CI: 1.64, 4.92) for urine arsenic concentrations of 63.5–104 and >104 $\mu g/g$ creatinine, respectively (Coronado-Gonzales et al. 2007). Increased risk of diabetes was also observed in a case-control study conducted in Bangladesh (84 adult cases, Pan et al. 2013). Adjusted odds ratios of 3.07 (95% CI: 1.38, 6.85) and 4.51 (95% CI: 2.01, 10.09) were observed for drinking water arsenic concentrations of 15.6–170 and \geq 170 $\mu g/L$, respectively. Several cross-sectional cohort studies also found significant associations between arsenic exposure and diabetes (Chen et al. 2011a; Del Razo et al. 2011; Gribble et al. 2012; Islam et al. 2012b; Kim and Lee 2011; Navas-Acien et al. 2008, 2009; Rhee et al. 2013). Risk estimates in these studies ranged from 1.13 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.22) based on drinking water concentration of arsenic (Del Razo et al. 2011) to 3.58 (95% CI: 1.18, 10.83) based on urine arsenic concentrations (Navas-Acien et al. 2008).

A prospective cohort study of 532 pregnant women from Oklahoma showed a significant association between arsenic concentration in blood and impaired glucose tolerance during weeks 24–28 of gestation (Ettinger et al. 2009). Adjusted odds ratios were 2.65 (95% CI: 1.12, 6.36) and 2.79 (95% CI: 1.13, 6.87) for blood arsenic concentrations of 1.40–2.08 and 2.09–24.07 μ g/L, respectively. An interquartile increase in blood arsenic concentration of 1.2 μ g/L was associated with 1.76 times higher odds of impaired glucose tolerance.

Dermal Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Skin lesions are one of the most common and characteristic effects of arsenic ingestion in humans. Dermal effects include generalized hyperkeratosis and formation of hyperkeratotic warts or corns on the palms and soles, along with areas of hyperpigmentation interspersed with small areas of hypopigmentation on the face, neck, and back. Recent epidemiological studies provide additional evidence of adverse dermal effects associated with exposure to arsenic-contaminated drinking water (Argos et al. 2011; Barati et al. 2010; Fatmi et al. 2009, 2013; Hashim et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013a; Lindberg et al. 2008; Melkonian et al. 2011; Pesola et al. 2012; Pierce et al. 2011; Xia et al. 2009).

Ocular Effects.

Inorganic Arsenicals. Ocular effects of exposure of humans to drinking water have been studied in cross-sectional and cross-sectional cohort studies (Ghosh et al. 2007; Lin et al. 2008; Paul et al. 2013b;

See et al. 2007). These studies have shown associations between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and increased risk ocular effects, including conjunctivitis, cataract/ocular opacity, and pterygium. Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-5.

Studies evaluating the risk of conjunctivitis show an increased risk at mean arsenic drinking water concentrations >39.94 µg/L, with risk estimates ranging from 4.66 (95% CI: 2.45, 8.85) for exposure to a mean arsenic concentration in drinking water of 186.89 µg/L to 37.22 (95% CI: 20.56, 67.36) for exposure to a mean arsenic concentration in drinking water of 200.83 µg/L (Ghosh et al. 2007; Paul et al. 2013b). Ghosh et al. (2007) reported a positive trend for increasing risk with increasing drinking water arsenic level (p<0.001). In a study conducted in Taiwan (349 adults), a dose-response relationship (p<0.05) between cumulative arsenic exposure to 12.1–20 and >20 mg/L-year were 4.78 (95% CI: 1.03, 22.18) and 5.70 (95% CI: 1.23, 26.32), respectively. A cross-sectional study in adults (223; Taiwan) showed that an increased cumulative exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with an increase in the prevalence of pterygium (Lin et al. 2008). Adjusted odds ratios for cumulative exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with an increase in the prevalence of pterygium (Lin et al. 2008). Adjusted odds ratios for cumulative exposure to 0.1–15.0 and >15.1 mg/L-year were 2.04 (95% CI: 1.04, 3.99) and 2.88 (95% CI: 1.42, 5.83), respectively.

3.2.2.3 Immunological and Lymphoreticular Effects

Inorganic Arsenicals. Effects of exposure to arsenic in drinking water on immunological function was investigated in a cross-sectional study in of 577 children (mean age 4.5 years) in Bangladesh (Ahmed et al. 2014). Following adjustments for age, gender, and socio-economic status, the delayed hypersensitivity response following intradermal challenge with purified protein derivative was negatively associated with urine arsenic concentrations of $126-1,228 \mu g/L$, (adjusted risk ratio: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.07, 1.74) compared to the control group with urine arsenic concentrations of $12-34 \mu g/L$. A statistically significant (p=0.003) trend across the urine concentration range of $35-1,228 \mu g/L$ was observed. Associations also were observed between the urine concentration range of $107-1,228 \mu g/L$ and decreasing plasma concentrations of cytokines IL-2 (adjusted beta: -1.57; 95% CI: -2.56, -0.57) and TNF- α (adjusted beta: -4.53; 95% CI: -8.62, -0.42). Results are consistent with effects on cell-mediated immunity. In addition, epidemiological studies have found associations between maternal exposure to arsenic in drinking water and susceptibility to infections and thymic function of infants; results of these studies are reviewed in Section 3.2.2.6 (Developmental Effects).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Conjunctivitis				
Ghosh et al. 2007	Study design: cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : India <u>Population</u> : 725 cases (373 with skin lesions, 352 without skin lesions), 389 controls; age range: 15– 70 years <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 2003–2005		<u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, smoking <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	Exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with a higher risk of conjunctivitis compared to controls. Exposed participants with skin lesions had a higher risk of developing conjunctivitis than those without skin lesions: Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - Controls: 1 - Cases (no skin lesions): 4.66 (2.45, 8.85) - Cases (with skin lesions): 37.22 (20.56, 67.36) A trend test for odds ratios was statistically significant (p<0.001).
Paul et al. 2013b	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: India Population: males and females; 189 cases, 171 controls Data collection period: Data were collected from same participants for two time periods: 2005– 2006 and 2010–2011	Exposure measures: drinking water from individual participants <u>As concentration</u> : Mean±SD for 2005–2006 - controls: 4.13±3.18 µg/L - cases: 190.1±110.53 µg/L Mean±SD for 2010–2011 - controls: 3.7±3.0 µg/L - cases: 37.94±27.08 µg/L	Variables assessed: conjunctivitis (not caused by bacterial or viral infections or allergens), diagnosed by an ophthalmologist <u>Adjustments</u> : none <u>Analysis</u> : ratio of incidence in exposed to control groups	The risk of development of conjunctivitis was significantly increased in cases compared to controls for both collection periods. Odds ratios (95% Cl): 2005–2006: - controls: 1 - cases: 11.15 (4.91, 25.32) 2010–2011: - controls: 1 - cases: 20.51 (9.84, 42.72)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Cataracts/ocul	ar opacity			
See et al. 2007	Study design: cross- sectional Location: Taiwan Population: 349 male and female adults (43% male; 57% female; ages 37–81) Data collection period: 1988–1996 for arsenic exposure; eye examinations conducted in 1996	water concentration and time of residence <u>As concentration</u> : Quartiles: - Q1: 0	cataracts, posterior subcapsular opacity, as diagnosed by an ophthalmologist <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, diabetes status, occupational exposure to sunlight <u>Analysis</u> : Chi-square test	A dose-response relationship (p<0.05) between cumulative arsenic exposure and posterior subcapsular opacity was observed Adjusted odd ratios (95% CI): - Q1: 1 - Q1: 2.19 (0.40, 12.07) - Q3: 4.78 (1.03, 22.18); p<0.05 - Q4: 5.70 (1.23, 26.32); p<0.05 Adjusted odds ratios were not statistically significant for cortical opacity, nuclear opacity, or overall cataracts.
Pterygium				
Lin et al. 2008	Study design: cross- sectional Location: Taiwan Population: adult males and females, >40 years of age; 223 exposed, 160 controls Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: drinking water, expressed as cumulative arsenic exposure, based on well water concentration and time of residence <u>As concentration</u> : Controls: <0.1 mg/L-year Cases: - 0.1–15.0 mg/L-year - ≥15.1 mg/L-year	Variables assessed: ophthalmologist diagnosis of pterygium <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, exposure to sunlight and sandy environments <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	Increased cumulative exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with a significant increase in the prevalence of pterygium. Adjusted odds ratios (95% CI): - <0.1 mg/L-year: 1 - 0.1–15.0 mg/L-year: 2.04 (1.04, 3.99), p<0.05 - ≥15.1 mg/L-year: 2.88 (1.42, 5.83); p<0.05

As = arsenic; CI = confidence interval

A study in animals provides evidence of immune effects following intermediate-duration exposure to oral arsenic (Ezeh et al. 2014). Exposure of male C57BL/6J mice to drinking water concentrations of 19, 75, or 300 μ g As/L (as sodium arsenite) for 30 days suppressed humoral immunity. The T-dependent antibody response to sheep red blood cells was significantly (p<0.05) decreased by approximately 55 and 49% of control in the 75 and 300 μ g/L groups, respectively. Lymphoid progenitor cells were more sensitive to effects of arsenic than myeloid progenitor cells.

3.2.2.4 Neurological Effects

Inorganic Arsenicals. Several epidemiological studies have examined effects of exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water on the neurological system using cross-sectional or cross-sectional cohort study designs (Ali et al. 2010; Ghosh et al. 2007; Guo et al. 2007; Li et al. 2006; Paul et al. 2013b; Tseng et al. 2006). Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-6. These studies found increased risks in association with exposures to arsenic in drinking water and/or urinary arsenic concentrations for decreased plasma cholinesterase activity, decreased peripheral nerve conduction velocity, peripheral neuropathy, and altered sensory function. In addition, effects on neurological function and development have been observed in children exposed to arsenic *in utero* and early life; results of these studies are reviewed in Section 3.2.2.6 (Developmental Effects).

In a cross-sectional study conducted in Bangladesh (141 adults), a significant, negative correlation was observed between plasma cholinesterase activity and arsenic concentration in drinking water (Spearman correlation coefficient: 0.52; p<0.001) (Ali et al. 2010). A cross-sectional study of 130 adolescents in Taiwan showed an association between exposure to drinking water concentration >50 µg/L and decreased nerved conduction velocity (adjusted odds ratio: 7.8; 95% CI: 1.001, 69.5) (Tseng et al. 2006). Exposure to arsenic in drinking water was associated with increased risk of peripheral neuropathy in adults in India and China (Ghosh et al. 2007; Li et al. 2006; Paul et al. 2013b). Increased risk of peripheral neuropathy was observed at mean drinking water concentrations ranging from 37.94 to 200.83 µg/L (Ghosh et al. 2006). A cross-sectional cohort study conducted in China (680 exposed) showed significant increases in the incidence of hearing loss (p=0.005), loss of taste (p=0.001), and blurred vision (p<0.001) in participants exposed to an arsenic drinking water concentration >50 µg/L, compared to participants (189) exposed to \leq 50 µg/L (Guo et al. 2007).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Enzyme activit	у У	•		
Ali et al. 2010	Study design: cross- sectional Location: Bangladesh Population: 141 participants; 89 male and	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in water (tube wells for individual households or communities), hair, nails As concentration:	Variables assessed: plasma cholinesterase activity (PChE) <u>Adjustments</u> : <u>Analysis</u> : Spearman correlation coefficient (r _s) test	A statistically significant, negative correlation was observed between PChE activity and arsenic concentration in water (r_s =-0.52; p<0.001), hair (r_s =-0.47; p<0.001) and nails (r_s =-0.35; p<0.001).
	52 female; mean age: 37.7 years (range not reported) <u>Data collection period</u> : not reported	Water (mean±SD): - 224.92±57.20 µg/L Hair (mean±SD):		PChE activity was significantly lower (23% decrease; p<0.001) for participants with exposure to arsenic water concentrations >50 μ g/L compared to those with exposure to <50 μ g/L. PChE activity was also significantly lower (20% decrease; p<0.001) in participants with arsenic-induced skin lesion compared to those without lesions.
Peripheral neu	ropathy/conduction velo	ocity		
Ghosh et al. 2007	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: India Population: 725 exposed (373 with skin lesions, 352 without skin lesions), 389 controls; age range: 15– 70 years Data collection period: 2003–2005	Range (all participants): - 0–1,188 µg/L Mean±SD: - Control:	glove distribution, numbness, weakness, muscle cramps, anesthesia, hypoesthesia,	- controls: 1

Table 3-6. Neurological Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans

	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Li et al. 2006	Study design: cross- sectional Location: China Population: 309 participants (mean age: 34.7 years) Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water from individual households <u>As concentration</u> : Tertiles: - T1: 0–20 μg/L - T2: 100–300 μg/L - T3: 400–700 μg/L	Variables assessed: self- reported neurological symptoms (amnesia, impaired autonomic nervous system [ANS], headache, hearing loss, impaired heat/cold sensation, numbness, pain, impaired sense of smell, impaired vibration sensation), pin prick response of arms and legs <u>Adjustments</u> : age, gender, smoking, alcohol consumption, education <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	The incidence if self-reported neurological symptoms was significantly (p<0.05) elevated in the T3, relative to T1, for pain and impaired vibration sensation, but not for other self-reported symptoms. No statistically significant increases for self- reported neurological symptoms were observed for T2 compared to T1. The probability of an increased pin prick score for arms and legs was significantly increased (p>0.005) T3, but not T2, compared to T1.
Paul et al. 2013b	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: India Population: male and female adults (18– 70 years); 189 exposed, 171 controls Data collection period: Data were collected from same participants for two time periods: 2005– 2006 and 2010–2011	Exposure measures: drinking water from individual participants <u>As concentration</u> : Mean±SD for 2005–2006 - controls: 4.13±3.18 µg/L - exposed: 190.1±110.53 µg/L Mean±SD for 2010–2011 - controls: 3.7±3.0 µg/L - exposed: 37.94±27.08 µg/L	Variables assessed: neurological symptoms (muscle cramps, numbness, pain, paraesthesias of stocking and glove regions), assessed by a neurologist <u>Adjustments</u> : none <u>Analysis</u> : ratio of incidence in exposed to control groups	The risk of development of peripheral neuropathy was significantly increased in cases compared to controls for both collection periods. Odds ratios (95% CI): 2005–2006: - controls: 1 - exposed: 9.08 (3.48, 23.72) 2010–2011: - controls: 1 - exposed: 18.48 (7.75, 44.06)

Table 3-6. Neurological Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Tseng et al. 2006	Study design: cross- sectional Location: Taiwan Population: 130 adolescents, ages 12–14 years Data collection period: not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water; cumulative dose of arsenic <u>As concentration</u> : Drinking water tertiles:	Variables assessed: sural sensory action potential nerve conduction velocity <u>Adjustments</u> : gender, height <u>Analysis</u> : multiple logistic regression	A significant (0.01≤p<0.05) association was observed between exposure to a cumulative arsenic dose for T3, but not T2, and decreased conduction velocity. Adjusted odds ratio: 2.9 (95% CI: 1.1, 7.5). For drinking water concentration, a significant (0.01≤p<0.05) decrease in nerve conduction velocity was observed in T3, but not T2, compared to T1. Adjusted odds ratio: 7.8 (95% CI: 1.001, 69.5).
Sensory funct	ion	-		
Guo et al. 2007	<u>Study design</u> : Cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : <u>Population</u> : exposed: 680, controls: 189 (age not reported) <u>Data collection period</u> : 1992–2004	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water from primary drinking water source <u>As concentration</u> : Controls: ≤50 µg/L Exposed: >50 µg/L	Variables assessed: loss of hearing, loss of taste, blurred vision, and numbness of limbs, based on physical examination <u>Adjustments</u> : none reported <u>Analysis</u> : not reported	The incidence of hearing loss, loss of taste, blurred vision, and numbness of limbs was significantly increased in cases compared to controls. Incidence (%) for each endpoint in controls and cases: Loss of hearing - controls: 2 - exposed: 40 (p=0.005) Loss of taste - controls: 0 - exposed: 37 (p=0.001) Blurred vision - controls: 7 - exposed: 118 (p<0.001) Numbness of limbs - controls: 0 - exposed: 228 (p<0.001)

3.2.2.5 Reproductive Effects

Inorganic Arsenicals. Human epidemiological studies have examined associations between exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and semen quality or endometriosis (Pollack et al. 2013; Xu et al. 2012). Details of study designs and outcomes for these studies are provided in Table 3-7. The risk of decreased sperm concentration was associated with urine DMA concentrations in a cross-sectional study of 96 men in China (Xu et al. 2012). Urinary concentrations of DMA above the median value of 20.9 μ g/g creatinine were associated with decreased sperm concentrations (adjusted odds ratio: 7.2; 95% CI: 1.4, 37.1). No significant associations were observed between urinary concentration of DMA and sperm motility or semen volume, or between urinary concentrations of inorganic arsenic MMA and sperm concentration, sperm motility, or semen volume. In a matched cohort study of 473 women in California and Utah, no association between urine arsenic concentrations $\geq 10.83 \mu$ g/L (upper range not reported) and endometriosis was observed (Pollack et al. 2013).

Several studies in animals have shown adverse effects of oral exposure to inorganic arsenic on male and female reproductive systems (Akram et al. 2010; Chatterjee and Chatterji 2010; Li et al. 2012; Pachnanda and Singh 2012; Reilly et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2011). Details of study designs and outcomes for these studies are provided in Table 3-8. In male mice and rats, oral exposure arsenic produced several adverse effects, including decreased testicular weight, decreased spermatogenesis and sperm motility, decreased fertility, and histopathological changes to testes and epididymes (Li et al. 2012; Pachnada and Singh 2012; Singh et al. 2011). Of these studies, the lowest exposure found to be associated with reproductive effects was a study in which male rats exposed to drinking water collected from wells in India (arsenic concentration 0.102 mg/L) for 1–3 weeks resulted in significant testicular toxicity (Singh et al. 2011). Findings included duration-dependent decreases in testicular weight (10-28%), sperm count (20-57%), and sperm motility (26–58%), compared to controls, and atrophic changes in testes due to degenerative changes in spermatogenic and Leydig cells. Exposure of female rats to drinking water containing 4.0-200 mg/L arsenic for 1–4 weeks days caused significant decreases in uterine weight and length, serum levels of hormones (estradiol, progesterone, follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone), and histopathological changes to the uterus (Akram et al. 2010; Chatterjee and Chatterji 2010). In addition, the time to onset of puberty was significantly delayed by approximately 2 days and changes to mammary gland morphology were observed in immature female rats exposed (gavage) to 10 mg/kg/day as sodium arsenite (Reilly et al. 2013). Effects of pre- and postnatal exposure on the female reproductive system are reviewed in Section 3.2.2.6 (Developmental Effects).

Table 3-7. Reproductive Effects of C	Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Endometriosis				
Pollack et al. 2013	Study design: matched cohort Location: United States (Salt Lake City, Utah and San Francisco, California) <u>Population:</u> 473 women (ages 18–44) scheduled for laparoscopic surgery; matched cohort: 127 <u>Data collection</u> <u>period</u> : 2007–2009	<u>Exposure measures</u> : As concentration in urine <u>As concentration</u> : Mean: 8.37 μg/L (95% CI: 7.41, 9.46) Tertiles: - T1: <4.94 μg/L - T2: 4.94– 10.83 μg/L - T3: >10.83 μg/L	Variables assessed: endometriosis defined as surgically visualized disease <u>Adjustments</u> : age, BMI, smoking, location, race, vitamin use <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	No association was observed between As concentration in urine and endometriosis, based on comparison of adjusted odds ratios for tertiles.
Semen quality				
Xu et al. 2012	Study design: cross- sectional Location: China Population: 96 men Data collection period: 2009–2010	Exposure measures: urine total inorganic As (As <i>i</i>) DMA and MMA, adjusted for creatinine <u>As concentration (median)</u> : - As <i>i</i> : 4.03 μg/g - DMA: 20.9 μg/g - MMA: 2.77 μg/g	Variables assessed: semen volume, sperm concentration, sperm motility, compared to reference values <u>Adjustments</u> : age, BMI, abstinence time, smoking, alcohol consumption <u>Analysis</u> : assessments based on dichotomous urinary As concentration for each variable by binary logistic regression	Urinary concentrations of DMA above the median value were associated with decreased sperm concentrations (adjusted odds ratio: 7.2; 95% CI: 1.4–37.1; p=0.02). No significant associations were observed between urinary concentration of DMA and sperm motility or semen volume, or between urinary concentrations of As <i>i</i> or MMA and any variable.

AS = arsenic; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; DMA = dimethylarsinic acid; MMA = monomethylarsonic acid

Table 3-8. Reproductive Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Animals

Reference	Species	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Effects on the	male reproductive	system		
Li et al. 2012	Male mice (strain and age at initiation of treatment not specified); 10 per group		Sperm activity and malformation, spermatogenesis, relative weight of testes and epididymes, histopathology of testis and epididymis	 <u>Sperm activity</u>: Significantly (p<0.05) decreased by 15–17% in the 2 and 4 mg/L groups compared to controls. <u>Percentage of sperm malformation</u>: Significantly (p<0.05) increased 2.5-fold in the 4 mg/L group <u>Spermatogenesis (numbers of developing sperm at differen stages)</u>: Spermatogonia: significantly (p<0.05) decreased by approximately 31 and 41% in the 2 and 4 mg/L groups, respectively, compared to control. Spermatocytes: significantly (p<0.05) decreased by approximately 25 and 40% in the 2 and 4 mg/L groups, respectively, compared to control. Spermatids: significantly (p<0.05) decreased by approximately 17% in the 4 mg/L group compared to control. Mature spermatids: significantly (p<0.05) decreased by approximately 12% in the 4 mg/L group compared to control.
				 <u>Relative weight of testes and epididymes</u>: Testes: significantly decreased (p<0.05) by approximately 12–13% in the 2 and 4 mg/L groups compared to controls. Epididymes: significantly decreased (p<0.05) by approximately 15–17% in the 2 and 4 mg/L groups compared to controls. <u>Histopathology of testes</u>: In the 2 and 4 mg/L groups, seminiferous tubules showed disruption of spermatogenesis reduced layers of germs cell alignment, and decreased numbers of spermatozoa.

Reference	Species	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				No effects observed in the 1 mg/L group.
				<u>Histopathology of epididymis</u> : In the 2 and 4 mg/L groups, microscopic examination of the epididymis showed marked decreases in lumen area and sperm and sparse stereocilia of principal cells.
Pachnanda and Singh 2012	albino rats;	Gavage; 0, 1, 2, or 3 mg/kg body weight/day sodium arsenite for 30 days	Body and testicular weight, histopathological evaluation of testes, fertility	Body and testicular weight: No significant changes in body weight; significant (p<0.05), dose-related decreases in testicular weight, ranging from approximately 22% (1 mg/kg bw/day) to 54% (3 mg/kg body weight/day).
			Tertinity	<u>Testicular histopathology</u> : Pathological findings in treatment groups included shrunken seminiferous tubules with defective spermatogenesis and decreased layers of germ cells, changes to organization of spermatogonia and spermatocytes, spermatocytes with swollen nuclei, atrophied spermatocytes, sloughing of dead germ cells into the lumen, dissolution of the tubule membrane, and leakage of germ cells into the interstitial space. Severity of changes increased with dose. Spermatogenesis was markedly inhibited at the highest dose.
				<u>Fertility</u> : In matings with females, the percentage of infertile males was 80% in the 1 mg/kg/day group, compared to controls. Males in the 2 and 3 mg/kg/day groups were completely infertile.
Singh et al. 2011	Adult male albino rats; 5 per group	Drinking water collected from Agra, India containing 0.102 mg/L	Body weight, organ weight, sperm count, sperm motility,	Body weight: Significant, but small, decreases in body weight (approximately 6–7%; p<0.05) for all treatment durations.
		for 7, 14, or 21 days; control group treated with distilled water	histopathological evaluation of testes	<u>Testicular weight</u> : Significant (p<0.05) duration-dependent decreases of approximately 10, 20, 28% at 7, 14, and 21 days, respectively.
				Sperm count: Significant duration-dependent decreases of

Table 3-8. Reproductive Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Animals

Reference	Species	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				approximately 20 (p<0.05), 43 (p<0.001), and 57% (p<0.001) at 7, 14, and 21 days, respectively.
				<u>Sperm motility</u> : Significant decreases of approximately 26 (p<0.01), 42 (p<0.01), and 58% (p<0.001) at 7, 14, and 21 days, respectively.
				<u>Testicular histopathology</u> : Atrophic changes in testis due to degenerative changes in spermatogenic and leydig cells; specific observations included: apical degeneration and obliterated lumen; irregular shape of seminiferous tubules, blood vessels, sertoli cells, spermatatids, myoid cells, and tunica albugenia; decreased number of spermatids; and reduced number of interstitial Leydig cells.
Effects on the	female reproductive	e system		
Akram et al. 2010	Immature female Sprague-Dawley rats (28 days old); 8 rats per	Drinking water containing 0, 50, 100, or 200 mg/L sodium arsenite for	Uterine weight and height; histology of uterus; plasma hormone levels (estradiol,	<u>Uterine weight</u> : Over the dose range, significant (p<0.001) decreases in uterine weight ranged from 32% (50 mg/L) to 85% (200 mg/L) of control.
	group	28 days (to maturity of animals)		<u>Uterine length</u> : Over the dose range, significant (p<0.001) decreases in uterine length ranged from 16 (50 mg/L) to 40% (200 mg/L) of control.
				<u>Uterine histopathology</u> : Dose-dependent alterations included cuboidal epithelial cells, decreased epithelial height, loss of basement membrane, loss of demarcation between epithelial and endometrial stroma, dense endometrial stroma with irregular cells, AND decreased thickness of endometrium and myometrium.
				 Hormone levels: Significant (p<0.001) dose-dependent decreases compared to control: estradiol: 49% (50 ppm) to 72% (200 mg/L) progesterone: 16% (50 ppm) to 53% (200 mg/L) FSH: 32% (50 ppm) to 60% (200 mg/L)

Table 3-8. Reproductive Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Animals

Table 3-8. Reproductive Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Animals

Reference	Species	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				- LH: 24% (50 ppm) to 47% (200 mg/L)
Chatterjee and Chatterji 2010	Sprague-Dawley	Drinking water; <u>Dose-ranging study</u> : 0, 0.4, 4.0, 40, or 80 mg/L sodium meta-arsenite	Serum estradiol levels, serum hormone levels (FSH and LH), uterine weight, histopathology	<u>Dose-ranging study</u> : Significant (p<0.05) decreases in serum estradiol levels occurred at all arsenic groups, reaching a maximum decrease (approximately 85%) at ≥4 mg/L.
	dose- and duration-ranging studies; 5 rats per group for main	for 28 days; <u>Duration-ranging</u> : 4.0 mg/L for 7, 14, 28, or 56 days;	of uterus	<u>Duration-ranging study</u> : Significant (p<0.05) decreases in serum estradiol levels occurred at 14, 38 and 56 days, with a maximum decrease (approximately 88%) at 28 days.
	study	<u>Main study</u> : 0 or 4.0 mg/L for 28 days		Main Study: - Hormone levels: FSH and LH significantly (p<0.05) decreased by 77 and 65%, respectively, compared to control.
				 Uterine weight: significantly (p<0.01) decreased by 29% compared to control.
				 Histopathology: significant findings include decreased luminal diameter, height of luminal epithelial cells, diameter of endometrial glands, and width of longitudina muscle layer.
Reilly et al. 2013	Sprague-Dawley rat; age:	Gavage exposure to 10 mg/kg arsenic, as sodium arsenite; dosing from postnatal day 12 to		<u>Time to onset of puberty</u> : Time to vaginal opening significantly (p<0.05) decreased by 1.8 days; time to diestrus significantly (p<0.05) decreased by 1.95 days.
		postnatal days 30 or to 35–43 (onset of	gland morphology; serum levels of puberty- related (insulin-like growth factor 1 [IGF-1], GH, FSH, LH, and estradiol)	<u>Mammary gland morphology</u> : Compared to controls, the following were observed in arsenic-treated rats: higher mean number of terminal end buds, undifferentiated progenitor cells, increased presence of alveolar buds; fewer terminal ducts, absence of lobular type 1 structures.
				<u>Hormone levels</u> : IGF-1 levels in serum were significantly (p<0.01) decreased by 22% compared to controls; no significant changes in levels of GH, FSH, or LH, compared to controls

As = arsenic; FSH = follicle-stimulating hormone; GH = growth hormone; LH = luteinizing hormone

ARSENIC

3.2.2.6 Developmental Effects

Inorganic Arsenicals. Several case-control, prospective cohort, and cross-sectional cohort studies have examined associations between exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and developmental outcomes (Ahmed et al. 2012; Farzan et al. 2013; Guan et al. 2012; Hamadini et al. 2010, 2011; Hsieh et al. 2014; Jin et al. 2013; Khan et al. 2012; Kippler et al. 2012; Nahar et al. 2014; Parvez et al. 2011; Rahman et al. 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011; Raqib et al. 2009; Roy et al. 2011; Rudnai et al. 2014; Saha et al. 2012; Vall et al. 2012; Wasserman et al. 2007, 2011, 2014; Wu et al. 2014). Outcomes investigated included fetal death, fetal malformations, fetal and neonatal growth, neurodevelopment, infection vulnerability and thymus function, and cancer (see Section 3.2.2.7 Cancer). Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-9. In general, these data provide evidence for associations between exposure to arsenic and developmental effects ranging from fetal and infant deaths, congenital heart anomalies, delays in growth and neurological development, and increased susceptibility to infections. Although exposures in most study populations were from drinking water, most studies evaluated internal exposure metrics such as urinary arsenic or blood arsenic levels, rather than drinking water exposure levels. Increased risk of fetal heart anomalies was observed in association with drinking water arsenic concentrations $>10 \mu g/L$ (Rudnai et al. 2014). Increased risk of fetal or infant death was associated with exposures to drinking water arsenic levels >222-408 µg/L or maternal urinary arsenic concentrations $>261 \mu g/L$ (Rahman et al. 2007, 2011). Increased risk of infant respiratory infections was associated with maternal urinary arsenic concentrations $>39 \,\mu g/L$ (Rahman et al. 2011).

Prospective cohort studies have examined associations between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and fetal or infant death (Rahman et al. 2007, 2011). A large cohort study (29,134 pregnancies, Bangladesh) found increased risk of fetal death and infant death (occurring <12 months of age death) and postnatal death (occurring >28 days and <12 months of age) in association with drinking water arsenic concentrations in the range of 227–408 μ g/L (Rahman et al. 2007). Relative risks were 1.14 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.30) for fetal death, 1.29 (95% CI: 1.08, 1.53) for infant death, and 1.55 (95% CI: 1.17, 2.05) for postnatal death. In a smaller prospective study (2,924 pregnancies, Bangladesh), risk of infant death was also elevated in association with urinary arsenic concentration >268 μ g/L (adjusted hazard ratio: 5.01; 95% CI: 1.41, 17.84; Rahman et al. 2010). An ecological study of villages in Shanxi province of China found significant correlations between cropland soil arsenic concentrations and prevalence of birth defects (Wu et al. 2014).

Table 3-9. Developmental Effects of (Oral Exposure to Inorganic A	rsenicals in Humans

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Fetal loss and	infant death			
Rahman et al. 2007	Study design: prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 29,134 pregnant women <u>Data collection period</u> : 1991–2000	<u>As concentration</u> : Quintile ranges (mean) - Q1: <10 µg/L (<1)	<u>Variables assessed</u> : fetal loss (fetal death after week 28 of gestation), infant death (death <12 months after birth), neonatal death (death within 28 days of birth), postnatal death (death >28 days and <12 months after birth) <u>Adjustments</u> : none (no significant confounding factors were identified) <u>Analysis</u> : Cox proportional hazards and logistic regression	A significant increase in relative risk for fetal loss was observed for the 277–408 μg/L quintile (relative risk: 1.14; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.30), but not for other quintiles. Significant increases in relative risk were observed for infant death for the three highest quintiles. A significant (p=0.02) dose-response relationship for arsenic exposure and risk of infant death also was observed. Relative risk: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 1.13 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.35) - Q3: 1.19 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.42) - Q4: 1.29 (95% CI: 1.08, 1.53) - Q5: 1.19 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.41) The relative risk of neonatal death was not increased for any of the exposure quintiles. A significant increase in relative risk for postnatal death was observed for the 277– 408 μg/L quintile (relative risk: 1.55; 95% CI 1.17, 2.05), but not for other quintiles.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Rahman et al. 2010	Study design: prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 2,924 pregnant women <u>Data collection period</u> : 2002–2004	gravity; collected at 8 and 30 weeks of gestation <u>As concentration</u> :	infant mortality (birth to 365 days; excluding birth asphyxia and accidental death) <u>Adjustments</u> : none for	No association was observed between urine arsenic concentrations and spontaneous abortion (based on 8-week urine) or still birth (based on mean of 8- and 30-week urine). A significant (p<0.005) dose-related increased in infant mortality was observed with increasing arsenic exposure (based on mean of 8- and 30-week urine). Adjusted hazard ratios: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 1.78 (95% CI: 0.44, 7.16) - Q3: 1.83 (95% CI: 0.45–7.35) - Q4: 2.29 (95% CI: 0.58, 9.05 - Q5: 5.01 (95% CI: 1.41–17.84)
Fetal malforma			Variables assessed neural	No approximation was absorved between
Jin et al. 2013	Study design: case control Location: China Population: maternal- fetal pairs, with infants diagnosed with neural tube defects; cases 80; controls 50 Data collection period: started in 2003	- ≤8.93 ng/g - >8.93 ng/g	Variables assessed: neural tube defects <u>Adjustments</u> : none <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate logistic regression	No association was observed between placental levels of arsenic at concentrations >8.93 ng/g and elevated risk of neural tube defects (odds ratio: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.43, 1.78).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Rudnai et al. 2014	Study design: case control Location: Hungary Population: mother- infant pairs; cases: 9,734; controls: 5,880 Data collection period: 1987–2003	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water <u>As concentration</u> : Sextile ranges - S1: 0–10.0 μg/L - S2: 10.1–20.0 μg/L - S3: 20.1–30.0 μg/L - S4: 30.1–40.0 μg/L - S5: 40.1–50.0 μg/L - S6: >50.0 μg/L	Variables assessed: congenital heart anomalies (all, ventral septal defect, atrial septal defect, ductus Botalli persistens, anomalies of the pulmonary artery <u>Adjustments</u> : child's gender, age of mother <u>Analysis</u> : logistic regression	Statistically significant associations were observed between drinking water arsenic concentrations (10.1 through 40.0) and all congenital heart anomalies. Adjusted odds ratios (95% Cl): - S1: 1 - S2: 1.51 (1.33, 1.71); p<0.001 - S3: 1.30 (1.04, 1.63); p=0.022 - S4: 1.42 (1.06, 1.91); p=0.019 Significant associations were observed between arsenic concentration >10 µg/L and atrial septal defect and ductus Botalli persistens. Adjusted odds ratios (95% Cl): - atrial septal defect: 1.79 (1.59, 2.01); p<0.001 - ductus Botalli persistens: 1.81 (1.54, 2.11); p>0.001
Wu et al. 2014	Study design: cross- sectional cohort (ecological) Location: China Population: 6,415 infants Data collection period: 2002–2004	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in cropland soil <u>As concentration</u> : - minimum: 7.86 μg/g - maximum: 91.59 μg/g - median: 17.12 μg/g - mean (SD): 20.29 (11.52) μg/L	<u>Variables assessed</u> : all birth defects, as diagnosed by a physician <u>Adjustments</u> : none reported <u>Analysis</u> : Pearson correlation	A statistically significant correlation was observed between cropland soil concentration of arsenic (log transformed) and risk of birth defects. Pearson correlation: 0.239; p=0.019

Table 3-9.	Developmental Eff	ects of Oral	Exposure to	Inorganic A	rsenicals in Humans
				<u> </u>	

	Study design and			
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Fetal size and	l early childhood growth	rate		
Guan et al. 2012	Study design: cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : China <u>Population</u> : 125 mother-infant pairs <u>Data collection period</u> 2006–2007	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in maternal and cord blood at birth <u>As concentration</u> : Mean maternal: 6.91 µg/L Mean cord: 3.71 µg/L	Variables assessed: birth height, birth weight, chest circumference, head circumference <u>Adjustments</u> : <u>Analysis</u> : multiple linear regression	A negative association was observed between maternal arsenic blood concentration and birth weight, birth height, and chest circumference, but not head circumference. Adjusted beta (CI not reported): - Weight: -0.19 (p=0.015) - Height: -0.20 (p=0.017) - Chest circumference: -0.31 (p=0.001)
Kingler et el		F undation		A negative association was observed between cord blood and head circumference. Adjusted beta (CI not reported): -0.19 (0=0.021).
Kippler et al. 2012	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 1,929 maternal-fetal pairs <u>Data collection period</u> 2001–2003	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in maternal urine at 8 and 30 weeks of gestation <u>As concentration</u> : Mean±SD: - 8 weeks:152±180 µg/L - 30 weeks: 168±195 µg/L	Variables assessed: biparietal diameter, occipito-frontal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference, and femur length as assessed by ultrasound at 14 and 30 weeks of gestation. <u>Adjustments</u> : maternal BMI, socio-economic status, birth order, fetal sex <u>Analysis</u> : mixed effect linear regression	At 14 weeks of gestation, a negative association between maternal urine arsenic concentration (log ₂ transformed) and fetal occipito-frontal diameter (in all fetuses) was observed (adjusted beta: -0.060; 95% CI: 0.11, -0.0079; p=0,024). However, no association was observed at 30 weeks of gestation (adjusted beta: -0.016; 95% CI: -0.048, 0.016; p=0.32). No associations were observed between maternal urine arsenic concentration (log ₂ transformed) and other fetal size measures.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Rahman et al. 2009	prospective cohort	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in maternal urine collected at 8 and 30 weeks of gestation exposure values expressed as averages of the 8- and 30-week collections <u>As concentration</u> : Mean±SD:160±163 µg/L Median: 95 µg/L Range: 6–978 µg/L	Variables assessed: birth length, weight, chest circumference, head circumference <u>Adjustments</u> : BMI, socio- economic status <u>Analysis</u> : least-squared linear regression	 Significant negative associations were observed between arsenic concentration in urine and birth weight, head circumference (p=0.041), and chest circumference (p<0.001). Adjusted beta coefficients: Weight (g per g/L) -1.68; SE: 0.62; p=0.007 Head (mm per g/L): -0.05; SE: 0.03; p=0.041 Chest (mm per μg/L): -0.14; SE:0.03; p<0.001
Saha et al. 2012	Study design: prospective cohort Location: Bangladesh Population: 2,372 infants; 52% males; 48% females Data collection period: 2001–2003	$\label{eq:second} \begin{split} \underline{Exposure\ measures:}\\ arsenic\ concentration\ in\\ urine\ of\ mothers\ (collected\\ at\ 8\ and\ 30\ weeks\ of\\ gestation)\ and\ children\\ (collected\ at\ 18\ months)\\ \underline{As\ concentration:}\\ Mother\ quintiles\ (8\ weeks):\\ & Q1:\ 1.2-33\ \mu g/L\\ & Q2:\ 33-57\ \mu g/L\\ & Q2:\ 33-57\ \mu g/L\\ & Q3:\ 57-115\ \mu g/L\\ & Q4:\ 116-245\ \mu g/L\\ & Q5:\ 246-1,611\ \mu g/L\\ \end{split} \\ Mother\ quintiles\ (30\ weeks):\\ & Q1:\ 1.8-36\ \mu g/L\\ & Q2:\ 36-63\ \mu g/L\\ & Q3:\ 63-120\ \mu g/L\\ & Q4:\ 121-272\ \mu g/L\\ & Q5:\ 273-1,632\ \mu g/L\\ \end{split}$	18, 21, and 24 months <u>Adjustments</u> : urinary arsenic, age, maternal BMI, socio- economic factors <u>Analysis</u> : multivariate linear regression	Based on maternal urine arsenic concentration at 30 weeks of gestation, a significant (p<0.05) linear trend was observed for decreasing weight at 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24 months and decreasing length at 3–9 months (combined males and females). No significant trends were observed for maternal urine arsenic concentration at 8 weeks of gestation and weight or length throughout the 24-month period. Inverse associations were observed between child urine arsenic concentration and weight of females at 18, 21, and 24 months. Effects were typically significant and most pronounced for the second and third quintiles. For example, adjusted betas (95% CI) at 24 months: - Q1: reference - Q2: -0.11 (-0.31, 0.094) - Q3: -0.35 (-0.56, -0.14)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
		- Q2: 16–26 μg/L - Q3: 26–46 μg/L - Q4: 46–96 μg/L - Q5: 96–937 μg/L		 Q4: -0.22 (-0.42, -0.014) Q5: -0.13 (-0.34, 0.074) Inverse associations were also observed between child urine arsenic concentration and length of females at 18, 21, and 24 months, with results showing a similar pattern of significance as weight. For example, adjusted betas (95% CI) at 24 months: Q1: reference Q2: -0.025 (-0.60, 0.55) Q3: -0.71 (-1.30, -0.12) Q4: -0.64 (-1.21, -0.058) Q5: -0.39 (-0.97, 0.19)
				No associations were observed between child urine arsenic concentration and weight or length of males or combined males and females at 18, 21, or 24 months.
Vall et al. 2012	 2 <u>Study design</u>: cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u>: Spain (Tenerife Island) <u>Population</u>: 96 mother-infant pairs <u>Data collection period</u> 	, , ,	a	Birth weight was significantly (p=0.043) increased for infants with arsenic in meconium compared to those without arsenic in meconium. Birth weight (SD): - No meconium: 3,235.5 (405.2) - Meconium: 3,459.3 (537.4)
	2006–2007			Based on comparison to infants with no arsenic detected in meconium, no statistically significant differences (p>0.05) were observed for infant mortality, prematurity, gestational age at birth, birth length, or cranial perimeter for infants with

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Neurodevelop	mental effects			arsenic detected in meconium.
Hamadani et al. 2010	Study design: prospective cohort	$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Variables assessed: mental development index, psychomotor development index, comprehension, and expression assessed at 18 months of age <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, social and economic factors <u>Analysis</u> : multiple linear regression	No effects on developmental parameters were observed based on mother or child urine arsenic concentration.
Hamadani et al. 2011	<u>Study design</u> : prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 2,260 mother-child pairs <u>Data collection period</u> : 2002–2008	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in mothers (collected at 8 and 30 weeks of gestation) and children (collected at 1.5 and 5 years) As concentration range: Mean (8 and 30 weeks) mothers: 80 µg/L Quartiles mothers at 30 weeks (slightly higher concentrations relative to those at 8 weeks):	Variables assessed: performance IQ (PIQ), verbal IQ (BIQ), and full-scale IQ (FSIQ) at 5 years of age <u>Adjustments</u> : home stimulation, fathers education, mother's BMI and IQ, assets, number of children in household, gestational age, birth length, current height-for-age score <u>Analysis</u> : linear regression	Means of PIQ, VIQ, and FSIQ (combined males and females) by quartile of mother's and children's urinary arsenic showed significant negative trends ($p \le 0.008$). Negative associations were observed between (log) urine arsenic and VIQ in females, but not for males or combined males and females. The association for girl was strongest for the 5-year urine arsenic. Adjusted betas (95% CI) for VIQ: - Mother (8 weeks): -1.2 (-2.4, -0.06); $p=0.039$
	Study design and	_		
----------------------	---	--	--	---
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
		$\begin{array}{r} & 0-40\ \mu g/L\\ & 41-82\ \mu g/L\\ & 83-228\ \mu g/L\\ & >228\ \mu g/L\\ \end{array}$ Mean children at 1.5 years: 34 $\mu g/L$ Mean children at 5 years: 51 $\mu g/L$ Quartiles children (at 5 years; slightly higher concentrations relative to those at 1.5 years): $\begin{array}{r} & 0-29\ \mu g/L\\ & 30-50\ \mu g/L\\ & 51-80\ \mu g/L\\ & & >120\ \mu g/L \end{array}$		 Mother (30 weeks): -1.5 (-2.6, -0.4); p=0.007 Child (1.5 years): -0.9 (-2.1, 0.4); p=0.164 Child (5 years): -2.4 (-3.8, -1.1); p<0.001 A significant negative association was observed between (log) urine at 5 years and FSIQ in females, but not for females based on mother gestational urine arsenic or child 1.5 years urine arsenic or for males or combined males and females for any urine arsenic. Child (5 years): -1.4 (-2.7, -01); p=0.029 Urine arsenic concentration of 100 µg/L at
				age 5 years was associated with a 2.6-point decrease in VIQ and 0.9-point decrease in FSIQ in females.
Hsieh et al. 2014	Study design: case- control <u>Location</u> : China <u>Population</u> : 63 cases, 35 controls (4–6 years of age) <u>Data collection period</u> : 2010–2012	As concentration(µg/g creatinine):	Variables assessed: clinical assessment of cognitive, speech and language, gross and fine motor, social/emotional delays <u>Adjustments</u> : age, sex, birth weight, ethnicity, gestation length, blood lead or mercury Analysis: logistic regression	Significant association between urinary arsenic concentration (µg/g creatinine) and risk of any single or multiple developmental delays. Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI): - T1: 1 - T2: 5.95 (0.64 55.57) - T3: 11.83 (1.52, 91.82) Based on a multivariate model which included blood lead, age, birth weight, length of gestation and ethnicity of mother, the odds ratio for (95% CI) for urinary arsenic was 3.03 (1.23–7.44).

