# **CHAPTER 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE**

## **5.1 OVERVIEW**

Copper and copper compounds have been identified in at least 929 of the 1,867 hazardous waste sites that have been proposed for inclusion on the EPA National Priorities List (NPL) (ATSDR 2019). However, the number of sites evaluated for copper and copper compounds is not known. The number of sites in each state is s[hown](#page-0-0) in Figure 5-1. Of these sites, 920 are located within the United States, 1 is located in Guam, 1 is located in the Virgin Islands, and 7 are located in Puerto Rico (not shown). Of the sites in the United States, 2 sites did not report a location and are not [reflected in](#page-0-0) Figure 5-1.

**Figure 5-1. Number of NPL Sites with Copper and Copper Compound Contamination** 

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

- Copper occurs naturally both in many minerals and in the metallic state. The top 10 applications for copper in the United States, in order of percentage of total use, are building wire, plumbing, and heating, automotive, air conditioning, refrigeration and natural gas, power utilities, telecommunications, in-plant equipment, ordnance, business electronics, and lighting and wiring devices.
- Industrial effluents, mining and production of copper and other metals, municipal solid waste management, and fossil fuel combustion account for a large portion of the total environmental

releases of copper and copper compounds. Natural sources of copper releases include windblown dust, volcanoes, decaying vegetation, forest fires, and sea spray.

- Copper is an essential micronutrient present in many foods. Copper gluconate and copper sulfate are direct food additives generally recognized as safe by the FDA.
- The general population is expected to be exposed to copper daily via inhalation and ingestion of foods, and dermally to a lesser extent.
- People living near copper smelters and refineries and workers in these and other industries may be exposed to high levels of dust-borne copper by both inhalation and ingestion.

Copper and its compounds are naturally present in the earth's crust and can be discharged naturally to air and water during weathering. Mean copper concentrations in the atmosphere measured at multiple U.S. locations ranged between 0.013 to 0.0792  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> from 2016 to 2019 (EPA 2020a). Airborne copper is associated with particulates that are derived from suspended soils, combustion sources, the manufacture or processing of copper-containing materials, or mine tailings. Copper associated with particulate matter is emitted into the air naturally from windblown dust, volcanoes, and anthropogenic sources, the largest of which are primary copper smelters and ore processing facilities. The major sources of releases to water are mining operations, agriculture, sludge from publicly owned treatment works (POTWs), and municipal and industrial solid waste. Mining and milling contribute the most waste. Copper is released to water as a result of natural weathering of soil and discharges from industries and sewage treatment plants. Copper compounds may also be intentionally applied to water to kill algae. Copper concentrations in groundwater vary widely from 0.2 to 98.4 µg/L (USGS 2020b). Copper is predominantly found in the Cu(II) state. Most of it is complexed or tightly bound to organic matter. Little is present in the free (hydrated) or readily exchangeable form. The combined processes of complexation, adsorption, and precipitation control the level of free Cu(II). The chemical conditions in most natural water is such that, even at relatively high copper concentrations, these processes will reduce the free Cu(II) concentration to extremely low values. The USGS reports the median level of copper in soil and sediment as 30 ppm (USGS 2016). Copper concentrations will be higher in soils that are close to sources of copper emissions.

In the general population, the highest exposures to copper come from drinking water and food. Copper can leach into drinking water from contact surfaces within the water distribution systems, the water treatment plant, and the in-home plumbing system. When a system has not been flushed after a period of disuse, the concentration of copper in tap water can exceed 1.3 mg/L, the EPA drinking water Action Level.

Many workers are exposed to copper in agriculture, industries connected with copper production, metal plating, and other industries. Based on the available data, people living close to NPL sites contaminated with copper may be at greater risk for exposure to copper than the general population with respect to inhalation of airborne particulates from the NPL sites, ingestion of contaminated water or soil, and/or uptake of copper by fruits and vegetables raised in gardens of residents living near NPL sites. People living near copper smelters and refineries and workers in these and other industries may be exposed to high levels of dust-borne copper by both inhalation and ingestion routes.

### **5.2 PRODUCTION, IMPORT/EXPORT, USE, AND DISPOSAL**

#### **5.2.1 Production**

Copper occurs naturally in many minerals, such as cuprite (Cu2O), tenorite (CuO), malachite  $(CuCO<sub>3</sub>·Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>)$ , azurite (2CuCO<sub>3</sub>·Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>), antlerite (CuSO<sub>4</sub>·2Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>), brochantite  $(CuSO<sub>4</sub>·3Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>)$ , chrysocolla  $(CuO·SiO<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O)$ , chalcopyrite  $(CuFS<sub>2</sub>)$ , chalcocite  $(Cu<sub>2</sub>S)$ , covellite (CuS