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Khan et al. 2012	Study design: cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 840 children (8– 11 years of age) <u>Data collection period</u> : not reported	creatinine) and in drinking water collected from each child's home	Variables assessed: Bangla language score, English language score, math score <u>Adjustments</u> : grade in school, maternal education, paternal education, head circumference, within-teacher correlations for rating the children <u>Analysis</u> : spline regression model	No association was observed between drinking water or urine arsenic concentration and scores for Bangla language, English language, or math.
Nahar et al. 2014	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 312 adolescents; 14– 15 years of age; 44% males, 56% females <u>Data collection period</u> : not reported	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water (for each participant) and urine <u>As concentration</u> : Water mean: 71.7 μ g/L Water range: 0.8– 621.9 μ g/L Water arsenic quartiles: - Q1: 0.8–10 μ g/L - Q2: 11–50 μ g/L - Q2: 11–50 μ g/L - Q3: 51–100 μ g/L - Q4: >100 μ g/L Urine mean: 205.3 μ g/L Urine range: 6–2,794 μ g/L Urine arsenic tertiles: - T1: 1-≤137 μ g/L - T2: >137–≤400 μ g/L - T3: >400–1,312 μ g/L	Variables assessed: IQ, social competence (SQ) <u>Adjustments</u> : socio-economic status <u>Analysis</u> : one-way analysis of variance and one-way analysis of covariance	IQ percentiles were significantly decreased by 17, 16, and 22% in quartiles 2, 3, and 4, respectively. SC percentiles were decreased by approximately 6 and 7% in quartiles 3 and 4, respectively. Based on urine arsenic concentration, IQ and SC scores were significantly lower in the 2 nd and 3 rd tertiles compared to the 1 st tertile. In the 2 nd and 3 rd tertiles, IQ percentiles were decreased by approximately 20% and SC percentile was decreased by approximately 10%, compared to the 1 st tertile.

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Parvez et al. 2011	Study design: cross- sectional cohort	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in drinking water, blood, urine, and toenails <u>As concentration</u> (mean±SD):	function (FMF), manual coordination (MC), body	Inverse associations were observed for arsenic concentrations in drinking water, blood, urine and toenail and BC, FMC (blood and urine only) and TMC. Statistically significant associations are shown below. Adjusted Betas (95% Cl): Drinking water: - BC: -0.43 (-0.77, -0.06); p<0.05 - FMC: -0.54 (-1.030.05); p<0.05 - TMC: -1.18 (-2.13, -0.10); p<0.05 Blood: - BC: -1.61 (-2.70, 0.51); p<0.01 - FMC: -1.68 (-3.18, -0.18); p<0.05 - TMC: -3.63 (-6.72, -0.54); p<0.05 Urine: - BC: -1.43 (-2.67, -0.61); p<0.05 - TMC: -3.59 (-6.50, -0.68); p<0.01 Urine (corrected for creatinine): - BC: -1.60 (-2.61, -0.60); p<0.01 - TMC: -3.42 (-6.27, -0.57); p<0.05 Toenails: - BC: -1.86 (-2.83, -0.89); p<0.01 - TMC: -3.77 (-6.52, -1.03); p<0.01
Roy et al. 2011	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: Mexico Population: 526 children, 6– 7 years of age (first graders) Data collection period: 2001	<u>Exposure measures</u> : urine <u>As concentration</u> : Median: 55.2 μg/L Range: 7.7–215.9 μg/L Quartiles: - Q1: 7.7–35.9 μg/L - Q2: 36–55.2 μg/L - Q3: 55.3–75.6 μg/L - Q4: 75.7–215.9 μg/L	oppositional behavior, mean behavior scores)	No significant association was observed between urinary arsenic concentration and any behavioral outcome as rated by parents, or for the overall mean behavior score as rated by either parents or teachers. For behavioral outcomes rated by teachers, significant odds ratios were observed for ADHD index in Q3 (adjusted OR: 2.4; 95% CI: 1.1, 4.9) and oppositional behavior in Q4 (Adjusted OR: 2; 95%CI: 1.0, 4.3).

Study design and population Reference Exposure Variables assessed Outcomes hemoglobin and lead However, no significant effects were Analysis: logistic regression for observed for other behavioral variables and odds ratios; linear regression no dose-response relationships were for betas. observed for any variable. Tofail et al. Study design: Exposure measures: Variables assessed: problem No significant effects of gestational arsenic 2009 prospective cohort maternal urinary arsenic solving ability (two problemexposure on infants' problem solving Location: Bangladesh concentration (mean of solving tests), motor abilities, motor ability, or behavior were Population: values obtained at 8 and development, behavioral ratings observed. 1,799 infants, Adjustments: socioeconomic 30 weeks of gestation) background, age, sex 7 months of age As concentration: Data collection period: Median: 82.5 µg/L Analysis: multiple linear 2003-2004 regression Wasserman et Study design: cross-Variables assessed: children's Water arsenic concentration was negatively Exposure measures: intellectual function based on al. 2007 sectional cohort drinking water from tube associated with performance score and Location: Bangladesh wells at each home and Wechsler Preschool and processing speed score, although no Population: children's urine arsenic Primary Scale of Intelligence association was observed between water 301 children, 6 years concentration (adjusted for (performance, verbal, arsenic and verbal score or general ability creatinine) processing speed, general score. Adjusted betas (SE): of age Data collection period: As concentration: ability) - Performance: -0.48 (0.24); p≤0.05 2004-2005 Drinking water (mean±SD): Adjustments: parent's - Processing: -0.54 (0.28); p≤0.05 - 120.1±134.4 µg/L education and occupation, Drinking water guartiles: manganese concentration in Based on comparisons between quartiles, a drinking water - Q1: 0.1–20.9 µg/L significant negative dose-response - Q2: 21–77.9 µg/L Analysis: linear regression relationship was observed for performance - Q3: 78–184.9 µg/L score (p=0.05), but not for other variables - Q4: 185–864 µg/L (data were not presented). Urine (mean±SD): - 347.7±352.7 μg/g No significant associations were observed between urinary arsenic concentration and

Table 3-9. Developmental Effects of Oral Exposure to Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans

intellectual function variables.

	Study design and	-	\ <u>/</u> · · · ·	
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Wasserman et al. 2011	<u>Study design</u> : cross- sectional cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 303 children, ages 8–11; males 49.8%, females 50.2% <u>Data collection period</u> : 2008	Water arsenic mean (SD):	Variables assessed: children's intellectual function based on Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children; measured by general ability, verbal comprehension, working memory, perceptual reasoning, processing speed <u>Adjustments</u> : blood manganese, maternal intelligence, maternal age, school months, head circumference, plasma ferritin <u>Analysis</u> : linear regression	A significant negative association was observed between concentration of arsenic in blood and verbal comprehension (adjusted beta: -1.49; SE: 0.71; p<0.05). No associations were observed between blood arsenic and other variables.
Wasserman et al. 2014	Study design: cross- sectional cohort Location: Maine Population: 272 children in grades 3–5; males 53.3%, females 46.7% Data collection period: 2008	Mean±SD: - 9.88±15.06 µg/L	<u>Variables assessed</u> : children's intellectual function based on Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children; measured by full scale IQ (FSIQ), working memory (WM), perceptual reasoning (PE), verbal comprehension (VC), processing speed (PS) <u>Adjustments</u> : number of children in the home, maternal IQ, maternal education, school district, home environment <u>Analysis</u> : linear regression	Compared to Q1, significant negative associations were observed between water arsenic and full scale IQ, working memory, perceptual reasoning, and verbal comprehension in Q2, and between for perceptual reasoning in Q3. Scores were reduced by approximately 5–6 points. No significant associations were observed between water arsenic and any variable for Q4. Adjusted beta±SE: Q2: - FSIQ: -6.09±1.98; p<0.01 - WM: -4.88±2.24; p<0.05 - PR: -4.97±2.14; p<0.05 - VC: -6.22±2.49: p<0.05 Q3:

- PR: -5.10±2.06; p<0.05

Table 3-9. Developmental Effe	cts of Oral Exposure to I	Inorganic Arsenicals in Humans

	Study design and	_		
Reference	population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Thymic functio	on/immunological effects			
Ahmed et al. 2012	<u>Study design</u> : prospective cohort <u>Location</u> : Bangladesh <u>Population</u> : 130 maternal-fetal pairs <u>Data collection period</u> : 2001–2003	14 weeks of gestation and maternal urine collected at 8 or 14 and 30 weeks of	Variables assessed: thymic function measured by signal- joint T-cell receptor- arrangement excision circles (sjTRECs) mononuclear cells in cord blood <u>Adjustments</u> : season of birth, socio-economic status, number of days mother had fever during pregnancy <u>Analysis</u> : linear regression	 - 0.25 (-0.48, -0.01); p=0.03 Urine (30 weeks) - <5 µg/L: -0.53 (-0.93, -0.13); p=0.009
Farzan et al. 2013	Study design: prospective cohort Location: United States (New Hampshire) <u>Population</u> : 214 mother-infant pairs; infants 4 months of age <u>Data collection period</u> : 2009	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in maternal urine and in drinking water from each home tap <u>As concentration</u> : Urine: - mean±SD: 6.0±7.5 µg/L - median: 3.7 µg/L Water: - mean: 5.2 µg/L - range: 0.01–67.5 µg/L	Variables assessed: Respiratory tract infections and related prescription medication and physician visits <u>Adjustments</u> : sex, maternal age, gestational age, birth weight, breast feeding, day care attendance, parity <u>Analysis</u> : Logistic and Poisson regression	 Significant dose-response relationship was observed for maternal urinary arsenic concentration and infant respiratory tract infections (RTII; upper, URTI; lower LRTI). Relative risk (95% Cl) for respiratory tract outcome per one-fold increase in urinary arsenic (In-transformed): RTI symptoms: 4.0 (1.0, 15.9) LRTI treated with prescription medication: 3.3 (1.2, 9.0) URTI: 1.6 (1.0, 2.5) Cold symptoms treated with prescription medication: 2.3 (1.0, 5.2)

Relative risk (95% CI) for cumulative number of infections per one-fold increase in urinary arsenic (In-transformed):

- URTI treated with prescription medication: 1.6 (1.1, 2.4)
- URTI with physician visit: 1.5 (1.0, 2.1)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Rahman et al. 2011		Exposure measures: maternal urine, mean of collections at 8 and 30 weeks of gestation <u>As concentration</u> : Mean±SD: 152±175 µg/L	Variables assessed: incidence	Based on maternal urinary arsenic concentrations, the risk of LRTI, severe LRTI, and diarrhea was significantly increased in infants during the first year of life. A positive trend (p<0.05) across quintiles was also observed for LRTI and severe LRTI. Adjusted relative risk (95% CI): All LRTI: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 1.28 (1.02, 1.61) - Q3: 1.33 (1.07, 1.67 - Q4: 1.57 (1.27, 1.96) - Q5: 1.69 (1.36, 2.09) Severe LRTI: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 1.33 (1.03, 1.71) - Q3: 1.31 (1.02, 1.69) - Q4: 1.54 (1.21, 1.97) - Q5: 1.54 (1.21, 1.97) Diarrhea: - Q1: 1 - Q2: 0.99 (0.83, 1.19) - Q3: 0.96 (0.80, 1.15) - Q4: 1.25 (1.05, 1.48) - Q5: 1.20 (1.01, 1.43)

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Raqib et al. 2009	Population: 140 mother-infant pairs	Exposure measures: arsenic concentration in maternal urine obtained at 30 weeks of gestation <u>As concentration</u> : Median (90% CI): 68.5 (23.7, 365.8) µg/L Mean±SD: 152.4±233.9 µg/L Range: 1–2,020 µg/L	Variables assessed: thymic index (thymus size) assessed at 2, 6, and 12 months of age by ultrasound <u>Adjustments</u> : gender, BMI-for- age in infants, maternal BMI, socio-economic factors <u>Analysis</u> : multiple linear regression	A significant, negative association was observed between maternal urine arsenic concentration at 30 weeks of gestation and thymic index of infants at ages 2–12 months Adjusted betas (95% CI): - 2 months: -0.01 (-0.02, -0.001); p=0.03 - 6 months: -0.015 (-0.02, -0.005); p=0.004 - 12 months: -0.012 (-0.02, -0.002); p=0.01

ADHD = attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; As = arsenic; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error

ARSENIC

Associations between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and risk of fetal malformation have been examined in case-control and cross-sectional cohort studies (Jin et al. 2013; Rudnai et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2014). A large case-control study (9,734 cases, Hungary) found increased risk of congenital heart anomalies in association with drinking water arsenic levels >10.1 μ g/L (adjusted odds ratio: 1.51, 95% CI 1.33, 1.71; Rudnai et al. 2014). In a smaller case-control study (80 cases, China), exposure to arsenic in drinking water was not associated with risk of neural tube defects (Jin et al. 2013).

Several prospective and cross-sectional studies have examined associations between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and various metrics of fetal and postnatal growth (Guan et al. 2012; Kippler et al. 2012; Rahman et al. 2009; Saha et al. 2012; Vall et al. 2012). Prospective studies conducted found significant associations between exposure to arsenic and fetal and postnatal growth (Rahman et al. 2009; Saha et al. 2009) study (1,578 mother-infant pairs, Bangladesh), an increase in maternal urinary arsenic was associated with significant reductions of body weight, head circumference, and chest circumference at birth. The Saha et al. (2012) study (2,372 infants, Bangladesh) found significant associations between increasing urinary arsenic concentrations measured at age 18 months and decreasing postnatal body weight and length, measured at ages 18–24 months. Cross-sectional cohort studies also found significant associations between increasing fetal exposure (maternal urine or cord blood) and decreasing birth weight and size (Guan et al. 2012; Kippler et al. 2012).

Several studies have examined associations between exposure to arsenic in drinking water and various metrics of neurodevelopment (Hamadini et al. 2010, 2011; Hsieh et al. 2014; Khan et al. 2012; Nahar et al. 2014; Parvez et al. 2011; Roy et al. 2011; Wasserman et al. 2007, 2011, 2014). Prospective studies conducted on the same mother-infant cohort (1,745–2,260 mother-infant pairs, Bangladesh) did not find significant associations between fetal exposure to arsenic and mental development index or psychomotor index when assessed at age ≤ 18 months (Hamdini et al. 2010; Tofail et al. 2009); however, significant associations were found between increasing maternal or child urinary arsenic levels and decreasing IQ assessed at age 5 years (Hamadini et al. 2011). At age 5 years, in females, a urinary arsenic concentration of 100 µg/L was associated with 2.6-point decrease in verbal IQ and a 0.9-point decrease in full-scale IQ. Several cross-sectional studies have also found associations between exposure to arsenic and IQ (Nahar et al. 2014; Wasserman et al. 2007, 2011, 2014). A cross-sectional cohort study of children (304 children ages 8–11 years, Bangladesh) found significant associations between increasing exposure to arsenic in drinking water or arsenic concentrations in blood or urine and decrements in motor function (Parvez et al. 2011). A small case-control study (63 cases, 35 controls, age 4–6 years) found a significant association between risk of developmental delays (any cognitive, speech, motor or social/emotional delay) and

74

ARSENIC

urinary arsenic levels (Hsieh et al. 2014). The adjusted odds ratio for any delay was 11.83 (95% CI: 1.52, 91.82) in association with urinary arsenic levels $>24.71 \mu g/g$ creatinine.

Several prospective cohort studies have examined associations between maternal exposure to arsenic in drinking water and susceptibility to infections and thymic function of infants (Ahmed et al. 2012; Farzan et al. 2013; Rahman et al. 2011; Raqib et al. 2009). Prospective studies have found increased risk of respiratory tract infection in infants in association with maternal exposure to arsenic in drinking water (Farzan et al. 2013; Rahman et al. 2011). In the Rahman et al. (2011) study (1,552 mother-infant pairs, Bangladesh), risk of infection during the first postnatal 12 months increased in association with increasing maternal urinary arsenic levels $>39 \mu g/L$. The adjusted relative risks associated with maternal urinary arsenic concentrations 39-64 µg/L were 1.28 (95% CI: 1.02, 1.61) for all respiratory tract infections and 1.33 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.71) for severe lower respiratory tract infections. Risk of infantile diarrhea was also elevated in association with maternal urinary arsenic concentrations of $133-261 \,\mu g/L$ (adjusted relative risk: 1.25; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.48). In the Farzan et al. (2013) study (214 mother-infant pairs, United States), adjusted relative risks at age 4 months associated with doubling maternal urinary arsenic concentration were 4.0 (95% CI: 1.0, 15.9) for respiratory tract infection, 3.3 (95% CI: 1.2, 9.0) for lower respiratory tract infection, and 1.6 (95% CI: 1.0, 2.5) for upper respiratory tract infection. Prospective studies of the same cohort (130 or 140 mother-infant pairs, Bangladesh) found significant associations between maternal urinary arsenic levels and infant thymic index, and cord blood naïve T-cells (indicative of thymic suppression; Ahmend et al. 2012; Raqib et al. 2009).

Studies in animals also show adverse developmental effects, including neural tube defects, skeletal anomalies and decrements in pulmonary function, following *in utero* and lactational exposure to inorganic arsenic (Hill et al. 2008; Lantz et al. 2008; Ramsey et al. 2013a, 2013b). Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-10. Dose-related increases in the incidence of neural tube defects (exencephaly) were observed in mouse pups born to dams administered (gavage) 4.8–14.4 mg/kg on gestational days 7.5–8.5 (Hill et al. 2008). Dose-related skeletal anomalies also were observed, including sternebral, rib, vertebral, and, calvarial abnormalities. Gestational and early life exposure to arsenic in drinking water altered pulmonary function and morphology in mice. Lantz et al. (2008) reported increased airway reactivity following gestational exposure to drinking water concentrations of $50-100 \mu g/L$. An increase in smooth muscle and collagen surrounding airways was also observed in mice exposed to $100 \mu g/L$ sodium arsenite in drinking water during gestation, several changes in pulmonary function and morphology were observed in pups including decreased thoracic volume, and decreased number of alveoli and alveolar surface area (Ramsey et al. 2013a, 2013b).

Reference	Species	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Skeletal anom	alies			
Hill et al. 2008	Pregnant LM/Bc/Fnn mice; 20 per group	Gavage on gestational days 7.5–8.5 to 0, 4.8, 9.6, or 14.4 mg/kg	Implantations, resorptions, fetal weight, neural tube	Implantations and resorptions: No significant differences between control and exposure groups.
		sodium arsenite	defect, skeletal anomalies	<u>Fetal weight</u> : Significantly (p<0.05) decreased by approximately 11–21; decreases were not dose-related.
				<u>Neural tube defect</u> : Dose-related significant increases in exencephaly were observed. Numbers of litter with exencephaly were 0, 1, 5, and 9 in the 0, 4.8, 9.6, and 14.4 mg/kg groups, respectively
				 <u>Skeletal anomalies</u>: Significantly (p<0.05) dose-related increases in the incidence of the following effects were observed, relative to controls: 4.8 mg/kg: calvarial abnormalities 9.6 mg/kg: sternebral, rib, vertebral, and calvarial abnormalities 14.4 mg/kg: sternebral, vertebral, and calvarial
Pulmonary effe	ects			abnormalities
Lantz et al. 2009	C57B1/6 mice; 3– 5 per group	- Dams and pups exposed throughout gestation through postnatal day 28 to drinking water containing 0, 5, 10, 50, or 100 μg/L sodium arsenite	Pulmonary function in response to methacholine challenge; lung morphology	Methacholine challenge: At postnatal day 25, airway reactivity was significantly increased in mice in the 50 or ; 100 μg/L groups, compared to control. Lung morphology: In the 100 μg/L group significant increases were observed in smooth muscle and collagen surrounding airways.

Reference	Species	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Ramsey et al. 2013a	Pregnant BALB/c, C57BI/6 and C3H/HeARC	Dams exposed from gestational day 8 through pup age of	Lung volume, number of alveoli in the lung, alverolar surface area,	f Effects observed in C57BI/6 strain, but not BALB/c or C3H/HeARC strains.
	mice; 24–29 pups per group		alveolar volume and airway resistance, tissue damping and	Lung volume: Significantly decreased (p<0.001) by approximately 30% compared to control.
			elastance, airway histopathology	Number of alveoli in lung: Significantly decreased (p<0.05) by approximately 50% compared to control.
				<u>Alveolar surface area</u> : Significantly decreased (p<0.001) by approximately 35% compared to control.
				Alveolar volume and airway resistance: No change compared to control.
				Tissue damping and elastance: Significantly (p<0.05) decreased compared to control.
				Airway histopathology: Mucous cell metaplasia.
Ramsey et al. 2013b	Pregnant C57Bl/6 mice; 24– 25 control pups;	Dams exposed to drinking water containing 0, 10, or	Examinations at pup age of 2 weeks: thoracic gas volume, airway	<u>Thoracic gas volume</u> : Significantly (p=0.02) decreased in males in the 100 μ g/L group, but not in females.
	13–23 treated pups per group	100 µg/L sodium arsenite from gestational day 8 to birth	resistance, tissue damping, tissue elastance, pressure volume curves	<u>Airway resistance</u> : Significantly decreased in males in the 10 μ g/L group, but not the 100 μ g/L group, compared to controls. No significant effect in females.
				<u>Tissue damping</u> : significantly decreased (p<0.001) in both treatment groups compared to control.
				Tissue elastance: No significant decreases.

ARSENIC

78

Gestational exposure of dams to $10 \mu g/L$ resulted in significantly increased airway resistance in male pups, but not female pups (Ramsey et al. 2013b). Mucous cell metaplasia also was observed in pups born to dams exposed to $100 \mu g/L$ sodium arsenite in drinking water (Ramsey et al. 2013a).

Organic Arsenicals. Taylor et al. (2013) investigated the effects of arsenobetaine exposure during gestation and lactation on developmental outcomes in rats. Dams were administered 0, 0.1, 1, or10 mg/kg/day arsenobetaine by gavage from gestational day 8 through lactation, and offspring were evaluated at birth, postnatal day 13, and postnatal day 90. For pups examined at birth and postnatal day 13, no effects were observed on litter size, birth weight or length, sex ratio, behavior, posture, gross malformations, or tissue weights (major organs and reproductive organs). Clinical chemistry and hematology parameters were not evaluated. Pups examined on postnatal day 90 were normal in appearance, posture, and behavior and no adverse changes in clinical chemistry parameters were observed. Statistically (p<0.05) and clinically (change >5% compared to control) significant changes in hematology parameters, compared to controls, were observed for males and females. In males, decreased hematocrit (10 mg/kg/day: 20% decrease), percentage of monocytes (1 and 10 mg/kg/day: 50% decrease), and number of eosinophils (10 mg/kg/day: 40% decrease) were observed. In females, the percentage of monocytes was decreased (10 mg/kg/day: 23% decrease) and the number of platelets was increased (1 mg/kg/day: 6% increase; 10 mg/kg/day: 16% increase). In offspring followed for 90 days, the onset of puberty was delayed in males and females. In males, prepubital separation was decreased by 1 day in the 0.1 and 1 mg/kg groups compared to controls. In females, vaginal opening was delayed in the 0.1 and 10 mg/kg/day groups by 7 and 4 days, respectively, compared to controls, although no treatment-related change was observed for the length of time between vaginal opening and the onset of estrus.

3.2.2.7 Cancer

Inorganic Arsenicals. Inorganic arsenic is recognized by the International Agency for Cancer (IARC 2012) and the National Toxicology Program (NTP 2014) as a carcinogen based on evidence in humans. Recent epidemiology studies of populations exposed to oral arsenic provide additional evidence of that arsenic is a carcinogen in humans. These studies show associations between oral exposure to inorganic arsenic and cancer of the bladder and urothelium (Chen et al. 2010b; Chung et al. 2011, 2013a, 2013b; Feki-Tounsi et al. 2013; Ferreccio and Sancha 2006; Ferreccio et al. 2013a; Hsu et al. 2013a; Huang et al. 2008a, 2008b; Morales et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2006, 2012; Steinmaus et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2012a, 2013), gastrointestinal tract (Hsu et al. 2013b), kidney (Ferreccio and Sancha 2006; Huang et al. 2011, 2012; Mostafa and Cherry 2013; Yuan et al. 2010), liver (Ferreccio and Sancha 2006; Khlifi et al. 2013b; Morales et al. 2000), laryngeal and nasopharyngeal tissues (Ferreccio and Sancha 2006; Khlifi et al.

2014), lung (Chen et al. 2010c; Ferreccio and Sancha 2006; Ferreccio et al. 2013b; Garcia-Esquinas et al. 2013; Heck et al. 2009; Morales et al. 2000; Sawada et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2009; Steinmaus et al. 2013), pancreas (Garcia-Esquinas et al. 2013; Hsu et al. 2013b), and skin (Ferreccio and Sancha 2006; Gilbert-Diamond et al. 2013; Leonardi et al. 2012).

Results of studies in humans (Smith et al. 2006, 2012; Yuan et al. 2010) exposed to arsenic in drinking water suggest that exposure to oral arsenic *in utero* and/or early life is carcinogenic. Details of the individual study designs and outcomes are provided in Table 3-11. Smith et al. (2006) conducted a retrospective study on two birth cohorts in Chile exposed to high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water (approximately 870 μ g/L) during 1958–1970 to examine the relationship between high exposure in utero and early childhood and cancer deaths in adults aged 30-49 years. For the period before and after the high exposure period, arsenic concentrations in drinking water were approximately $40-100 \mu g/L$. The cohort born during the period before the high exposure (1950–1957) was exposed during early childhood and the cohort born during the high exposure period was exposed in utero with possible childhood exposure. Standard mortality ratios (SMRs) were based on national data for Chile. For mortality due to lung cancer, SMRs (combined men and women) for the 1950–1957 and 1958–1970 birth cohorts were 7.0 (95% CI: 5.4, 8.9) and 6.1 (95% CI: 3.5, 9.9), respectively (Smith et al. 2006). For the same population, Smith et al. (2012) examined cohorts born 1940–1957 (pre-high exposure period) and 1958– 1970 (high exposure period). For combined birth cohorts (1940–1970), SMRs were significantly increased for mortality due to bladder cancer (18.1; 95% CI: 11.3, 27.4), laryngeal cancer (8.1; 95% CI: 3.5, 16.0), lung cancer (7.0; 95% CI: 5.9, 8.2), kidney cancer (3.5; 95% CI: 2.1, 5.4), liver cancer (2.5; 95% CI: 1.6, 3.7), and other cancer (1.2; 95% CI: 1.1, 1.3). In the same Chilean population, early life exposure was associated with increased mortality due to kidney cancer (Yuan et al. 2010). For a birth cohort born just before or during the high exposure period (1950–1970), the SMR for men and women (combined) aged 30–39 was 7.08 (95% CI: 3.05, 14.0). Finding of these studies suggest that exposure to high concentration of arsenic in drinking water in utero and/or early childhood is associated with increased mortality due to several types of cancer.

A study conducted at the NTP Laboratory showed that lifetime exposure of CD1 mice to arsenic in drinking water at concentrations that are relevant to human exposures increased the incidence of lung cancer (Waalkes et al. 2014). Mice were exposed to 0, 50, 500, or 5,000 μ g/L arsenic (as sodium arsenite) from gestation to up to 2 years. In male mice exposed to 50 and 500 μ g/L (but not 5,000 μ g/L), the incidence of bronchiolo-alveolar tumor (adenoma or carcinoma) was significantly increased to 51% (p<0.05) and 54% (p<0.01), compared to control (22%). In female mice exposed to 50 μ g/L, but not

79

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Smith et al. 2006	Study design: retrospective cohort Location: Cities of Antofagastra and Mejillones, Chile; this location had a defined period (1958–1970) of exposure to high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water <u>Population</u> : Approximately 60,000 children (as reported in Smith et al. 2012) exposed during the high exposure period. Two birth cohorts: (1) born prior to high exposure period (1950– 1957), with probable in childhood exposure; (2) born during high exposure period (1958–1970) with probable <i>in utero</i> (and possible childhood) exposure. Standard group: national data for Chile, excluding the high exposure area. Data collection period: 1989– 2000	- 1971–1980: 100 μg/L - 1981–1990: 70 μg/L - 1991–2000: 40 μg/L	Variables assessed: mortality due to lung cancer in the age group 30–49 during 1989–2000; data collected from death certificates <u>Adjustments</u> : none <u>Analysis</u> : standard mortality ratios with Chile as the reference, Poisson regression	Mortality due to lung cancer was increased in persons with probable <i>in utero</i> and childhood exposure to high arsenic concentrations in drinking water. Standard mortality ratios (95% Cl): <u>Born 1950–1957</u> : - 30–39 years (male):12.8 (7.1–21.1); p<0.001 - 30–39 years (female): 4.2 (0.5–15.1); p=0.084 - 30–39 years (combined):10.3 (6.0, 16.5) p<0.001 - 40–49 years (male): 7.2 (5.1, 9.9); p<0.001 - 40–49 years (female): 4.8 (2.6, 8.1); p<0.001 - 40–49 years (combined): 6.3 (4.7, 8.3) p<0.001 - 30–49 years (male): 8.2 (6.2, 10.8); p<0.001 - 30–49 years (female): 4.7 (2.7, 7.7); p<0.001 - 30–49 years (combined): 7.0 (5.4, 8.9); p<0.001 <u>Born 1958–1970</u> : - 30–39 years (male): 9.2 (4.8, 16.1); p<0.001 - 30–39 years (female): 3.6 (0.7, 10.5); p=0.052 - 30–39 years (combined): 7.0 (3.9, 11.6); p<0.001

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				 40–49 years (male): 3.4 (0.01, 18.9); p=0.255 40–49 years (female): 0 40–49 years (combined): 2.0 (0.01, 11.2); p=0.391
				 30–49 years (male): 8.1 (4.3, 13.9); p<0.001 30–49 years (female): 2.9 (0.6, 8.5); p=0.087 30–49 years (combined): 6.1 (3.5, 9.9); p<0.001
Smith et al. 2012	Study design: retrospective cohort Location: Cities of Antofagastra and Mejillones, Chile; this location had a defined period (1958–1970) of exposure to high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water <u>Population</u> : Approximately 60,000 children exposed during the high exposure period. Two birth cohorts: (1) born prior to high exposure period (1940– 1957), with probable in childhood exposure; (2) born during high exposure period (1958–1970) with probable <i>in utero</i> and possible childhood exposure. Standard group: national data for Chile, excluding the high exposure area. <u>Data collection period</u> : 1989– 2000	reported - 1950–1957: 90 μg/L - 1958–1970: 870 μg/L - 1971–1980: 100 μg/L - 1981–1990: 70 μg/L - 1991–2000: 40 μg/L	Variables assessed: mortality due to cancer in the age group 30–49 during 1989–2000; data collected from death certificates <u>Adjustments</u> : none <u>Analysis</u> : standard mortality ratios with Chile as the reference, Poisson regression	Exposure to drinking water with high concentrations of arsenic (1958–1970) increased risk of mortality due to all cancer, bladder cancer, laryngeal cancer, and liver cancer. Standard mortality ratios (95% CI): $\frac{1940-1957 \text{ cohort:}}{2}$ - all cancer males: 2.1 (1.9, 2.4); p<0.001 - all cancer females: 1.4 (1.2, 1.6); p<0.001 - bladder cancer males: 13.7 (6.8, 24.5); p<0.001 - bladder cancer females: 7.9 (1.0, 28.6); p=0.03 - laryngeal cancer males: 8.9 (3.6, 18.3); p<-0.001 - laryngeal cancer females: none observed - liver cancer males: 2.4 (1.2, 4.4); p=0.01 - liver cancer females: 1.5 (0.5, 3.2); p=0.23 - all other cancers males: 1.0 (0.8, 1.2); p=0.64 - all other cancers females: 1.2 (1.0, 1.4);

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
				p<0.01
				 1958–1970 cohort: all cancer males: 2.2 (1.7, 2.8); p<0.001 all cancer females: 1.4 (1.1, 1.8); p<0.07 bladder cancer males: 65.7 (24.1, 143); p<0.001 bladder cancer females: 43.0 (8.9, 126); p<0.001 laryngeal cancer males: 27.4 (0.7, 153); p=0.04 laryngeal cancer females: none observet liver cancer males: 5.9 (1.9, 13.7); p<0.01 liver cancer females: 4.7 (1.3, 12.0); p=0.01 all other cancers males: 1.5 (1.1, 2.0); p=0.01 all other cancers females: 1.2 (0.9, 1.6); p=0.09
				Combined cohorts (1940–1970): - bladder cancer: 18.1 (11.3, 27.4); p≤0.001 laryngeal cancer: 8.1 (3.5, 16.0 p≤0.001 - lung cancer: 7.0 (5.9, 8.2); p≤0.001 - kidney cancer: 3.5 (2.1, 5.4); p≤0.001 - liver cancer: 2.5 (1.6, 3.7); p≤0.001 - other cancer: 1.2 (1.1, 1.3); p=0.002

Reference	Study design and population	Exposure	Variables assessed	Outcomes
Yuan et al. 2010	Study design: retrospective cohort Location: Cities of Antofagastra and Mejillones, Chile; this location had a defined period (1958–1970) of exposure to high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water <u>Population</u> : Approximately 60,000 children exposed during the high exposure period. Two birth cohorts: (1) born prior to high exposure period (before 1950), with no early life exposure; (2) born before or during high exposure period (1950–1970) with probable <i>in</i> <i>utero</i> and childhood exposure. Standard group: national data for Chile, excluding the high exposure area. Data collection period: 1971– 2000	Exposure measures: drinking water <u>As concentrations</u> - 1950–1954: 90 μg/L - 1955–1959: 870 μg/L - 1960–1969: 870 μg/L - 1970–1974: 260 μg/L - 1975–1979: 110 μg/L - 1980–1984: 80 μg/L - 1985–1990: 60 μg/L - 1990–1994: 40 μg/L	cancer in the age group 30–49 born during 1950–1970 and for the age group 40+, born before 1950;	Early life exposure was associated with increased mortality due to kidney cancer. For the cohort born just before or during the high exposure period (1950–1970), the standard mortality ratio for men and women (combined) aged 30–39 was 7.08 (95% CI: 3.05–14.0; p<0.001). Standard mortality ratios (95% CI): <u>1950–1970 birth cohort (death at 30–39 years of age)</u> : - men: 5.63 (1.52, 14.4) - women: 9.52 (2.56, 24.4) - combined: 7.08 (3.05, 14.0) <u>Before 1950 birth cohort (death at 40+ years of age)</u> : - men: 2.68 (2.19, 3.26) - women: 3.91 (3.12, 4.84) - combined: 3.12 (2.69, 3.61)

As = arsenic; CI = confidence interval

ARSENIC

84

higher concentrations, a significant increase (p<0.05) in the incidence of bronciolo-alveolar adenoma was observed, compared to control (50 μ g/L: 25%; control: 11%).

Organic Arsenicals. Studies in animals have evaluated carcinogenic effects of the arsenic metabolites methylarseonous acid [MMA(III)] and dimethylarsenic acid [DMA(III)] (Tokar et al. 2012a, 2012b). Gestational exposure of CD1 mice to MMA(III) produced cancer in offspring (Tokar et al. 2012a). Pregnant mice were exposed to 0, 12.5, or 25 mg/L MMA(III) in drinking water on days 8-18 of gestation. Offspring were assessed for tumors for up to 2 years. In male offspring, the incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma in the 25 mg/L group (control: 0%; 12.5 mg/L: 12%; 25 mg/L: 22%), adrenalademona in both treatment groups (control: 0%; 12.5 mg/L: 28%; 25 mg/L: 17%), and lung adenocarcinoma 12.5 mg/L group only (control: 17%; 12.5 mg/L: 44%) were significantly (p<0.05) increased. For hepatocellular carcinoma, a significant dose-related trend was observed (p=0.018). In female offspring, the incidences of adrenal cortical adenoma at 25 mg/L (control: 0%; 25 mg/L: 26%) and total epithelial uterine tumors in both treatment groups (control: 0%; 12.5 mg/L: 26%; 25 mg/L: 30%) were significantly (p>0.05) increased. The carcinogenic potential of DMA(III) was examined in offspring of CD1 mice exposed to 0 or 85 mg/L sodium arsenite (As[III]) in drinking water on gestational days 8–18, followed by life-time exposure (post-lactation) to DMA(III) (0 or 200 mg/L) in drinking water (Tokar et al. 2012b). Exposure to As(III) plus DMA(III) significantly (p<0.05) increased the incidence of renal cellular carcinoma (control: 0; As(III): 2%; DMA(III): 0; As(III) plus DMA(III): 13%) compared to control. The incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was significantly (p<0.05) increased for As(III) alone and As(III) plus DMA(III) compared to control (control: 6%; As(III): 20%; DMA(III): 8%; As(III) plus DMA: 43%) and for As(III) plus DMA(III) compared to As(III) alone. Significant increases (p<0.05, compared to control) in the incidences of adenocarcinoma of the lung and adenoma of the adrenal cortex were observed, but there were no statistically significant differences between treatment groups. Results indicate that exposure of adults mice to DMA(III) alone induced tumors of the kidney, lung and adrenal cortex and promoted development of hepatocellular carcinoma induced by prenatal exposure to As(III).

3.3 GENOTOXICITY

Inorganic Arsenicals. Recent studies in humans chronically exposed to arsenic by environmental or occupational exposure show that exposure to arsenic is associated with non-specific and oxidative DNA damage (Basu et al. 2005; Mendez-Gomez et al. 2008; Pei et al. 2013; Vuyyuri et al. 2006), chromosome damage (Paiva et al. 2006), increase micronuclei frequency (Banergee et al. 2013; Bartolotta et al. 2011; Gamino-Gutierrez et al. 2013; Martinez et al. 2005; Paiva et al. 2008; Paul et al. 2013a; Vuyyuri et al.

2006), and decrease DNA repair (Mendez-Gomez et al. 2008). Details of these studies are summarized in Table 3-12.

3.4 TOXICOKINETICS

3.4.1 Absorption

3.4.1.2 Oral Exposure

Absorption of Water Soluble Inorganic and Organic Arsenic. Absorption of inorganic and organic arsenic has been studied in a juvenile swine model (Juhasz et al. 2006). Arsenic bioavailability (fraction of dose absorbed into blood) was lower for MMA(V) and DMA(V) compared to inorganic arsenite (As(III)) or arsenate (As(V)). Following a gavage dose of 80 or 100 μ g As/kg, bioavailability was 103.9% (±25.8 SD) for As(III), 92.5% (±22.3 SD) for As(V), 16.7% (+5.0 SD) MMA(V) and 33.3% (+1.7 SD) for DMA(V).

Absorption of Inorganic Arsenic in Food. A mass balance approach was used to estimate absorption of arsenic from ingested food in a small group of human subjects (n=13 adults including 7 females; Stanek et al. 2010). The study consisted of two phases conducted approximately 2–3 years apart, with partial overlap of subjects in both phases. The subjects consumed self-prepared meals of their choosing and refrained from consuming foods that may have had high arsenic levels such as seafood, sea vegetables, rice, mushrooms, spinach, and grape juice. The subjects collected duplicate diet samples for all foods and beverages consumed during the study. Arsenic absorption was estimated from measurements of daily arsenic intakes (based on the duplicate diet samples) and excretion (fecal and urine) over a period of 7 days. The estimated average absorption of arsenic from food was 87.5% (95% CI: 81.2, 93.8) in the first phase of the study and 89.7% (95% CI: 83.4, 96.0) in the second phase of the study.

Absorption of arsenic from grains and vegetables has been studied in a juvenile swine model (Juhasz et al. 2006, 2008). Arsenic in rice was identified as a mixture of organic and inorganic compounds and the relative amounts of each varied with rice variety. Arsenic in market-purchased Basmati white rice cooked in water containing 1,000 μ g/L As(V) was identified as 100% inorganic arsenic. Bioavailability (fraction of dose absorbed into blood) of arsenic from the cooked white rice was 89% ±9 (SD). Arsenic in greenhouse grown Quest rice was identified as 86% organic arsenic (primarily DMA; valence not specified). Bioavailability from the greenhouse grown Quest rice was 33.1% ±3.2 (SD). Lower bioavailability of arsenic from Quest rice was consistent with lower bioavailability of MMA and (16.7% ±5.0 SD) and DMA (33.3% ±1.7 SD) compared to As(III) (103.9% ±25.8 SD) or As(V) (92.5%

			Cell type and variables	
Reference	Study population	Exposure	assessed	Outcomes
DNA damage	e			
Basu et al. 2005	<u>Location</u> : India <u>Population</u> : adults; 30 exposed; 30 controls	As concentrations (μg/L): Drinking water (mean±SE): - control: 7.69±0.49 - exposed: 247.12±19.93	<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood lymphocytes <u>Assessment</u> : nonspecific DNA damage (comet assay); oxidative DNA (comet assay	Exposure to arsenic in drinking water significantly increased non-specific and oxidative DNA damage, compared to controls.
		Urine (mean±SE): - control: 12.49±1.35 - exposed: 259.75±33.89	combined with formamido- pyrimidine-DNA glocosylase enyzme digestion	Comet assay (comet length; mean±SE) - control: 22.193±0.908 - exposed: 86.296±1.846 (p<0.01) Comet assay plus enzyme digestion (comet length; mean±SE):
				- control: 25.879±1.266 - exposed: 111.075±2.385 (p<0.01)
Mendez- Gomez et al. 2008	Location: Mexico <u>Population</u> : 3 groups of elementary school children based on location to a smelter: Group A (distant): n=21; Group B (intermediate); n=19; Group C (near): n=21.		<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood lymphocytes <u>Assessment</u> : nonspecific DNA damage (comet assay)	For Group C, but not Group A, comet assay results show a significant increase in comet tail length (13% increase compared to control; p<0.05) and the percentage of cells with tail length >20 μ m (26% increase compared to control; p<0.05).

Reference	Study population	Exposure	Cell type and variables assessed	Outcomes
Pei et al. 2013	Location: China <u>Population</u> : exposed: 75 adult males with arsenicosis (severity of mild, moderate, and severe based on degree of skin lesions); controls: 12 males without skin lesions		<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PNMs) <u>Assessment</u> : oxidative DNA damage (8-OHdG immunochemical staining of leukocytes)	In PMNs, but not monocytes, positive 8-OHdG reactions were observed, indicating oxidative damage to DNA.
Vuyyuri et al. 2006	Location: India <u>Population</u> : controls: 165; exposed: 200 glass workers; mean years of exposure: 12.3; 72% male; 28% female	As concentrations: Blood (μg/L; mean±SE): - control: 11.74±0.51 - exposed: 56.76±0.48 (p<0.05 compared to control)	<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood leukocytes <u>Assessment</u> : DNA damage (comet assay: tail length)	Compared to control, a statistically significant (p<0.05) increase in basal DNA damage was observed in exposed workers. Comet tail length (µm; mean±SE): - control: 8.29±0.71 - exposed: 14.95±0.48

No differences were observed between males and females.

Reference	Study population	Exposure	Cell type and variables assessed	Outcomes
Chromosome	e aberrations/sister chroma	atid exchange		
Paiva et al. 2006	Location: Chili <u>Population</u> : smelting plant workers: (1) arsenic-exposed workers (n=105); (2) internal control: office workers at the smelting plant (n=53); (3) external control: reference smelter workers with no arsenic exposure (n=48)	As concentrations: Total arsenic in urine (µg/L; mean±SE): - exposed: 136.01±10.18* - Ref 1: 64.60±5.05** - Ref 2: 21.34±2.64 *Significantly different (p<0.001) Ref 1 and Ref 2. **Significantly (p<0.001) difference from Exposed and Ref 2.	<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood lymphocytes <u>Assessment</u> : SCE and percentage of HFCs, defined as cells that displayed SCE values above the 95 th percentile of the SCE/cell distribution for the study population.	Sister chromatid exchanges (SCEs) and high frequency cells (HFCs) were significantly increased in the exposed group compared to the reference groups. SCE/cell (mean±SE): - exposed: 6.28±0.09 (p<0.01 versus external control) - Ref 1: 6.21±0.23 - Ref 2: 5.84±0.14 HFC (%) (mean±SE): - exposed: 2.21±0.20 (p<0.01 versus external and internal controls) - Ref 1: 1.30±0.24 - Ref 2: 1.20±0.23
Micronuclei f	ormation			
Banerjee et al. 2013	Location: India Population: 6 groups based on arsenic range in rice; Group 1 (n=113); Group 2 (n=118); Group 3 (n=84); Group 4 (n=35); Group 5 (n=30); Group 6 (n=37	Total As concentrations: Cooked rice (µg/kg): - Group 1: ≤100 - Group 2: >100-≤150 - Group 3: >150-≤200 - Group 4: >200-≤250 - Group 5: >250-≤300 - Group 6: >300 Urine (µg/L; mean±SD): - Group 1: 32±37 - Group 3: 48±51 - Group 4: 76±74 - Group 5: 87±64 - Group 6: 96±81	<u>Cell type</u> : urothelial cells <u>Assessment</u> : micronuclei formation	Micronuclei frequency was significantly higher (p<0.001) for groups with cooked rice arsenic concentrations >200 µg/kg, compare to the group with the lowest arsenic concentrations ≤100 µg/kg.

Reference	Study population	Exposure	Cell type and variables assessed	Outcomes
Bartolotta et al. 2011	Location: Argentina Population: exposed rural (4 male and 4 adults: control rural (5 males and 5 females); exposed urban (8 males and 11 females); control urban (10 males and 12 females)	As concentrations: Drinking water (µg/L; approximate concentrations; data presented graphically): - exposed rural: 45–400 - control rural: <30 - exposed urban: <10 - control urban: 80 *Total arsenic contained approximately 88% inorganic arsenic	<u>Cell type</u> : exfoliated buccal cells <u>Assessment</u> : micronuclei formation	Micronuclei formation was significantly increased in exposed rural and urban groups, compared to respective controls. No differences between males and females were observed for either population. Percentage of micronuclei (mean±SE): Rural population: - exposed: 2.15±0.13 (p=0.0005) - control: 0.94±0.06 Urban population: - exposed: 0.27±0.01 (p=0.002) - control: 0.15±0.02
Gamino- Gutierrez et al. 2013	Location: Villa de la Paz, Mexico (historical mining site) <u>Population</u> : exposed: 98 children (ages 4– 10 years) residing in Villa de la Paz for at least 2 years; control: 42 unexposed children from a different location	As concentrations: Soil arsenic concentration (mg/kg soil): - range: 212–16,595 - mean 1,062 Urine arsenic (μg/g creatinine): Exposed: - range 5.4–283.4 - mean: 40.3 Control: - range: 1.4–43.6 - control: 16.3	<u>Cell type</u> : exfoliated epithelial cells <u>Assessment</u> : micronuclei frequency	Micronuclei formation in exposed children was associated with urine arsenic levels. - correlation coefficient: 0.49 - p<0.001

Reference	Study population	Exposure	Cell type and variables assessed	Outcomes
Martinez et al. 2005	<u>Location</u> : Antofagasta region of Chile <u>Population</u> : 102 controls; 105 exposed	As concentrations: Drinking water range (µg/L): - controls: 0.2 - exposed: 0.3–1.0870 Fingernails (µg/g): - control: 3.57 - exposed: 10.15	<u>Cell type</u> : buccal cells <u>Assessment</u> : frequency of micronuclei	No increase in micronuclei formation was observed in control vs exposed groups. Micronuclei frequency (mean±SE): - control: 2.74±0.26 - exposed: 3.14±0.32
Paiva et al. 2008	- exposed: 10.15		<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood leukocytes <u>Assessment</u> : analysis of variance test	No differences in micronuclei frequencies were observed between the three groups.

Reference	Study population	Exposure	Cell type and variables assessed	Outcomes
Paul et al. 2013a	Location: India Population: 3 cohorts studied in 2004–2005 and 2010–2011. Cohort 1: exposed with no skin lesions (n=61 for follow-up study); Cohort 2: exposed with skin lesions (n=67 for follow- up study; Cohort 3: control (n=54 for follow- up study)		<u>Cell type</u> : urothelial cells <u>Assessment</u> : micronuclei formation; to determine if micronuclei formation decreased following implementation of measure to decreased arsenic concentration in drinking water	Reduction in Arsenic concentration in drinking water was associated with a decreased in micronuclei formation. In exposed cohorts, significant reductions in micronuclei formation were observed in the assessment conducted 2010–2011 compared to the assessment conducted in 2004–2005. Micronuclei frequency (mean±SD): Cohort 1: - 2004–2005: 5.15±1.73 - 2010–2011: 1.84±0.38 (p<0.001) Cohort 2: - 2004–2005: 4.51±1.27 - 2010–2011: 1.62±0.77 (p<0.001) Cohort 3: - 2004–2005: 1.21±0.25 - 2010–2011: 1.24±0.33
Vuyyuri et al. 2006	Location: India Population: controls: 165; exposed: 200 glass workers; mean years of exposure: 12.3; 72% male; 28% female	As concentrations: Blood (μg/L; mean±SE): - control: 11.74±0.51 - exposed: 56.76±0.48 (p<0.05 compared to control)	<u>Cell type</u> : Buccal cells <u>Assessment</u> : micronucleus frequency	Compared to control, a statistically significant (p<0.05) increase in micronuclei formation was observed in exposed workers Percent micronuclei formation (mean±SE): - control: 0.21±0.36 - exposed: 1.52±0.41

Table 3-12. Genotoxicity in Humans Exposed to Oral Arsenic

No differences were observed between males and females.

Reference	Study population	Exposure	Cell type and variables assessed	Outcomes
Decreased D	NA repair			
Mendez- Gomez et al. 2008	Location: Mexico Population: 3 groups of elementary school children based on location to a smelter: Group A (distant): n=21; Group B (intermediate); n=19; Group C (near): n=21		<u>Cell type</u> : peripheral blood lymphocytes <u>Assessment</u> : DNA repair capacity (analysis of breaks following treatment of cells with H ₂ O ₂	DNA repair was significantly reduced in Groups A (48% decrease; p=0.01) and C (74% decrease; p=0.001) compared to Group B

As = arsenic; DNA = deoxyribonucleic acid; HFC = high frequency cell; SD = standard deviation; SCE = sister chromatid exchange; SE = standard error

ARSENIC

 ± 22.3 SD) when administered by gavage. In swine, bioavailability of arsenic in rinsed edible portions of vegetables was estimated to be 52% ± 18 (SD) for chard, 50% ± 13 (SD) for lettuce, 77% ± 20 (SD) for radish and 98% ± 23 (SD) for mung bean (Juhasz et al. 2008). All of the arsenic in the vegetables was identified as either inorganic As(III) or As(V).

Absorption of Inorganic Arsenic in Soil. Absorption of arsenic from soil was estimated in a mass balance study (Stanek et al. 2010). Arsenic absorption was estimated from measurements of daily arsenic intakes and excretion over a period of 7 days in a group of 11 adults. Soil was ingested daily in a capsule containing approximately 0.6 g soil and 112 μ g arsenic. Characteristics of the soil were not reported, other than one soil sample having been collected at a cattle dip site where, presumably, arsenate pesticides had been used. The estimated average absorption of arsenic from soil was 48.7% (95% CI: 36.2, 61.3) compared to 89.7% (95% CI: 83.4, 96.0) from food. This study suggests that absorption of arsenic from soil was approximately 46% lower than absorption from food (soil/food ratio=54%).

Studies conducted in animals show that bioavailability of arsenic in soil tends to be lower than that of arsenic that is dissolved in water. An analysis of animal bioassay data on relative bioavailability (RBA) of soil arsenic (i.e., absorption from ingested soil relative to absorption of ingested sodium arsenate) included 103 RBA estimates on 88 soils collected from sites contaminated as a result of mining and/or smelting operations, pesticide or herbicide application, and/or manufacture (EPA 2012a). Estimates of RBA included in the analysis were derived from bioassays conducted in juvenile swine, mice, or monkeys (Bradham et al. 2011; Brattin and Casteel 2013; Juhasz et al. 2007a, 2014a; Roberts et al. 2002, 2007; Rodriguez et al. 1999). The average RBA for the 88 soils was 30%, the median was 28% and the 5th and 95th percentiles were 4.1 and 56%, respectively. The highest RBA observed was 78%. A major portion of the observed variability in RBA for different soils can be explained by variations in bioaccessibility of arsenic (solubility of arsenic in the gastrointestinal tract). Bioaccessibility, measured with in vitro extraction assays, has been shown to strongly correlate with RBA measured in animals (Basta et al. 2007; Bradham et al. 2011; Brattin et al. 2013; Bruce et al. 2007; Denys et al. 2012; Juhasz et al. 2007a, 2007b, 2009, 2011, 2014a, 2014b; Makris et al. 2008; Roberts et al. 2007; Rodriquez et al. 1999; Ruby et al. 1996; Wragg et al. 2011). This suggests that physical and chemical factors that influence the dissolution of arsenic from arsenic-bearing particles in the gastrointestinal tract are important determinants of absorption of soil arsenic. These may include particle morphology, including the degree to which arsenic is surficial or occluded within particles, and arsenic mineralogy, which may affect solubility within the gastrointestinal tract (Bradham et al. 2011; Brattin et al. 2013).

3.4.1.3 Dermal Exposure

Dermal absorption of arsenate and arsenic in soil has been studied in Rhesus monkeys (Lowney et al. 2007). Soil or a sodium arsenate solution was applied to the shaved abdomen of monkeys (n=3) for a period of 8 hours and urinary arsenic excretion was measured for a period of 7 days during and following dosing. The sodium arsenate dose was 1.3 or 1.4 mg arsenic. Soils were dried and sieved to $<150 \,\mu m$ particle size and applied at a dose of 4 mg soil/ cm^2 to achieve a monolayer on the skin surface. Soils were applied dry or wet. The dosing area (100 cm^2) was covered to prevent removal of the soil from the skin (e.g., ingestion). Absorption was estimated as the ratio of the cumulative urinary excretion of arsenic 0–96 hours following the dermal dose to the cumulative urinary excretion following an intravenous dose of sodium arsenate. Soil samples from Colorado (1,230 mg As/kg soil) and New York (1,400 mg As/kg soil) were studied. Arsenic in the Colorado soil was identified as being primarily iron-arsenic oxide (95%). Arsenic in the New York soil was primarily arsenic oxide (87%) and lead arsenate (10%). In two dosing trials, absorption following dermal application of sodium arsenate ranged from 0.3 to 4.3% (mean 2.5% \pm 2.3 SD) in the first trial and from 1.9 to 16% (mean 6.7% \pm 7.8 SD) in the second trial. Arsenic was not detectable above background following dermal dosing with either soil. Estimated absorption from soil doses ranged from 0.19 to 0.33% when applied dry (mean 0.24% ± 0.08 SD) and from 0 to 0.85% when applied wet (mean 0.50% \pm 0.44 SD).

3.4.2 Distribution

3.4.2.1 Inhalation Exposure

Distribution of arsenic following inhalation exposure has been studied in mice (Burchiel et al. 2009, 2010). Mice (male C57B1/6N) were exposed (nose-only) to aerosols of arsenic trioxide at concentrations of 50 or 1,000 μ g As/m³ for 3 hours/day for 14 days. The mass median diameters of the two exposures were 2.5 μ m (±1.7 geometric standard deviation [GSD]) and 2.3 μ m (±2.3 GSD). Immediately following exposure, dose-related increases in absorbed arsenic were observed in bladder, blood, brain, kidney, liver, lung, and spleen. The highest concentrations were observed in liver, followed by bladder and kidney.

3.4.3 Metabolism

Two metabolic pathways for inorganic arsenic, an enzymic arsenic reduction/methylation pathway and an alternative pathway involving nonenzymatic formation of arsenic-glutathione complexes, are described in Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (2007). A third metabolic pathway recently has been proposed (Bhattacharjee et al. 2013a; Rehman and Naranmandura 2012). This novel pathway involves initial binding of inorganic arsenic to sulfhydryl groups of cysteinyl moieties on proteins, followed by

ARSENIC

reductive methylation catalyzed by arsenic(III) methyltransferase (AS3MT) and using the methyl group donor S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) to form MMA(V) and DMA(V). The products of this proposed pathway are the same as for the reduction/methylation and alternative pathways.

3.4.5 Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK)/Pharmacodynamic (PD) Models

3.4.5.1 Summary of PBPK Models

El-Masri and Kenyon (2008) Model. El-Masri and Kenyon (2008) developed a human PBPK model for simulating ingested inorganic arsenate [As(V)], arsenite As(III), MMA(V), and DMA(V). The model consists of four interconnected submodels representing each of the above arsenic species. Each submodel includes compartments representing blood, brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, skin and gastrointestinal tract. Exchanges of arsenic between blood and tissues are simulated as flow-limited clearances governed by tissue blood flow. First-order absorption from the gastrointestinal tract is assumed for all four arsenic species. Arsenic metabolism activity is assigned to kidney, liver, and lung, with lung being a lumped compartment representing the contribution from all other tissues other than kidney and liver. Oxidation and reduction of As(V) and As(III) is assumed to be first order and governed by rate constants for each species. Reduction of MMA(V) and DMA(V) is also assumed to be first order. Methylation of As(III) and MMA(III) is assumed to be capacity-limited with the rates governed by Km and Vmax and Ki, where Ki is the noncompetitive inhibition constant for As(III) or MMA(III). All of the simulated arsenic species are excreted in urine. Excretion of As(III), As(V), MMA(V), or DMA(V) is simulated as first-order transfer from kidney to urine. Urinary excretion of MMA(III) or DMA(III) formed from reduction of MMA(V) or DMA(V), respectively, is simulated as a direct first-order transfer of the reduced metabolite to the urine (without entering the circulation). This non-physiologic simplification eliminates the need to parameterize the blood and tissue kinetics of MMA(III) and DMA(III).

Absorption rate constants were optimized from data on blood arsenic concentration kinetics in mice that received oral doses of As(V), As(III), MMA(V), or DMA(V) (Hughes et al. 2005; Kenyon et al. 2005a, 2005b). Rate constants for urinary excretion were optimized from data on urinary excretion in adults who were administered a single oral dose of arsenic (500 μ g) as As(III), MMA(V), or DMA(V) (Buchet et al. 1981a). Values for K_m and K_i for methylation were derived from *in vitro* studies of the purified methyltransferases (Wildfang et al. 1998; Zakharyan et al. 1999). Values for methylation V_{max} and all other metabolism rate constants were optimized from data on urinary excretion humans dosed with As(III), MMA(V), or DMA(V) (Buchet et al. 1981a). Values for tissue/blood partition coefficients were

95

derived from tissue/blood arsenic ratios measured in autopsy samples from poisoning cases (Yu 1999a); however, alternative values based on studies conducted in rodents were also evaluated.

The model was evaluated by comparing predictions with observation made in humans of urinary excretion of total arsenic, inorganic arsenic, DMA, and MMA from studies not used in model calibration (Aposhian et al. 2000; Mandal et al. 2001; Mann et al. 1996b; Valenzuela et al. 2005). Sensitivity analyses showed that urinary predictions were sensitive to values assigned to rate constants for urinary excretion, reduction, and V_{max} for methylation. Metrics used to evaluate agreement between predictions and observations included median performance error and PBPK index (El-Masri and Kenyon 2008; Krishnan et al. 1995). The model performed better at predicting excretion of inorganic arsenic (error <10%) than methylated arsenic. At arsenic doses up to 500 µg, median percent error was <60% for methylated arsenic; however, error was larger at higher arsenic doses. The model overpredicted DMA excretion following a 1,000 µg arsenic dose (percent error >300%), which may reflect a dose-dependence of methylation not simulated in the model (Buchet et al. 1981b).

Evans et al. (2008) *Model.* Evans et al. (2008) developed a mouse PBPK model for simulating injected and ingested DMA(V) (see Figure 3-1). The model includes compartments representing blood, plasma, red blood cells, kidney, liver, lung, skin, urinary bladder, and gastrointestinal tract. Exchanges of arsenic between blood and tissues are simulated as either flow-limited or diffusion-limited clearances. Absorption from the gastrointestinal tract and excretion of DMA(V) from kidney to urine were assumed to be first order. The blood compartment included subcompartments representing red blood cells and plasma, with exchanges between the two governed by binding constants or DMA(V) in both subcompartments. Metabolism of DMA(V) (to TMAO) was assigned to the liver and was assumed to be first order.

Partition coefficients were optimized from data on tissue DMA(V) concentration in mice that received singe intravenous injections of DMA(V) (1.11 or 111 mg DMA(V)/kg; Hughes and Kenyon 1998). Urinary excretion data from the intravenous studies were used to estimate the urinary excretion rate constant (Hughes and Kenyon 1998). The metabolism rate constant was calculated from urinary excretion kinetics of TMAO in mice that received and oral dose of DMA(V) (Marafante et al. 1987). Parameters were first optimized for the flow-limited model, with diffusion permeability constants set to zero. Parameters, including permeability coefficients, were re-optimized for the diffusion limited model, keeping values of the metabolism and urinary excretion rate constants the same in both models. The partition coefficients for the two models were similar. The absorption rate constant was optimized from



Figure 3-1. Flow- and Diffusion-Limited DMA(V) PBPK Model

 C_a = arterial blood; DMA = dimethylarsinic acid; K_{abs} = absorption from stomach; K_{el} = kidney clearance; K_{tmao} = metabolism; PBPK = physiologically based pharmacokinetic

Source: Evans et al. 2008; reproduced by permission of Oxford University Press in the format reuse in government report via Copyright Clearance Center.

data on tissue DMA concentrations following a single gavage dose (1.11 or 111 mg DMA(V)/kg; Hughes et al. 2008).

Model performance was evaluated using the PBPK index statistic for comparing predictions and observations (Krishnan et al. 1995). For most tissues (plasma, kidney, liver, red blood cells), the diffusion-limited model yielded PBPK indices that were approximately 30% lower than the flow-limited model, for both the intravenous calibration data and the oral data (only the absorption rate constant was optimized to the oral data). Lower PBPK indices indicate that the diffusion-limited model provided a better fit to the observations than the flow-limited model. Sensitivity analyses showed that sensitivity apparent soon after dosing (e.g., <5 hours) was not always evident at later time periods. Urinary predictions were sensitive to values assigned to rate constants for urinary excretion, reduction, and V_{max} for methylation. With the exception of kidney, standardized coefficients for diffusion-limited model had its largest impact on kidney kinetics. This is consistent with improved PBPK indices for the kidney in the diffusion-limited model (0.03–0.07) compared to the flow-limited model (0.13–0.25).

3.4.5.2 Arsenic PBPK Model Comparison

The El-Masri and Kenyon (2008) and Evans et al. (2008) models supplement two other models, the Mann model (Gentry et al. 2004; Mann et al. 1996a, 1996b) and the Yu model (Yu 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b) that are described in the ATSDR Toxicological Profile for Arsenic (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry 2007). Major features of the four models are compared in Table 3-13.

		Absorption		Metabolic	Excretion	
Model	Species ^a	pathways⁵	Tissues ^c	pathways	pathways ^d	Comment
El-Masri and Kenyon (El- Masri and Kenyon 2008)	HUM	OR	BL, BR, GI, HE, KI, LI, LU, SK	$\begin{array}{l} As^{\text{III}}\leftrightarrow\!\!As^{\vee} \\ As^{\text{III}}\rightarrow\!\!MMA^{\vee} \\ MMA^{\vee}\rightarrow\!\!DMA^{\vee} \\ DMA^{\vee}\leftrightarrow\!DMA^{\text{III}} \\ MMA^{\vee}\leftrightarrow\!MMA^{\text{III}} \end{array}$	UR	Simulates kinetics following dosing with As ^{III} , As ^V , MMA ^V , or DMA ^V . Metabolism in kidney, liver, and lung (representing all other tissues). Tissue-plasma exchanges flow-limited.
Evans (Evans et al. 2008)	MOU	IV, OR	BL, BLAD, GI, KI, LI, LU, OT, PL, RBC, SK		UR	Simulates kinetics following dosing with DMA ^V . Metabolism assigned to liver. Tissue-plasma exchanges diffusion-

Table 3-13. Comparison of Major Features of PBPK Models for Arsenic

Model	Species ^a	Absorption pathways ^b	Tissues ^c	Metabolic pathways	Excretion pathways ^d	Comment
						limited.
Mann (Gentry et al. 2004; Mann et al. 1996a, 1996b)	HAM, HUM, MOU, RAB	IT, INH, IV, OR	BL, GI, KI, LI, LU, OT, PL, RBC, SK	As ^{III} ↔As ^v As ^{III} →MMA MMA→DMA	FE, UR	Simulates kinetics following dosing with As ^{III} , As ^V , or DMA. Methylation assigned to liver; oxidation and reduction of arsenic is assigned to plasma. Tissue-plasma exchanges diffusion- limited.
Yu (Yu 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b)	HUM, MOU, RAT	OR	FA, KI, LINT, LI, LU, MU, SINT, SK, ST, VRG	As ^{III} ↔As [∨] As ^{III} →MMA MMA→DMA	BI, FE, UR	Simulates kinetics following dosing with As ^{III} , As ^V , MMA, or DMA. Metabolism is assigned to kidney and liver. Tissue-plasma exchanges flow-limited.

Table 3-13. Comparison of Major Features of PBPK Models for Arsenic

^aSpecies: HUM = human; HAM = hamster; MOU = mouse; RAB = rabbit; RAT = rat.

^bAbsorption pathways: INH = inhalation; IT, intratracheal; IV = intravenous; OR = oral.

^cTissues: BL = blood; BLAD = bladder; BR = brain; KI = kidney; LI = liver; LINT = large intestine; MU = muscle;

OT = other; PL = plasma; RBA = red blood cell; SINT = small intestine; SK = skin; ST = stomach; VRG = vessel rich group.

^dExcretion pathways: BI = biliary; FE = fecal; UR = urine.

AS = arsenic; DMA = dimethylarsinic acid; MMA = monomethylarsonic acid; PBPK = physiologically based pharmacokinetic

3.4.5.3 Discussion of Models

Risk assessment applications of the El-Masri and Kenyon (2008) and Evans et al. (2008) models have not been reported. The Evans et al. (2008) model simulates DMA(V) absorption and kinetics in the mouse and applications would be limited to dosimetry predictions in mice dosed with DMA(V). The El-Masri and Kenyon (2008) model simulated absorption and kinetics of As(III), As(V), MMA(V), or DMA(V) and simulates all of the major metabolic pathways for these species. The model was used to predict urinary MMA(III) and DMA(III) excretion in populations exposed to inorganic arsenic in drinking water (Aposhian et al. 2000; Mandal et al. 2001). When average drinking water concentrations and typical drinking water intakes (1.5–2.0 L/day) were assumed in these simulations, predictions agreed well with population means for metabolite excretion.

3.5 MECHANISMS OF ACTION

3.5.1 Pharmacokinetic Mechanisms

Cellular Uptake. Cellular uptake of arsenic depends upon the arsenic oxidation state and cell type. Arsenic can cross cell membranes by passive diffusion or carrier protein mediated transport. For passive diffusion, cell membranes are more permeable to As(III) than As(V). For carrier-mediate transport, aquaglycoprotein channels and phosphate transporters have been proposed as mechanisms for carrier-mediated transport of arsenite and arsenate, respectively (Bustaffa et al. 2014; Druwe and Vaillancourt 2010; Kumagai and Sumi 2007).

Genetic Polymorphisms of Arsenic-metabolizing Enzymes. As reviewed by Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry (2007), As(III) is more toxic than As(V) and, similarly, methylated forms of arsenite appear to be more toxic than methylated forms of arsenate. Therefore, alterations in arsenic metabolism that result in increased formation or decreased oxidation of As(III) compounds to As(V) compounds may increase arsenic-induced toxicity. As noted in several reviews, genetic polymorphisms for several enzymes involved in arsenic metabolism have been associated with increased As(III) metabolites in urine. Alterations in arsenic metabolism may, in part, provide a basis for interindividual sensitivity to arsenic (Bailey and Fry 2014a, 2014b; Bhattacharjee et al. 2013a; Bustaffa et al. 2014; Faita et al. 2013; Naujokas et al. 2013; Sumi and Himeno 2012; Smith and Steinmaus 2009). Recent studies have examined the relationship between polymorphisms of arsenic metabolizing enzymes and urine profiles of metabolites and/or risk of arsenic-induced effects in human populations. Polymorphisms examined include AS3MT (Agusa et al. 2009; Engstrom et al. 2009, 2011; Porter et al. 2010; Rodrigues et al. 2012; Tellez-Plaza et al. 2013), cystathione- β -synthase (Porter et al. 2010), glutathione S-transferase π 1 (Agusa et al. 2012; Antonelli et al. 2014; Marcos et al. 2006), glutathione S-transferase ω 1 (Ahsan et al. 2007; Antonelli et al. 2014; Marcos et al. 2006; Porter et al. 2010; Rodrigues et al. 2012), methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (Ahsan et al. 2007; Chung et al. 2010; Porter et al. 2010), and N-6-adenine-specific DNA methyltransferase 1 (Harari et al. 2013). In general, results show that genetic polymorphisms of arsenic-metabolizing enzymes in humans are associated with differences in the MMA:DMA ratio in urine.

3.5.2 Mechanisms of Toxicity

The toxicity of arsenic, including cancer, is most likely due to multiple mechanisms, with some mechanisms acting sequentially or synergistically. Two general types of mechanisms appear to be involved in arsenic-induced toxicity: (1) formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and subsequent

damage to cellular macromolecules and oxidative stress and (2) interaction of reactive arsenic or arsenic metabolite species with cellular macromolecules. In addition, recent advances in mechanisms of arsenic-induced toxicity have focused on epigenetic changes.

ROS. Results of mechanistic studies of arsenic toxicity suggest a role of ROS in the toxicity of inorganic arsenic (Bailey and Fry 2014b; Bhattacharjee et al. 2013a, 2013b; Bustaffa et al. 2014; Druwe and Vaillancourt 2010; Faita et al. 2013; Kumagai and Sumi 2007; Martinez et al. 2011; Salinkow and Zhitkovich 2008). Superoxide anion and subsequent formation of hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical have been proposed as the primary ROS associated with arsenic-induced oxidative stress. Oxidative stress is considered to be one of the initial biological effects in arsenic-induced toxicity, including carcinogenesis.

Arsenic-induced ROS generation has been associated with numerous effects on cellular targets, which can directly damage cellular targets or lead to a cascade of effects in response to oxidative stress. The following effects have been associated with arsenic-induced ROS: reduced steady-state levels of nitric oxide; alterations in intracellular oxidation/reduction reactions, which can alter intracellular redox status; decreased glutathione levels; lipid peroxidation; damage to proteins; inhibition of pyruvate dehydrogenase; disruption of the mitochondrial membrane and inhibition of mitochondrial enzymes; altered protein phosphorylation and subsequent disruption of various signal-transduction pathway; increased expression of stress-response transcription factors, and genomic instability through damage to DNA (single and double strand breaks, DNA adducts, base-pair mutations, rearrangement of deletions insertions, and sequence amplifications), irreversible inhibition of DNA repair, telomere dysfunction, and mitotic arrest.

Interactions of Arsenic and Arsenic Metabolites with Cellular Targets. Interaction of reactive arsenic or arsenic metabolite species with cellular macromolecules are associated with alterations in cell function (Bhattacharjee et al. 2013a; Bustaffa et al. 2014; Druwe and Vaillancourt 2010; Salinkow and Zhitkovich 2008; Wantanabe and Hirano 2013). Due to the reactivity of arsenic and metabolites, several cellular targets for arsenic-induced effects have been identified, with most having numerous cascading effects. Arsenate, arsenite, MMA, and DMA directly interact with thiol groups of macromolecules (e.g., cysteine and glutathione). As a result, arsenic can inhibit the activity of thiol-rich enzymes, including pyruvate dehydrogenase, 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, and tyrosine phosphatases, and interact with zinc finger proteins. Arsenic also has been shown to interfere with oxidative phosphorylation through the formation an unstable arsenate ester. Arsenic species participate in several biochemical reactions including covalent
interactions, methylation and demethylation reactions, acid-base reactions, and oxidation-reduction reactions. Arsenic alters proteins in the insulin signaling pathway, leading to a disruption of glucose homeostasis. Other cellular effects attributed to arsenic include stimulation of the sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor (a G protein-coupled receptor), mitotic arrest, and interactions with tubulin (leading to mitotic arrest).

Epigenetic Changes. The epigenome refers to chemical compounds that function as gene regulators without altering DNA sequences. Recent research has shown the importance of the epigenome in maintaining development, growth, and cellular homeostasis. Epigenetic changes can lead to changes in gene expression and cause genetic instability. Changes to the epigenome have been proposed as important mechanisms in arsenic-induced toxicity, developmental effects, and carcinogenesis (Arita and Costa 2009; Bailey and Fry 2014b; Bhattacharjee et al. 2013a, 2013b; Bustaffa et al. 2014; Martinez et al. 2011; Salinkow and Zhitkovich 2008). However, specific biological consequences and causal relationships of epigenetic changes have not been established and are likely to vary with cell types and arsenic dose and exposure duration.

Arsenic has been shown to affect the epigenome by alterations in DNA methylation, histones, and microRNAs (miRNAs). DNA methylation is the addition of a methyl group to a cytosine or adenine nucleotide in DNA; methylation is an important mechanism for regulating gene expression. Results of *in vitro* and animal studies and studies of human population, have shown that arsenic induces both hypo-and hypermethylation of DNA. Alterations in DNA methylation have been associated with development of arsenic-induced diseases, including carcinogenesis and developmental effects. It has been proposed that hypomethylation upregulates oncogenes and that hypermethylation downregulates tumor suppressor genes. Histones, the main protein component of chromatin, are involved in regulation of gene expression. DNA wraps around histones, forming nucleosomes. Recent studies show that arsenic can produce post-translational modifications to histones through methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquination of specific amino acids within the histone, and thereby affect gene transcription. miRNAs are small noncoding RNAs that are involved in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression.

3.7 CHILDREN'S SUSCEPTIBILITY

As discussed in Section 3.2.2.6, developmental and neurodevelopmental effects have been observed in infants and children following prenatal and early life exposure to arsenic in drinking water (Ahmed et al.

2012; Farzan et al. 2013; Guan et al. 2012; Hamadini et al. 2010, 2011; Hsieh et al. 2014; Jin et al. 2013; Khan et al. 2012; Kippler et al. 2012; Nahar et al. 2014; Parvez et al. 2011; Rahman et al. 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011; Raqib et al. 2009; Roy et al. 2011; Rudnai et al. 2014; Saha et al. 2012; Vall et al. 2012; Wasserman et al. 2007, 2011, 2014; Wu et al. 2014). In addition, prenatal exposure of humans and animals to arsenic is associated with the development of cancer in offspring later in life (see Section 3.2.2.7; Smith et al. 2006, 2012; Tokar et al. 2012a, 2012b; Yuan et al. 2010).

3.10 POPULATIONS THAT ARE UNUSUALLY SUSCEPTIBLE

Genetic polymorphisms of enzymes involved in the metabolism of arsenic, including AS3MT and glutathione transferases (Bailey and Fry 2014a, 2014b; Bhattacharjee et al. 2013a; Bustaffa et al. 2014; Faita et al. 2013; Naujokas et al. 2013; Sumi and Himeno 2012; Smith and Steinmaus 2009) are associated with differences in the MMA:DMA ratio in urine. Individuals with polymorphisms associated with a higher MMA:DMA ratio in urine may be more susceptible to arsenic-induced toxicity.

4. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL INFORMATION

No updated data.

5. PRODUCTION, IMPORT/EXPORT, USE, AND DISPOSAL

5.1 PRODUCTION

U.S. production of metallic arsenic and arsenic trioxide ceased in 1985; limited quantities of metallic arsenic, however, may be recovered from gallium arsenide semiconductor scrap (USGS 2014).

5.2 IMPORT/EXPORT

China was the major import source for elemental arsenic during the years 2009–2012, supplying 87%, followed by Japan (12%) and others (1%). Morocco was the major import source for arsenic trioxide during the years 2009–2012, supplying 67%, followed by China (20%), Belgium (12%), and others (1%) (USGS 2014).

U.S. exports of elemental arsenic were 354 metric tons in 2009, 481 metric tons in 2010, 705 metric tons in 2011, and 439 metric tons in 2012, and are estimated to be 1,750 metric tons in 2013 (USGS 2014).

5.3 USE

According to the National Pesticide Information Retrieval System (NPIRS), arsenic acid, arsenic pentoxide, and sodium methanearsonate are currently registered as pesticides in the United States; there are no active registrants listed for arsenic trioxide, calcium arsenate, lead arsenate, sodium arsenite, arsanilic acid, dimethylarsinic acid, disodium methanearsonate, methanearsonic acid, or sodium dimethylarsinate (NPIRS 2015).

The EPA issued a reregistration eligibility decision (RED) for wood preservatives containing chromated arsenicals, including chromated copper arsenate (CCA) and ammoniacal copper arsenate (ACA) (EPA 2008). Based on the results of the RED, the EPA concluded that current registered uses of chromated arsenicals are eligible for reregistration upon meeting specific risk mitigation procedures, proper end-use, and labeling. Active ingredients containing arsenic evaluated in the assessment include arsenic acid and arsenic oxide.

Gallium-arsenide (GaAs) is used in third- and fourth-generation "smartphones" (USGS 2014).

5.4 DISPOSAL

As of February 2014, both metallic arsenic from gallium arsenide semiconductor manufacturing and arsenic contained in the process water of wood treatment plants using CCA were recycled (USGS 2014). However, metallic arsenic was not recovered during metal recycling of electronic circuit boards, relays, and switches, which may contain arsenic, nor was metallic arsenic recovered from arsenic-containing residues or dust generated at nonferrous smelters in the United States (USGS 2014).

Wastes generated from the treatment of wood are regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Waste water generated from wood preservation is listed as Hazardous Waste number F035 (EPA 2008).

CCA-treated wood is commonly disposed of in construction or demolition landfills, municipal solid waste landfills, or industrial nonhazardous waste landfills. Existing federal hazardous waste regulations require testing procedures to evaluate if a representative sample of the waste leaches arsenic above a certain threshold concentration. This value determines whether wastes containing arsenic are defined as hazardous waste. Some CCA-treated wood may meet this definition; however, because of an existing exemption by the federal register (40 CFR 261.4(b)(9)), CCA-treated wood is generally not defined as a

hazardous waste (EPA 2008). Disposal may occur with household trash, where the disposal would be defined by state and local waste management authorities (EPA 2008).

6. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

6.1 OVERVIEW

Arsenic has been identified in at least 860 of the 1,754 proposed (47), final (1,322), and deleted (385) hazardous waste sites listed on the EPA Superfund National Priorities List (NPL) (EPA 2013a; NLM 2014). However, the number of sites evaluated for arsenic is not known.

Exposure to the general population occurs through contaminated groundwater and can also occur from the ingestion of foods containing arsenic compounds. Exposure from drinking water, water used for food preparation, or water used in crop (especially rice) irrigation, is a source of concern, as elevated concentrations have been reported.

6.2 RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT

Of the 20,853 Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) facilities reporting nationwide, elemental arsenic has been reported in 40 on site-releases and inorganic arsenic compounds have been reported in no on-site releases, for the reporting year 2012 (NLM 2014).

6.4 LEVELS MONITORED OR ESTIMATED IN THE ENVIRONMENT

6.4.1 Air

Ambient air and precipitation samples were collected at a site in Washington, DC (Melaku et al. 2008). The ambient air samples collected every 6 days over 7 months had total arsenic concentrations ranging of from 0.800 to 15.7 ng/m³. Wet deposition samples collected every 6 days for 1 year resulted in total arsenic concentrations ranging from 0.20 to $1.3 \mu g/L$.

6.4.2 Water

A Washington State Environmental Biomonitoring Survey was conducted with tap water samples from 82 households in South Whidbey from July to September 2011. Results indicated that 54% of the water samples exceeded the EPA drinking water standard (maximum contaminant level [MCL]=10 μ g/L) (WA DOH 2015).

106

Wasserman et al. (2014) measured kitchen tap water in Maine households; the average value found was 9.88 μ g/L. Close to one-third of the water samples exceeded the EPA drinking water standard (MCL=10 μ g/L). The highest level found was 115.3 μ g/L.

In September and October 2005, samples from eight sites located in Lake Mohawk, a man-made body of water in New Jersey, were assessed for arsenic concentrations (Barringer et al. 2011). Shallow and deep lake water and sediment samples were collected. Lakes depths ranged from 1 to 7 m. The source water for the lake is predominantly groundwater. The lake is surrounded by approximately 2,200 homes and a golf course located on the western shore. It was reported that in the mid-20th century, an estimated 300,000 kg of arsenical pesticides were applied to the lake. Concentrations of arsenic in sediment cores ranged from 91 to 460 mg/kg (91–460 μ g/g). Concentrations in filtered (27.5–31.5 μ g/L) and unfiltered (23–26 μ g/L) water samples appeared to be evenly distributed in the lake.

In 2006, arsenic concentrations were measured in samples of runoff water, from two detention basins in Raccoon Creek, New Jersey (USGS 2011a). Arsenic concentrations in water samples collected in September, 2006 upstream of the outfall of basin one, at the basin, and at the outfall reported for detention basin one were 0.663, 2.56, and 2.44 μ g/L, respectively, for filtered samples and 2.28, 2.68, and 2.45 μ g/L, respectively, for unfiltered samples. Arsenic concentrations in water samples collected in May, 2006 upstream of the outfall of basin two, at the basin, and at the outfall reported for detention basin two were 0.872, 1.54, and 2.10 μ g/L, respectively, for filtered samples and 1.39, 1.63, and 2.21 μ g/L, respectively, for unfiltered samples. Arsenic concentrations in water samples collected in September, 2006 upstream of the outfall of basin two, at the basin, and at the outfall reported for detention basin two were 0.872, 1.54, and 2.10 μ g/L, respectively, for filtered samples and 1.39, 1.63, and 2.21 μ g/L, respectively, for unfiltered samples. Arsenic concentrations in water samples collected in September, 2006 upstream of the outfall of basin two, at the basin, and at the outfall reported for detention basin two were 1.00, 4.35, and 3.11 μ g/L, respectively, for filtered samples and 3.10, 4.35, and 3.71 μ g/L, respectively, for unfiltered samples.

In Sutherlin, Oakland, and Yoncalla, Oregon, naturally occurring arsenic in groundwater was studied by the Environmental Health Assessment Program (EHAP), a part of the Oregon Department of Human Services (DHS) Office of Environmental Public Health (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry 2009). Well water analysis was performed for 124 samples collected from 114 private wells between June 9 and June 18, 2008. Arsenic was detected in 29 of the wells at concentrations ranging from 1 to 460 ppb (0.001–0.460 μ g/L). Water samples that exceeded the EPA drinking water standard (MCL=10 μ g/L) occurred in 13 of the wells located in areas east of Sutherlin, 11 of which were intended for domestic use.

Arsenic in groundwater has been correlated to the geology of the region, including aquifer characteristics, pH, redox conditions, and concentrations of inorganic minerals (Jones and Pilcher 2007; Meliker et al. 2009; Root et al. 2010; USGS 2011b). Aquifer recharge and aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) are processes employed in storing available water in aquifers and using the water when it is needed. Arsenic mobilization was investigated in Southwest Central Florida (Jones and Pilcher 2007) and southeastern Michigan groundwater (Meliker et al. 2009). From 300 core samples of 19 wells, a mean concentration of 3.5 µg/L arsenic was found in the Limestone of wells, in Southwest Central Florida region, used in groundwater recharge. Study results confirmed that arsenic is released from minerals containing arsenic, such as pyrite. Pyrite becomes unstable and dissolves when redox conditions shift towards a more oxidizing environment, increasing the potential of leaching arsenic into the stored water. Conditions in the Suwannee Limestone were reducing; therefore, low levels of arsenic were found. Arsenic concentrations in well water from 13 of the 19 wells were $<0.02 \mu g/L$; 6 of the 19 samples had levels ranging from 0.022 to 0.036 µg/L (Jones and Pilcher 2007). The spatial relationships in groundwater recharge wells, well characteristics, and dissolved arsenic in unconsolidated and bedrock aquifers of southeastern Michigan were studied (Meliker et al. 2009). Total arsenic concentrations in water from 641 bedrock wells in the Southeastern Michigan region ranged from not detectable to 161 µg/L; the mean total arsenic concentration was 4 μ g/L and the median was 3 μ g/L (Meliker et al. 2009). Total arsenic concentrations in water from 71 unconsolidated wells in the Southeastern Michigan region ranged from not detectable to 46 μ g/L; the mean total arsenic concentration was 11 μ g/L and the median was 17 μ g/L.

During the spring and summer of 2009 in east-central Massachusetts, 478 private bedrock wells were sampled for analysis of arsenic concentrations by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS 2011b). In 24% of the samples, arsenic concentrations were below the method detection limit of 0.2 μ g/L. Concentrations up to 1,540 μ g/L were reported. Concentrations >10 μ g/L were found in 13–15% of samples. Correlations with bedrock units were made in order to calculate the probability of elevated arsenic in area wells. It was estimated that 5,741 wells in the State of Massachusetts may contain arsenic concentrations >10 μ g/L.

Arsenic concentrations in mine groundwater and sediment from the Judge Tunnel, a water treatment facility in Park City, Utah, were measured. Total arsenic concentrations of 0.009–0.010 mg/L (9– $10 \mu g/L$) and <5 $\mu g/L$ were reported in unfiltered and filtered water samples, respectively. The total arsenic concentrations in sediments collected from water storage tanks was 320 mg/kg (320 $\mu g/g$) (Pawlak et al. 2008). In one area of the Park City distribution system, hydrant-flushed water had elevated arsenic concentrations of 21.2–151 $\mu g/L$.

Arsenopyrite and pyrite, present in gold-bearing quartz veins of the Lucky Shot Gold Mine in Alaska, were attributed to the elevated arsenic concentrations in mine tailings and drainage water (Torrance et al. 2012). The mine was in operation from 1920 to 1942, producing 252,000 ounces of gold. Water samples were collected in August 2010 and September 2011 from 17 established monitoring wells. Total arsenic concentrations ranged from <1.0 μ g/L found downstream to 752.5 μ g/L found in water seeping from mine tailings. The main source of arsenic was found to be discharge from the mine adits. Less than half of the monitoring wells (five total) had total arsenic concentrations >10 μ g/L. Arsenite accounted for close to 100% of the total arsenic present in the majority of the wells. A <2% difference in arsenic concentrations from filtered versus unfiltered samples indicated that the majority of arsenic present was in aqueous form.

In 2008, speciation of arsenic was performed in groundwater samples of a former ammunition depot and filling station in Germany operating in the 1940s (Daus et al. 2010). Remediation of the site was performed in 2005 by soil excavation. In June 2008, samples were taken at the source 2.0–15 m below the surface. Total arsenic reported in this study includes the summation of arsenite, arsenate, phenylarsonic acid, phenylarsine oxide, and diphenylarsinic acid. The highest concentration of total arsenic in source samples of groundwater was reported as 16 mg/L (16,000 µg/L), detected 4.4–5.4 m below the surface. Concentrations >1 mg/L (1,000 µg/L) were typically confined to depths \leq 10 m. In November 2008, groundwater samples were taken 1 km from the source in the flow direction of the groundwater, at depths ranging from 4.8 to 25.0 m below the surface. Total arsenic concentrations were <400 µg/L. The species found here included arsenite, arsenate, phenylarsonic acid, phenylarsine oxide, and diphenylarsine, arsenate, phenylarsonic acid, phenylarsine oxide, and here included arsenite, arsenate, phenylarsonic acid, phenylarsine oxide, and diphenylarsonic acid, phenylarsine oxide, and diphenylarsine, arsenate, phenylarsonic acid, phenylarsine oxide, and diphenylarsinic acid. Proportions of the species changed with the sampling depth.

Ayotte et al. (2015) analyzed five datasets containing arsenic concentrations in wells in the United States. Data were compiled for 1,245 public and private drinking water wells. The two most recent samples available for each well were used for this analysis. Data from filtered samples of 312 public and private wells collected from 1993 to 2008 at aquifers across the United States were obtained from the USGS National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program dataset. Samples in New England were obtained from 607 public bedrock wells sampled from 1995 to 2008. A dataset for the Lamprey River basin was compiled from unfiltered water samples from 148 domestic wells across the basin in 2004 and 2005. The Lamprey River basin samples were analyzed at the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES) Laboratory, an EPA contract laboratory, and the EPA National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory. Unfiltered samples from 35 private bedrock wells near the Mottolo

108

Superfund site sampled from 2009 to 2010 and analyzed at the NHDES laboratory and 143 domestic bedrock wells sampled from 2002 to 2012 by homeowners and analyzed by EPA laboratory were also included. Concentrations of arsenic in a specific well did not vary greatly over time: $<\pm4 \mu g/L$ variability in 87% of the wells considered in this analysis. Variability in arsenic concentrations occurred more often in public water supplies compared to private wells. Variability was dependent upon multiple factors including geochemical parameters. Data indicated a weak correlation to seasonal variability in the New England area but not in California; concentrations in New England during the first half of the year were lower than arsenic concentrations in the second half of the year. Variability in California wells appeared to be a result of geochemical processes as well as aquifer storage and recovery practices, including groundwater recharge methods.

Speciation tests were performed in 65 wells from 59 sites across the United States with naturally occurring arsenic (Sorg et al. 2014). The sites chosen for the study were either part of the EPA Arsenic Demonstration Program (ADP) (n=50), proposed sites for the ADP program (n=5), or EPA research project sites (n=4). Analysis was conducted monthly for up to 3 years in select wells. Arsenate was found as the dominant species in 31 wells, arsenite was the dominant species in 29 wells, and almost equal amounts of the two species were found in 5 wells. Overall concentrations of arsenic species in a specific well did not vary greatly over time. The average iron content was 29, 1,544, 30, and $129 \,\mu g/L$ for the wells located in the East, Midwest, West, and Farwest regions, respectively. The average oxidation/reduction potentials were 244, -17, 179, and 213 mV for the wells located in the East, Midwest, West, and Farwest regions, respectively. The average pH of the wells in this assessment ranged from 7.4 to 7.9 in all four regions. On average, 92–100% of arsenic in the samples was in soluble form, and arsenate occurred as the dominant species in the East, West, and Farwest wells. Sites in the East region included wells in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New York, and Pennsylvania. In the East region, the average concentration of total arsenic found was 27.6 μ g/L, the average particulate concentration was 0.3 μ g/L, the average soluble arsenic concentration was 27.8 µg/L, and the average concentrations of arsenate and arsenite were 19.8 and 8.0 µg/L, respectively. Sites in the West region included wells in Texas, South Dakota, Nebraska, New Mexico, Arizona, and Montana. In the West region, the average concentration of total arsenic found was $36.3 \,\mu$ g/L, the average particulate concentration was 1.5 μ g/L, the average soluble arsenic concentration was 35.0 μ g/L, and the average concentrations of arsenate and arsenite were 30.4 and 5.2 μ g/L, respectively. Sites in the Farwest region included wells in California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Nevada, and Utah. The average concentration of total arsenic found was $34.1 \,\mu g/L$, the average particulate concentration was 1.3 μ g/L, the average soluble arsenic concentration was 33.1 μ g/L, and the

109

average concentrations of arsenate and arsenite were 28.2 and 4.8 μ g/L, respectively. Arsenite occurred as the dominant species in anoxic Midwest wells with elevated iron concentrations. Sites in the Midwest region included wells in Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Louisiana. The average concentration of total arsenic found was 28.6 μ g/L, the average particulate concentration was 2.6 μ g/L, the average soluble arsenic concentration was 26.1 μ g/L, and the average concentrations of arsenate and arsenite were 2.3 and 24.1 μ g/L, respectively.

Erikson and Barnes (2005) evaluated arsenic concentrations in public water systems located inside (1,764 wells) and outside (2,182 wells) an area where glacial sediment had been deposited, known as the northwest provenance Wisconsin-aged drift. Bedrock and glacial drift wells in North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota, and Iowa were also investigated. The study found that inside the drift, 12.0% of public water systems exceed 10 μ g/L, while only 2.4% of public water systems exceed 10 μ g/L outside the area. Of the wells located inside the drift, 1.5% exceeded 10 μ g/L arsenic bedrock with well depths ranging from 800 to 186 m (n=132), 3.8% exceeded 10 μ g/L arsenic with well depths ranging from 91 to 4 m (n=131). Of the wells located inside the drift, 8.5% exceeded 10 μ g/L with well depths ranging from 157 to 65 m (n=120), 27.0% exceeded 10 μ g/L arsenic with well depths ranging from 64 to 28 m (n=236), and 7.4% exceeded 10 μ g/L arsenic depths ranging from 28 to 7 m (n=118).

Pichler et al. (2008) investigated arsenic concentrations and seasonal variations in 28 golf course lakes at four golf courses in Hillsborough County, Florida. Each of the four sites studied used private wells as their water source and applied monosodium methanearsonate as an herbicide. Surface water samples were collected monthly from February 2001 through January 2002. Total arsenic concentrations detected in lake samples ranged from 0 to 124 μ g/L and an annual mean of 10.9 μ g/L was reported for all lakes. The most recently renovated course had the lowest levels of total arsenic.

Hudak et al. (2008) compiled data on arsenic concentrations in 64 wells supplied by the Seymour Aquifer. Data were obtained from the Ground Water Database of the Texas Water Development Board sampled during 2001 and 2004. The median concentrations of arsenic in water were 3.5, 2.7, and <2.0 μ g/L in irrigation wells, domestic wells, and public wells, respectively. Well depths ranged from 7.3 to 55.5 m, with a median value of 16.8 m. No statistically significant correlation was found between arsenic concentrations and well depths.

110

6.4.3 Sediment and Soil

Background concentrations of total arsenic in farming soils collected from Poland ranged from 4.98 to 17.40 mg/kg ($4.98-17.40 \ \mu g/g$) soil, with an average arsenic concentration of 8.83 mg/kg ($8.83 \ \mu g/g$) soil (Loska et al. 2005). Xu et al. (2008) evaluated growing practices (aerobic and flooded) and their effects on soil arsenic concentrations using soil from the upper layer (0-20 cm) of a field on the Rothamsted farm, Southeast England. The initial total arsenic concentration of the soil was reported as 15.1 mg/kg $(15.1 \,\mu\text{g/g})$. It was shown that flooding practices result in soil solutions with higher overall arsenic concentrations, arsenite was the predominant species. In samples collected between 12 and 97 days after flooding treatments, arsenite accounted for 81–95% of the total arsenic. In aerobic practices arsenate was the predominant species, accounting for >88% of the total arsenic. In soils under flooded conditions, the concentration of total arsenic (mainly arsenite) increased from 4 to 16.9 µg/L from day 3 to 97, while in soils under aerobic treatment, total arsenic levels decreased from 3.3 to $1.0 \mu g/L$. In two soil samples in which 10 mg/kg (10 μ g/g) arsenic was added to the soil, total arsenic concentrations remained at an elevated level (between 35 and 60 μ g/L) from day 3 to 97 during the flooded treatment, but decreased during the aerobic treatment from 23–27 to 4 μ g/L. Soil samples from 10 sites in Serbia, where high levels of arsenic had been found in suspended particles in the air, were analyzed with inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) and atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) to evaluate airborne heavy metal contamination (Serbula et al. 2012). Arsenic levels ranged from 16.8 to 95.5 mg/kg soil. The highest concentrations of arsenic were located in the urban industrial sampling zone and the lowest concentrations were located in the rural sampling zone. The control sample from Sumrakovac, Serbia had an arsenic concentration of 9 mg/kg soil.

Presley et al. (2010) reported measured concentrations of arsenic in New Orleans, Louisiana soils before Hurricane Katrina (June 2005) and after Hurricane Rita (January 2006). A total of 39 sites were sampled, 37 of which were schoolyards. Total arsenic concentration ranged from 1.20 to 11.30 mg/kg (1.20– 11.30 µg/g) in four soil samples collected before Hurricane Katrina. Total arsenic concentration ranged from below reliable detection limits up to 24.30 mg/kg (24.30 µg/g) in 18 soil samples collected after Hurricane Rita. The 17 soil samples collected both before and after Hurricane Katrina had a geometric mean arsenic concentration of 4.73 µg/g before and 6.99 µg/g after. Warren et al. (2012) reported measured concentrations of arsenic in surface estuarine and marine sediments from 10 sites along the Mississippi Gulf Coast in New Orleans, Louisiana after Hurricane Katrina, from September 2005 to 2006. ICP-mass spectrometry (MS) was used to detect concentrations of arsenic ranging from 0.37 to 7.43 µg/g for the <2 mm particle size fractions and 2.50–17.1 µg/g for the <63 µm particle size fractions.

At an arsenic contaminated site in Kidsgrove, Staffordshire, soil sampled at 0–30, 30–70, and 70–100 cm depth had arsenic detected at concentrations of 280, 200, and 96 mg/kg soil, respectively, when the soil samples were microwave digested in concentrated 14 M nitric acid (Beesley et al. 2010). Arsenic-contaminated soil samples from a former nonferrous metal refinery plant and an abandoned mine tailing site in Korea were collected from 0- to 30-cm depths (Kim et al. 2014). A mean arsenic concentration of 61.2 mg/kg soil was detected from the smelter location samples and 82,300 mg/kg soil from the mine location samples. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was used to determine that As(V)-O was the major chemical form of arsenic at both sites investigated.

In 2006, arsenic concentrations were measured in soils, sediments, clay, and grab samples of runoff water, from two detention basins in Raccoon Creek, New Jersey (USGS 2011a). Soil and sediment samples collected in October at basin one had total arsenic concentrations ranging from 3.0 to 16.1 mg/kg (3.0–16.1 μ g/g). Soil and sediment samples collected in June and July at basin two had total arsenic concentrations ranging from 12.0 to 45.4 mg/kg (12.0–45.4 μ g/g). Total arsenic concentrations in soil from Victoria, Australia, collected in areas with a history of gold mining activity, ranged from 3.3 to 130 μ g/g; the geometric mean was 11.5 μ g/g (Pearce et al. 2010). Tsuji et al. (2005) analyzed total arsenic and arsenic species in urine, toenails, and soil of Middleport, New York residents. The range of total arsenic detected in urine was 2.1–773 μ g/L in all participants. The arsenic concentration levels in soil and dust averaged 18.8 and 10.6 mg/kg (18.8 and 10.6 μ g/g), respectively.

6.4.4 Other Environmental Media

Total arsenic levels in rice have shown notable variations with geographical region, cultivation methods, rice strain, and degree of polishing/milling practices. In addition, arsenic speciation can vary in different rice strains (Gilbert-Diamond 2011; Lei et al. 2013; Sommella 2013; Zavala et al. 2008). In a field study conducted in Chenzhou City Hunan, China, 34 rice genotypes grown in arsenic-contaminated fields had arsenic concentrations ranging from 9.07 to 25.26 g/dry weight plant (Lei et al. 2013). Rice grown and cultivated using flooded conditions contained 10–15-fold higher levels of arsenic species, specifically in the form of DMA, compared to rice grown under aerobic (i.e., non-flooded, well drained) conditions (Xu et al. 2008). Grain samples from flooded treatments had total arsenic concentrations ranging from 1 to 2.5 mg/kg (1–2.5 μ g/g). Narukawa et al. (2014) evaluated 10 brown rice samples from six regions in Japan. The study found that the species of arsenic in the samples was directly related to the degree of polishing and milling; the concentrations of DMA in milled rice tended to be lower than polished rice. The concentration of inorganic arsenic decreased with increased milling and the concentration of inorganic arsenic in milled rice was higher than that in polished rice.

113

Rice grown in the south-central region of the United States tends to contain a higher average concentration of total arsenic $(0.30 \,\mu g/g)$ compared to rice grown in California $(0.17 \,\mu g/g)$ (Gilbert-Diamond 2011). Sommella et al. (2013) performed a survey of commercial rice purchased in Italian stores. Based on analysis of eight varieties from four different regions, it was confirmed that arsenic concentrations are not homogeneous. The highest mean concentration of total arsenic was 0.28 mg/kg found in Emilia, while the lowest mean concentration was 0.11 mg/kg (0.11 µg/g) found in Calabria. A study and review of rice crops in the United States, Australia, China, Asia, and Europe showed that the percentage of total arsenic in rice is dominated by either DMA or inorganic arsenic. Rice in the United States, Italy, and China was found to be dominated by the DMA species. Speciation of arsenic was assessed in U.S. commercially produced rice (Zavala et al. 2008). Of the 24 samples evaluated, 2 brown rice samples contained the highest concentrations of 0.45 and 0.71 mg/kg (0.45–0.71 μ g/g). White rice samples had total arsenic concentrations of 0.162-0.383 mg/kg (0.162-0.383 µg/g) and brown rice samples had total arsenic concentrations of 0.201–0.71 mg/kg (0.201–0.71 µg/g). In general, brown rice had overall higher levels of As(III); As(III) concentrations ranged from 0.097 to 0.168 mg/kg (0.097– 0.168 μ g/g). White rice samples had As(III) concentrations of 0.049–0.122 mg/kg (0.049–0.122 μ g/g). The total arsenic concentrations reported for 3 types of rice grain cultivated in Arkansas ranged from 0.253 to 0.356 mg/kg ($0.253-0.356 \mu$ g/g), for 5 types of rice grain cultivated in California ranged from 0.162 to 0.345 mg/kg (0.160–0.710 μ g/g) and for 16 types of rice grain cultivated in Texas ranged from 0.190 to 0.710 mg/kg (0.190–0.710 μ g/g) (Zavala et al. 2008). Table 6-1 provides the concentrations of arsenic speciation in the U.S. commercial rice samples from the study.

Food products purchased both over the internet and from stores in the Hanover, New Hampshire area were evaluated for arsenic concentrations. Organic brown rice syrup (OBRS) and products containing OBRS, such as toddler formula, cereal and energy bars, were included. Total arsenic in three rice syrups tested ranged from 78 to 406 ng/g ($0.08-0.4 \mu g/g$). Inorganic arsenic accounted for 80–90% of the total arsenic in two of the syrups and 50% in the third. The third, however, had the highest concentration of arsenic overall at 406 ng/g. Fifteen of the 17 baby formulas evaluated did not have OBRS as an ingredient and contained levels of arsenic ranging from 2 to 12 ng/g ($0.002-0.012 \mu g/g$). OBRS was listed as an ingredient in 2 of the 17 baby formulas. Levels of inorganic arsenic in these two reconstituted formulas were 8–9 $\mu g/L$ for dairy-based formulas and approximately 15–25 $\mu g/L$ for soy-based formulas.

		Spe	cies (TFA e	xtraction)	(µg/g)	
Rice color/state of production	Total arsenic (HNO ₃ /H ₂ O ₂)	DMA	As(III)	As(V)	Sum	Species recovery percent total arsenic ^a
Brown						
Arkansas	0.253	0.068	0.133	0.012	0.212	84
California	0.201±0.005	0.036	0.115	0.013	0.164	81
California	0.236	0.067	0.145	0.009	0.221	94
California	0.354	0.186	0.097	0.006	0.289	82
California	0.273	0.171	0.102	<0.005	0.273	100
Texas ^b	0.710±0.028	0.572	0.168	<0.005	0.769	108
Texas	0.450±0.021	0.320	0.116	<0.005	0.437	97
Texas	0.241±0.003	0.068	0.157	0.011	0.236	98
Texas	0.258	0.069	0.142	0.008	0.218	85
White						
Arkansas	0.287	0.142	0.081	0.008	0.231	80
Arkansas	0.356±0.008	0.190	0.066	<0.005	0.256	72
California	0.162±0.006	0.040	0.112	0.017	0.169	104
Texas	0.242	0.171	0.049	0.023	0.242	100
Texas ^c	0.253±0.002	0.138	0.076	0.095	0.312	123
Texas ^d	0.383±0.003	0.302	0.071	0.003	0.382	100
Texas	0.369±0.008	0.221	0.069	0.013	0.302	82
Texas	0.190±0.002	0.061	0.122	0.008	0.192	101
Texas	0.203±0.005	0.095	0.094	0.013	0.201	99
Texas	0.195±0.017	0.106	0.086	0.014	0.207	106
Texas	0.270±0.020	0.179	0.098	0.008	0.285	106
Texas	0.256	0.128	0.118	0.014	0.259	101
Texas	0.351	0.239	0.078	0.007	0.323	92
Texas	0.240±0.014	0.171	0.081	0.013	0.265	111
Texas	0.222	0.143	0.084	0.003	0.230	104

Table 6-1.	Speciation of	Arsenic in U.S.	Commercial Rice
------------	---------------	-----------------	------------------------

^aValues >100% represent experimental error between two different analytical methods. ^bContained MMA at 0.013 and an unidentified arsenic species at 0.017 µg/g. ^cContained MMA at 0.003 µg/g. ^dContained MMA at 0.006 µg/g.

DMA = dimethylarsinic acid; MMA = monomethylarsonic acid; TFA = trifluoroacectic acid

Source: Zavala et al. 2008

Total arsenic concentrations in 100 cereal and energy bars tested ranged from 8 to 128 ng/g ($0.08-0.128 \mu g/g$). Analysis of 12 of the bars containing rice had an average of 70% inorganic arsenic (Jackson et al. 2012).

Jackson et al. (2012) analyzed 15 infant formulas and 41 first foods for arsenic concentrations and found that rice-containing products had elevated levels of total arsenic. Of the formulas that were speciated, inorganic arsenic accounted for 100% of the total arsenic present. The highest concentrations of total arsenic reported for rice-based formula and first food puree in pears/raspberries were 11.89 ± 0.64 ng/g (0.01189 µg/g) and 20.20 ng/g (0.02020 µg/g), respectively. Tables 6-2 and 6-3 summarize the results.

Total arsenic (µg/g)	Dairy	Rice	Percent inorganic arsenic
0.00536 ±0.00021	Yes	No	Not speciated
0.01127±0.00035	No	No	100%
0.00929±0.00043	No	No	100%
0.01189±0.00064	No	Yes	100%
0.00576±0.0004	Yes	No	Not speciated
0.00695±0.00043	No	No	100%
0.01143±0.00109	No	No	100%
0.00602±0.00026	Yes	Yes	Not speciated
0.00819±0.00063	Yes	Yes	100%
0.00814±0.00077	Yes	No	100%
0.00938±0.00031	Yes	No	100%
0.00292±0.00033	Yes	No	Not speciated
0.00962±0.00135	No	No	100%
0.00342±0.0002	Yes	No	Not speciated
0.0026±0.00044	Yes	No	Not speciated

Table 6-2. Total Arsenic Concentrations for Main Brand Infant Formulas

Source: Jackson et al. 2012

Ingredients	Total arsenic (μg/g)
Apples	0.00069–0.00674
Apples and apricots	0.00148
Apples and blueberries	0.00093
Apples and plums	0.00097
Apple and strawberries	0.0012
Applesauce	0.00065
Bananas	0.00032-0.00399
Carrots	0.00168-0.00175
Corn and butternut squash	0.00048
First prunes	0.00125
Green beans	0.0009–0.00321
Peaches	0.00334
Pears	0.00317-0.01752
Pears and mango	0.01501
Pears and raspberries	0.02020
Pears and wild blueberries	0.00100
Peas	0.00314
Prunes	0.00201
Prunes and oatmeal	0.00174
Select prunes	0.00163
Select sweet potatoes	0.00781
Squash	0.00048-0.00190
Sweet carrots	0.00207
Sweet peas	0.00074–0.00106
Sweet potatoes	0.00145-0.00503
Winter squash	0.00068

Table 6-3. Total Arsenic Concentration in First-Food Purees

Source: Jackson et al. 2012

A review done by the World Health Organization (WHO 2011a) reported that washing rice with water can eliminate up to 23% of arsenic, with As(III) being the species with the highest elimination potential. In addition, cooking methods such as boiling and baking may remove arsenic from foods such as vegetables, cereals, and seafood. The majority of studies conducted have been on rice boiling, and results indicate that large volumes of water are required to remove total arsenic (up to 35%) and inorganic arsenic (up to 45%) (WHO 2011a). Cooking with arsenic-contaminated water, however, has been shown to increase arsenic concentrations.

Arsenic speciation in 31 infant rice cereals sold in U.S. stores was performed via ICP-MS-high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Juskelis et al. 2013). Mixed grain, single grain, whole grain, organic, white, and brown rice cereals were assessed. Cereals were purchased in Illinois, Texas, California, and North Dakota. The average concentrations of total arsenic and inorganic arsenic found were $174.4-101.4 \mu g/kg (0.1744-0.1014 \mu g/g)$. Total inorganic arsenic concentrations ranged from 55.5 to $158.0 \mu g/kg (0.0555-0.1580 \mu g/g)$. The major organic arsenic species detected was DMA; MMA was not typically detected unless at trace amounts. There were no notable differences in the inorganic arsenic concentrations of organic cereals versus conventional rice cereals. The lowest concentrations of inorganic arsenic were found in mixed-grain cereals. The levels of inorganic arsenic per serving ranged from 0.8 (mixed grain cereal) to 2.4 µg (organic whole grain cereal).

Arsenic concentrations were analyzed for 32 gluten-free food products purchased in Spain (Munera-Picazo et al. 2014a). The gluten-free foods products evaluated in this study, such as baking flour, breadcrumbs, pasta, breads, pastries, beer, and rice milk, had a rice content of 5-100%. The pastas contained the highest levels of total arsenic (0.109–0.120 µg/g) and inorganic arsenic (0.0730–0.0842 µg/g).

Dust samples were analyzed near a former wood treatment facility in southern Alabama (Hensley et al. 2007). Attic dust samples from 11 buildings located in a 1-mile radius of the facility had an average total arsenic concentration of 29.8 mg/kg (29.8 μ g/g). The range was from 2.0 to 261.0 μ g/g.

Speciation of arsenic in several mid-Atlantic fish and shellfish samples was achieved via ICP-MS (Green and Crecelius 2006). Summer flounder, Atlantic croaker, and Hard clam samples were collected from Delaware Inland Bays in 2002. Striped bass samples were collected from the Delaware Estuary and lower to mid Delaware Bay. Total arsenic concentrations in all 27 samples ranged from 0.36 to $3.33 \mu g/g$ (limit of detection [LOD] of 0.04 $\mu g/g$). Inorganic arsenic concentrations were reported as detected above

the blank but less than the detection limit in 5 samples and not detected in 22 samples (LOD= $0.03 \ \mu g/g$). MMA concentrations were not detected in 10 samples and reported as detected above the blank but less than the detection limit in 17 samples (LOD= $0.01 \ \mu g/g$). DMA was reported as detected above the blank but less than the detection limit in 20 samples, below the LOD in 1 sample, and in concentrations ranging from 0.0412 to 0.528 in 6 samples(LOD= $0.04 \ \mu g/g$).

6.5 GENERAL POPULATION AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

The Updated Fourth National Report on Human Exposures to Environmental Chemicals, published and updated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC 2015), reported 2003–2012 data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). These data are summarized in Tables 6-4 through 6-19. Total arsenic levels in the urine (see Table 6-4) and urine, creatinine corrected (see Table 6-5) were evaluated for various ages and ethnicities. In addition values were reported of concentrations in urine for arsenic(V) acid, arsenobetaine, arsenocholine, arsenous (III) acid, DMA(V), monomethylarsonic acid (MMA(V)), and TMAO. Mean values of total arsenic (creatinine corrected) in the urine were 8.24 and 9.15 μ g/g for 2,557 members of the general U.S. population sampled during 2003–2004 and 2,576 members of the general U.S. population sampled during 2005–2006, respectively. Mean values of total arsenic (creatinine corrected) in the urine were 8.46 and 9.90 μ g/g for 2,605 members of the general U.S. population sampled during 2007–2008 and 2,860 members of the general U.S. population sampled during 2009–2010, respectively. The mean value for toal arsenic (creatinine corrected) in the urine for 2,502 members of the general U.S. population sampled during was 7.77 μ g/g. The two highest geometric means (creatinine corrected) during 2009–2010 of 10.8 and 10.6 μ g/g resulted from 2,028 samples from participants \geq 20 years old and 1,459 samples from female participants, respectively. Throughout all survey years, females had a higher geometric mean of total arsenic (creatinine corrected) than males and the age group of ≥ 20 years had higher means than the 6–11-year-old group, which had higher means than ages 12–19 years (CDC 2015). Concentrations of arsenic(V) acid (corrected for creatinine) throughout all NHANES survey years were below the detection limits of the analytical methods (1.0 µg/L). Concentrations of arsenous (III) acid (corrected for creatinine) were below the dectection limits of the analytical methods $(1.2 \,\mu g/L)$ for reporting years 2003–2010, but were at detectable levels for the reporting years 2011–2012 (Table 6-13).

	•	Geometric	S	Selected perc	centiles (95%	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	8.30 (7.19– 9.57)	7.70 (6.90– 8.90)	16.0 (14.1– 18.7)	37.4 (31.6– 43.5)	65.4 (48.7– 83.3)	2,557
	2005–2006	,	8.65 [°] (7.48– 9.99)	,	41.1 [°] (33.3– 49.7)	66.7 [°] (53.7– 87.0)	2,576
	2007–2008	8.10 ^{(7.44–} 8.83)		14.9 [°] (13.2– 17.0)	33.3 [°] (29.8– 38.7)	50.8 [°] (42.3– 65.1)	2,605
	2009–2010	9.28 ^{(8.47–} 10.2)	,	18.0 [°] (15.3– 20.8)	44.6 [°] (39.0– 55.1)	85.6 [°] (64.7– 114)	2,860
2011–2012	6.85 (5.85– 8.02)	6.09 (5.22– 7.12)	13.0 (10.9– 16.6)	32.0 (25.9– 39.0)	52.5 (41.9– 66.2)	2,504	
Age group							
6-11 years	2003–2004	7.08 (5.66– 8.84)	6.80 (5.90– 7.70)	10.9 (8.90– 14.2)	24.6 (13.8– 61.8)	46.9 (17.5– 178)	290
	2005–2006	7.19 ^{(5.81–} 8.90)	6.96 (5.32– 8.88)	11.5 [°] (9.19– 16.0)	19.6 [°] (13.1– 51.5)	34.1 (19.6– 58.5)	355
	2007–2008		6.40 [°] (5.74– 7.23)		22.5 [°] (16.9– 34.7)	41.0 [°] (21.1– 52.8)	390
	2009–2010		5.94 ^{(5.14–} 7.19)	10.8 (9.37– 12.5)	26.0 [°] (18.2– 33.4)	37.7 [°] (27.8– 65.6)	378
	2011–2012	6.02 (5.03- 7.19)	5.50 (4.58– 6.56)	10.5 (7.93– 14.1)	30.1 (16.7– 46.5)	53.0 (37.5– 70.3)	399
12–19 years	2003–2004	8.55 (7.34– 9.97)	8.10 (6.80– 9.40)	15.2 (12.2– 17.8)	30.5 (23.1– 40.4)	46.1 (32.9– 62.5)	725
	2005–2006	,	,	14.0 [°] (11.6– 18.1)	28.2 [°] (22.9– 32.9)	41.9 [°] (32.7– 48.0)	701
	2007–2008	7.09 (6.17– 8.14)	6.87 (5.88– 7.86)	11.4 (9.41– 13.7)	20.4 (16.1– 26.6)	38.2 (21.6– 53.3)	373
	2009–2010	6.45 (5.58– 7.47)	6.11 (5.26– 6.89)	10.8 (8.59– 13.7)	25.9 (16.2– 32.9)	38.8 (27.8– 55.1)	454
	2011–2012	6.01 (4.45– 8.11)	5.26 (3.95– 7.47)	10.9 (7.74– 16.9)	25.9 (16.6– 44.0)	44.0 (25.9– 153)	390
≥20 years	2003–2004			17.0 (15.0– 19.7)	40.5 (34.9– 46.2)	66.2 (51.2– 93.1)	1,542
	2005–2006	9.76 (8.43–		18.9 [°] (15.8– 22.9)		71.4 (57.7– 98.3)	1,520
	2007–2008	8.43 (7.70-	,	16.2 (14.5– 18.6)	35.2 [°] (30.4– 42.3)	59.0 [°] (44.2– 75.6)	1,842
	2009–2010	10.2 (9.14–	8.75 [°] (7.95– 9.81)	20.4 (17.2– 24.1)		93.1 [°] (74.2– 127)	2,028
	2011–2012			13.6 (11.3– 18.3)	33.2 (26.7– 39.5)	52.5 (41.9– 77.3)	1,715

Table 6-4. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (in μ g/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	-	Geometric	5	Selected perc	entiles (95% (CI)	·
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	9.50 (8.34– 10.8)	8.90 (7.70– 9.80)	17.6 (15.2– 20.1)	41.6 (32.5– 52.8)	65.8 (48.7– 95.4)	1,281
	2005–2006	10.1 ^{(8.61–} 11.8)	8.95 [°] (8.05– 10.0)	18.3 (15.5– 22.9)	40.8 [°] (31.0– 52.6)	63.7 [°] (46.4– 78.7)	1,271
	2007–2008	9.25 (8.28– 10.3)	8.50 [°] (7.37– 9.53)		36.0 [°] (32.1– 44.2)	62.5 (44.3– 84.6)	1,318
	2009–2010	,		20.4 [°] (16.1– 23.9)	47.4 [°] (42.1– 64.1)	89.1 [°] (71.6– 114)	1,401
	2011–2012	7.69 (6.35– 9.31)	6.84 (5.33– 8.59)	15.4 (11.7– 19.7)	33.5 (27.7– 41.9)	56.5 (42.2– 78.0)	1,262
Females	2003–2004	7.30 (6.02– 8.84)	6.90 (5.90– 8.30)	15.0 (11.3– 19.5)	33.4 (26.5– 41.7)	60.5 (40.8– 77.1)	1,276
		10.0)	8.18 (6.64– 9.97)	19.9)	41.5 (32.2– 53.7)	72.6 (54.8– 122)	
	2007–2008	7.14 (6.51– 7.82)	6.54 (6.09– 7.14)	12.7 (11.6– 14.4)	30.1 (26.0– 34.0)	49.1 (40.1– 57.5)	1,287
	2009–2010	8.55 (7.44– 9.83)	7.63 (6.45– 8.62)	15.8 (13.1– 19.9)	41.5 (31.7– 55.5)	81.5 (54.3– 132)	1,459
	2011–2012	6.14 (5.22– 7.22)	5.42 (4.79– 6.25)	11.6 (9.85– 13.7)	29.0 (22.5– 38.2)	50.6 (37.5– 79.8)	1,242
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	9.29 (8.12– 10.6)	9.20 (8.10– 10.3)	16.2 (13.5– 19.9)	34.4 (24.0– 60.5)	68.2 (41.3– 111)	618
	2005–2006			15.6 (14.0– 17.1)	29.2 (21.4– 56.8)	67.6 (41.7– 81.4)	652
	2007–2008	,	,	15.4 (12.3– 19.7)	35.2 [°] (25.0– 46.0)	53.0 [°] (44.2– 77.4)	510
	2009–2010	8.47 (7.30– 9.84)	7.96 (6.87– 9.08)	13.9 (11.3– 17.7)	34.7 (25.0– 53.2)	60.9 (49.3– 78.7)	613
	2011–2012	7.12 (5.73– 8.84)	6.94 (5.34– 8.70)	12.2 (9.84– 16.5)	24.2 (18.4– 35.7)	44.0 (23.8– 70.3) 317	317
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	11.6 (9.50– 14.1)	10.4 (7.90– 11.8)	21.5 (14.9– 34.4)	43.5 (36.2– 61.8)	78.0 (43.6– 141)	722
	2005–2006		9.55 [´] (6.99– 13.3)		44.9 [´] (31.1– 71.4)	82.3 (49.2– 164)	692
	2007–2008	,	9.21 [′] (8.22– 10.4)	,	42.4 [°] (32.9– 52.8)	65.6 (45.5– 112)	585
	2009–2010	,	,	21.7 [´] (17.6– 24.2)	49.1 [°] (32.2– 81.7)	84.8́ (51.3– 174)	546
	2011–2012	9.31 (7.19– 12.0)	8.18 (6.16– 10.8)	,	46.8 (28.8– 76.5)	82.1 (53.1– 107)	669

Table 6-4. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (in µg/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-4. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (in µg/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	S	selected perc	entiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	7.12 (6.13– 8.27)	7.00 (6.10– 7.90)	13.7 (11.3– 15.8)	29.0 (22.6– 35.9)	53.1 (38.4– 65.6)	1,074
	2005–2006	- /	8.05 (6.52– 9.66)	,	40.8 (29.4– 50.2)	58.5 (46.0– 88.0)	1,041
	2007–2008	6.98 ^{(6.31–} 7.71)	6.46 (5.93– 7.29)	,	28.3 (21.6– 32.6)	42.1 (32.3– 50.0)	1,088
	2009–2010	8.18 ⁽ 7.46– 8.96)	7.24 (6.46– 8.21)	14.8 (12.7– 17.4)	38.9 (31.7– 44.4)	66.3 (49.3– 88.7)	1,224
	2011–2012	5.89 (4.92– 7.06)	5.08 (4.56– 6.06)	10.8 (8.48– 15.5)	26.3 (20.3– 34.9)	43.2 (34.9– 56.0)	820

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

	-	Geometric	<u> </u>	Selected perc	entiles (95% 0	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	8.24 (7.07– 9.59)	7.04 (5.93– 8.51)	14.1 (11.6– 17.2)	30.4 (26.0– 38.7)	50.4 (40.3– 64.5)	2,557
2005-	2005–2006	9.15 (7.93– 10.6)	7.70 (6.55– 8.98)	15.2 (11.7– 19.4)	35.1 (26.5– 44.7)	62.8 (44.7– 85.0)	2,576
	2007–2008	8.46 (7.78– 9.21)	7.06 (6.56– 7.74)	13.8 (12.5– 15.2)	28.9 (24.2– 36.7)	49.0 (38.8– 70.5)	2,605
	2009–2010	9.90 (9.06– 10.8)	7.90 (6.98– 8.97)	17.6 (15.4– 20.2)	45.2 (36.6– 53.3)	80.8 (60.5– 94.4)	2,860
	2011–2012	7.77 (6.85– 8.81)	6.39 (5.57– 7.24)	13.7 (11.5– 16.5)	30.8 (24.6– 38.6)	50.4 (38.2– 70.1)	2,502
Age group		,	,	,	,	,	
6–11 years	2003–2004	8.25 (6.58– 10.3)	7.18 (5.93– 9.45)	11.7 (9.10– 16.3)	22.2 (12.0– 69.5)	40.1 (14.7– 188)	290
	2005–2006		7.87 [′] (6.19– 9.42)		24.5 [°] (13.0– 62.8)	45.4 (22.9– 80.9)	355
	2007–2008	,	7.53 [°] (6.73– 7.88)		26.7 [´] (19.9– 34.0)	37.2 [°] (28.6– 71.4)	390
	2009–2010	8.97 (7.93– 10.2)	7.42 (6.70– 8.32)	11.0 (9.90– 14.0)	33.5 (17.0– 54.6)	60.8 (36.6– 84.9)	378
	2011–2012	8.63 (7.26– 10.3)	6.87 (5.84– 8.00)	12.3 (9.58– 15.5)	27.7 (17.7– 57.7)	91.2 (26.2– 129)	398
12–19 years	2003–2004	6.11 (5.23– 7.13)	5.06 (4.47– 6.04)	9.66 (7.44– 11.2)	17.8 (12.0– 26.0)	27.8 (20.7– 35.9)	725
	2005–2006	6.30 (5.56– 7.14)	5.19 (4.80– 6.19)	9.62 (8.12– 11.1)	19.4 (13.9– 25.8)	28.0 (21.9– 33.2)	701
	2007–2008	5.50 (4.91– 6.16)	4.96 (4.25– 5.40)	7.69 (6.09– 9.31)	16.8 (10.8– 21.1)	22.5 (16.8– 29.1)	373
	2009–2010	6.06 (5.34– 6.87)	4.95 (4.39– 5.81)	9.18 (7.00– 11.0)	19.2 (14.5– 21.3)	28.4 (20.8– 35.7)	454
	2011–2012	5.75 (4.49– 7.36)	4.69 (3.70– 5.73)	8.73 (6.26– 13.3)	22.1 (11.5– 52.6)	34.9 (21.1– 159)	390
≥20 years	2003–2004	8.64 (7.38– 10.1)	7.47 (6.20– 9.01)	15.4 (12.7– 18.8)	33.8 (27.3– 41.2)	53.9 (45.4– 64.5)	1,542
	2005–2006		8.22 (6.98– 9.75)		41.0 [°] (29.6– 52.5)	68.4 (52.8– 89.7)	1,520
	2007–2008	,	7.55 (6.79– 8.53)		32.5 (25.8– 41.0)	59.4 (41.0– 86.2)	1,842
	2009–2010		8.73 (7.69– 9.71)		50.8 (40.5– 59.7)	87.3 (70.0– 105)	2,028
	2011–2012	8.04 (7.07– 9.14)	6.52 (5.88– 7.69)		32.4 (25.2– 39.8)	49.7 (38.2– 70.1)	1,714

Table 6-5. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (Creatinine Corrected) (in μ g/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	_	Geometric	5	Selected perc	entiles (95% 0	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	8.00 (6.81– 9.40)	6.75 (5.66– 8.35)	13.7 (11.0– 18.0)	28.7 (25.1– 36.4)	45.6 (35.3– 62.1)	1,281
	2005–2006	8.26 (7.09– 9.63)	7.16 (5.87– 8.54)	12.9 (10.2– 18.0)	28.8 (22.9– 36.3)	46.1 (35.1– 66.5)	1,271
		9.18)	7.61)	13.2 (11.8– 15.2)	28.9 (23.5– 38.5)	47.7 (35.7– 68.1)	
	2009–2010	9.21 (8.55– 9.93)	7.22 (6.43– 8.67)	17.0 (14.9– 18.6)	41.8 (35.4– 47.9)	66.9 (52.0– 81.5)	1,401
	2011–2012	7.20 (6.15– 8.43)	6.13 (5.18– 7.23)	12.5 (10.5– 15.2)	28.3 (20.2– 34.9)	50.4 (33.3– 69.6)	1,261
Females	2003–2004	8.47 (7.12– 10.1)	7.33 (6.10– 8.75)	14.4 (11.7– 17.7)	32.3 (24.2– 46.6)	58.4 (42.8– 75.0)	1,276
	2005–2006	,	8.29 [′] (7.23– 9.87)	,	43.8 [´] (29.2– 61.5)	74.1 [°] (55.0– 96.2)	1,305
	2007–2008			14.1 [´] (12.3– 16.9)	27.9 [´] (24.1– 37.0)	51.4 [°] (39.7– 83.3)	1,287
	2009–2010	10.6 (9.36– 12.0)	8.38 ^{(7.40–} 9.41)	18.6 (14.9– 23.9)	50.8 (35.1– 72.9)	87.8 (66.8– 109)	1,459
	2011–2012	8.35 (7.40– 9.42)	6.64 (6.12– 7.37)	15.0 (12.2– 19.1)	33.1 (26.1– 41.4)	50.7 (39.8– 79.0)	1,241
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	8.61 (7.33– 10.1)	7.76 (6.30– 9.44)	12.6 (10.2– 15.9)	24.0 (17.7– 34.8)	42.4 (24.8– 62.4)	618
	2005–2006		7.48 (6.72– 8.87)		28.1 (21.1– 35.5)	49.1 (31.0– 108)	652
	2007–2008		7.38 [°] (6.51– 8.63)		28.6 [°] (22.5– 35.3)	48.2 (31.1– 75.7)	510
	2009–2010	8.88 [°] (7.87– 10.0)	7.62 (6.69– 8.45)	13.2 (10.7– 17.2)	31.4 [°] (23.7– 38.5)	49.8 [°] (37.5– 68.9)	613
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	8.31 (6.99– 9.88)	6.88 (5.66– 8.41)	13.8 (11.5– 17.0)	27.6 (17.9– 56.0)	54.3 (27.5– 120)	722
	2005–2006		6.48 (5.21– 8.30)		32.5 (18.7– 66.5)	71.4 (35.6– 98.8)	692
	2007–2008		,	13.4 (11.5– 15.4)	25.7 (22.1– 30.0)	42.7 (31.4– 60.3)	585
	2009–2010		,	15.6 (12.4– 21.3)	38.7 (31.5– 48.7)	63.9 (43.1– 102)	546
	2011–2012			11.9 (9.05– 14.6)	26.1 (16.7– 39.4)	40.8 (24.0– 70.1)	317

Table 6-5. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (Creatinine Corrected) (in μ g/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	S	Selected perc	entiles (95% (CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	7.50 (6.25– 9.01)	6.32 (5.28– 7.96)	12.5 (9.86– 17.1)	26.8 (21.8– 32.0)	40.0 (31.3– 53.9)	1,074
	2005–2006	9.01 ^{(7.57–} 10.7)	7.68 (6.18–9.56)	14.3 [´] (11.1– 20.8)	31.9 (24.1– 46.1)	59.4 (37.9– 96.2)	1,041
	2007–2008	``	6.72 [′] (6.00– 7.54)	12.5 [°] (11.1– 13.9)	24.6 [°] (19.6– 32.3)	43.1 [°] (29.1– 64.0)	1,088
	2009–2010	9.14 ^{(8.35–} 10.0)	7.10 [′] (6.43– 8.06)	,	40.9 [´] (30.4– 50.8)	66.4 [°] (50.9– 90.0)	1,224
	2011–2012	7.24 (5.51– 9.51)	5.83 (4.65– 7.96)	13.5 (9.02– 19.0)	28.8 (21.5– 46.3)	55.4 (31.6– 87.1)	669

Table 6-5. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Total Arsenic (Creatinine Corrected) (in μ g/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

Table 6-6. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenic(V) Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	;	Selected pe	ercentiles (95% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	()	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-1.50)<>	2,568
Total	2005-2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.06 (<lod-1.43)< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod-1.43)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.06 (<lod-1.43)< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod-1.43)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.06 (<lod-1.43)< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod-1.43)<></td></lod<>	1.06 (<lod-1.43)< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod-1.43)<>	2,588
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<>	2,576
	2009–2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,852</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,852</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,852</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,852</td></lod<>	2,852
	2011-2012		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<>	2,517
Age group							_,
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-1.30)<>	292
,	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>354</td></lod></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>354</td></lod></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>354</td></lod></td></lod<>	<lod td="" ′<=""><td>354</td></lod>	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<>	390
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<>	379
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<>	401
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-1.60)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-1.60)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-1.60)<></td></lod<>	1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-1.60)<>	728
-	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.00 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.00 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.00 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<>	1.00 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-1.30)<>	703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<>	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<>	453
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<>	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-1.50)<>	1,548
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.09 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-1.71)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.09 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-1.71)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.09 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-1.71)<></td></lod<>	1.09 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-1.71)<>	1,531
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<>	1,820
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<>	2,020
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<>	1,724

		Geometric	;	Selected pe	ercentiles (95% CI)	
	Survey	mean					Sample
	years	(95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<>	1.20 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.50)<>	1,284
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.14 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-1.71)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.14 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-1.71)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.14 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-1.71)<></td></lod<>	1.14 (<lod-1.71)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-1.71)<>	1,276
	2007-2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1,289
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,396</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,396</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,396</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,396</td></lod<>	1,396
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<>	1,264
Females	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.30)<></td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod-1.30)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-1.30)<>	1,284
	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.01 (<lod-1.22)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-1.22)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.01 (<lod-1.22)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-1.22)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.01 (<lod-1.22)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-1.22)<></td></lod<>	1.01 (<lod-1.22)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-1.22)<>	1,312
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<>	1,287
	2009-2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<>	1,456
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<>	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>621</td></lod-1.60)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>621</td></lod-1.60)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>621</td></lod-1.60)<></td></lod<>	1.20 (<lod-1.60)< td=""><td>621</td></lod-1.60)<>	621
Americans	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<>	651
	2007-2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<>	513
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<>	618
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<>	317
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.80)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-1.80)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.80)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-1.80)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (<lod-1.80)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-1.80)<></td></lod<>	1.20 (<lod-1.80)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-1.80)<>	725
blacks	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<>	695
	2007-2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<>	586
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>543</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>543</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>543</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>543</td></lod<>	543
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<>	672
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-1.50)<></td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod-1.50)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-1.50)<>	1,078
whites	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.74)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-1.74)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.74)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-1.74)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod-1.74)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-1.74)<></td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod-1.74)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-1.74)<>	1,050
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,063</td></lod></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,063</td></lod></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,063</td></lod></td></lod<>	<lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,063</td></lod>	1,063
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<>	1,210
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<>	825

Table 6-6. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenic(V) Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

		Geometric	:	Selected p	ercentiles (95% CI)	_
	Survey	mean	Foth		o oth		Sample
	years	(95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	size
Total	2003–2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.04 (<lod-3.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-3.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.04 (<lod-3.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-3.50)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.04 (<lod-3.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-3.50)<></td></lod<>	3.04 (<lod-3.50)< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod-3.50)<>	2,568
	2005–2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.23 (<lod-3.55)< td=""><td></td></lod-3.55)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.23 (<lod-3.55)< td=""><td></td></lod-3.55)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.23 (<lod-3.55)< td=""><td></td></lod-3.55)<></td></lod<>	3.23 (<lod-3.55)< td=""><td></td></lod-3.55)<>	
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<>	2,576
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,851</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,851</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,851</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,851</td></lod<>	2,851
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<>	2,516
Age group							
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.80 (<lod-4.00)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-4.00)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.80 (<lod-4.00)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-4.00)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.80 (<lod-4.00)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-4.00)<></td></lod<>	2.80 (<lod-4.00)< td=""><td>292</td></lod-4.00)<>	292
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<>	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<>	390
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>378</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>378</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>378</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>378</td></lod<>	378
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<>	401
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.75 (<lod-2.41)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-2.41)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.75 (<lod-2.41)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-2.41)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.75 (<lod-2.41)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-2.41)<></td></lod<>	1.75 (<lod-2.41)< td=""><td>728</td></lod-2.41)<>	728
	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.69 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-2.73)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.69 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-2.73)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.69 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-2.73)<></td></lod<>	1.69 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>703</td></lod-2.73)<>	703
	2007-2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<>	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>453</td></lod<>	453
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<>	392
≥20 years	2003–2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.18 (<lod-3.70)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-3.70)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.18 (<lod-3.70)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-3.70)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.18 (<lod-3.70)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-3.70)<></td></lod<>	3.18 (<lod-3.70)< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod-3.70)<>	1,548
·) · · · ·	2005-2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.38 (<lod-3.94)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-3.94)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.38 (<lod-3.94)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-3.94)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.38 (<lod-3.94)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-3.94)<></td></lod<>	3.38 (<lod-3.94)< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod-3.94)<>	1,531
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,820</td></lod></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,820</td></lod></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,820</td></lod></td></lod<>	<lod td="" ′<=""><td>1,820</td></lod>	1,820
	2009–2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,020</td></lod<>	2,020
	2011–2012		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<>	1,723
Gender							, -
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.61 (<lod-3.18)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.18)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.61 (<lod-3.18)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.18)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.61 (<lod-3.18)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.18)<></td></lod<>	2.61 (<lod-3.18)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.18)<>	1,284
Maloo	2005-2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.14 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-2.73)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.14 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-2.73)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.14 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-2.73)<></td></lod<>	2.14 (<lod-2.73)< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod-2.73)<>	1,276
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1,289
	2009–2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,395</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,395</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,395</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,395</td></lod<>	1,395
	2011-2012		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<>	1,263
Females	2003–2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.33 (<lod-3.89)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.89)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.33 (<lod-3.89)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.89)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.33 (<lod-3.89)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.89)<></td></lod<>	3.33 (<lod-3.89)< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod-3.89)<>	1,284
T emales	2005-2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.55 (<lod-4.44)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-4.44)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.55 (<lod-4.44)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-4.44)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.55 (<lod-4.44)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-4.44)<></td></lod<>	3.55 (<lod-4.44)< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod-4.44)<>	1,312
	2003-2000		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<>	1,287
	2009-2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,456</td></lod<>	1,456
	2011-2012		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<>	1,253
Race/ethnicity	2011 2012		LOD	LOD	LOD		1,200
-	2002 2004	*					604
Mexican Americans	2003–2004 2005–2006		<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>2.69 (<lod-3.50) <lod< td=""><td>621 651</td></lod<></lod-3.50) </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>2.69 (<lod-3.50) <lod< td=""><td>621 651</td></lod<></lod-3.50) </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td>2.69 (<lod-3.50) <lod< td=""><td>621 651</td></lod<></lod-3.50) </td></lod<></lod 	2.69 (<lod-3.50) <lod< td=""><td>621 651</td></lod<></lod-3.50) 	621 651
Americans	2005-2008		<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></lod 	513
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<>	<lod <lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></lod 	618
			<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></lod 	317
Nee Heren's	2011-2012						
Non-Hispanic	2003-2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.75 (<lod-2.19)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-2.19)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.75 (<lod-2.19)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-2.19)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.75 (<lod-2.19)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-2.19)<></td></lod<>	1.75 (<lod-2.19)< td=""><td>725</td></lod-2.19)<>	725
blacks	2005-2006		<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695 586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></lod 	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695 586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695 586</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>695 586</td></lod<>	695 586
	2007-2008			<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586 542</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586 542</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>586 542</td></lod<>	586 542
	2009-2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>542</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>542</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>542</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>542</td></lod<>	542
	2011–2012		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<>	672

Table 6-7. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenic(V) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-7. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenic(V) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	Geometric		Selected percentiles (95% CI)			
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size	
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.33 (<lod-3.95)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-3.95)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.33 (<lod-3.95)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-3.95)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.33 (<lod-3.95)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-3.95)<></td></lod<>	3.33 (<lod-3.95)< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod-3.95)<>	1,078	
whites	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.38 (<lod-3.80)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-3.80)<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>3.38 (<lod-3.80)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-3.80)<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>3.38 (<lod-3.80)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-3.80)<></td></lod<>	3.38 (<lod-3.80)< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod-3.80)<>	1,050	
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1,063	
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,210</td></lod<>	1,210	
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<>	824	

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

Table 6-8. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	Sele	ected percer	ntiles (95%	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	1.55 (1.31– 1.83)	1.00 (0.800– 1.40)	5.20 (4.00– 6.50)	16.8 (12.7– 22.3)	35.0 (27.6– 44.6)	2,568
	2005–2006	1.86 (1.43– 2.41)	1.53 (0.980– 2.26)	6.73 (4.80– 8.91)	22.6 (16.5– 30.3)	40.6 (30.7– 59.4)	2,588
	2007–2008	*	0.670 (0.510– 0.910)	4.26 (3.53– 4.88)	16.6 (12.5– 20.2)	29.5 (22.8– 37.1)	2,576
	2009–2010	1.59 (1.38– 1.83)	0.940 [°] (0.720– 1.26)		23.5 [°] (19.8– 30.1)	50.4 [°] (35.7– 63.2)	2,870
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>4.62 (3.25– 6.25)</td><td>18.0 (13.7– 23.2)</td><td>.5 (25.7– 51.9)</td><td>2,517</td></lod<>	4.62 (3.25– 6.25)	18.0 (13.7– 23.2)	.5 (25.7– 51.9)	2,517
Age group				,	,	,	
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.80 (0.800–</td><td>8.80 (3.90– 29.9)</td><td>29.9 (6.20– 190)</td><td>292</td></lod<>	1.80 (0.800–	8.80 (3.90– 29.9)	29.9 (6.20– 190)	292
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>4.00) 2.22</td><td></td><td>18.9 (5.46–</td><td>354</td></lod<>	4.00) 2.22		18.9 (5.46–	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>(0.620– 5.35)</td><td>,</td><td>13.0[′](7.22– 25.2)</td><td>390</td></lod<>	(0.620– 5.35)	,	13.0 [′] (7.22– 25.2)	390
	2009–2010	×	<lod< td=""><td>1.24 (0.780– 2.42) 1.20 (0.590– 3.12)</td><td>7.98[°] (5.20– 15.7)</td><td>19.4[°] (9.25– 47.9)</td><td>380</td></lod<>	1.24 (0.780– 2.42) 1.20 (0.590– 3.12)	7.98 [°] (5.20– 15.7)	19.4 [°] (9.25– 47.9)	380
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.58</td><td>15.2 (6.60–</td><td>) 49.6</td><td>401</td></lod<>	1.58	15.2 (6.60–) 49.6	401

	-	Geometric	Sele	ected percer	ntiles (95%	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
				(<lod- 4.12)</lod- 	35.6	(20.0–60.1)	
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	0.600 (0.400– 0.800)	3.20 (2.00– 4.70)	13.9 (7.20– 25.1)	· 31.8 (17.2– 35.8)	728
	2005–2006	*	0.550 (<lod- 1.14)</lod- 				703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.72 (0.780–</td><td></td><td>10.4 (6.81– 23.6)</td><td>366</td></lod<>	1.72 (0.780–		10.4 (6.81– 23.6)	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>2.62) 2.07 (1.26– 3.60)</td><td>11.0 (5.35–</td><td>17.8 (12.8– 24.0)</td><td>455</td></lod<>	2.62) 2.07 (1.26– 3.60)	11.0 (5.35–	17.8 (12.8– 24.0)	455
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.82 (<lod– 8.46)</lod– </td><td>17.6 (6.92– 26.5)</td><td>32.6 (15.3– 100)</td><td>392</td></lod<>	1.82 (<lod– 8.46)</lod– 	17.6 (6.92– 26.5)	32.6 (15.3– 100)	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	1.74 (1.48– 2.05)	1.30 (1.00– 1.60)	7.10)	23.5)	· 35.5 (26.8– 50.5)	
		2.20 (1.71– 2.84)	1.89 (1.41– 2.64)	10.4)	35.7)	· 43.9 (36.0– 67.9)	
		1.46 (1.28– 1.67)	0.960 (0.750– 1.22)	6.20)	23.7)	46.1)	
	2009–2010	1.92 (1.63– 2.26)	1.33 (0.960– 1.91)	7.60 (6.06– 9.90)	28.2 (21.9– 34.9)	· 59.5 (45.7– 76.7)	2,035
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>5.26 (4.07– 6.58)</td><td>18.1 (13.4– 24.0)</td><td>· 36.5 (24.4– 53.4)</td><td>1,724</td></lod<>	5.26 (4.07– 6.58)	18.1 (13.4– 24.0)	· 36.5 (24.4– 53.4)	1,724
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	1.66 (1.43– 1.93)	1.20 (0.900– 1.50)	5.80 (4.40– 7.10)	18.6 (13.9– 23.7)	· 35.0 (26.8– 40.5)	1,284
	2005–2006	1.83 (1.40– 2.40)	1.44 (0.920– 2.04)			· 37.1 (28.5– 51.3)	1,276
	2007–2008		0.910 (0.660– 1.26)				1,289
	2009–2010	1.71 (1.48– 1.97)	0.990 (0.780– 1.33)		26.8 (21.5-	57.4 (36.4–	1,402
	2011–2012		<lod< td=""><td></td><td>18.4 (13.7–</td><td>41.7 (26.4–60.3)</td><td>1,264</td></lod<>		18.4 (13.7–	41.7 (26.4–60.3)	1,264
Females	2003–2004	1.45 (1.17– 1.80)	0.900 (0.700– 1.40)			,	1,284
	2005–2006	1.88 (1.42– 2.50)	1.64 (0.950– 2.51)			43.3 (33.8–	1,312
	2007–2008	,	0.530 (0.410– 0.650)				1,287
	2009–2010	1.49 (1.20– 1.84)	0.890 (0.590– 1.35)				1,468
	2011–2012	,	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>· 33.5 (22.1– 53.1)</td><td>1,253</td></lod<>			· 33.5 (22.1– 53.1)	1,253

Table 6-8. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric Selected percentiles (95% CI)						
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size	
Race/ethnicity								
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	1.19 (0.871– 1.62)	0.800 (0.500– 1.30)	3.20 (1.80– 5.20)	10.2 (6.70– 21.4)	31.4 (16.3– 39.1)	621	
	2005–2006	1.44 (1.23– 1.69)	1.04 (0.770– 1.35)	3.90 [°] (3.03– 5.06)	16.8 [°] (11.1– 32.0)	41.4 (23.4– 57.9)	651	
	2007–2008	*	0.560 (<lod- 0.910)</lod- 	3.27 ^{(2.00–} 5.65)	16.9 ^{(9.73–} 20.8)	29.6 (19.3– 40.5)	513	
	2009–2010	*	0.640 (0.440– 0.800)	3.58 [°] (1.80– 5.89)	15.5 [°] (7.83– 24.6)	31.9 [°] (18.7– 44.7)	617	
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>3.78 (1.93– 5.84)</td><td>12.5 (6.11– 17.6)</td><td>19.3 (12.9– 34.7)</td><td>317</td></lod<>	3.78 (1.93– 5.84)	12.5 (6.11– 17.6)	19.3 (12.9– 34.7)	317	
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	2.29 (1.60– 3.28)	2.00 (1.20– 3.50)	7.70 (5.00– 12.0)	23.7 (13.2– 38.7)	45.6 (25.1– 94.0)	725	
	2005–2006	2.55 (1.64– 3.99)	2.42 (1.15– 4.58)	8.74 (6.01– 14.4)	23.8 (15.9– 48.9)	59.6 (30.5– 121)	695	
	2007–2008	1.58 (1.31– 1.90)	1.11 (0.750– 1.60)	5.88 (4.12– 8.13)	21.9 (16.4– 24.9)	35.9 (25.4– 47.7)	586	
	2009–2010	1.99 (1.60– 2.47)	1.79 (1.05– 2.41)	7.61 (5.34– 10.0)	25.5 (15.4– 37.6)	51.2 (31.0– 90.9)	546	
	2011–2012	*	1.19 (<lod– 2.91)</lod– 	7.09 (3.79– 13.4)	30.2 (17.2– 51.9)	57.9 (36.1– 81.5)	672	
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	1.37 (1.11– 1.68)	0.800 (0.700– 1.20)	4.30 (2.50– 6.30)	13.3 (9.70– 21.4)	29.3 (21.4– 35.5)	1,078	
	2005–2006	1.74 (1.27– 2.40)	1.41 ^{(0.750–} 2.27)	6.40 [°] (3.93– 9.04)	22.4 ^{(14.1–} 30.1)	38.4 [°] (27.8– 66.8)	1,050	
	2007–2008		0.530 (<lod- 0.780)</lod- 				1,063	
	2009–2010	1.43 (1.24– 1.65)	0.800 [°] (0.610– 1.18)	5.40 [°] (3.77– 7.27)	20.6 (17.7– 24.5)	41.3 ^{(30.6–} 59.5)	1,226	
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>4.07 (2.39– 6.12)</td><td>16.7 (9.30– 22.5)</td><td>30.0 (23.2– 47.0)</td><td>825</td></lod<>	4.07 (2.39– 6.12)	16.7 (9.30– 22.5)	30.0 (23.2– 47.0)	825	

Table 6-8. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

	-	Geometric	Sele	ected percer	ntiles (95%	CI)	- <u>-</u> .
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	1.54 (1.30– 1.82)	1.16 (0.959– 1.43)	5.00 (3.62– 6.91)	16.2 (12.5– 20.3)	29.4 (24.0– 36.4)	2,568
	2005–2006	1.82 (1.40– 2.37)	1.64 (1.10– 2.41)	6.64 [°] (4.48– 8.79)	20.3 ^{(14.5–} 28.1)	37.0 [°] (27.5– 58.3)	2,588
	2007–2008	*	1.00 [°] (0.850– 1.18)	4.07 ^{(3.36–} 4.98)	14.7 [°] (11.1– 17.8)	27.7 ^{(18.9–} 45.4)	2,576
	2009–2010	1.69 (1.45– 1.97)	1.23 (0.980– 1.47)	6.48 (4.90– 8.00)	23.6 (18.5– 30.7)	49.6 (36.6– 61.3)	2,869
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>5.63 (4.42– 7.22)</td><td>18.0 (13.8– 26.1)</td><td>36.9 (26.9– 45.6)</td><td>2,516</td></lod<>	5.63 (4.42– 7.22)	18.0 (13.8– 26.1)	36.9 (26.9– 45.6)	2,516
Age group							
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>2.00 (1.15– 4.83)</td><td>12.2 (4.13– 39.7)</td><td>29.6 (6.80– 153)</td><td>292</td></lod<>	2.00 (1.15– 4.83)	12.2 (4.13– 39.7)	29.6 (6.80– 153)	292
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>2.88 (1.04– 6.25)</td><td>8.77 (2.88– 40.5)</td><td>23.6 (6.86– 74.8)</td><td>354</td></lod<>	2.88 (1.04– 6.25)	8.77 (2.88– 40.5)	23.6 (6.86– 74.8)	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>2.11 (1.27– 3.79)</td><td>8.98 (4.76– 14.8)</td><td>16.4 (8.98– 23.8)</td><td>390</td></lod<>	2.11 (1.27– 3.79)	8.98 (4.76– 14.8)	16.4 (8.98– 23.8)	390
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.70 (1.21– 3.02)</td><td>9.52 (5.08– 20.7)</td><td>24.9 (9.81– 50.7)</td><td>379</td></lod<>	1.70 (1.21– 3.02)	9.52 (5.08– 20.7)	24.9 (9.81– 50.7)	379
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>4.35 (<lod– 6.46)</lod– </td><td>16.0 (8.00– 39.5)</td><td>69.0 (16.0– 102)</td><td>401</td></lod<>	4.35 (<lod– 6.46)</lod– 	16.0 (8.00– 39.5)	69.0 (16.0– 102)	401
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	.531 (0.400– .638)	2.14 (1.39– 3.51)	9.29 (4.29– 14.7)	17.3 (10.4– 28.7)	728
	2005–2006	*	.620 (<lod- .800)</lod- 	2.82 ⁽ 1.58– 4.77)	,	15.4 [°] (11.8– 22.3)	703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.28 (0.650–</td><td>5.17 (2.31– 6.53)</td><td>9.88 (5.72– 16.0)</td><td>366</td></lod<>	1.28 (0.650–	5.17 (2.31– 6.53)	9.88 (5.72– 16.0)	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.72) 2.25 (1.17– 3.76)</td><td></td><td>14.0 (9.82– 15.5)</td><td>455</td></lod<>	1.72) 2.25 (1.17– 3.76)		14.0 (9.82– 15.5)	455
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>3.36 (<lod- 8.94)</lod- </td><td>14.4 (5.56– 23.8)</td><td>25.9 (13.6– 104)</td><td>392</td></lod<>	3.36 (<lod- 8.94)</lod- 	14.4 (5.56– 23.8)	25.9 (13.6– 104)	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	1.79 (1.51– 2.12)	1.47 (1.15– 1.88)	5.91 (4.32– 7.72)	17.2 (13.4– 21.8)	30.1 (26.1– 36.4)	1,548
	2005–2006	2.19 [´] (1.70– 2.82)	2.13 (1.40– 2.83)			43.1 ['] (30.5– 71.4)	1,531
	2007–2008	1.55 [´] (1.36– 1.78)	1.29 [´] (1.13– 1.52)			33.4 [°] (21.0– 55.9)	1,820
	2009–2010	2.03 [°] (1.70– 2.42)	1.52 [´] (1.18– 2.16)			57.0 [°] (39.7– 71.9)	2,035
	2011–2012		<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>35.8 (26.8– 44.1)</td><td>1,723</td></lod<>			35.8 (26.8– 44.1)	1,723

Table 6-9. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	Sele	ected percer	ntiles (95%	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	1.40 (1.18– 1.67)	1.11 (0.909– 1.28)	4.78 (3.61– 6.70)	14.4 (11.1– 18.5)	26.5 (18.6– 29.9)	1,284
	2005–2006	1.50 [°] (1.15– 1.96)	1.25 [´] (0.800– 1.93)	,	,	30.5 [°] (19.6– 44.0)	1,276
	2007–2008		1.04 (0.870– 1.25)	,	,	27.0 [°] (17.8– 49.2)	1,289
	2009–2010	1.56 (1.35– 1.80)	1.04 (0.880– 1.34)	,		39.2 (32.0-	1,401
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>4.94 (3.50– 6.67)</td><td>16.7 (12.6– 25.7)</td><td>35.7 (23.4– 52.8)</td><td>1,263</td></lod<>	4.94 (3.50– 6.67)	16.7 (12.6– 25.7)	35.7 (23.4– 52.8)	1,263
Females	2003–2004	1.68 (1.37– 2.05)	1.25 (0.938– 1.67)	5.58 (3.50– 7.43)	17.2 (12.3– 24.5)	32.9 (25.6– 46.3)	1,284
	2005–2006	2.20 (1.65– 2.93)	2.04 (1.30– 2.92)	7.86 (5.38– 10.8)	26.4 (16.6– 35.6)	46.2 (30.3– 74.3)	1,312
	2007–2008	*	0.980 (0.800– 1.18)	4.06 (3.35– 5.29)	14.6 (11.1– 17.8)	29.6 (18.2– 50.0)	1,287
	2009–2010	1.83 (1.48– 2.26)	1.36 (1.04– 1.75)	6.65 (4.68– 9.21)	24.1 (16.5– 37.3)	57.0 (36.3– 71.3)	1,468
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>6.48 (4.79– 8.60)</td><td>18.8 (15.4– 28.1)</td><td>37.0 (26.2– 46.8)</td><td>1,253</td></lod<>	6.48 (4.79– 8.60)	18.8 (15.4– 28.1)	37.0 (26.2– 46.8)	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	1.10 (0.786– 1.55)	0.877 (0.612– 1.40)	2.93 (1.78– 5.21)	8.88 (5.50– 15.4)	19.0 (9.64– 29.4)	621
	2005–2006	1.35 (1.13– 1.62)	,				651
	2007–2008	,	0.780 (<lod- 1.21)</lod- 				513
	2009–2010	*	0.790 (0.670– 0.940)	3.64 (2.28– 4.41)	13.5 (7.78– 19.1)	26.8 (16.5– 42.0)	617
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>3.87 (2.80– 5.76)</td><td>12.6 (8.36– 22.6)</td><td>25.9 (13.4– 40.3)</td><td>317</td></lod<>	3.87 (2.80– 5.76)	12.6 (8.36– 22.6)	25.9 (13.4– 40.3)	317
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	1.65 (1.19– 2.30)	1.53 (0.901– 2.45)	5.81 (4.25– 7.82)			725
	2005–2006		1.71 ^{(0.910–} 2.95)				695
	2007–2008	1.16 [°] (0.955– 1.41)	0.830 (0.620– 1.12)				586
	2009–2010	1.59 (1.29– 1.96)	1.30 (0.850– 2.04)	5.92 (4.20– 8.40)	19.5 (13.5– 27.1)		545
	2011–2012	*	1.50 (<lod– 2.18)</lod– 	6.00 (2.63– 12.4)	20.3 (12.8– 30.0)	38.7 (22.6– 78.2)	672

Table 6-9. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-9. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenobetaine (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	Sele	ected percer	tiles (95%	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	1.44 (1.15– 1.80)	1.05 (0.833– 1.36)	4.47 (2.73– 6.83)	14.3 (10.9– 18.6)	26.5 (18.6– 32.0)	1,078
	2005–2006	1.81 [´] (1.32– 2.47)	1.65 [´] (0.970– 2.55)	6.65 [°] (3.95– 9.34)	19.7 ^{(12.6–} 30.5)	36.6 [°] (25.1– 71.4)	1,050
	2007–2008	*	0.970 (<lod- 1.18)</lod- 	3.56 (2.93– 4.53)	11.6 (8.98– 16.6)	23.8 [°] (15.5– 41.7)	1,063
	2009–2010	1.60 (1.36– 1.87)	1.15 [´] (0.900– 1.43)	5.85 [°] (4.33– 7.65)	22.8 (15.6– 29.4)	38.5 [°] (32.0– 57.0)	1,226
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>5.00 (4.06– 7.55)</td><td>16.8 (12.7– 28.7)</td><td>35.7 (21.0– 45.6)</td><td>824</td></lod<>	5.00 (4.06– 7.55)	16.8 (12.7– 28.7)	35.7 (21.0– 45.6)	824

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

Table 6-10. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenocholine (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected perce	ntiles (95	5% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	,	,	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td></td><td></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td></td><td></td></lod<>		
Total	2003–2004 2005–2006		<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588</td></lod<></lod </td></lod<></lod 	<lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588</td></lod<></lod 	2,568 2,588
	2003-2000		<lod< td=""><td><lod <lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></lod </td></lod<>	<lod <lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></lod 	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<>	2,576
	2007-2000		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<>	2,871
	2011-2012		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<>	2,517
Age group							_,
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<>	292
,	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<>	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<>	390
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<>	380
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>401</td></lod<>	401
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<>	728
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<>	703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<>	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<>	456
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<>	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<>	1,548
-	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<>	1,531
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<>	1,820

		Geometric		Selected perce	ntiles (98	5% CI)	
	Survey	mean (95%					Sample
	years	CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	size
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<>	2,035
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<>	1,724
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1,284
	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<>	1,276
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1,289
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<>	1,403
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<>	1,264
Females	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1,284
	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<>	1,312
	2007-2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<>	1,287
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<>	1,468
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<>	1,264
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<>	621
Americans	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<>	651
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<>	513
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<>	618
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<>	317
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<>	725
blacks	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<>	695
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<>	586
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<>	546
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<>	672
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<>	1,078
whites	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<>	1,050
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1,063
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<>	1,226
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<>	825

Table 6-10. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenocholine (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

		Geometric		Selected perc	entiles (95º	% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516
Age group							,
6–11 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>292 354 390 379 401</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>292 354 390 379 401</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>292 354 390 379 401</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>292 354 390 379 401</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	292 354 390 379 401
12–19 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>728 703 366 456 392</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>728 703 366 456 392</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>728 703 366 456 392</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>728 703 366 456 392</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	728 703 366 456 392
≥20 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723
Gender							
Males Females	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012 2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * * * * * * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,263 1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,263 1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,263 1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,263 1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,263 1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans Non-Hispanic	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012 2003–2004	* * * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>621 651 513 618 317 725</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>621 651 513 618 317 725</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>621 651 513 618 317 725</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>621 651 513 618 317 725</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	621 651 513 618 317 725
blacks	2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	*	<lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>695 586 545 672</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>695 586 545 672</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>695 586 545 672</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td>695 586 545 672</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	695 586 545 672

Table 6-11. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenocholine (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-11. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenocholine (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected percentiles (95% CI)				
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size	
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<>	1,078	
whites	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<>	1,050	
	2007-2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1,063	
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<>	1,226	
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<>	824	

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

Table 6-12. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected percentiles (95% CI)			
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.520 (<lod- 0.600)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod 0.840 (0.760- 0.960)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.11 (0.980–1.27)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>2,568 2,588 2,576 2,871 2,517</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.520 (<lod- 0.600)</lod- </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.840 (0.760- 0.960)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.11 (0.980–1.27)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	2,568 2,588 2,576 2,871 2,517
Age group 6–11 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.540 (<lod- 0.660)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.870 (0.710– 1.00)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.03 (0.820–1.22)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>292 354 390 380 401</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.540 (<lod- 0.660)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.870 (0.710– 1.00)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.03 (0.820–1.22)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	292 354 390 380 401
12–19 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod 0.610 (0.480– .720)</lod </lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.920 (0.810– 1.14)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1.40 (<lod-1.70) 1.20 (<lod-1.40) <lod <lod 1.18 (0.980-1.43)</lod </lod </lod-1.40) </lod-1.70) </td><td>728 703 366 456 392</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod 0.610 (0.480– .720)</lod </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.920 (0.810– 1.14)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1.40 (<lod-1.70) 1.20 (<lod-1.40) <lod <lod 1.18 (0.980-1.43)</lod </lod </lod-1.40) </lod-1.70) 	728 703 366 456 392

		Geometric					
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
≥20 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.500 (<lod- 0.570)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.830 (0.750– 0.940)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.11 (0.980–1.27)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,724</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.500 (<lod- 0.570)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.830 (0.750– 0.940)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.11 (0.980–1.27)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,724
Gender Males	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.600 (0.520– 0.680)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.980 (0.840– 1.13)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod 1.26 (<lod-1.73) <lod 1.24 (<lod-1.50) 1.31 (1.11-1.59)</lod-1.50) </lod </lod-1.73) </lod </td><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,264</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.600 (0.520– 0.680)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.980 (0.840– 1.13)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod 1.26 (<lod-1.73) <lod 1.24 (<lod-1.50) 1.31 (1.11-1.59)</lod-1.50) </lod </lod-1.73) </lod 	1,284 1,276 1,289 1,403 1,264
Females	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod >LOD</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod 0.760 (0.600- 0.840)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.940 (0.810–1.07)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod >LOD</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.760 (0.600- 0.840)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.940 (0.810–1.07)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,253
Race/ethnicity					,		
Mexican Americans	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.570 (0.490- 0.660)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod 0.830 (0.770– 1.03)</lod </lod </lod </td><td>2.00 (<lod-3.00) <lod 1.20 (<lod-1.76) <lod 1.14 (0.880-1.37)</lod </lod-1.76) </lod </lod-3.00) </td><td>621 651 513 618 317</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.570 (0.490- 0.660)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.830 (0.770– 1.03)</lod </lod </lod 	2.00 (<lod-3.00) <lod 1.20 (<lod-1.76) <lod 1.14 (0.880-1.37)</lod </lod-1.76) </lod </lod-3.00) 	621 651 513 618 317
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.520 (<lod- 0.680)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.880 (0.700– 1.10)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1.20 (<lod-1.80) <lod <lod <lod 1.21 (0.980-1.57)</lod </lod </lod </lod-1.80) </td><td>725 695 586 546 672</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.520 (<lod- 0.680)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.880 (0.700– 1.10)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1.20 (<lod-1.80) <lod <lod <lod 1.21 (0.980-1.57)</lod </lod </lod </lod-1.80) 	725 695 586 546 672

Table 6-12. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-12. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	Geometric		Selected percentiles (95% CI)				
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.800 (0.640- 0.900)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.03 (0.830–1.18)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,078 1,050 1,063 1,226 825</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.800 (0.640- 0.900)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.03 (0.830–1.18)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,078 1,050 1,063 1,226 825</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.800 (0.640- 0.900)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.03 (0.830–1.18)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,078 1,050 1,063 1,226 825

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

		Geometric	Selected percentiles (95% CI)				
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.791 (<lod- 0.850)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.35 (1.21– 1.42)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.79 (1.55–1.89)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.791 (<lod- 0.850)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.35 (1.21– 1.42)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.79 (1.55–1.89)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	2,568 2,588 2,576 2,870 2,516
Age group				,	,		
6–11 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 1.03 (<lod- 1.13)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod 1.54 (1.26– 1.79)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 2.00 (1.55–2.62)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>292 354 390 379 401</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 1.03 (<lod- 1.13)</lod- </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 1.54 (1.26– 1.79)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 2.00 (1.55–2.62)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	292 354 390 379 401
12–19 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.709 (0.607– 0.850)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.11 (0.971– 1.31)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1.95 (<lod-2.76) 2.02 (<lod-3.04) <lod <lod 1.36 (1.03-2.50)</lod </lod </lod-3.04) </lod-2.76) </td><td>728 703 366 456 392</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.709 (0.607– 0.850)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.11 (0.971– 1.31)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1.95 (<lod-2.76) 2.02 (<lod-3.04) <lod <lod 1.36 (1.03-2.50)</lod </lod </lod-3.04) </lod-2.76) 	728 703 366 456 392

Table 6-13. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)
		Geometric		Selected	percentile	s (95% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
≥20 years	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 0.773 (<lod- 0.850)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.36 (1.19– 1.48)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.79 (1.55–1.95)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 0.773 (<lod- 0.850)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.36 (1.19– 1.48)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.79 (1.55–1.95)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,548 1,531 1,820 2,035 1,723
Gender							
Males	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod 2.43 (<lod-3.15) <lod 2.93 (<lod-3.54)< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,402</td></lod-3.54)<></lod </lod-3.15) </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod 2.43 (<lod-3.15) <lod 2.93 (<lod-3.54)< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,402</td></lod-3.54)<></lod </lod-3.15) </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod 2.43 (<lod-3.15) <lod 2.93 (<lod-3.54)< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,402</td></lod-3.54)<></lod </lod-3.15) </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod 	<lod 2.43 (<lod-3.15) <lod 2.93 (<lod-3.54)< td=""><td>1,284 1,276 1,289 1,402</td></lod-3.54)<></lod </lod-3.15) </lod 	1,284 1,276 1,289 1,402
Females	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.708 (0.645– 0.756)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod 1.12 (0.981– 1.25)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.48 (1.28–1.70)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,263</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.708 (0.645– 0.756)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 1.12 (0.981– 1.25)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.48 (1.28–1.70)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,284 1,312 1,287 1,468 1,263
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 1.42 (1.36– 1.62)</lod </lod </lod </td><td>3.08 (<lod-4.44) <lod 3.54 (<lod-4.72) <lod 1.89 (1.70-2.27)</lod </lod-4.72) </lod </lod-4.44) </td><td>621 651 513 618 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod </td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 1.42 (1.36– 1.62)</lod </lod </lod </td><td>3.08 (<lod-4.44) <lod 3.54 (<lod-4.72) <lod 1.89 (1.70-2.27)</lod </lod-4.72) </lod </lod-4.44) </td><td>621 651 513 618 1,253</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 1.42 (1.36– 1.62)</lod </lod </lod 	3.08 (<lod-4.44) <lod 3.54 (<lod-4.72) <lod 1.89 (1.70-2.27)</lod </lod-4.72) </lod </lod-4.44) 	621 651 513 618 1,253
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.723 (0.630– 0.850)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.13 (0.919– 1.42)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>2.00 (<lod-2.29) <lod <lod <lod 1.55 (1.13-2.20)</lod </lod </lod </lod-2.29) </td><td>725 695 586 545 317</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.723 (0.630– 0.850)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.13 (0.919– 1.42)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	2.00 (<lod-2.29) <lod <lod <lod 1.55 (1.13-2.20)</lod </lod </lod </lod-2.29) 	725 695 586 545 317

Table 6-13. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in μg As/g of creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-13. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Arsenous (III) Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected	percentiles	(95% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	* * *	<lod <lod <lod <lod <lod< td=""><td><lod <lod <lod 0.514 (<lod- 0.586)</lod- </lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod 0.919 (0.739– 1.06)</lod </lod </lod </td><td><lod <lod <lod <lod 1.22 (0.971–1.64)</lod </lod </lod </lod </td><td>1,078 1,050 1,063 1,226 672</td></lod<></lod </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.514 (<lod- 0.586)</lod- </lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod 0.919 (0.739– 1.06)</lod </lod </lod 	<lod <lod <lod <lod 1.22 (0.971–1.64)</lod </lod </lod </lod 	1,078 1,050 1,063 1,226 672

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

		Geometric	; 5	Selected perc	entiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	3.71 (3.33– 4.14)	· 3.90 (3.00- 4.00)	6.00 (5.00– 7.00)	11.0 (9.20– 12.0)	16.0 (13.0– 17.8)	2,568
	2005–2006	3.95 (3.59– 4.35)	3.90 (3.46– 4.31)	6.17 (5.53– 7.04)	10.3 (9.00– 12.2)	15.0 (12.2– 17.8)	2,588
	2007–2008		· 3.58 [´] (3.44– 3.84)		9.78 [°] (8.72– 11.2)	13.3 [´] (11.8– 15.3)	2,576
	2009–2010	,	,	6.16 [°] (5.59– 6.73)	11.5 [°] (9.35– 13.7)	17.4 [°] (14.0– 21.0)	2,871
	2011–2012	3.47 (3.18– 3.78)	· 3.37 (3.06– 3.66)	5.81 (5.14– 6.59)	9.55 (8.43– 11.2)	14.0 (11.4– 16.5)	2,517
Age group							
6–11 years	2003–2004	3.73 (3.12– 4.45)	4.00 (3.00- 4.00)	6.00 (5.00– 7.00)	9.00 (7.00– 12.0)	12.0 (8.00– 22.0)	292
	2005–2006	3.96 [°] (3.49– 4.49)	· 3.94 (3.20– 4.71)	5.94 [°] (5.01– 7.10)	10.5 [°] (7.10– 12.1)	13.0 [°] (10.7– 15.3)	354
	2007–2008	3.86 (3.45– 4.32)	- 3.76 (3.47– 4.01)	6.10 (5.29– 6.99)	10.4 (7.57– 13.9)	15.2 (9.32– 39.2)	390
	2009–2010	3.53 (3.17– 3.92)	3.27 (2.81– 3.87)	5.76 (5.07– 6.53)	10.7 (7.84– 11.9)	12.8 (11.0– 14.4)	380
	2011–2012	3.43 (3.06– 3.85)	· 3.53 (2.81– 4.05)	5.81 (5.21– 6.38)	8.60 (7.55– 9.73)	11.4 (9.35– 13.7)	401

Table 6-14. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	_	Geometric	5	Selected perc	entiles (95% (CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
12–19 years	2003–2004	3.85 (3.34– 4.42)	4.00 (3.00– 4.00)	6.00 (5.00– 7.10)	9.30 (7.70– 12.0)	13.0 (10.0– 16.0)	728
	2005–2006	3.97 ^(3.46–4.55)	3.97 ^{(3.31–} 4.64)	5.74 [°] (5.11– 7.10)	9.43 [°] (7.63– 11.6)	12.0 [°] (10.7– 13.9)	703
	2007–2008	3.60 (3.16– 4.09)	3.66 (3.24– 4.26)	5.53 (4.75– 6.72)	8.90 (7.33– 9.95)	10.7 ^{(9.08–} 13.3)	366
	2009–2010	3.08 (2.77– 3.43)	2.89 (2.64– 3.29)	5.05 (4.44– 5.77)	7.89 (6.87– 10.1)	11.3 (8.75– 13.8)	456
	2011–2012	3.27 (2.71– 3.94)	3.33 (2.49– 4.20)	5.02 (4.33– 6.05)	8.53 (6.29– 11.1)	11.6 (8.74– 17.9)	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	3.69 (3.31– 4.11)	3.70 (3.00– 4.00)	6.00 (5.00– 7.00)	11.0 (10.0– 12.0)	16.0 (13.0– 19.0)	1,548
	2005–2006	3.95 (3.58– 4.36)	3.87 (3.46– 4.32)	6.30 (5.59– 7.28)	10.3 (9.25– 13.3)	16.2 (12.5– 19.4)	1,531
	2007–2008	3.66 (3.43– 3.91)	3.56 (3.41– 3.80)	5.97 (5.44– 6.63)	9.89 (8.72– 11.4)	13.8 (11.8– 15.5)	1,820
	2009–2010	3.79 (3.51– 4.09)	3.60 (3.33– 3.87)	6.40 (5.71– 7.03)	12.1 (9.52– 16.0)	18.2 (15.8– 23.6)	2,035
	2011–2012	3.51 (3.22– 3.82)	3.37 (3.05– 3.67)	5.91 (5.20– 6.83)	9.94 (8.57– 11.5)	14.1 (12.2– 16.4)	1,724

Table 6-14. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	_	Geometric		Selected perc	entiles (95% (CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	4.12 (3.60– 4.71)	4.00 (3.70– 4.30)	6.00 (5.60– 7.70)	11.0 (9.00– 15.0)	17.0 (12.1– 22.0)	1,284
	2005–2006		4.04 (3.68– 4.43)		10.5 (8.68– 13.3)	14.8 (11.8– 19.2)	1,276
	2007–2008	,	3.96 [°] (3.63– 4.27)	,	10.3 (9.31– 12.0)	14.6 (11.6– 20.2)	1,289
	2009–2010	,	,	6.33 (5.80– 7.02)	11.6 (8.80– 17.3)	19.2 (13.0– 24.7)	1,403
	2011–2012	3.79 (3.40– 4.239)	3.71 (3.33– 4.29)	6.27 (5.36– 7.61)	10.3 (8.75– 12.5)	15.3 (12.5– 16.7)	1,264
Females	2003–2004	3.37 (3.00– 3.78)	3.00 (3.00– 4.00)	5.50 (4.80– 6.20)	10.0 (8.00– 11.0)	14.0 (11.0– 17.7)	1,284
	2005–2006	3.75 (3.37– 4.18)	3.70 (3.28– 4.21)	6.03 (5.46– 6.99)	9.95 (8.81– 12.2)	15.5 (11.6– 19.0)	
	2007–2008	3.34 (3.13– 3.57)	3.37 (3.15– 3.53)	5.48 (5.00– 6.05)	8.99 (7.97– 10.4)	11.9 (10.7– 13.9)	1,287
	2009–2010	3.48 (3.22– 3.76)	3.28 (2.95– 3.63)	5.85 (5.21– 6.69)	11.5 (9.42– 13.3)	16.1 (13.8– 17.7)	1,468
	2011–2012	3.19 (2.92– 3.48)	3.01 (2.73– 3.30)	5.30 (4.61– 5.96)	8.96 (7.72– 10.5)	12.2 (9.56– 17.8)	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	4.72 (4.27– 5.22)	4.80 (4.00– 5.00)	7.00 (6.00– 9.00)	12.0 (10.0– 16.0)	17.0 (12.0– 25.0)	621
	2005–2006	4.43 ⁽ 4.15– 4.72)	4.66 [°] (4.26– 5.13)	,	9.51 [´] (8.70– 11.1)	13.1 [°] (10.3– 15.1)	651
	2007–2008	4.36 (4.05– 4.69)	4.62 (4.07– 5.07)	7.14 (6.23– 7.76)	9.67 [°] (8.39– 10.8)	11.7 [°] (9.96– 17.6)	513
	2009–2010	3.90 [°] (3.45– 4.40)	3.98 [°] (3.18– 4.63)	6.53 (5.61– 7.15)	10.7 [°] (8.95– 13.2)	16.2 [°] (13.1– 19.2)	618
	2011–2012	3.67 (3.33– 4.04)	3.58 (3.27– 4.18)	5.82 (5.24– 6.47)	9.05 (7.91– 10.0)	12.1 (9.61– 17.4)	317
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	4.27 (3.71– 4.92)	4.00 (3.50– 5.00)	7.00 (6.00– 8.00)	11.6 (9.00– 15.0)	16.0 (14.0– 18.7)	725
	2005–2006		4.02 (3.37– 4.82)		10.9 (8.22– 13.1)	15.2 [°] (11.5– 27.1)	695
	2007–2008	4.19 (3.92– 4.49)	3.98 (3.63– 4.27)		11.2 [°] (10.1– 12.5)	14.7 [°] (12.1– 19.2)	586
	2009–2010	4.09 (3.63– 4.61)	3.93 (3.31– 4.36)	7.13 (5.99– 8.63)	12.3 (10.1– 17.0)	18.2 (13.7– 27.8)	546
	2011–2012	4.05 (3.46– 4.74)	4.05 (3.35– 4.65)	6.78 (5.46– 8.21)	12.0 (10.0– 14.2)	17.4 (14.2– 19.8)	672

Table 6-14. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-14. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	S	Selected perc	entiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	•	3.00 (3.00– 3.80)	5.00 (4.60– 6.00)	9.00 (7.00– 10.0)	12.0 (9.50– 15.0)	1,078
	2005–2006	•	3.60 (3.19–4.08)	,	9.62 (8.11– 11.8)	13.9 [°] (10.6– 17.8)	1,050
	2007–2008	3.23 ^{(3.01–} 3.48)	3.34 [°] (3.04– 3.53)	,	8.27 [´] (7.48– 9.07)	10.5 [´] (9.25– 13.2)	1,063
	2009–2010	3.21 ⁽ 3.07– 3.37)	3.12 [′] (2.91– 3.29)	,	8.65 [´] (7.23– 10.1)	12.0 [´] (10.1– 14.4)	1,226
	2011–2012	3.06 (2.82– 3.33)	2.97 (2.72– 3.29)	4.95 (4.39– 5.77)	7.98 (6.92– 9.02)	10.5 (8.71– 13.0)	825

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2015

Table 6-15. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	; 5	Selected perc	entiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	3.69 (3.24– 4.19)	· 3.37 (2.94– 3.91)	5.71 (4.69– 6.74)	9.09 (7.61– 11.5)	13.0 (10.7– 16.0)	2,568
	2005–2006	3.88 (3.57– 4.22)	3.66 (3.39–3.93)	5.71 (5.10– 6.43)	9.57 (7.95– 10.9)	12.3 (10.6– 15.0)	2,588
	2007–2008			5.80 (5.33–	9.01 [°] (8.00– 9.97)	11.6 [´] (9.97– 14.4)	2,576
	2009–2010			6.03 (5.36– 6.62)	10.2 (9.15– 11.8)	14.9 [´] (12.3– 19.2)	2,870
	2011–2012	3.92 (3.69– 4.16)	· 3.65 (3.47– 3.91)	5.92 (5.40– 6.49)	9.86 (8.68– 11.3)	13.1 (11.5– 15.2)	2,516
Age group							
6-11 years	2003–2004	4.34 (3.57– 5.28)	4.03 (3.20– 4.80)	6.32 (4.65– 8.33)	10.3 (7.00– 13.9)	13.9 (7.86– 21.8)	292
	2005–2006	,	4.31 (3.64–	6.85 [´] (5.71– 7.69)	10.0 [°] (7.54– 14.7)	14.7 [´] (10.0– 18.8)	354
	2007–2008	,	,	6.90 [°] (6.14– 7.76)	12.0 [°] (8.39– 17.1)	20.9 [´] (12.0– 40.8)	390
	2009–2010	,	,	6.41 [´] (5.66– 6.82)	10.0 [°] (7.63– 15.3)	16.5 [°] (9.33– 27.0)	379
	2011–2012	4.86 (4.54– 5.21)	4.44 (4.10– 4.70)	6.82 (6.15– 7.69)	9.77 (8.65– 11.5)	13.8 (10.3– 15.0)	401

		Geometric	S	Selected perc	entiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
12–19 years	2003–2004		2.55 (2.27– 2.94)	3.77 (3.17– 4.44)	5.88 (4.65– 6.67)	7.18 (6.16– 11.7)	728
	2005–2006			4.25 (3.87–	6.68 [°] (5.49– 8.06)	9.08 [°] (7.11– 10.6)	703
	2007–2008	· ·	· ·	3.91 (3.46– 4.56)	6.27 (4.72– 8.21)	8.21 (5.77– 10.1)	366
	2009–2010	•	2.60 [°] (2.36– 2.86)	4.08 (3.43– 4.80)	6.67 [°] (5.71– 8.57)	10.0 [°] (7.61– 12.6)	456
	2011–2012		3.02 (2.48– 3.34)	4.51 (3.49– 5.62)	7.03 (5.08– 10.8)	10.8 (6.13– 18.1)	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	· ·	3.48 (3.00– 4.00)	5.95 (4.86– 7.05)	9.45 (8.00– 12.0)	13.5 (11.1– 18.6)	1,548
	2005–2006	3.93 (3.62-	,	,	9.74 [°] (8.00– 11.2)	12.6 [°] (10.9– 15.8)	1,531
	2007–2008			5.96 (5.47– 6.58)	9.10 (8.00– 10.0)	11.6 (9.74– 14.5)	1,820
	2009–2010	•	3.61 ^{(3.33–} 3.90)	6.25 (5.57– 6.88)	10.8 (9.23– 12.9)	16.1 (12.6– 22.2)	2,035
	2011–2012	3.96 (3.75– 4.19)	3.73 (3.52– 3.94)	6.05 (5.45– 6.68)	10.1 (8.97– 11.3)	13.1 (11.5– 15.5)	1,723

Table 6-15. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	-	Geometric	8	Selected perc	entiles (95% (CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	3.48 (2.95– 4.10)	3.16 (2.70– 3.82)	5.46 (4.17– 6.90)	8.59 (6.92– 12.0)	12.3 (8.84– 18.9)	1,284
	2005–2006		3.24 ^{(2.91–} 3.63)		7.90 [°] (6.65– 9.77)	11.0 [´] (9.29– 13.0)	1,276
	2007–2008	,	3.44 [°] (3.22– 3.68)	,	8.31 [´] (7.21– 10.1)	12.1 [°] (9.73– 14.9)	1,289
	2009–2010			5.31 [´] (4.80– 5.99)	9.24 [°] (7.80– 10.8)	13.3 [´] (10.3– 16.5)	1,402
	2011–2012	3.55 (3.27– 3.86)	3.34 (3.11– 3.69)	5.32 (4.75– 6.06)	8.47 (7.35– 9.73)	11.0 (9.45– 14.1)	1,263
Females	2003–2004	3.89 (3.49– 4.34)	3.57 (3.13– 4.06)	5.78 (4.95– 6.67)	9.32 (8.00– 11.5)	13.7 (10.6– 18.6)	1,284
	2005–2006	4.37 (4.03– 4.75)	4.03 (3.72– 4.51)	6.26 (5.71– 6.84)	10.0 (8.50– 12.6)	14.4 (11.2– 19.3)	1,312
	2007–2008	4.02 (3.75– 4.32)	3.80 (3.46– 4.26)	6.15 (5.58– 7.06)	9.23 (8.11– 10.1)	10.9 (9.52– 14.9)	1,287
	2009–2010	4.28 (3.96– 4.63)	4.00 (3.62– 4.32)	6.55 (5.98– 7.14)	11.0 (9.64– 13.2)	17.2 (13.2– 24.4)	1,468
	2011–2012	4.30 (4.06– 4.56)	4.10 (3.85– 4.35)	6.68 (5.93– 7.36)	10.8 (9.77– 12.4)	14.9 (12.4– 17.0)	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	4.38 (3.80– 5.05)	4.11 (3.29– 4.90)	6.25 (4.84– 8.15)	10.3 (8.00– 11.8)	12.9 (11.1– 15.2)	621
	2005–2006		4.00 [′] (3.65– 4.36)		8.69 [´] (7.23– 9.65)	10.2 [´] (9.52– 13.2)	651
	2007–2008	4.34 (3.87– 4.88)	4.19 (3.66– 4.83)	6.23 (5.31– 7.44)	9.14 (8.00– 11.0)	12.1 (10.1– 15.1)	513
	2009–2010	4.06 (3.63– 4.54)	3.95 (3.33– 4.44)	6.32 (5.36– 7.10)	9.23 (8.10– 10.6)	11.7 (10.2– 14.8)	618
	2011–2012	4.12 (3.83– 4.44)	4.10 (3.43– 4.59)	5.77 (5.29– 6.37)	8.06 (7.49– 9.54)	10.5 (8.70– 14.8)	317
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	3.08 (2.69– 3.52)	2.86 (2.60– 3.24)	4.34 (3.82– 5.05)	7.81 (5.82– 9.45)	10.4 (7.61– 16.9)	725
	2005–2006		2.91 [°] (2.52– 3.32)		7.33 [°] (5.40– 10.7)	11.6 [°] (7.66– 17.9)	695
	2007–2008	3.08 (2.87– 3.31)	2.77 (2.56– 3.13)	4.74 (4.31– 5.22)	7.29 (6.58– 8.26)	9.67 (8.26– 11.0)	586
	2009–2010	3.26 (2.90– 3.66)	2.86 (2.47– 3.34)	5.10 (4.43– 6.06)	10.0 (7.39– 11.7)	13.1 (11.1– 15.2)	545
	2011–2012	3.16 (2.66– 3.74)	3.05 (2.41– 3.67)	4.98 (4.01– 6.08)	8.27 (6.68– 9.70)	11.3 (8.87– 13.7)	672

Table 6-15. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-15. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Dimethylarsinic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	S	selected perc	entiles (95% C))	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	3.44 (2.97– 3.98)	3.17 (2.80– 3.73)	5.16 (4.03– 6.49)	8.00 (6.32– 10.9)	11.1 (8.00– 15.4)	1,078
	2005–2006	•	3.64 (3.30– 3.93)	,	9.23 (7.26– 10.6)	11.6 [°] (9.93– 13.9)	1,050
	2007–2008	3.62 ^{(3.38–} 3.87)	3.48 (3.20– 3.76)	5.38 (4.95– 5.80)	8.00 (7.28– 8.86)	10.0 (8.96–	1,063
	2009–2010	3.58 ⁽ 3.36– 3.81)	3.31 [´] (3.09– 3.49)	5.30 [°] (4.87– 5.75)	8.12 [´] (7.06– 9.21)	11.5 [´] (9.43– 14.3)	1,226
	2011–2012	3.68 (3.44– 3.93)	3.47 (3.31– 3.63)	5.32 (5.04– 5.93)	9.07 (7.47– 10.3)	11.5 (9.87– 13.1)	824

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2014

	-	Geometric	>	Selected perce	entiles (95% (CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (1.00– 1.30)</td><td>1.90 (1.60– 2.10)</td><td>2.40 (2.00– 2.80)</td><td>2,567</td></lod<>	1.20 (1.00– 1.30)	1.90 (1.60– 2.10)	2.40 (2.00– 2.80)	2,567
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.19[´](1.05– 1.34)</td><td>1.72[´](1.51– 1.94)</td><td>2.12[°](1.79– 2.70)</td><td>2,588</td></lod<>	1.19 [´] (1.05– 1.34)	1.72 [´] (1.51– 1.94)	2.12 [°] (1.79– 2.70)	2,588
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.11[´](1.00– 1.20)</td><td>1.61[´](1.51– 1.69)</td><td>2.09⁽1.91– 2.26)</td><td>2,576</td></lod<>	1.11 [´] (1.00– 1.20)	1.61 [´] (1.51– 1.69)	2.09 ⁽ 1.91– 2.26)	2,576
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.02[´](.920– 1.10)</td><td>1.64[°](1.41– 1.83)</td><td></td><td>2,871</td></lod<>	1.02 [´] (.920– 1.10)	1.64 [°] (1.41– 1.83)		2,871
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.36 (1.17– 1.55)</td><td>1.83 (1.57– 2.07)</td><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.36 (1.17– 1.55)</td><td>1.83 (1.57– 2.07)</td><td>2,517</td></lod<>	1.36 (1.17– 1.55)	1.83 (1.57– 2.07)	2,517
Age group							
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.00 (<lod– 1.40)</lod– </td><td>1.80 (1.30– 2.60)</td><td>2.30 (1.70– 2.90)</td><td>292</td></lod<>	1.00 (<lod– 1.40)</lod– 	1.80 (1.30– 2.60)	2.30 (1.70– 2.90)	292
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.03[´](<lod– 1.37)</lod– </td><td></td><td></td><td>354</td></lod<>	1.03 [´] (<lod– 1.37)</lod– 			354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.05[´](<lod– 1.28)</lod– </td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>390</td></lod<>	1.05 [´] (<lod– 1.28)</lod– 	,	,	390
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.38 (1.00– 1.84)</td><td></td><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.38 (1.00– 1.84)</td><td></td><td>380</td></lod<>	1.38 (1.00– 1.84)		380
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.21 (0.970– 1.40)</td><td>1.42 (1.24– 1.54)</td><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.21 (0.970– 1.40)</td><td>1.42 (1.24– 1.54)</td><td>401</td></lod<>	1.21 (0.970– 1.40)	1.42 (1.24– 1.54)	401

Table 6-16. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	: 5	Selected perce	ntiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.50 (1.10– 1.80)</td><td>2.20 (1.70– 3.00)</td><td>2.90 (2.20– 3.60)</td><td>728</td></lod<>	1.50 (1.10– 1.80)	2.20 (1.70– 3.00)	2.90 (2.20– 3.60)	728
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.31 (1.09– 1.46)</td><td>1.99 (1.62– 2.23)</td><td>2.41 (2.04– 2.89)</td><td>703</td></lod<>	1.31 (1.09– 1.46)	1.99 (1.62– 2.23)	2.41 (2.04– 2.89)	703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>,</td><td>1.83 (1.54– 2.22)</td><td>,</td><td>366</td></lod<>	,	1.83 (1.54– 2.22)	,	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (0.910– 1.25)</td><td>1.62 (1.33– 1.92)</td><td>2.03 (1.74–2.30)</td><td>456</td></lod<>	1.10 (0.910– 1.25)	1.62 (1.33– 1.92)	2.03 (1.74–2.30)	456
≥20 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (1.00– 1.30)</td><td>1.80 (1.50– 2.10)</td><td>2.30 (2.00–2.60)</td><td>1,547</td></lod<>	1.20 (1.00– 1.30)	1.80 (1.50– 2.10)	2.30 (2.00–2.60)	1,547
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.20[´](1.05– 1.33)</td><td>1.70[´](1.48– 1.90)</td><td>2.06[′](1.74– 2.75)</td><td>1,531</td></lod<>	1.20 [´] (1.05– 1.33)	1.70 [´] (1.48– 1.90)	2.06 [′] (1.74– 2.75)	1,531
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.08[°] (0.970– 1.17)</td><td>1.58[°](1.44– 1.71)</td><td>1.98[´](1.82– 2.21)</td><td>1,820</td></lod<>	1.08 [°] (0.970– 1.17)	1.58 [°] (1.44– 1.71)	1.98 [´] (1.82– 2.21)	1,820
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.02[°](0.930– 1.11)</td><td>1.65[°](1.42– 1.86)</td><td>2.03[°](1.81– 2.35)</td><td>2,035</td></lod<>	1.02 [°] (0.930– 1.11)	1.65 [°] (1.42– 1.86)	2.03 [°] (1.81– 2.35)	2,035
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.41 (1.15– 1.67)</td><td>1.88 (1.58– 2.08)</td><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.41 (1.15– 1.67)</td><td>1.88 (1.58– 2.08)</td><td>1,724</td></lod<>	1.41 (1.15– 1.67)	1.88 (1.58– 2.08)	1,724

Table 6-16. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric	5	Selected perce	ntiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.30 (1.10– 1.60)</td><td>2.00 (1.80– 2.40)</td><td>2.60 (2.10– 3.00)</td><td>1,283</td></lod<>	1.30 (1.10– 1.60)	2.00 (1.80– 2.40)	2.60 (2.10– 3.00)	1,283
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.31[´](1.12– 1.49)</td><td>1.79[°](1.57– 2.09)</td><td>2.23[´](1.85– 2.86)</td><td>1,276</td></lod<>	1.31 [´] (1.12– 1.49)	1.79 [°] (1.57– 2.09)	2.23 [´] (1.85– 2.86)	1,276
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.24[°](1.13– 1.35)</td><td>1.78[°](1.63– 1.94)</td><td>•</td><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1.24 [°] (1.13– 1.35)	1.78 [°] (1.63– 1.94)	•	1,289
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.07 (0.970– 1.23)</td><td></td><td>2.19[′](1.83– 2.68)</td><td>1,403</td></lod<>	1.07 (0.970– 1.23)		2.19 [′] (1.83– 2.68)	1,403
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.900 (<lod- 1.09)</lod- </td><td>1.28 (1.09– 1.57)</td><td>1.59 (1.26– 3.30)</td><td>392</td></lod<>	0.900 (<lod- 1.09)</lod- 	1.28 (1.09– 1.57)	1.59 (1.26– 3.30)	392
Females	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.00 (<lod– 1.20)</lod– </td><td>1.60 (1.30– 1.90)</td><td>2.10 (1.70– 2.60)</td><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1.00 (<lod– 1.20)</lod– 	1.60 (1.30– 1.90)	2.10 (1.70– 2.60)	1,284
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.08 (0.920– 1.24)</td><td>1.57 (1.36– 1.90)</td><td>2.04 (1.67–2.50)</td><td>1,312</td></lod<>	1.08 (0.920– 1.24)	1.57 (1.36– 1.90)	2.04 (1.67–2.50)	1,312
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.970 (<lod– 1.06)</lod– </td><td>,</td><td>1.81 (1.68– 1.90)</td><td>1,287</td></lod<>	0.970 (<lod– 1.06)</lod– 	,	1.81 (1.68– 1.90)	1,287
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.950 (<lod– 1.06)</lod– </td><td></td><td>1.89[°](1.66– 2.12)</td><td>1,468</td></lod<>	0.950 (<lod– 1.06)</lod– 		1.89 [°] (1.66– 2.12)	1,468
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.15 (1.02– 1.40)</td><td>1.57 (1.41– 1.79)</td><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.15 (1.02– 1.40)</td><td>1.57 (1.41– 1.79)</td><td>1,253</td></lod<>	1.15 (1.02– 1.40)	1.57 (1.41– 1.79)	1,253
Race/ethnicity						,	
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.50 (1.20– 1.90)</td><td>2.20 (1.70– 2.80)</td><td>2.80 (2.00– 4.40)</td><td>621</td></lod<>	1.50 (1.20– 1.90)	2.20 (1.70– 2.80)	2.80 (2.00– 4.40)	621
, unonouno	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.19 (1.10– 1.32)</td><td>1.73 (1.51– 1.97)</td><td>2.04 (1.67– 2.51)</td><td>651</td></lod<>	1.19 (1.10– 1.32)	1.73 (1.51– 1.97)	2.04 (1.67– 2.51)	651
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.22 (1.02– 1.35)</td><td>1.69 (1.43– 2.12)</td><td>2.32 (1.75– 2.85)</td><td>513</td></lod<>	1.22 (1.02– 1.35)	1.69 (1.43– 2.12)	2.32 (1.75– 2.85)	513
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.02 (<lod– 1.37)</lod– </td><td>1.65[´](1.38– 1.90)</td><td>2.02 (1.65– 2.33)</td><td>618</td></lod<>	1.02 (<lod– 1.37)</lod– 	1.65 [´] (1.38– 1.90)	2.02 (1.65– 2.33)	618
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>>LOD</td><td>1.25 (1.04– 1.65)</td><td>1.85 (1.25– 3.26)</td><td>317</td></lod<>	>LOD	1.25 (1.04– 1.65)	1.85 (1.25– 3.26)	317
Non–Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (<lod– 1.30)</lod– </td><td>1.80 (1.40– 2.00)</td><td>2.20 (1.70– 2.70)</td><td>725</td></lod<>	1.10 (<lod– 1.30)</lod– 	1.80 (1.40– 2.00)	2.20 (1.70– 2.70)	725
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.02 (.950– 1.07)</td><td>1.35 (1.29– 1.44)</td><td>1.70 (1.50– 1.88)</td><td>695</td></lod<>	1.02 (.950– 1.07)	1.35 (1.29– 1.44)	1.70 (1.50– 1.88)	695
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.21 (1.09– 1.33)</td><td>1.79[´](1.61– 2.05)</td><td>2.32 (2.15– 2.63)</td><td>586</td></lod<>	1.21 (1.09– 1.33)	1.79 [´] (1.61– 2.05)	2.32 (2.15– 2.63)	586
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.02 (<lod– 1.18)</lod– </td><td>1.51 (1.36– 1.84)</td><td>1.88 (1.73– 2.24)</td><td>546</td></lod<>	1.02 (<lod– 1.18)</lod– 	1.51 (1.36– 1.84)	1.88 (1.73– 2.24)	546
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.26 (1.01– 1.55)</td><td>1.65 (1.34– 2.02)</td><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.26 (1.01– 1.55)</td><td>1.65 (1.34– 2.02)</td><td>672</td></lod<>	1.26 (1.01– 1.55)	1.65 (1.34– 2.02)	672

Table 6-16. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-16. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected perce	CI)		
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non–Hispanic whites	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (.900– 1.30)</td><td>1.80 (1.40– 2.00)</td><td>2.10 (1.80– 2.50)</td><td>1,077</td></lod<>	1.10 (.900– 1.30)	1.80 (1.40– 2.00)	2.10 (1.80– 2.50)	1,077
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.22[´](1.02– 1.39)</td><td>1.75[´](1.42– 2.12)</td><td>2.14⁽1.72– 2.93)</td><td>1,050</td></lod<>	1.22 [´] (1.02– 1.39)	1.75 [´] (1.42– 2.12)	2.14 ⁽ 1.72– 2.93)	1,050
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.04[°](.930– 1.15)</td><td>1.50[°](1.37– 1.63)</td><td>1.91[´](1.69– 2.09)</td><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1.04 [°] (.930– 1.15)	1.50 [°] (1.37– 1.63)	1.91 [´] (1.69– 2.09)	1,063
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.940 (<lod- 1.04)</lod- </td><td>,</td><td>1.90 (1.65– 2.12)</td><td>1,226</td></lod<>	0.940 (<lod- 1.04)</lod- 	,	1.90 (1.65– 2.12)	1,226
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.24 (1.03– 1.49)</td><td>1.71 (1.34– 2.04)</td><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.24 (1.03– 1.49)</td><td>1.71 (1.34– 2.04)</td><td>825</td></lod<>	1.24 (1.03– 1.49)	1.71 (1.34– 2.04)	825

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2014

Table 6-17. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric)	Selected perce	entiles (95%)	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.33 (1.18– 1.54)</td><td>2.22 (1.82– 2.57)</td><td>2.86 (2.40– 3.53)</td><td>2,567</td></lod<>	1.33 (1.18– 1.54)	2.22 (1.82– 2.57)	2.86 (2.40– 3.53)	2,567
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.28[°](1.14– 1.52)</td><td>2.37[°](1.94– 2.78)</td><td>,</td><td>2,588</td></lod<>	1.28 [°] (1.14– 1.52)	2.37 [°] (1.94– 2.78)	,	2,588
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.31[°](1.23– 1.42)</td><td>2.24 (2.00– 2.56)</td><td>3.19[°](2.78– 3.58)</td><td>2,576</td></lod<>	1.31 [°] (1.23– 1.42)	2.24 (2.00– 2.56)	3.19 [°] (2.78– 3.58)	2,576
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.36 (1.25– 1.45)</td><td>2.16 (2.00– 2.29)</td><td>2.91[°](2.67– 3.15)</td><td>2,870</td></lod<>	1.36 (1.25– 1.45)	2.16 (2.00– 2.29)	2.91 [°] (2.67– 3.15)	2,870
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.21 (2.03– 2.42)</td><td>2.86 (2.63– 3.32)</td><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.21 (2.03– 2.42)</td><td>2.86 (2.63– 3.32)</td><td>2,516</td></lod<>	2.21 (2.03– 2.42)	2.86 (2.63– 3.32)	2,516
Age group							
6-11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.63 (<lod– 1.81)</lod– </td><td>2.31 (1.88– 2.50)</td><td>2.52 (2.31– 3.07)</td><td>292</td></lod<>	1.63 (<lod– 1.81)</lod– 	2.31 (1.88– 2.50)	2.52 (2.31– 3.07)	292
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>,</td><td>2.37[°](1.65– 3.20)</td><td>,</td><td>354</td></lod<>	,	2.37 [°] (1.65– 3.20)	,	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.69[°](<lod– 2.06)</lod– </td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>390</td></lod<>	1.69 [°] (<lod– 2.06)</lod– 	,	,	390

Table 6-17. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

	_	Geometric	: <u> </u>	Selected perce	entiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.37 (2.07– 2.78)</td><td>3.16 (2.55– 3.76)</td><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.37 (2.07– 2.78)</td><td>3.16 (2.55– 3.76)</td><td>379</td></lod<>	2.37 (2.07– 2.78)	3.16 (2.55– 3.76)	379
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.55 (2.17– 3.15)</td><td>3.32 (2.52– 4.50)</td><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.55 (2.17– 3.15)</td><td>3.32 (2.52– 4.50)</td><td>401</td></lod<>	2.55 (2.17– 3.15)	3.32 (2.52– 4.50)	401
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.10 (0.853– 1.23)</td><td>1.53 (1.30– 1.85)</td><td>2.07 (1.71– 2.22)</td><td>728</td></lod<>	1.10 (0.853– 1.23)	1.53 (1.30– 1.85)	2.07 (1.71– 2.22)	728
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.05[°] (0.930– 1.14)</td><td>1.56 (1.49– 1.73)</td><td>1.97[°](1.78– 2.13)</td><td>703</td></lod<>	1.05 [°] (0.930– 1.14)	1.56 (1.49– 1.73)	1.97 [°] (1.78– 2.13)	703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.970 (.880– 1.07)</td><td>1.45 (1.19– 1.73)</td><td>1.97 (1.49– 2.34)</td><td>366</td></lod<>	0.970 (.880– 1.07)	1.45 (1.19– 1.73)	1.97 (1.49– 2.34)	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.21 (1.02– 1.45)</td><td>1.98 (1.60– 2.56)</td><td>2.56 (1.94– 4.57)</td><td>456</td></lod<>	1.21 (1.02– 1.45)	1.98 (1.60– 2.56)	2.56 (1.94– 4.57)	456
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.07 (<lod– 1.24)</lod– </td><td>1.75 (1.30– 2.42)</td><td>2.52 (1.62– 7.00)</td><td>392</td></lod<>	1.07 (<lod– 1.24)</lod– 	1.75 (1.30– 2.42)	2.52 (1.62– 7.00)	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.36 (1.18– 1.58)</td><td>2.28 (1.82– 2.79)</td><td>3.00 (2.43– 3.53)</td><td>1,547</td></lod<>	1.36 (1.18– 1.58)	2.28 (1.82– 2.79)	3.00 (2.43– 3.53)	1,547
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.31[°](1.13– 1.58)</td><td>2.46 (2.00– 2.91)</td><td>3.20[°](2.78– 3.81)</td><td>1,531</td></lod<>	1.31 [°] (1.13– 1.58)	2.46 (2.00– 2.91)	3.20 [°] (2.78– 3.81)	1,531
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.32 (1.23– 1.45)</td><td>2.35 (2.02– 2.67)</td><td>3.38 (2.78– 3.83)</td><td>1,820</td></lod<>	1.32 (1.23– 1.45)	2.35 (2.02– 2.67)	3.38 (2.78– 3.83)	1,820
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.36 (1.25– 1.45)</td><td>2.18 (2.00– 2.29)</td><td>2.91 (2.78– 3.20)</td><td>2,035</td></lod<>	1.36 (1.25– 1.45)	2.18 (2.00– 2.29)	2.91 (2.78– 3.20)	2,035
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.25 (2.00– 2.42)</td><td>2.74 (2.52– 3.32)</td><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.25 (2.00– 2.42)</td><td>2.74 (2.52– 3.32)</td><td>1,723</td></lod<>	2.25 (2.00– 2.42)	2.74 (2.52– 3.32)	1,723

	-	Geometric	5	Selected perce	ntiles (95% C	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.20 (1.05– 1.36)</td><td>1.88 (1.53– 2.34)</td><td>2.50 (2.07– 3.45)</td><td>1,283</td></lod<>	1.20 (1.05– 1.36)	1.88 (1.53– 2.34)	2.50 (2.07– 3.45)	1,283
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.11[°] (.950– 1.28)</td><td>1.78 (1.47– 2.29)</td><td>2.46 (1.88– 3.32)</td><td>1,276</td></lod<>	1.11 [°] (.950– 1.28)	1.78 (1.47– 2.29)	2.46 (1.88– 3.32)	1,276
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.18 (1.07– 1.26)</td><td>2.06 (1.85– 2.34)</td><td>2.71 (2.46–3.37)</td><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1.18 (1.07– 1.26)	2.06 (1.85– 2.34)	2.71 (2.46–3.37)	1,289
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.16[°](1.07– 1.25)</td><td>1.79[´](1.64– 1.99)</td><td>2.29[°](2.08– 2.78)</td><td>1,402</td></lod<>	1.16 [°] (1.07– 1.25)	1.79 [´] (1.64– 1.99)	2.29 [°] (2.08– 2.78)	1,402
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.13 (<lod– 1.24)</lod– </td><td>1.72 (1.58– 1.85)</td><td>2.31 (1.92– 2.67)</td><td>1,263</td></lod<>	1.13 (<lod– 1.24)</lod– 	1.72 (1.58– 1.85)	2.31 (1.92– 2.67)	1,263
Females	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.50 (<lod– 1.77)</lod– </td><td>2.40 (1.96– 2.86)</td><td>3.00 (2.61– 3.53)</td><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1.50 (<lod– 1.77)</lod– 	2.40 (1.96– 2.86)	3.00 (2.61– 3.53)	1,284
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.58 (1.36– 1.76)</td><td>2.78 (2.29– 3.20)</td><td>3.56 (3.05– 4.35)</td><td>1,312</td></lod<>	1.58 (1.36– 1.76)	2.78 (2.29– 3.20)	3.56 (3.05– 4.35)	1,312
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.45 (<lod– 1.60)</lod– </td><td>2.41 (1.94– 2.91)</td><td>3.56 (2.78– 4.27)</td><td>1,287</td></lod<>	1.45 (<lod– 1.60)</lod– 	2.41 (1.94– 2.91)	3.56 (2.78– 4.27)	1,287
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.56 (<lod– 1.73)</lod– </td><td>2.46 (2.29– 2.62)</td><td>3.37 (2.91– 3.56)</td><td>1,468</td></lod<>	1.56 (<lod– 1.73)</lod– 	2.46 (2.29– 2.62)	3.37 (2.91– 3.56)	1,468
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2.52 (2.27– 2.74)</td><td>3.32 (2.74– 3.94)</td><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2.52 (2.27– 2.74)</td><td>3.32 (2.74– 3.94)</td><td>1,253</td></lod<>	2.52 (2.27– 2.74)	3.32 (2.74– 3.94)	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican Americans	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.46 (1.11– 1.93)</td><td>2.30 (1.84– 3.00)</td><td>3.16 (2.40– 3.85)</td><td>621</td></lod<>	1.46 (1.11– 1.93)	2.30 (1.84– 3.00)	3.16 (2.40– 3.85)	621
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.19 (1.08– 1.35)</td><td>1.92 (1.60– 2.13)</td><td>2.53 (2.06– 2.91)</td><td>651</td></lod<>	1.19 (1.08– 1.35)	1.92 (1.60– 2.13)	2.53 (2.06– 2.91)	651
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.28[°](1.10– 1.60)</td><td>2.27[´](1.64– 2.71)</td><td>3.05[°](2.35– 3.76)</td><td>513</td></lod<>	1.28 [°] (1.10– 1.60)	2.27 [´] (1.64– 2.71)	3.05 [°] (2.35– 3.76)	513
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.31 (<lod– 1.49)</lod– </td><td>2.00 (1.73– 2.56)</td><td>3.05[°](2.29– 3.56)</td><td>618</td></lod<>	1.31 (<lod– 1.49)</lod– 	2.00 (1.73– 2.56)	3.05 [°] (2.29– 3.56)	618
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.95 (1.54– 2.25)</td><td>2.63 (1.85– 3.32)</td><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.95 (1.54– 2.25)</td><td>2.63 (1.85– 3.32)</td><td>317</td></lod<>	1.95 (1.54– 2.25)	2.63 (1.85– 3.32)	317
Non-Hispanic blacks	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.816 (<lod- 0.985)</lod- </td><td>1.37 (1.14– 1.61)</td><td>1.88 (1.46– 2.17)</td><td>725</td></lod<>	0.816 (<lod- 0.985)</lod- 	1.37 (1.14– 1.61)	1.88 (1.46– 2.17)	725
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.790 (0.720– 0.880)</td><td></td><td></td><td>695</td></lod<>	0.790 (0.720– 0.880)			695
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.920⁽0.840– 1.06)</td><td></td><td>2.09[´](1.73– 2.56)</td><td>586</td></lod<>	0.920 ⁽ 0.840– 1.06)		2.09 [´] (1.73– 2.56)	586
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>0.970 (<lod– 1.08)</lod– </td><td></td><td>2.29[°](1.83– 2.91)</td><td>545</td></lod<>	0.970 (<lod– 1.08)</lod– 		2.29 [°] (1.83– 2.91)	545
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.38 (1.21– 1.62)</td><td>1.97 (1.75– 2.33)</td><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.38 (1.21– 1.62)</td><td>1.97 (1.75– 2.33)</td><td>672</td></lod<>	1.38 (1.21– 1.62)	1.97 (1.75– 2.33)	672

Table 6-17. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-17. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Monomethylarsonic Acid (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected perce	CI)		
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic whites	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.33 (1.15– 1.62)</td><td>2.28 (1.73– 2.86)</td><td>2.86 (2.35– 3.75)</td><td>1,077</td></lod<>	1.33 (1.15– 1.62)	2.28 (1.73– 2.86)	2.86 (2.35– 3.75)	1,077
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.35[°](1.16– 1.64)</td><td>2.50[°](2.00– 3.05)</td><td>3.20[°](2.78– 3.81)</td><td>1,050</td></lod<>	1.35 [°] (1.16– 1.64)	2.50 [°] (2.00– 3.05)	3.20 [°] (2.78– 3.81)	1,050
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.35[°](1.23– 1.52)</td><td>2.37[´](2.04– 2.78)</td><td>3.37^{(2.78–} 4.00)</td><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1.35 [°] (1.23– 1.52)	2.37 [´] (2.04– 2.78)	3.37 ^{(2.78–} 4.00)	1,063
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td>1.36[°] (<lod– 1.52)</lod– </td><td>2.21⁽1.99– 2.37)</td><td>2.91[°](2.67– 3.20)</td><td>1,226</td></lod<>	1.36 [°] (<lod– 1.52)</lod– 	2.21 ⁽ 1.99– 2.37)	2.91 [°] (2.67– 3.20)	1,226
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td>>LOD</td><td>2.33 (2.03– 2.52)</td><td>2.86 (2.52– 3.50)</td><td>824</td></lod<>	>LOD	2.33 (2.03– 2.52)	2.86 (2.52– 3.50)	824

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2014

Table 6-18. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Trimethylarsine Oxide (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected perc	entiles (959	% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003-2004	/	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<>	2,568
lotai	2005-2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<>	2,588
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<>	2,576
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,871</td></lod<>	2,871
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,517</td></lod<>	2,517
Age group							
6-11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<>	292
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<>	354
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<>	390
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>380</td></lod<>	380
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.300</td><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>0.300</td><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>0.300</td><td>401</td></lod<>	0.300	401
						(<lod-< td=""><td></td></lod-<>	
						0.960	
12–19 years	2003–2004		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<>	728
	2005-2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<>	703
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<>	366
	2009-2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<>	456
	2011–2012	^	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<>	392

		Geometric		Selected perc	entiles (95º	% CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
≥20 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<>	1,548
	2005–2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<>	1,531
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<>	1,820
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<>	2,035
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,724</td></lod<>	1,724
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1,284
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<>	1,276
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1,289
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<>	1,403
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,264</td></lod<>	1,264
Females	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1,284
	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<>	1,312
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<>	1,287
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<>	1,468
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<>	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<>	621
Americans	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<>	651
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<>	513
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<>	618
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<>	317
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<>	725
blacks	2005–2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<>	695
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<>	586
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>546</td></lod<>	546
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<>	672
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<>	1,078
whites	2005–2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<>	1,050
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1,063
	2009–2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<>	1,226
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>825</td></lod<>	825

Table 6-18. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Trimethylarsine Oxide (in µg As/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2014

		Geometric	S	selected perce	ntiles (95%	CI)	
	Survey years	mean (95% CI)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Total	2003-2004	,	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,568</td></lod<>	2,568
	2005–2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,588</td></lod<>	2,588
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,576</td></lod<>	2,576
	2009–2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,870</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,870</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,870</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,870</td></lod<>	2,870
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,516</td></lod<>	2,516
Age group							,
6–11 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>292</td></lod<>	292
,	2005-2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>354</td></lod<>	354
	2007-2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>390</td></lod<>	390
	2009–2010		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>379</td></lod<>	379
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.06 (<lod- 1.39</lod- </td><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1.06 (<lod- 1.39</lod- </td><td>401</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1.06 (<lod- 1.39</lod- </td><td>401</td></lod<>	1.06 (<lod- 1.39</lod- 	401
12–19 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>728</td></lod<>	728
,	2005–2006		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>703</td></lod<>	703
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>366</td></lod<>	366
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>456</td></lod<>	456
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>392</td></lod<>	392
≥20 years	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,548</td></lod<>	1,548
,	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,531</td></lod<>	1,531
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,820</td></lod<>	1,820
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>2,035</td></lod<>	2,035
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,723</td></lod<>	1,723
Gender							
Males	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1,284
	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,276</td></lod<>	1,276
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,289</td></lod<>	1,289
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,403</td></lod<>	1,403
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,263</td></lod<>	1,263
Females	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,284</td></lod<>	1,284
	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,312</td></lod<>	1,312
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,287</td></lod<>	1,287
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,468</td></lod<>	1,468
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,253</td></lod<>	1,253
Race/ethnicity							
Mexican	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>621</td></lod<>	621
Americans	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>651</td></lod<>	651
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>513</td></lod<>	513
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>618</td></lod<>	618
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>317</td></lod<>	317
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>725</td></lod<>	725
blacks	2005–2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>695</td></lod<>	695
	2007–2008		<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>586</td></lod<>	586
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>545</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>545</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>545</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>545</td></lod<>	545
	2011–2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>672</td></lod<>	672

Table 6-19. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Trimethylarsine Oxide (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

Table 6-19. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Urinary Trimethylarsine Oxide (Creatinine Corrected) (in µg As/g of Creatinine) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)

		Geometric		Selected percer	5% CI)		
	Survey years	mean (95% Cl)	50 th	75 th	90 th	95 th	Sample size
Non-Hispanic	2003–2004	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,078</td></lod<>	1,078
whites	2005-2006	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,050</td></lod<>	1,050
	2007–2008	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,063</td></lod<>	1,063
	2009–2010	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>1,226</td></lod<>	1,226
	2011-2012	*	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td><lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<></td></lod<>	<lod< td=""><td>824</td></lod<>	824

<LOD means less than the limit of detection, which may vary for some chemicals by year and by individual sample. *Not calculated: proportion of results below limit of detection was too high to provide a valid result.

CI = confidence interval

Source: CDC 2014

ARSENIC

MMA and DMA are excreted methylated metabolites commonly assessed to aid in evaluation of exposure (WHO 2011a). Concentrations of MMA throughout all NHANES survey years reported in the updated Fourth National report were below the detection limits of the methods ($0.9 \mu g/L$) (CDC 2014). The two highest geometric means (creatinine corrected) of DMA reported during 2009–2010 of 4.77 and 4.28 $\mu g/g$ resulted from 379 samples from 6–11 year olds and 1,468 samples from female participants, respectively. Throughout all survey years, females had a higher geometric mean of DMA (creatinine corrected) than males. The age group 6–11 years had the highest mean of DMA (creatinine corrected) each period compared to 12–19- and 20-year-old groups. Mean values ranged from 4.34 to 5.07 $\mu g/g$ for the 6–11-year-old age group (CDC 2014).

The NHANES data from 2003 to 2006 were analyzed to evaluate the correlation between rice consumption and concentrations of total urinary arsenic and urinary DMA in 3,027 and 2,653 U.S. adult participants, respectively, 20–85 years of age (Wei et al. 2014). The study took into consideration factors such as demographic variables, fish consumption, and drinking water. The study found a distinct relationship of increased total urinary arsenic and urinary DMA concentrations (both creatinine corrected) of participants who consumed rice more than twice per week compared to a reference group. Participants who consumed rice and grain less than twice per week had mean levels of 2.21 (total arsenic) $\mu g/g$ creatinine and 1.32 (DMA) $\mu g/g$ creatinine. Participants who consumed rice and grain at least twice per week had mean total arsenic levels of 2.42 $\mu g/g$ creatinine and 1.58 (DMA) $\mu g/g$ creatinine.

A Washington State Environmental Biomonitoring Survey was conducted with 172 participants and tap water samples from 82 households in South Whidbey from July to September 2011. Results indicated that the average urine levels (28.4 μ g/g creatinine corrected) for total arsenic of the participants were higher than statewide and national levels. Urine arsenic levels were above the CDC's reporting level (50 μ g/L) among 28% of the participants and 54% of the water samples were above the EPA's drinking water standard (MCL=10 μ g/L) (WA DOH 2015).

In an ongoing study by the Maternal and Infant Environmental Exposure Project measures chemical exposures in pregnant women at the San Francisco General Hospital, a geometric mean of $7.71 \,\mu g/L$ arsenic was reported for 89 urine samples from pregnant women collected from 2010 to 2011 (LOD=0.158 $\mu g/L$) (OEHHA 2015).

In 2009, 229 pregnant women were evaluated for urinary arsenic excretion and recent rice consumption in an area of the United States with known elevated levels of arsenic in well waters (Gilbert-Diamond et al.

ARSENIC

2011). A multiple regression model accounting for age, urinary creatinine, rice consumption, and water exposure was employed. Of the 229 pregnant women, 73 consumed rice and 156 did not consume rice. A range of total urinary arsenic levels from 2.86 to $8.72 \ \mu g/L$ (median=5.27 $\mu g/L$) was detected for the rice-consuming women, while 1.64–5.39 $\mu g/L$ (median=3.38 $\mu g/L$) was detected for women who did not eat rice. The women who ate rice had a range of inorganic arsenic between 0.13 and 0.51 $\mu g/L$ (median=0.28 $\mu g/L$), while the non-rice eaters had a range of inorganic arsenic between 0.13 and 0.36 $\mu g/L$ (median=0.21 $\mu g/L$).

Occupational exposure to arsenic in the semiconductor manufacturing industry was reviewed (Park et al. 2010). A statistical analysis of air and wipe samples was used to classify fabrication workers with respect to observed arsenic levels in their surroundings. The study review concluded that people involved with maintenance work have higher potential for exposure than people in charge of routine production work. Maintenance processes result in arsenic-containing compounds being deposited on surrounding surfaces. These particles may become airborne or may accumulate on surfaces increasing the potential for exposure. Afridi et al. (2011) collected whole blood, urine, and hair samples from 42 steel mill production workers and 33 steel mill quality control workers aged 25-55 years who were affected by paralysis, and 62 non-paralyzed steel mill workers. A control group of 75 non-paralyzed male subjects was also included. Mean arsenic concentrations in hair samples from the control group, non-paralyzed steel mill workers, paralyzed quality control workers, and paralyzed production workers were 1.06 ± 0.09 , 1.67 ± 0.17 , 2.89 ± 0.3 , and $3.99\pm0.5 \mu g/g$, respectively. Mean arsenic concentrations in whole blood samples from the control group, non-paralyzed steel mill workers, paralyzed quality control workers, and paralyzed production workers were 1.7 ± 0.4 , 2.56 ± 0.3 , 4.07 ± 0.38 , and $5.48\pm0.39 \mu g/L$, respectively. Mean arsenic concentrations in urine samples from the control group, non-paralyzed steel mill workers, paralyzed quality control workers, and paralyzed production workers were 4.4 ± 1.5 , 5.3 ± 0.84 , 8.7 ± 01.7 , and $11.5\pm1.3 \,\mu$ g/L, respectively.

6.6 EXPOSURES OF CHILDREN

The NHANES data from 2003 to 2008 were analyzed to evaluate the correlation between rice consumption and dietary arsenic exposure in 2,323 participants under 18 years of age (Davis et al. 2012). The study took into consideration factors such as fish consumption and metabolic rates according to age. Results indicated that total urinary arsenic and urinary DMA concentrations were higher among the participants who had reported consumption of ≥ 0.25 cups of rice within 24 hours of the sampling time. The total urinary arsenic concentration of children who had consumed rice was 8.9 µg/L, while the

concentration was 5.5 μ g/L among children who had not (Davis et al. 2012). The evaluation suggests that rice consumption has the potential to increase exposure to arsenic for U.S. children.

Munera-Picazo et al. (2014b) investigated Spanish gluten-free food products intended for young children and found levels of arsenic as high as 256 μ g/kg. Rice-based pasta samples had the highest concentration of inorganic arsenic. Control samples for each food group had levels reported as not detected or below the limit of quantification for the method (6 μ g/kg). Foods with a higher percentage of rice content typically contained higher levels of total arsenic and inorganic arsenic. A daily intake of inorganic arsenic for children \leq 5 years old was found to range between 0.61 and 0.78 μ g/kg body weight.

Wasserman et al. (2014) investigated arsenic exposure and intelligence in 272 children residing in Maine with an average age of 9.67 years. In 248 of the children, a notable correlation of nail arsenic concentrations and arsenic concentrations in household tap water was found. However, it was added that nail concentrations may be less accurate for children due to rapid growth of other systems. Measured household kitchen tap water had an average value of 9.88 μ g/L, almost a third of samples exceeded the EPA MCL (10 μ g/L). The studied concluded that children residing in homes with arsenic water concentration \geq 5 μ g/L demonstrated reduced Full Scale IQ scores compared to households with concentrations <5 μ g/L.

A maximum amount of 4 μ g arsenic was detected in hand washing samples for 66 children from eight playgrounds. Children's hands were rinsed immediately after playing on playground equipment constructed with CCA-treated wood in Edmonton, Alberta (Hamula et al. 2006).

Exposure to arsenic via contaminated soils has been investigated. Pearce et al. (2010) analyzed the concentration of arsenic in children's toenail clippings and household soils from areas with a history of gold mining activity in Victoria, Australia. The arsenic concentrations in children's toenails ranged from 0.15 to 2.1 μ g/g; the geometric mean was 0.49 μ g/g. The arsenic concentrations in soil ranged from 3.3 to 130 μ g/g; the geometric mean was 11.5 μ g/g. The distribution of arsenic in the nail clippings suggested periodic exposure patterns and a positive correlation between the nail concentrations, and soil concentrations indicated that contaminated soils can contribute to arsenic uptake by children.

Tsuji et al. (2005) analyzed total arsenic and arsenic species in urine from 77 children <7 years old, in urine from 362 subjects >7 years old, and in toenails from 67 subjects >7 years old living in Middleport, New York. The overall range of total arsenic detected in urine was $2.1-773 \mu g/L$. The arsenic

concentrations in toenail samples were <1 mg/kg. Household soil and dust arsenic levels were also measured; the arsenic concentration levels averaged 18.8 and 10.6 mg/kg, respectively.

Children may be exposed to arsenic via consumption of apple juices. Exposure of children to arsenic from apple juice consumption was investigated (FDA 2013a). Based on data from NHANES, children aged 0–6 years consume an estimated 4.1 g/kg/day of apple juice. Monitoring data from the Toxic Elements Program (TEP) and an apple juice survey (AJS) were evaluated. Total arsenic concentrations are reported noting that levels of organic arsenic species were below the level of quantification, suggesting that inorganic arsenic accounts for the arsenic present in the samples. For TEP survey years 2008–2011, there were 153 samples ranging in concentrations ranging from not detected up to 45 ppb (0.045 μ g/g) with an average concentration of 4.7 ppb (0.0047 μ g/g). The average total arsenic concentrations reported for 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 were 8.8, 7.8, 6.6, and 2.7 ppb, respectively (0.0088, 0.0078, 0.0066, and 0.0027 μ g/g). For AJS survey year 2011, there were 94 samples ranging in concentrations from not detected up to 36 ppb (0.036 μ g/g), with an average concentration of 4.4 ppb (0.0044 μ g/g).

6.7 POPULATIONS WITH POTENTIALLY HIGH EXPOSURES

An ongoing study by the Firefighter Occupational Exposures Project measures chemical exposures in firefighters in Southern California. A geometric mean of $10.8 \,\mu g/L$ arsenic was reported for 101 urine samples collected from 2010 to 2011; the detection frequency was 100% (LOD=0.158 $\mu g/L$) (OEHHA 2015).

Medicinal use of arsenite results in direct exposure of arsenic for patients who are administered this type of therapy. A study by Nicolis et al. (2009) analyzed the hair of two patients receiving arsenic trioxide treatments. High arsenic levels in the patient's hair directly corresponded to treatment and decreased accordingly when treatment ceased.

Children and adults with celiac disease who consume a gluten-free diet tend to eat more rice-based foods; additionally, people who reside with children or adults with celiac disease may consume a similar diet. Food manufactured for people with celiac disease often contains rice and elevated levels of inorganic arsenic (Munera-Picazo et al. 2014a, 2014b).

Urine samples from 322 residents of an area in France with naturally high arsenic levels in soil were analyzed for arsenic (Fillol et al. 2010). The residents included adults and children >7 years of age.

Urinary arsenic concentrations ranged from below the limit of quantification to $28.2 \ \mu g/g$ creatinine and a geometric mean arsenic concentration of $4.4 \ \mu g/g$ creatinine. Two urine samples contained arsenate, half of the samples contained arsenite, MMA was in 19% of the samples, and DMA was the only arsenic species detected in all samples containing arsenic.

6.8 ADEQUACY OF THE DATA BASE

6.8.1 Identification of Data Needs

Children are exposed to arsenic by the same exposure routes as adults (e.g., ingestion of food and water) as well as possible exposure *in utero*. Data from the NHANES survey discussed in Section 6.5 indicated that higher urinary levels of DMA, a metabolite of arsenic exposure, were typically observed in children 6–11 years old as compared to adults. Continued monitoring of levels in children is needed.

Continued species-specific monitoring of rice, soil, and water sources would add value to exposure assessments.

6.8.2 Ongoing Studies

Biomonitoring programs in United States include the Minnesota Biomonitoring Program (http://www.health.state.mn.us/biomonitoring), Rocky Mountain Biomonitoring Consortium (https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/cdphe/rocky-mountain-biomonitoring-consortium), California Environmental Contaminant Biomonitoring Program (http://www.biomonitoring.ca.gov/), and Washington Environmental Biomonitoring Survey (http://www.doh.wa.gov/DataandStatisticalReports/ EnvironmentalHealth/Biomonitoring).

7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

7.1 BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES

Inorganic arsenic and organic arsenic exhibit distinctly different toxic health effects. Speciation of arsenic in tissues and body fluids improves the evaluation of arsenic exposure. Seven arsenic compounds are able to be determined in urine using one method (Verdon et al. 2009). HPLC-ICP-MS with dynamic reaction cell (DRC) has been used to separate and quantify arsenobetaine, arsenocholine, TMAO, As(V), As(III), monomethylarsonate, and dimethylarsinate. Interconversion of arsenic species is minimized by freezing samples until analysis, followed by treatment with a slightly acidified buffer solution. Samples

are prepared with 0.1 M ammonium acetate (pH 5) and centrifuged at 4°C. The clarified supernatant is placed in a capped auto-sampler vial for analysis. Post column addition of arsenic internal standard improves the distinction of monoisotopic elements. The DCR mode minimizes interferences from the carrier gas. Detection limits for the selected species are As(V)=1.0, As(III)=1.2, DMA=1.7, MMA=0.9, arsenobetaine=0.4, arsenocholine=0.6, and TMAO=1.0, reported in $\mu g As/L$.

Development of the hydride-generation cryotrapping-AAS (HG-CT-AAS) technique for the analysis of major arsenic human metabolites, including dimethylmonothioarsinic acid, in biological samples was made to improve performance and lower detection limits (see Table 7-1; Hernandez-Zavala et al. 2008). Sample preparation involves generation of arsines from As(III) using a buffered mixture of tris-HCl and sodium borohydride, along with generation of arsines from both As(III) and As(V) by reduction using L-cysteine. The detection limits range from 9 to 20 pg arsenic for trivalent species and from 8 to 20 pg arsenic for pentavalent species.

 Table 7-1. Analytical Methods for Determining Arsenic in Biological Samples

Sample matrix	Preparation method	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Human, rat, mouse tissues	Reduction to arsines; cryotrapping	Atomic absorption spectrometry	8–20 pg arsenic [16–40 pg/mL (0.5-mL sample)]		Hernandez- Zavala et al. 2008

Analysis of hair is used in arsenic biomonitoring studies. Synchrotron radiation-based X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectroscopy is used to quantify arsenic exposure in relation to time (Nicolis et al. 2009). In addition, micro-XRF cartography and micro- fluorescence-X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) spectra was used to show the location and species of arsenic in hair samples. Exogenous absorption from external arsenic exposure would complicate hair biomonitoring results.

7.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

Dietary exposure to inorganic arsenic is of greater concern compared with exposure to organic arsenic compounds. Analytical methods for the speciation of arsenic in foods evaluate specific arsenic compounds rather than total arsenic (see Table 7-2).

Sample matrix	Preparation method	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Water	Reduction to arsine in acid solution; reaction with SDDC	EPA Method 206.4; SDDC colorimetric spectrophotometry at 535 nm	10 µg/L	100	EPA 1983
Water, biota, sediment, and soil digestates	SPE	HPLC-ICP-MS USGS method I-2020-05	0.06 µg/L	NR	USGS 2006
Wheat and rice	e Seal between polyimide films	Fluorescence- XANES	NR	NR	Kopittke et al. 2014
Rice	Extraction with 2% v/v nitric acid	HPLC-ICP-MS	0.003 µg/g	94(±8)– 11(±19)%	Maher et al. 2013
Rice	SPE	HG-AAS	0.02 mg/kg (0.02 μg/g)	101–106	Rasmussen et al. 2013

Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Arsenic

HG-AAS = hydride-generation atomic absorption; spectrometry; HPLC-ICP-MS = high-performance liquid chromatography = inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; NR = not reported; SDDC = silver diethyldithiocarbamate; SPE = solid phase extractions; USGS = U.S. Geological Survey; XANES = X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy

The USGS National Water Quality laboratories have updated analytical methods for the determination of elements in environmental media, including arsenic (USGS 2006). A new ICP-MS method using collision/reaction cell technology was developed to improve accuracy in the analysis of aqueous matrices. The method is valid for both speciated and unspeciated arsenic evaluation.

Nitric acid extraction is a common technique employed for the successful separation of inorganic arsenic in rice samples (Baba et al. 2014; Maher et al. 2013; Rasmussen et al. 2013). Baba et al. (2014) and Maher et al. (2013) have validated the measurement and speciation of arsenic in rice using HPLC-ICP-MS. Baba et al. (2014) optimized a rapid speciation analysis of arsenic in rice using HPLC-ICP-MS. Arsenous acid, arsenic acid, methylarsonic acid, and dimethylarsinic acid were determined with the use of silica-based pentafluorophenyl (PFP) HPLC columns with an isocratic mobile phase of formic acid and methanol in 5 minutes. Arsenic species are extracted from finely ground rice samples using 0.15 M nitric acid. The LOD is reported as 0.002 mg arsenic/kg (0.002 μ g/g).

Chen and Chen (2014) reported a LOD of 1.3 ng/g using solid phase extraction (SPE). Arsenic is extracted from rice samples via microwave assisted digestion with nitric acid-hydrogen peroxide. As(III) is oxidized to As(V) during digestion. Silica-based anion exchange cartridges separate As(V) form

organic arsenic compounds and quantification is achieved with hydride-generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry (HG-AFS).

XANES has been employed for arsenic speciation in rice. Kopittke et al. (2014) developed a method to illustrate the accumulation and transformation of arsenic within root tissues. Roots are sealed between two 8-µm thick polyimide films and analyzed continuously using X-ray fluorescence microscopy.

Visible and near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (VNIRS) has been used for rapid monitoring of arsenic contamination in soils. Using the reflectance spectra of rice plants, arsenic concentrations in soils can be calculated using regression analysis methods (Shi et al. 2014). The method and techniques require optimization and validation.

8. REGULATIONS AND ADVISORIES

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
INTERNATIONAL	_		
Guidelines:			
IARC	Carcinogenicity classification Arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds	Group 1ª	IARC 2014
WHO	Air quality guidelines Arsenic	1.5x10 ⁻³ unit risk ^b	WHO 2000 🗆
	Drinking water quality guidelines Arsenic	0.01 mg/L ^c	WHO 2011b
<u>NATIONAL</u> Regulations and Guidelines:			
a. Air			
ACGIH	TLV-TWA Arsenic and inorganic compounds	0.01 mg/m³	ACGIH 2014
AIHA	ERPGs	No data	AIHA 2014 🗆
NIOSH	REL (15-minute ceiling limit) Arsenic (inorganic compounds, as As) ^d	0.002 mg/m ³	NIOSH 2010

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
NATIONAL (d	cont.)		
DOE	PACs ^e		DOE 2012
-	Arsenic		
	PAC-1	0.03 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	0.58 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	100 mg/m ³	
	Arsenic acid	<u>.</u>	
	PAC-1	0.057 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	0.54 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	190 mg/m ³	
	Arsenic pentoxide	3	
	PAC-1	0.73 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	8 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	150 mg/m ³	
	Arsenic trioxide	<u>.</u>	
	PAC-1	0.27 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	3.0 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	9.1 mg/m ³	
	Calcium arsenate	C	
	PAC-1	0.91 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	10 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	270 mg/m ³	
	Sodium arsenite	C	
	PAC-1	0.91 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	10 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	170 mg/m ³	
	Dimethylarsinic acid	-	
	PAC-1	0.5 mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	0.5 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	130 mg/m ³	
	Sodium dimethylarsinate	0	
	PAC-1	1.1mg/m ³	
	PAC-2	4 mg/m ³	
	PAC-3	510 mg/m ³	

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
NATIONAL (co	ont.)		
EPA	AEGLs		EPA 2014d
	Arsenic pentoxide	Holding status ^f	
	Arsenic trioxide		
	AEGL 1	Not recommended ^g	
	AEGL 2	0 7 and 7	
	10- and 30-minute	3.7 mg/m ³	
	60-minute 4-hour	3.0 mg/m ³ 1.9 mg/m ³	
	8-hour	1.2 mg/m ³	
	AEGL 3	1.2 mg/m	
	10- and 30-minute	11 mg/m ³	
	60-minute	9.1 mg/m ³	
	4-hour	5.7 mg/m ³	
	8-hour	3.7 mg/m ³	
	Hazardous Air Pollutants	Yes	EPA 2014b
	Arsenic and inorganic compounds, including arsine		
OSHA	PEL (8-hour TWA) for general industry		OSHA 2013a
	Arsenic, organic compounds (as As)	0.5 mg/m³	29 CFR 1910.1000,
			Table Z-1
	Inorganic arsenic	10 µg/m³	OSHA 2013b29 CFR
	DEL (0, hours TMA) for construction		1910.1018
	PEL (8-hour TWA) for construction Arsenic, organic compounds (as As)	0.5 mg/m ³	OSHA 2013c 29 CFR 1926.55
	Alsenic, organic compounds (as As)	0.5 mg/m²	Appendix A
	Inorganic arsenic	10 µg/m³	OSHA 2013d
	merganie areenie	10 µ9/11	29 CFR 1926.1118
	PEL (8-hour TWA) for shipyards		OSHA 2013e
	Arsenic, organic compounds (as As)	0.5 mg/m ³	29 CFR 1915.1000 Table Z
	Inorganic arsenic	10 µg/m³	OSHA 2013f
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		29 CFR 1915.1018
b. Water			
EPA	Designated as hazardous substances in accordance with Section 311(b)(2)(A) o		EPA 2013f 40 CFR 116.4
	the Clean Water Act Arsenic pentoxide, arsenic trioxide, calcium arsenate, and sodium arsenite		
	Drinking water standards and health		EPA 2012
	advisories for arsenic		
	DWEL	0.01 mg/L	
	RfD	0.0003 mg/kg/day	
	National primary drinking water regulations for arsenic		EPA 2009
	MCL	0.01 mg/L	
	MCLG	Zero	

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
NATIONAL (cor	nt.)		
EPA	National recommended water quality criteria for inorganic arsenic Water + organism Organism only	0.018 µg/L ^h 0.14 µg/L ^h	EPA 2014c□
	Reportable quantities of hazardous substances designated pursuant to Section 311 of the Clean Water Act Arsenic pentoxide, arsenic trioxide,	1 pound	EPA 2013b
	calcium arsenate, sodium arsenite	1 pound	
c. Food			
FDA	Allowable levels for contaminants in bottled water for arsenic; proposed action level for inorganic arsenic in apple juice	0.010 mg/L	FDA 2013b 21 CFR 165.110
USDA	Nonsynthetic substances prohibited for use in organic crop production	Arsenic	USDA 2013 7 CFR 205.602
d. Other			
ACGIH	Carcinogenicity classification Arsenic and inorganic compounds BEI for inorganic arsenic plus methylated metabolites in urine at the end of the work week	A1 ⁱ 35 µg As/L	ACGIH 2014
EPA	Carcinogenicity classification Inorganic arsenic Oral slope factor Inhalation unit risk RfD Superfund, emergency planning, and	Group A ^j 1.5 per mg/kg/day 4.3x10 ⁻³ μg/m ³ 3x10 ⁻⁴ mg/kg/day	IRIS 2003
	community right-to-know Designated CERCLA hazardous substance and reportable quantity Arsenic, arsenic acid, arsenic pentoxide, arsenic trioxide, dimethyl arsenic acid, calcium arsenate, sodium arsenate, and		EPA 2013c 40 CFR 302.4
	sodium arsenite Final RQ pounds	1	
	Effective date of toxic chemical release reporting		EPA 2013d 40 CFR 372.65
	Arsenic	1/1/87	

Agency	Description	Information	Reference
	Extremely hazardous substances		EPA 2013e
	and its threshold planning quantity		40 CFR 355,
	(pounds)		Appendix A
	Arsenic pentoxide, arsenous oxide	, 100/10,000	
	sodium cacodylate		
	Calcium arsenate, sodium arsenite	500/10,000	
	Sodium arsenate	1,000/10,000	
NATIONAL (a	cont.)		
DHHS	Carcinogenicity classification		NTP 2014
	Arsenic and inorganic arsenic	Known to be human	
	compounds	carcinogens	
^a Group 1: Carc	inogenic to humans.		
	stimates for lifetime exposure to a concentration of	1 µg/m³.	
•	ideline value: as there is evidence of a hazard, but	the available information	on health effects is
limited.			
•	ial occupational carcinogen.		
	licable 60-minute AEGLs, ERPGs, or TEELs.		
'Holding status	AEGLs have been reviewed by the NAC/AEGL Co	mmittee and are under fu	rther review.

^gDue to insufficient data.

^hThis criterion is based on carcinogenicity of 10⁻⁶ risk.

ⁱA1: Confirmed human carcinogen.

^jGroup A: Human carcinogen.

ACGIH = American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists; AEGL = acute exposure guideline levels; AIHA = American Industrial Hygiene Association; BEI = biological exposure indices; CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; CFR = Code of Federal Regulations; DHHS = Department of Health and Human Services; DOE = Department of Energy; DWEL = Drinking water equivalent level; EPA = Environmental Protection Agency; ERPG = emergency response planning guidelines; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; IARC = International Agency for Research on Cancer; IDLH = immediately dangerous to life or health; IRIS = Integrated Risk Information System; MCL = maximum contaminant level; NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standards; NAC = National Advisory Committee; NIOSH = National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; NTP = National Toxicology Program; OSHA = Occupational Safety and Health Administration; PAC = protective action criteria; PEL = permissible exposure limit; RCRA = Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; REL = recommended exposure limit; RfC = inhalation reference concentration; RfD = oral reference dose; RQ = reportable quantity; TEEI = temporary emergency exposure limit; TLV = threshold limit values; TSCA = Toxic Substances Control Act; TWA = time-weighted average; WHO = World Health Organization

9. REFERENCES

ACGIH. 2014. Arsenic [7440-38-2] and inorganic compounds, as As. In: TLVs and BEIs based on the documentation of the threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents and biological exposure indices. Cincinnati, OH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, 12.

Afridi HI, Kazi TG, Kazi AG, et al. 2011. Levels of arsenic, cadmium, lead, manganese and zinc in biological samples of paralysed steel mill workers with related to controls. Biol Trace Elem Res 144(1-3):164-182.

Agency for Toxic Substances Disease Registry. 2007. Toxicological profile for arsenic (Update). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp2-p.pdf. January 23, 2015.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. 2009. Health consultation: Sutherlin Valley groundwater arsenic study. Sutherlin, Douglas County, Oregon. Atlanta, GA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/HAC/pha/SutherlinValley/SutherlinValleyGroundwaterArsenicStudy7-17-09.pdf. January 29, 2015.

Agusa T, Iwata H, Fujihara J, et al. 2009. Genetic polymorphisms in AS3MT and arsenic metabolism in residents of the Red River Delta, Vietnam. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 236(2):131-141.

Agusa T, Kunito T, Tue NM, et al. 2012. Individual variations in arsenic metabolism in Vietnamese: The association with arsenic exposure and GSTP1 genetic polymorphism. Metallomics 4(1):91-100.

Ahmed S, Ahsan KB, Kippler M, et al. 2012. In utero arsenic exposure is associated with impaired thymic function in newborns possibly via oxidative stress and apoptosis. Toxicol Sci 129(2):305-314.

Ahmed S, Moore SE, Kippler M, et al. 2014. Arsenic exposure and cell-mediated immunity in preschool children in rural Bangladesh. Toxicol Sci 141(1):166-175.

Ahsan H, Chen Y, Kibriya MG, et al. 2007. Arsenic metabolism, genetic susceptibility, and risk of premalignant skin lesions in Bangladesh. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 16(6):1270-1278.

AIHA. 2014. Current ERPG Values (2014). Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene Association. https://www.aiha.org/get-

involved/AIHAGuidelineFoundation/EmergencyResponsePlanningGuidelines/Documents/2014%20ERP G%20Values.pdf. September 9, 2014.

Akram Z, Jalali S, Shami SA, et al. 2010. Adverse effects of arsenic exposure on uterine function and structure in female rat. Exp Toxicol Pathol 62(4):451-459.

Ali N, Hoque MA, Haque A, et al. 2010. Association between arsenic exposure and plasma cholinesterase activity: A population based study in Bangladesh. Environ Health 9:36.

Antonelli R, Shao K, Thomas DJ, et al. 2014. AS3MT, GSTO, and PNP polymorphisms: Impact on arsenic methylation and implications for disease susceptibility. Environ Res 132:156-167.

Aposhian HV, Gurzau ES, Le XC, et al. 2000. Occurrence of monomethylarsonous acid in urine of humans exposed to inorganic arsenic. Chem Res Toxicol 13:693-697.

Argos M, Kalra T, Pierce BL, et al. 2011. A prospective study of arsenic exposure from drinking water and incidence of skin lesions in Bangladesh. Am J Epidemiol 1742(2):185-194.

Argos M, Parvez F, Rahman M, et al. 2014. Arsenic and lung disease mortality in Bangladeshi adults. Epidemiology 25(4):536-543.

Arita A, Costa M. 2009. Epigenetics in metal carcinogenesis: Nickel, arsenic, chromium and cadmium. Metallomics 1(3):222-228.

Ayotte JD, Belaval M, Olson SA, et al. 2015. Factors affecting temporal variability of arsenic in groundwater used for drinking water supply in the United States. Sci Total Environ 505:1370-1379.

Baba K, Arao T, Yamaguchi N, et al. 2014. Chromatographic separation of arsenic species with pentafluorophenyl column and application to rice. J Chromatogr A 1354:109-116.

Bailey K, Fry RC. 2014a. Long-term health consequences of prenatal arsenic exposure: Links to the genome and the epigenome. Rev Environ Health 29(1-2):9-12.

Bailey KA, Fry RC. 2014b. Arsenic-associated changes to the epigenome: What are the functional consequences? Curr Environ Health Rep 1:22-34.

Banerjee M, Banerjee N, Bhattacharjee P, et al. 2013. High arsenic in rice is associated with elevated genotoxic effects in humans. Sci Rep 3:2195. http://www.nature.com/srep/2013/130722/srep02195/full/srep02195.html. January 28, 2015.

Barati AH, Maleki A, Alasvand M. 2010. Multi-trace elements level in drinking water and the prevalence of multi-chronic arsenical poisoning in the west area of Iran. Sci Total Environ 408(7):1523-1529.

Barringer JL, Szabo Z, Wilson TP, et al. 2011. Distribution and seasonal dynamics of arsenic in a shallow lake in northwestern New Jersey, USA. Environ Geochem Health 33(1):1-22.

Bartolotta SA, Pacskowski MG, Hick A, et al. 2011. Micronuclei assay in exfoliated buccal cells from individuals exposed to arsenic in Argentina. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 61(2):337-343.

Basta NT, Foster JN, Dayton EA, et al. 2007. The effect of dosing vehicle on arsenic bioaccessibility in smelter-contaminated soils. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 42(9):1275-1281.

Basu A, Som A, Ghoshal S, et al. 2005. Assessment of DNA damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes of individuals susceptible to arsenic induced toxicity in West Bengal, India. Toxicol Lett 159(1):100-112.

Beesley L, Moreno-Jimenez E, Clemente R, et al. 2010. Mobility of arsenic, cadmium and zinc in a multi-element contaminated soil profile assessed by in-situ soil pore water sampling, column leaching and sequential extraction. Environ Pollut 158(1):155-160.

Bhattacharjee P, Banerjee M, Giri AK. 2013a. Role of genomic instability in arsenic-induced carcinogenicity. A review. Environ Int 53:29-40.

Bhattacharjee P, Chatterjee D, Singh KK, et al. 2013b. Systems biology approaches to evaluate arsenic toxicity and carcinogenicity: An overview. Int J Hyg Environ Health 216(5):574-586.

Bradham KD, Scheckel KG, Nelson CM, et al. 2011. Relative bioavailability and bioaccessibility and speciation of arsenic in contaminated soils. Environ Health Perspect 119(11):1629-1634.

Brattin W, Casteel S. 2013. Measurement of arsenic relative bioavailability in swine. J Toxicol Environ Health A 76(7):449-457.

Brattin W, Drexler J, Lowney Y, et al. 2013. An in vitro method for estimation of arsenic relative bioavailability in soil. J Toxicol Environ Health A 76(7):458-478.

Bruce S, Noller B, Matanitobua V, et al. 2007. In vitro physiologically based extraction test (PBET) and bioaccessibility of arsenic and lead from various mine waste materials. J Toxicol Environ Health A 70(19):1700-1711.

Buchet JP, Lauwerys R, Roels H. 1981a. Comparison of the urinary excretion of arsenic metabolites after a single oral dose of sodium arsenite, monomethylarsonate, or dimethylarsinate in man. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 48:71-79.

Buchet JP, Lauwerys R, Roels H. 1981b. Urinary excretion of inorganic arsenic and its metabolites after repeated ingestion of sodium metaarsenite by volunteers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 48:111-118.

Burchiel SW, Mitchell LA, Lauer FT, et al. 2009. Immunotoxicity and biodistribution analysis of arsenic trioxide in C57Bl/6 mice following a 2-week inhalation exposure. (Corrigendum in: Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 246(3):188). Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 241(3):253-259.

Burchiel SW, Mitchell LA, Lauer FT, et al. 2010. Corrigendum to "Immunotoxicity and biodistribution analysis of arsenic trioxide in C57B1/6 mice following a 2-week inhalation exposure" [Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 241 (2009) 253-259]. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 246(3):188.

Bustaffa E, Stoccoro A, Bianchi F, et al. 2014. Genotoxic and epigenetic mechanisms in arsenic carcinogenicity. Arch Toxicol 88(5):1043-1067.

CDC. 2015. Fourth national report on human exposure to environmental chemicals, updated tables (February 2015). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. http://www.cdc.gov/biomonitoring/pdf/FourthReport_UpdatedTables_Feb2015.pdf. March 10, 2015.

Chatterjee A, Chatterji U. 2010. Arsenic abrogates the estrogen-signaling pathway in the rat uterus. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 8:80.

Chen G, Chen T. 2014. SPE speciation of inorganic arsenic in rice followed by hydride-generation atomic fluorescence spectrometric quantification. Talanta 119:202-206.

Chen CL, Chiou HY, Hsu LI, et al. 2010b. Arsenic in drinking water and risk of urinary tract cancer: A follow-up study from northeastern Taiwan. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 19(1):101-110.

Chen CL, Chiou HY, Hsu LI, et al. 2010c. Ingested arsenic, characteristics of well water consumption and risk of different histological types of lung cancer in northeastern Taiwan. Environ Res 110(5):455-462.

Chen JW, Chen HY, Li WF, et al. 2011a. The association between total urinary arsenic concentration and renal dysfunction in a community-based population from central Taiwan. Chemosphere 84(1):17-24.

Chen JW, Wang SL, Wang YH, et al. 2012a. Arsenic methylation, GSTO1 polymorphisms, and metabolic syndrome in an arseniasis endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Chemosphere 88(4):432-438.

Chen SC, Chen CC, Kuo CY, et al. 2012b. Elevated risk of hypertension induced by arsenic exposure in Taiwanese rural residents: Possible effects of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) and 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (OGG1) genes. Arch Toxicol 86(6):869-878.

Chen Y, Ahsan H, Slavkovich V, et al. 2010a. No association between arsenic exposure from drinking water and diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional study in Bangladesh. Environ Health Perspect 118(9):1299-1305.

Chen Y, Graziano JH, Parvez F, et al. 2011b. Arsenic exposure from drinking water and mortality from cardiovascular disease in Bangladesh: Prospective cohort study. BMJ 342:d2431. http://www.bmj.com/content/342/bmj.d2431.long. January 28, 2015.

Chen Y, Wu F, Graziano JH, et al. 2013a. Arsenic exposure from drinking water, arsenic methylation capacity, and carotid intima-media thickness in Bangladesh. Am J Epidemiol 178(3):372-381.

Chen Y, Wu F, Parvez F, et al. 2013b. Arsenic exposure from drinking water and QT-interval prolongation: Results from the health effects of arsenic longitudinal study. Environ Health Perspect 121(4):427-432.

Chung CJ, Huang YL, Huang YK, et al. 2013a. Urinary arsenic profiles and the risks of cancer mortality: A population-based 20-year follow-up study in arseniasis-endemic areas in Taiwan. Environ Res 122:25-30.

Chung CJ, Huang CY, Pu YS, et al. 2013b. The effect of cigarette smoke and arsenic exposure on urothelial carcinoma risk is modified by glutathione S-transferase M1 gene null genotype. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 266(2):254-259.

Chung CJ, Pu YS, Chen YT, et al. 2011. Protective effects of plasma alpha-tocopherols on the risk of inorganic arsenic-related urothelial carcinoma. Sci Total Environ 409(6):1039-1045.

Chung CJ, Pu YS, Su CT, et al. 2010. Polymorphisms in one-carbon metabolism pathway genes, urinary arsenic profile, and urothelial carcinoma. Cancer Causes Control 21(10):1605-1613.

Coronado-González JA, Del Razo LM, García-Vargas G, et al. 2007. Inorganic arsenic exposure and type 2 diabetes mellitus in Mexico. Environ Res 104(3):383-389.

Dauphine DC, Ferreccio C, Guntur S, et al. 2011. Lung function in adults following in utero and childhood exposure to arsenic in drinking water: Preliminary findings. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 84:591-600.

Daus B, Hempel M, Wennrich R, et al. 2010. Concentrations and speciation of arsenic in groundwater polluted by warfare agents. Environ Pollut 158(11):3439-3444.

Davis MA, Mackenzie TA, Cottingham KL, et al. 2012. Rice consumption and urinary arsenic concentrations in U.S. children. Environ Health Perspect 120(10):1418-1424.

Del Razo LM, Garcia-Vargas GG, Valenzuela OL, et al. 2011. Exposure to arsenic in drinking water is associated with increased prevalence of diabetes: A cross-sectional study in the Zimapan and Lagunera regions in Mexico. Environ Health 10:73. http://www.ehjournal.net/content/10/1/73. January 30, 2015.

Denys S, Caboche J, Tack K, et al. 2012. In vivo validation of the unified BARGE method to assess the bioaccessibility of arsenic, antimony, cadmium, and lead in soils. Environ Sci Technol 46(11):6252-6260.

DOE. 2012. Table 3: Protective action criteria (PAC) Rev 27 based on applicable 60-minute AEGLs, ERPGs, or TEELs (chemicals listed by CASRN). Oak Ridge, TN: U.S. Department of Energy and Subcommittee on Consequence Assessment and Protective Actions (SCAPA). http://www.atlintl.com/DOE/teels/teel/Table3.pdf. September 9, 2014.

Druwe IL, Vaillancourt RR. 2010. Influence of arsenate and arsenite on signal transduction pathways: An update. Arch Toxicol 84(8):585-596.

El-Masri HA, Kenyon EM. 2008. Development of a human physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model for inorganic arsenic and its mono- and di-methylated metabolites. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn 35(1):31-68.

Engstrom K, Nermell B, Concha G, et al. 2009. Arsenic metabolism is influenced by polymorphisms in genes involved in one-carbon metabolism and reduction reactions. Mutat Res 667(1-2):4-14.

Engstrom K, Vahter M, Mlakar SJ, et al. 2011. Polymorphisms in arsenic(+III oxidation state) methyltransferase (AS3MT) predict gene expression of AS3MT as well as arsenic metabolism. Environ Health Perspect 119(2):182-188.

EPA. 1983. Method 206.4. Arsenic (spectrophotometric, SDDC). In: Methods for chemical analysis of water and wastes. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA600479020.

EPA. 1998a. Appendix A. Chemical substances subject to proposed or final TSCA rules or orders. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Prevention Pesticides and Toxic Substances. A-1 to A-10. EPA749B98001. http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPURL.cgi?Dockey=700004YF.txt. September 24, 2014.

EPA. 1998b. RCRA waste minimization PBT priority chemical list. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Federal Register 63 FR 60332. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-1998-11-09/pdf/98-29952.pdf. September 10, 2014.

EPA. 2006. List of lists. Consolidated list of chemicals subject to the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) and Section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. EPA550B01003. http://www.epa.gov/emergencies/docs/chem/title3_Oct_2006.pdf. September 24, 2014.

EPA. 2008. Reregistration eligibility decision for chromated arsenicals. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/REDs/cca_red.pdf. January 27, 2015.

EPA. 2009. National primary drinking water regulations. Washington, DC: Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA816F090004. http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/upload/mcl-2.pdf. September 10, 2014. EPA. 2012a. Compilation and review of data on relative bioavailablity of arsenic in soil. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. OSWER 9200.1-113.

http://www.epa.gov/superfund/bioavailability/pdfs/Arsenic%20Bioavailability%20SCIENCE%20Report_SRC%2009-20-12.pdf. January 27, 2015.

EPA. 2012b. Drinking water standards and health advisories. Washington, DC: Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA822S12001. http://water.epa.gov/action/advisories/drinking/upload/dwstandards2012.pdf. September 9, 2014.

EPA. 2013a. National priorities list (NPL). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/. January 28, 2015.

EPA. 2013b. Reportable quantities of hazardous substances designated pursuant to Section 311 of the Clean Water Act. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 117.3, Subpart A. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title40-vol23/pdf/CFR-2013-title40-vol23-part117-subpartA.pdf. September 9, 2014.

EPA. 2013c. Designation, reportable quantities, and notifications. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 302.4. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title40-vol29/pdf/CFR-2013-title40-vol29-sec302-4.pdf. September 10, 2014.

EPA. 2013d. Chemicals and chemical categories to which this part applies. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 372.65, Subpart D. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title40-vol29/pdf/CFR-2013-title40-vol29-part372.pdf. September 10, 2014.

EPA. 2013e. The list of extremely hazardous substances and their threshold planning quantities. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 355, Appendix A. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title40-vol29/pdf/CFR-2013-title40-vol29-part355-appA.pdf. September 10, 2014.

EPA. 2013f. Designation of hazardous substances. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Code of Federal Regulations 40 CFR 116.4. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title40-vol23/pdf/CFR-2013-title40-vol23-sec116-4.pdf. September 9, 2014.

EPA. 2014a. Draft development materials for the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) Toxicological Review of inorganic arsenic. [CASRN 7440-38-2]. Bimonthly public meeting: June 2014. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA630R14101. http://www.epa.gov/iris/publicmeeting/iris_bimonthly-jun2014/iA-preliminary_draft_materials.pdf. January 23, 2015.

EPA. 2014b. The Clean Air Act amendments of 1990 list of hazardous air pollutants. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/ttn/atw/orig189.html. September 9, 2014.

EPA. 2014c. National recommended water quality criteria. Washington, DC: Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/current/index.cfm. January 08, 2014. EPA. 2014d. Compiled AEGL values. Washington, DC: Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/oppt/aegl/pubs/compiled_aegls_update_03oct2014.pdf. January 29, 2015.

Erickson ML, Barnes RJ. 2005. Glacial sediment causing regional-scale elevated arsenic in drinking water. Ground Water 43(6):796-805.

Ettinger AS, Zota AR, Amarasiriwardena CJ, et al. 2009. Maternal arsenic exposure and impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect 117(7):1059-1064.

Evans MV, Dowd SM, Kenyon EM, et al. 2008. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for intravenous and ingested dimethylarsinic acid in mice. Toxicol Sci 104(2):250-260.

Ezeh PC, Lauer FT, Mackenzie D, et al. 2014. Arsenite selectively inhibits mouse bone marrow lymphoid progenitor cell development in vivo and in vitro and suppresses humoral immunity in vivo. PLoS ONE 9(4):e93920. http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0093920. January 30, 2015.

Faita F, Cori L, Bianchi F, et al. 2013. Arsenic-induced genotoxicity and genetic susceptibility to arsenic-related pathologies. Int J Environ Res Public Health 10:1527-1546.

Farzan SF, Korrick S, Li Z, et al. 2013. In utero arsenic exposure and infant infection in a United States cohort: A prospective study. Environ Res 126:24-30.

Fatmi Z, Abbasi IN, Ahmed M, et al. 2013. Burden of skin lesions of arsenicosis at higher exposure through groundwater of taluka Gambat district Khairpur, Pakistan: A cross-sectional survey. Environ Geochem Health 35(3):341-346.

Fatmi Z, Azam I, Ahmed F, et al. 2009. Health burden of skin lesions at low arsenic exposure through groundwater in Pakistan. Is river the source? Environ Res 109:575-581.

FDA. 2013a. Quantitative assessment of inorganic arsenic in apple juice. U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodScienceResearch/RiskSafetyAssessment/UCM360016.pdf. January 29, 2015.

FDA. 2013b. Subpart B - Requirements for specific standardized beverages. Bottled water. Code of Federal Regulations. U.S. Food and Drug Administration.Vol. 21 CFR 165.110, 574-593. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title21-vol2/pdf/CFR-2013-title21-vol2-sec165-110.pdf. January 28, 2015.

Feki-Tounsi M, Olmedo P, Gil F, et al. 2013. Low-level arsenic exposure is associated with bladder cancer risk and cigarette smoking: A case-control study among men in Tunisia. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 20(6):3923-3931.

Ferreccio C, Sancha AM. 2006. Arsenic exposure and its impact on health in Chile. J Health Popul Nutr 24(2):164-175.

Ferreccio C, Smith AH, Duran V, et al. 2013a. Case-control study of arsenic in drinking water and kidney cancer in uniquely exposed Northern Chile. Am J Epidemiol 178(5):813-818.
Ferreccio C, Yuan Y, Calle J, et al. 2013b. Arsenic, tobacco smoke, and occupation associations of multiple agents with lung and bladder cancer. Epidemiology 24(6):898-905.

Fillol C, Dor F, Labat L, et al. 2010. Urinary arsenic concentrations and speciation in residents living in an area with naturally contaminated soils. Sci Total Environ 408(5):1190-1194.

Gamino-Gutierrez SP, Gonzalez-Perez CI, Gonsebatt ME, et al. 2013. Arsenic and lead contamination in urban soils of Villa de la Paz (Mexico) affected by historical mine wastes and its effect on children's health studied by micronucleated exfoliated cells assay. Environ Geochem Health 35(1):37-51.

Garcia-Esquinas E, Pollan M, Umans JG, et al. 2013. Arsenic exposure and cancer mortality in a USbased prospective cohort: the strong heart study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 22(11):1944-1953. 10.1158/1055-9965.epi-13-0234-t.

Gentry PR, Covington TR, Mann S, et al. 2004. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling of arsenic in the mouse. J Toxicol Environ Health A 67:43-71.

Ghosh P, Banerjee M, De Chaudhuri S, et al. 2007. Comparison of health effects between individuals with and without skin lesions in the population exposed to arsenic through drinking water in West Bengal, India. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 17(3):215-223.

Gilbert-Diamond D, Cottingham KL, Gruber JF, et al. 2011. Rice consumption contributes to arsenic exposure in US women. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108(51):20656-20660.

Gilbert-Diamond D, Li Z, Perry AE, et al. 2013. A population-based case-control study of urinary arsenic species and squamous cell carcinoma in New Hampshire, USA. Environ Health Perspect 121(10):1154-1160.

Gribble MO, Howard BV, Umans JG, et al. 2012. Arsenic exposure, diabetes prevalence, and diabetes control in the strong heart study. Am J Epidemiol 176(10):865-874.

Guan H, Piao F, Zhang X, et al. 2012. Prenatal exposure to arsenic and its effects on fetal development in the general population of Dalian. Biol Trace Elem Res 149(1):10-15.

Guha Mazumder D, Purkayastha I, Ghose A, et al. 2012. Hypertension in chronic arsenic exposure: A case control study in West Bengal. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 47(11):1514-1520.

Guo JX, Hu L, Yand PZ, et al. 2007. Chronic arsenic poisoning in drinking water in Inner Mongolia and its associated health effects. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 42(12):1853-1858.

Hamadani JD, Grantham-McGregor SM, Tofail F, et al. 2010. Pre- and postnatal arsenic exposure and child development at 18 months of age: A cohort study in rural Bangladesh. Int J Epidemiol 39(5):1206-1216.

Hamadani JD, Tofail F, Nermell B, et al. 2011. Critical windows of exposure for arsenic-associated impairment of cognitive function in pre-school girls and boys: A population-based cohort study. Int J Epidemiol 40(6):1593-1604.

Hamula C, Wang Z, Zhang H, et al. 2006. Chromium on the hands of children after playing in playgrounds built from chromated copper arsenate (CCA)-treated wood. Environ Health Perspect 114(3):460-465.

Harari F, Engstrom K, Concha G, et al. 2013. N-6-adenine-specific DNA methyltransferase 1 (N6AMT1) polymorphisms and arsenic methylation in Andean women. Environ Health Perspect 121(7):797-803.

Hashim JH, Radzi RSM, Aljunid SM, et al. 2013. Hair arsenic levels and prevalence of arsenicosis in three Cambodian provinces. Sci Total Environ 463-464:1210-1216.

Hawkesworth S, Wagatsuma Y, Kippler M, et al. 2013. Early exposure to toxic metals has a limited effect on blood pressure or kidney function in later childhood, rural Bangladesh. Int J Epidemiol 42(1):176-185.

Heck JE, Andrew AS, Onega T, et al. 2009. Lung cancer in a U.S. population with low to moderate arsenic exposure. Environ Health Perspect 117:1718-1783.

Hensley AR, Scott A, Rosenfeld PE, et al. 2007. Attic dust and human blood samples collected near a former wood treatment facility. Environ Res 105(2):194-199.

Hernandez-Zavala A, Matousek T, Drobna Z, et al. 2008. Speciation analysis of arsenic in biological matrices by automated hydride generation-cryotrapping-atomic absorption spectrometry with multiple microflame quartz tube atomizer (multiatomizer). J Anal Atom Spectrom 23:342-351.

Hill DS, Wlodarczyk BJ, Finnell RH. 2008. Reproductive consequences of oral arsenate exposure during pregnancy in a mouse model. Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol 83(1):40-47.

Hsieh RL, Huang YL, Shiue HS, et al. 2014. Arsenic methylation capacity and developmental delay in preschool children in Taiwan. Int J Hyg Environ Health 217(6):678-686.

Hsieh YC, Hsieh FI, Lien LM, et al. 2008b. Risk of carotid atherosclerosis associated with genetic polymorphisms of apolipoprotein E and inflammatory genes among arsenic exposed residents in Taiwan. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 227(1):1-7.

Hsu LI, Chen GS, Lee CH, et al. 2013a. Use of arsenic-induce3d palmoplantar hyperkeratosis and skin cancers to predict risk of subsequent internal malignancy. Am J Epidemiol 177(3):202-212.

Hsu LI, Wang YH, Chiou HY, et al. 2013b. The association of diasbetes mellitus with subsequent internal cancers in the arsenic-exposed area of Taiwan. J Asian Earth Sci 73:452-459.

Huang CY, Chu JS, Pu YS, et al. 2011. Effect of urinary total arsenic level and estimated glomerular filtration rate on the risk of renal cell carcinoma in a low arsenic exposure area. J Urol 185(6):2040-2044.

Huang CY, Su CT, Chung CJ, et al. 2012. Urinary total arsenic and 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine are associated with renal cell carcinoma in an area without obvious arsenic exposure. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 262(3):349-354.

Huang YK, Huang YL, Hsueh YM, et al. 2008a. Arsenic exposure, urinary arsenic speciation, and the incidence of urothelial carcinoma: A twelve-year follow-up study. Cancer Causes Control 19(8):829-839.

Huang YK, Pu YS, Chung CJ, et al. 2008b. Plasma folate level, urinary arsenic methylation profiles, and urothelial carcinoma susceptibility. Food Chem Toxicol 46(3):929-938.

Hudak PF. 2008. Distribution of arsenic concentrations in groundwater of the Seymour Aquifer, Texas, USA. Int J Environ Health Res 18(1):79-82.

Hughes MF, Kenyon EM. 1998. Dose-dependent effects on the disposition of monomethylarsonic acid and dimethylarsinic acid in the mouse afte intravenous administration. J Toxicol Environ Health A 53:95-112.

Hughes MF, Devesa V, Adair BM, et al. 2005. Tissue dosimetry, metabolism and excretion of pentavalent and trivalent monomethylated arsenic in mice after oral administration. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 208(2):186-197.

Hughes MF, Devesa V, Adair BM, et al. 2008. Tissue dosimetry, metabolism and excretion of pentavalent and trivalent dimethylated arsenic in mice after oral administration. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 227(1):26-35.

IARC. 2012. Arsenic, metals, fibres, and dusts. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum 100(Pt C):11-465.

IARC. 2014. Agents classified by the IARC monographs. Volumes 1–111. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/ClassificationsCASOrder.pdf. September 9, 2014.

IRIS. 2003. Arsenic, inorganic (CASRN 7440-38-2). Integrated Risk Information System. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/0278.htm. January 27, 2015.

Islam MR, Khan I, Attia J, et al. 2012a. Association between hypertension and chronic arsenic exposure in drinking water: A cross-sectional study in Bangladesh. Int J Environ Res Public Health 9(12):4522-4536.

Islam R, Khan I, Hassan SN, et al. 2012b. Association between type 2 diabetes and chronic arsenic exposure in drinking water: A cross sectional study in Bangladesh. Environ Health 11:38. http://www.ehjournal.net/content/11/1/38. January 29, 2015.

Jackson BP, Taylor VF, Punshon T, et al. 2012. Arsenic concentration and speciation in infant formulas and first foods. Pure Appl Chem 84(2):215-223.

James KA, Marshall JA, Hokanson JE, et al. 2013. A case-cohort study examining lifetime exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water and diabetes mellitus. Environ Res 123:33-38.

Jin L, Zhang L, Li Z, et al. 2013. Placental concentrations of mercury, lead, cadmium, and arsenic and the risk of neural tube defects in a Chinese population. Reprod Toxicol 35:25-31.

Jones GW, Pichler T. 2007. Relationship between pyrite stability and arsenic mobility during aquifer storage and recovery in southwest central Florida. Environ Sci Technol 41(3):723-730.

Jones MR, Tellez-Plaza M, Sharrett AR, et al. 2011. Urine arsenic and hypertension in US adults: The 2003-2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Epidemiology 22(2):153-161.

Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, et al. 2014a. Validation of the predictive capabilities of the SBrc-G ub vitro assay for estimating arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol 48(21):12962-12969.

Juhasz AL, Smith E, Nelson C, et al. 2014b. Variability associated with As in vivo-in vitro correlations when using different bioaccessibility methodologies. Environ Sci Technol 48(19):11646-11653.

Juhasz AL, Smith E, Weber J, et al. 2006. In vivo assessment of arsenic bioavailability in rice and its significance for human health risk assessment. Environ Health Perspect 114(12):1826-1831.

Juhasz AL, Smith E, Weber J, et al. 2007a. Comparison of in vivo and in vitro methodologies for the assessment of arsenic bioavailability in contaminated soils. Chemosphere 69:961-966.

Juhasz AL, Smith E, Weber J, et al. 2007b. In vitro assessment of arsenic bioaccessibility in contaminated (anthropogenic and geogenic) soils. Chemosphere 69:69-78.

Juhasz AL, Smith E, Weber J, et al. 2008. Application of an in vivo swine model for the determination of arsenic bioavailability in contaminated vegetables. Chemosphere 71(10):1963-1969.

Juhasz AL, Weber J, Smith E, et al. 2009. Assessment of four commonly employed in vitro arsenic bioaccessibility assays for predicting in vivo relative arsenic bioavailability in contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol 43(24):9487-9494.

Juhasz AL, Weber J, Smith E. 2011. Predicting arsenic relative bioavailability in contaminated soils using meta analysis and relative bioavailability-bioaccessibility regression models. Environ Sci Technol 45(24):10676-10683.

Juskelis R, Li W, Nelson J, et al. 2013. Arsenic speciation in rice cereals for infants. J Agric Food Chem 61(45):10670-10676.

Kenyon EM, Del Razo LM, Hughes MF. 2005a. Tissue distribution and urinary excretion of inorganic arsenic and its methylated metabolites in mice following acute oral administration of arsenate. Toxicol Sci 85(1):468-475.

Kenyon EM, Del Razo LM, Hughes MF, et al. 2005b. An integrated pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of arsenite action. 2. Heme oxygenase induction in mice. Toxicology 206:389-401.

Khan K, Wasserman GA, Liu X, et al. 2012. Manganese exposure from drinking water and children's academic achievement. Neurotoxicology 33(1):91-97.

Khlifi R, Olmedo P, Gil F, et al. 2014. Risk of laryngeal and nasopharyngeal cancer associated with arsenic and cadmium in the Tunisian population. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 21(3):2032-2042.

Kim Y, Lee BK. 2011. Association between urinary arsenic and diabetes mellitus in the Korean general population according to KNHANES 2008. Sci Total Environ 409(19):4054-4062.

Kim EJ, Yoo JC, Baek K. 2014. Arsenic speciation and bioaccessibility in arsenic-contaminated soils: Sequential extraction and mineralogical investigation. Environ Pollut 186:29-35.

Kim NH, Mason CC, Nelson RG, et al. 2013. Arsenic exposure and incidence of type 2 diabetes in southwestern American Indians. Am J Epidemiol 177(9):962-969.

Kippler M, Wagatsuma Y, Rahman A, et al. 2012. Environmental exposure to arsenic and cadmium during pregnancy and fetal size: A longitudinal study in rural Bangladesh. Reprod Toxicol 34(4):504-511.

Kopittke PM, de Jonge MD, Wang P, et al. 2014. Laterally resolved speciation of arsenic in roots of wheat and rice using fluorescence-XANES imaging. New Phytol 201(4):1251-1262.

Krishnan K, Haddad S, Pelekis M. 1995. A simple index for representing the discrepancy between simulations of physiological pharmacokinetic models and experimental data. Toxicol Ind Health 11(4):413-421.

Kumagai Y, Sumi D. 2007. Arsenic: Signal transduction, transcription factor, and biotransformation involved in cellular response and toxicity. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 47:243-262.

Kunrath J, Gurzau E, Gurzau A, et al. 2013. Blood pressure hyperreactivity: An early cardiovascular risk in normotensive men exposed to low-to-moderate inorganic arsenic in drinking water. J Hypertens 31(2):361-369.

Lantz RC, Chau B, Sarihan P, et al. 2009. In utero and postnatal exposure to arsenic alters pulmonary structure and function. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 235(1):105-113.

Lei M, Tie B, Zeng M, et al. 2013. An arsenic-contaminated field trial to assess the uptake and translocation of arsenic by genotypes of rice. Environ Geochem Health 35(3):379-390.

Leonardi G, Vahter M, Clemens F, et al. 2012. Inorganic arsenic and basal cell carcinoma in areas of Hungary, Romania, and Slovakia: A case-control study. Environ Health Perspect 120(5):721-726.

Li WF, Sun CW, Cheng TJ, et al. 2009. Risk of carotid atherosclerosis is associated with low serum paraoxonase (PON1) activity among arsenic exposed residents in Southwestern Taiwan. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 236(2):246-253.

Li X, Li B, Xi S, et al. 2013a. Prolonged environmental exposure of arsenic through drinking water on the risk of hypertension and type 2 diabetes. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 20(11):8151-8161.

Li X, Li B, Xi S, et al. 2013b. Association of urinary monomethylated arsenic concentration and risk of hypertension: A cross-sectional study from arsenic contaminated areas in northwestern China. Environ Health. 12:37. http://www.ehjournal.net/content/12/1/37. January 15, 2015.

Li Y, Wang M, Piao F, et al. 2012. Subchronic exposure to arsenic inhibits spermatogenesis and downregulates the expression of ddx3y in testis and epididymis of mice. Toxicol Sci 128(2):482-489.

Li Y, Xia Y, He L, et al. 2006. Neurosensory effects of chronic exposure to arsenic via drinking water in Inner Mongolia: I. Signs, symptoms and pinprick testing. J Water Health 4(1):29-37.

Liao YT, Chen CJ, Li WF, et al. 2012. Elevated lactate dehydrogenase activity and increased cardiovascular mortality in the arsenic-endemic areas of southwestern Taiwan. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 262(3):232-237.

Lin W, Wang SL, Wu HJ, et al. 2008. Associations between arsenic in drinking water and pterygium in southwestern Taiwan. Environ Health Perspect 116(7):952-955.

Lindberg AL, Rahman M, Persson LA, et al. 2008. The risk of arsenic induced skin lesions in Bangladeshi men and women is affected by arsenic metabolism and the age at first exposure. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 230(1):9-16.

Loska K, Wiechula D, Korus I, et al. 2005. Application of various methods for assessment of background arsenic concentration in farming soil. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 74(4):732-739.

Lowney YW, Wester RC, Schoof RA, et al. 2007. Dermal absorption of arsenic from soils as measured in the rhesus monkey. Toxicol Sci 100(2):381-392.

Maher W, Foster S, Krikowa F, et al. 2013. Measurement of inorganic arsenic species in rice after nitric acid extraction by HPLC-ICPMS: Verification using XANES. Environ Sci Technol 47(11):5821-5827.

Majumdar KK, Mazumder DNG, Ghose N, et al. 2009. Systemic manifestations in chronic arsenic toxicity in absence of skin lesions in West Bengal. Indian J Med Res 129:75-82.

Makris KC, Quazi S, Nagar R, et al. 2008. In vitro model improves the prediction of soil arsenic bioavailability: Worst-case scenario. Environ Sci Technol 42(16):6278-6284.

Mandal BK, Ogra Y, Suzuki KT. 2001. Identification of dimethylarsinous and monomethylarsonous acids in human urine of the arsenic-affected areas in West Bengal, India. Chem Res Toxicol 14:371-378.

Mann S, Droz PO, Vahter M. 1996a. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for arsenic exposure. 1. Development in hamsters and rabbits. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 137:8-22.

Mann S, Droz PO, Vahter M. 1996b. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for arsenic exposure. II. Validation and application in humans. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 140:471-486.

Marafant E, Vahter M, Norin H, et al. 1987. Biotransformation of dimethylarsinic acid in mouse, hamster, and man. J Appl Toxicol 7(2):111-117.

Marcos R, Martinez V, Hernandez A, et al. 2006. Metabolic profile in workers occupationally exposed to arsenic: Role of GST polymorphisms. J Occup Environ Med 48(3):334-341.

Martínez V, Creus A, Venegas W, et al. 2005. Micronuclei assessment in buccal cells of people environmentally exposed to arsenic in northern Chile. Toxicol Lett 115(2):319-327.

Martinez VD, Vucic EA, Adonis M, et al. 2011. Arsenic biotransformation as a cancer promoting factor by inducing DNA damage and disruption of repair mechanisms. Mol Biol Int 2011:718974.

Melaku S, Morris V, Raghavan D, et al. 2008. Seasonal variation of heavy metals in ambient air and precipitation at a single site in Washington, DC. Environ Pollut 155(1):88-98.

Meliker JR, Slotnick MJ, Avruskin GA, et al. 2009. Influence of groundwater recharge and well characteristics on dissolved arsenic concentrations in southeastern Michigan groundwater. Environ Geochem Health 31(1):147-157.

Melkonian S, Argos M, Pierce BL, et al. 2011. A prospective study of the synergistic effects of arsenic exposure and smoking, sun exposure, fertilizer use, and pesticide use on risk of premalignant skin lesions in Bangladeshi men. Am J Epidemiol 173(2):183-191.

Mendez-Gomez J, Garcia-Vargas GG, Lopez-Carrillo L, et al. 2008. Genotoxic effects of environmental exposure to arsenic and lead on children in region Lagunera, Mexico. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1140:358-367.

Moon KA, Guallar E, Umans JG, et al. 2013. Association between exposure to low to moderate arsenic levels and incident cardiovascular disease. A prospective cohort study. Ann Intern Med 159(10):649-659.

Morales KH, Ryan L, Kuo TL, et al. 2000. Risk of internal cancers from arsenic in drinking water. Environ Health Perspect 108:655-661.

Mordukhovich I, Wright RO, Amarasiriwardena C, et al. 2009. Association between low-level environmental arsenic exposure and QT interval duration in a general population study. Am J Epidemiol 170(6):739-746.

Mostafa MG, Cherry N. 2013. Arsenic in drinking water and renal cancers in rural Bangladesh. Occup Environ Med 70(11):768-773.

Munera-Picazo S, Burlo F, Carbonell-Barrachina AA. 2014a. Arsenic speciation in rice-based food for adults with celiac disease. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 31(8):1358-1366.

Munera-Picazo S, Ramirez-Gandolfo A, Burlo F, et al. 2014b. Inorganic and total arsenic contents in rice-based foods for children with celiac disease. J Food Sci 79(1):T122-T128.

Nafees AA, Kazi A, Fatmi Z, et al. 2011. Lung function decrement with arsenic exposure to drinking groundwater along River Indus: A comparative cross-sectional study. Environ Geochem Health 33(2):203-216.

Nahar MN, Inaoka T, Fujimura M, et al. 2014. Arsenic contamination in groundwater and its effects on adolescent intelligence and social competence in Bangladesh with special reference to daily drinking/cooking water intake. Environ Health Prev Med 19(2):151-158.

Narukawa T, Matsumoto E, Nishimura T, et al. 2014. Determination of sixteen elements and arsenic species in brown, polished and milled rice. Anal Sci 30(2):245-250.

Naujokas MF, Anderson B, Ahsan H, et al. 2013. The broad scope of health effects from chronic arsenic exposure: Update on a worldwide public health problem. Environ Health Perspect 121(3):295-302.

Navas-Acien A, Silbergeld EK, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. 2008. Arsenic exposure and prevalence of type 2 diabetes in U.S. adults. JAMA 300(7):814-822.

Navas-Acien A, Silbergeld EK, Pastor-Barriuso R, et al. 2009. Arsenic exposure and prevalence of type 2 diabetes: Updated findings from the National Health Nutrition and Examination Survey, 2003-2006. Epidemiology 20(6):e816-e820.

Nicolis I, Curis E, Deschamps P, et al. 2009. Arsenite medicinal use, metabolism, pharmacokinetics and monitoring in human hair. Biochimie 91:1260-1267.

NIOSH. 1992. Appendix V. Categories of pesticides. In: Recommendations for Occupational Safety and Health. Compendium of policy documents and statements. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/pdfs/92-100.pdf. September 24, 2014.

NIOSH. 2010. Arsenic (inorganic compounds, as As). NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Atlanta, GA: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0038.html. January 27, 2015.

NLM. 2014. Arsenic. TOXMAP classic. Environment health maps. U.S. National Library of Medicine.

NPIRS. 2015. Arsenic acid. Active ingredient information. National Pesticide Information Retrieval System: http://npirspublic.ceris.purdue.edu/ppis/chemical.aspx. January 19, 2015.

NRC. 2013. Appendix B to Part 20 - Annual limits on intake (ALIs) and derived air concentrations (DACs) of radionuclides for occupational exposure; effluent concentrations; concentrations for release to sewerage. Code of Federal Regulations 10 CFR 20, Appendix B:379-434. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title10-vol1/pdf/CFR-2013-title10-vol1-part20-appB.pdf. October 1, 2014.

NTP. 2014. Arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds. 13th report on carcinogens. Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Toxicology Program. http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/roc/content/profiles/arsenic.pdf. January 29, 2015.

Odstrcil Adel C, Carino SN, Ricci JC, et al. 2010. Effect of arsenic in endochondral ossification of experimental animals. Exp Toxicol Pathol 62(3):243-249.

OEHHA. 2015. Arsenic. Chemicals biomonitored in California. California Department of Public Health, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment http://www.biomonitoring.ca.gov/results/chemical/all?field_chemical_name_target_id_selective[0]=71. January 12, 2015.

OSHA. 2013a. Toxic and hazardous substances. Air contaminants. Table Z-1-Limits for air contaminants. Occupational Safety and Health Standards. Code of Federal Regulations 29 CFR 1910.1000, Subpart Z. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title29-vol6/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol6/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol6-sec1910-1000.pdf. September 9, 2014.

OSHA. 2013b. Inorganic arsenic. Occupational Safety and Health Standards. Code of Federal Regulations 29 CFR 1910.1018. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2014-title29-vol6/pdf/CFR-2014-title29-vol6/pdf/CFR-2014-title29-vol6-sec1910-1018.pdf. January 27, 2015.

OSHA. 2013c. Gases, vapors, fumes, dusts, and mists. Threshold limit values of airborne contaminants for construction. Code of Federal Regulations. Occupational Safety and Health Standards.Vol. 29 CFR 1926.55 App A, 36-49. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title29-vol8/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol8-sec1926-55.pdf. September 11, 2014.

OSHA. 2013d. List of highly hazardous chemicals, toxics, and reactives (mandatory). Occupational Safety and Health Standards. Code of Federal Regulations 29 CFR 1910.119, Subpart H, Appendix A. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title29-vol5/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol5-sec1910-119.pdf. September 9, 2014.

OSHA. 2013d. Inorganic arsenic. Occupational Safety and Health Standards. Code of Federal Regulations 29 CFR 1926.1118. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title29-vol8/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol8/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol8-sec1926-1118.pdf. January 27, 2015.

OSHA. 2013e. Toxic and hazardous substances. Air contaminants. Table Z - Shipyards. Code of Federal Regulations. Occupational Safety and Health Standards.Vol. 29 CFR 1915.1000, Subpart Z, 120-133. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title29-vol7/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol7-sec1915-1000.pdf. September 29, 2014.

OSHA. 2013f. Inorganic arsenic. Occupational Safety and Health Standards. Code of Federal Regulations 29 CFR 1915.1018, Subpart Z. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title29-vol7/pdf/CFR-2013-title29-vol7-sec1915-1018.pdf. January 27, 2015.

Osorio-Yanez C, Ayllon-Vergara JC, Aguilar-Madrid G, et al. 2013. Carotid intima-media thickness and plasma asymmetric dimethylarginine in Mexican children exposed to inorganic arsenic. Environ Health Perspect 121:1090-1096.

Pachnanda R, Singh SP. 2012. Histopathological alterations in testicular tissue of male rats exposed to arsenic. J Appl Nat Sci 4(2):247-251.

Paiva L, Martinez V, Creus A, et al. 2006. Sister chromatid exchange analysis in smelting plant workers exposed to arsenic. Environ Mol Mutagen 47(4):230-235.

Paiva L, Martinez V, Creus A, et al. 2008. Evaluation of micronucleus frequencies in blood lymphocytes from smelting plant workers exposed to arsenic. Environ Mol Mutagen 49(3):200-205.

Pan WC, Seow WJ, Kile ML, et al. 2013. Association of low to moderate levels of arsenic exposure with risk of type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh. Am J Epidemiol 178(10):1563-1570.

Park D, Yang H, Jeong J, et al. 2010. A comprehensive review of arsenic levels in the semiconductor manufacturing industry. Ann Occup Hyg 54(8):869-879.

Parvez F, Chen Y, Brandt-Rauf PW, et al. 2010. A prospective study of respiratory symptoms associated with chronic arsenic exposure in Bangladesh: Findings from the Health Effects of Arsenic Longitudinal Study (HEALS). Thorax 65(6):528-533.

Parvez F, Chen Y, Yunus M, et al. 2013. Arsenic exposure and impaired lung function. Findings from a large population-based prospective cohort study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 188(7):813-819.

Parvez F, Wasserman GA, Factor-Litvak P, et al. 2011. Arsenic exposure and motor function among children in Bangladesh. Environ Health Perspect 119(11):1665-1670.

Paul S, Bhattacharjee P, Mishra PK, et al. 2013a. Human urothelial micronucleus assay to assess genotoxic recovery by reduction of arsenic in drinking water: A cohort study in West Bengal, India. Biometals 26(5):855-862.

Paul S, Das N, Bhattacharjee P, et al. 2013b. Arsenic-induced toxicity and carcinogenicity: A two-wave cross-sectional study in arsenicosis individuals in West Bengal, India. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 23(2):156-162.

Pawlak Z, Rauckyte T, Zak S, et al. 2008. Study of arsenic content in mine groundwater commonly used for human consumption in Utah. Environ Technol 29(2):217-224.

Pearce DC, Dowling K, Gerson AR, et al. 2010. Arsenic microdistribution and speciation in toenail clippings of children living in a historic gold mining area. Sci Total Environ 408(12):2590-2599.

Pei Q, Ma N, Zhang J, et al. 2013. Oxidative DNA damage of peripheral blood polymorphonuclear leukocytes, selectively induced by chronic arsenic exposure, is associated with extent of arsenic-related skin lesions. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 266(1):143-149.

Pesola GR, Parvez F, Chen Y, et al. 2012. Arsenic exposure from drinking water and dyspnoea risk in Araihazar, Bangladesh: A population-based study. Eur Respir J 39(5):1076-1083.

Pierce BL, Argos M, Chen Y, et al. 2011. Arsenic exposure, dietary patterns, and skin lesion risk in bangladesh: A prospective study. Am J Epidemiol 173(3):345-354.

Pichler T, Brinkmann R, Scarzella GI. 2008. Arsenic abundance and variation in golf course lakes. Sci Total Environ 394(2-3):313-320.

Pollack AZ, Louis GM, Chen Z, et al. 2013. Trace elements and endometriosis: The ENDO study. Reprod Toxicol 42:41-48.

Porter KE, Basu A, Hubbard AE, et al. 2010. Association of genetic variation in cystathionine-betasynthase and arsenic metabolism. Environ Res 110(6):580-587.

Presley SM, Abel MT, Austin GP, et al. 2010. Metal concentrations in schoolyard soils from New Orleans, Louisiana before and after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Chemosphere 80(1):67-73.

Rahman A, Persson LA, Nermell B, et al. 2010. Arsenic exposure and risk of spontaneous abortion, stillbirth, and infant mortality. Epidemiology 21(6):797-804.

Rahman A, Vahter M, Ekström EC, et al. 2007. Association of arsenic exposure during pregnancy with fetal loss and infant death: A cohort study in Bangladesh. Am J Epidemiol 165(12):1389-1396.

Rahman A, Vahter M, Ekstrom EC, et al. 2011. Arsenic exposure in pregnancy increases the risk of lower respiratory tract infection and diarrhea during infancy in Bangladesh. Environ Health Perspect 119(5):719-724.

Rahman A, Vahter M, Smith AH, et al. 2009. Arsenic exposure during pregnancy and size at birth: A prospective cohort study in Bangladesh. Am J Epidemiol 169(3):304-312.

Rahman M, Sohel N, Yunus M, et al. 2014. A prospective cohort study of stroke mortality and arsenic in drinking water in Bangladeshi adults. BMC Public Health 14:174. http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/14/174. January 29, 2015.

Ramsey KA, Bosco A, McKenna KL, et al. 2013a. In utero exposure to arsenic alters lung development and genes related to immune and mucociliary function in mice. Environ Health Perspect 121(2):244-250.

Ramsey KA, Larcombe AN, Sly PD, et al. 2013b. In utero exposure to low dose arsenic via drinking water impairs early life lung mechanics in mice. BMC Pharmacol Toxicol 14(1):13.tp://www.biomedcentral.com/2050-6511/14/13. January 29, 2015.

Raqib R, Ahmed S, Sultana R, et al. 2009. Effects of in utero arsenic exposure on child immunity and morbidity in rural Bangladesh. Toxicol Lett 185(3):197-202.

Rasmussen RR, Qian Y, Sloth JJ. 2013. SPE HG-AAS method for the determination of inorganic arsenic in rice--results from method validation studies and a survey on rice products. Anal Bioanal Chem 405(24):7851-7857.

Rehman K, Naranmandura H. 2012. Arsenic metabolism and thioarsenicals. Metallomics 4(9):881-892.

Reilly MP, Saca JC, Hamilton A, et al. 2013. Prepubertal exposure to arsenic(III) suppresses circulating insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) delaying sexual maturation in female rats. Reprod Toxicol 44:41-49.

Rhee SY, Hwang YC, Woo JT, et al. 2013. Arsenic exposure and prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Korean adults. J Korean Med Sci 28(6):861-868.

Roberts SM, Munson JW, Lowney YW, et al. 2007. Relative oral bioavailability of arsenic from contaminated soils measured in the cynomolgus monkey. Toxicol Sci 95(1):281-288.

Roberts SM, Weimar WR, Vinson JRT, et al. 2002. Measurement of arsenic bioavailability in soil using a primate model. Toxicol Sci 67:303-310.

Rodrigues EG, Kile M, Hoffman E, et al. 2012. GSTO and AS3MT genetic polymorphisms and differences in urinary arsenic concentrations among residents in Bangladesh. Biomarkers 17(3):240-247.

Rodriguez RR, Basta NT, Casteel SW, et al. 1999. An in vitro gastrointestinal method to estimate bioavailable arsenic in contaminated soils and solid media. Environ Sci Technol 33(4):642-649.

Root TL, Gotkowitz MB, Bahr JM, et al. 2010. Arsenic geochemistry and hydrostratigraphy in Midwestern U.S. glacial deposits. Ground Water 48(6):903-912.

Roy A, Kordas K, Lopez P, et al. 2011. Association between arsenic exposure and behavior among first-graders from Torreon, Mexico. Environ Res 111(5):670-676.

Ruby MV, Davis A, Schoof R, et al. 1996. Estimation of lead and arsenic bioavailability using a physiologically based extraction test. Environ Sci Technol 30(2):422-430.

Rudnai T, Sandor J, Kadar M, et al. 2014. Arsenic in drinking water and congenital heart anomalies in Hungary. Int J Hyg Environ Health 217:813-818.

Saha KK, Engstrom A, Hamadani JD, et al. 2012. Pre- and postnatal arsenic exposure and body size to 2 years of age: A cohort study in rural Bangladesh. Environ Health Perspect 120(8):1208-1214.

Salnikow K, Zhitkovich A. 2008. Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms in metal carcinogenesis and cocarcinogenesis: Nickel, arsenic, and chromium. Chem Res Toxicol 21(1):28-44.

Sawada N, Iwasaki M, Inoue M, et al. 2013. Dietary arsenic intake and subsequent risk of cancer: The Japan Public Health Center-based (JPHC) prospective study. Cancer Causes Control 24(7):1403-1415.

See LC, Chiou HY, Lee JS, et al. 2007. Dose-response relationship between ingested arsenic and cataracts among residents in Southwestern Taiwan. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 42(12):1843-1851.

Serbula SM, Miljkovic D, Kovacevic RM, et al. 2012. Assessment of airborne heavy metal pollution using plant parts and topsoil. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 76(2):209-214.

Shen J, Wanibuchi H, Waalkes MP, et al. 2006. A comparative study of the sub-chronic toxic effects of three organic arsenical compounds on the urothelium in F344 rats; gender-based differences in response. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 210(3):171-180.

Shi T, Liu H, Wang J, et al. 2014. Monitoring arsenic contamination in agricultural soils with reflectance spectroscopy of rice plants. Environ Sci Technol 48(11):6264-6272.

Singh PK, Singh J, Gupta AK, et al. 2011. Effects of ground water arsenic on the testes of albino rats. J Ecophysiol Occup Health 11(1/2):33.

Smith AH, Steinmaus CM. 2009. Health effects of arsenic and chromium in drinking water: Recent human findings. Annu Rev Public Health 30:107-122.

Smith AH, Ercumen A, Yuan Y, et al. 2009. Increased lung cancer risks are similar whether arsenic is ingested or inhaled. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 19:343-348. 10.1038/jes.2008.73.

Smith AH, Marshall G, Liaw J, et al. 2012. Mortality in young adults following in utero and childhood exposure to arsenic in drinking water. Environ Health Perspect 120(11):1527-1531.

Smith AH, Marshall G, Yuan Y, et al. 2006. Increased mortality from lung cancer and bronchiectasis in young adults after exposure to arsenic in utero and in early childhood. Environ Health Perspect 114(8):1293-1296.

Smith AH, Yunus M, Khan AF, et al. 2013. Chronic respiratory symptoms in children following in utero and early life exposure to arsenic in drinking water in Bangladesh. Int J Epidemiol 42(4):1077-1086.

Sommella A, Deacon C, Norton G, et al. 2013. Total arsenic, inorganic arsenic, and other elements concentrations in Italian rice grain varies with origin and type. Environ Pollut 181:38-43.

Sorg TJ, Chen AS, Wang L. 2014. Arsenic species in drinking water wells in the USA with high arsenic concentrations. Water Res 48:156-169.

Spayd S, Robson MG, Xie R, et al. 2012. Importance of arsenic speciation in populations exposed to arsenic in drinking water. Hum Ecol Risk Assess 18(6):1271-1291.

Stanek EJ, 3rd, Calabrese EJ, Barnes RM, et al. 2010. Bioavailability of arsenic in soil: pilot study results and design considerations. Hum Exp Toxicol 29(11):945-960.

Steinmaus C, Yuan Y, Liaw J, et al. 2009. Low-level population exposure to inorganic arsenic in the United States and diabetes mellitus: A reanalysis. Epidemiology 20(6):807-815.

Steinmaus CM, Ferreccio C, Romo JA, et al. 2013. Drinking water arsenic in northern chile: High cancer risks 40 years after exposure cessation. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 22(4):623-630.

Sumi D, Himeno S. 2012. Role of arsenic (+3 oxidation state) methyltransferase in arsenic metabolism and toxicity. Biol Pharm Bull 35:1870-1875.

Syed EH, Melkonian S, Poudel KC, et al. 2013. Arsenic exposure and oral cavity lesions in Bangladesh. J Occup Environ Med 55(1):59-66.

Taylor M, Lau BP, Feng SY, et al. 2013. Effects of oral exposure to arsenobetaine during pregnancy and lactation in Sprague-Dawley rats. J Toxicol Environ Health A 76(24):1333-1345.

Tellez-Plaza M, Gribble MO, Voruganti VS, et al. 2013. Heritability and preliminary genome-wide linkage analysis of arsenic metabolites in urine. Environ Health Perspect 121(3):345-351.

Tofail F, Vahter M, Hamadani JD, et al. 2009. Effect of arsenic exposure during pregnancy on infant development at 7 months in rural Matlab, Bangladesh. Environ Health Perspect 117(2):288-293.

Tokar EJ, Diwan BA, Thomas DJ, et al. 2012a. Tumors and proliferative lesions in adult offspring after maternal exposure to methylarsonous acid during gestation in CD1 mice. Arch Toxicol 86(6):975-982.

Tokar EJ, Diwan BA, Waalkes MP. 2012b. Renal, hepatic, pulmonary and adrenal tumors induced by prenatal inorganic arsenic followed by dimethylarsinic acid in adulthood in CD1 mice. Toxicol Lett 209(2):179-185.

Torrance K, Keenan H, Munk L, et al. 2012. Arsenic speciation and mobility in surface water at Lucky Shot Gold Mine, Alaska. Environ Geochem Health 34(6):711-723.

Tseng HP, Wang YH, Wu MM, et al. 2006. Association between chronic exposure to arsenic and slow nerve conduction velocity among adolescents in Taiwan. J Health Popul Nutr 24(2):182-189.

Tsuji JS, Van Kerkhove MD, Kaetzel RS, et al. 2005. Evaluation of exposure to arsenic in residential soil. Environ Health Perspect 113(12):1735-1740.

USDA. 2013. Nonsynthetic substances prohibited for use in organic crop production. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Code of Federal Regulations 7 CFR 205.602, Subgroup G. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2013-title7-vol3/pdf/CFR-2013-title7-vol3-sec205-602.pdf. January 28, 2015.

USGS. 2006. Biota, sediment, and soil samples using collision/reaction cell inductively coupled plasmamass spectrometry. Chapter 1, Section B, Methods of the national water quality laboratory. Book 5, Laboratory analysis. U.S. Geological Survey. http://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/2006/tm5b1/PDF/TM5-B1.pdf. January 27, 2015.

USGS. 2011a. Arsenic, metals, and nutrients in runoff from two detention basins to Raccoon Creek, New Jersey Coastal Plain, 2008. U.S. Geological Survey. http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5017/. January 30, 2015.

USGS. 2011b. Arsenic and uranium in water from private wells completed in bedrock of east-central Massachusetts-concentrations, correlations with bedrock units, and estimated probability maps. U.S. Geological Survey. http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5013/pdf/sir2011-5013.pdf. January 30, 2015.

USGS. 2014. Arsenic. Mineral commidity summaries. Volume 1. Metals and minerals. U.S. Geological Survey. http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/arsenic/mcs-2014-arsen.pdf. January 27, 2015.

Valenzuela OL, Borja-Aburto VH, Garcia-Vargas GG, et al. 2005. Urinary trivalent methylated arsenic species in a population chronically exposed to inorganic arsenic. Environ Health Perspect 113(3):250-254.

Vall O, Gomez-Culebras M, Garcia-Algar O, et al. 2012. Assessment of prenatal exposure to arsenic in Tenerife Island. PLoS ONE 7(11):e50463.

http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0050463. January 29, 2015.

Verdon CP, Caldwell KL, Fresquez MR, et al. 2009. Determination of seven arsenic compounds in urine by HPLC-ICP-DRC-MS: A CDC population biomonitoring method. Anal Bioanal Chem 393:939-947.

Vuyyuri SB, Ishaq M, Kuppala D, et al. 2006. Evaluation of micronucleus frequencies and DNA damage in glass workers exposed to arsenic. Environ Mol Mutagen 47(7):562-570.

WA DOH. 2015. High arsenic area study: South Widbey Island. Biomonitoring in Washington State. Washington State Department of Health.

http://www.doh.wa.gov/DataandStatisticalReports/EnvironmentalHealth/Biomonitoring/Projects/HighArs enicArea. January 20, 2015.

Waalkes MP, Qu W, Tokar EJ, et al. 2014. Lung tumors in mice induced by "whole life" inorganic arsenic exposure at human-relevant doses. Arch Toxicol 88:1619-1629.

Wade TJ, Xia Y, Wu K, et al. 2009. Increased mortality associated with well-water arsenic exposure in Inner Mongolia, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 6(3):1107-1123.

Wang A, Wolf DC, Sen B, et al. 2009b. Dimethylarsinic acid in drinking water changed the morphology of urinary bladder but not the expression of DNA repair genes of bladder transitional epithelium in F344 rats. Toxicol Pathol 37(4):425-437.

Wang CH, Chen CL, Hsiao CK, et al. 2009a. Increased risk of QT prolongation associated with atherosclerotic diseases in arseniasis-endemic area in southwestern coast of Taiwan. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 239(3):320-324.

Wang SL, Li WF, Chen CJ, et al. 2011. Hypertension incidence after tap-water implementation: A 13year follow-up study in the arseniasis-endemic area of southwestern Taiwan. Sci Total Environ 409(21):4528-4535.

Warren C, Duzgoren-Aydin NS, Weston J, et al. 2012. Trace element concentrations in surface estuarine and marine sediments along the Mississippi Gulf Coast following Hurricane Katrina. Environ Monit Assess 184(2):1107-1119.

Wasserman GA, Liu X, Loiacono NJ, et al. 2014. A cross-sectional study of well water arsenic and child IQ in Maine schoolchildren. Environ Health 13(1):23. http://www.ehjournal.net/content/13/1/23. January 29, 2015.

Wasserman GA, Liu X, Parvez F, et al. 2007. Water arsenic exposure and intellectual function in 6-yearold children in Araihazar, Bangladesh. Environ Health Perspect 115(2):285-289.

Wasserman GA, Liu X, Parvez F, et al. 2011. Arsenic and manganese exposure and children's intellectual function. Neurotoxicology 32(4):450-457.

Watanabe T, Hirano S. 2013. Metabolism of arsenic and its toxicological relevance. Arch Toxicol 87(6):969-979.

Wei Y, Zhu J, Nguyen A. 2014. Rice consumption and urinary concentrations of arsenic in US adults. Int J Environ Health Res 24(5):459-470.

WHO. 2000. Air quality guidelines for Europe. WHO Regional Publications, European Series, No. 91. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/74732/E71922.pdf. January 26, 2015.

WHO. 2011a. Safety evaluation of certain contaminants in food. WHO food additives series: 63. FAO JECFA Monographs 8. World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241660631_eng.pdf. January 27, 2015.

WHO. 2011b. Guidelines for drinking-water quality. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241548151_eng.pdf?ua=1. September 9, 2014.

Wildfang E, Zakharyan RA, Aposhian HV. 1998. Enzymatic methylation of arsenic compounds. VI. Characterization of hamster liver arsenite and methylarsonic acid methyltransferase activities in vitro. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 152:366-375.

Wragg J, Cave M, Basta N, et al. 2011. An inter-laboratory trial of the unified BARGE bioaccessibility method for arsenic, cadmium and lead in soil. Sci Total Environ 409(19):4016-4030.

Wu CC, Huang YK, Chung CJ, et al. 2013. Polymorphism of inflammatory genes and arsenic methylation capacity are associated with urothelial carcinoma. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 272:30-36.

Wu CC, Su CT, Lee HL, et al. 2012. Joint effect of arsenic methylation profile and NNK metabolites on urothelial carcinoma. J Urol 188(5):1701-1705.

Wu J, Zhang C, Pei L, et al. 2014. Association between risk of birth defects occurring level and arsenic concentrations in soils of Lvliang, Shanxi province of China. Environ Pollut 191:1-7.

Wu MM, Chiou HY, Chen CL, et al. 2010. GT-repeat polymorphism in the heme oxygenase-1 gene promoter is associated with cardiovascular mortality risk in an arsenic-exposed population in northeastern Taiwan. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 248(3):226-233.

Xia Y, Wade TJ, Wu K, et al. 2009. Well water arsenic exposure, arsenic induced skin-lesions and self-reported morbidity in Inner Mongolia. Int J Environ Res Public Health 6:1010-1025.

Xu W, Bao H, Liu F, et al. 2012. Environmental exposure to arsenic may reduce human semen quality: Associations derived from a Chinese cross-sectional study. Environ Health 11:46. http://www.ehjournal.net/about/access. January 29, 2015. Xu XY, McGrath SP, Meharg AA, et al. 2008. Growing rice aerobically markedly decreases arsenic accumulation. Environ Sci Technol 42(15):5574-5579.

Yu D. 1998a. A realistic cancer risk assessment of inorganic arsenic. J Environ Sci Health A Environ Sci Eng Toxic Hazard A33(6):1149-1170.

Yu D. 1998b. Uncertainties in a pharmacokinetic modeling for inorganic arsenic. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng A33:1369-1370.

Yu D. 1999a. A physiologically based pharmacokinetic model of inorganic arsenic. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 29:128-141.

Yu D. 1999b. A pharmacokinetic modeling of inorganic arsenic: A short-term oral exposure model for humans. Chemosphere 39(15):2737-2747.

Yuan Y, Marshall G, Ferreccio C, et al. 2010. Kidney cancer mortality: Fifty-year latency patterns related to arsenic exposure. Epidemiology 21(1):103-108.

Zakharyan RA, Ayala-Fierro F, Cullen WR, et al. 1999. Enzymatic methylation of arsenic compounds. VII. Monomethylarsonous acid (MMAIII) is the substrate for MMA methyltransferase of rabbit liver and human hepatocytes. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 158(1):9-15.

Zavala YJ, Gerads R, Gorleyok H, et al. 2008. Arsenic in rice: II. Arsenic speciation in USA grain and implications for human health. Environ Sci Technol 42(10):3861-3866.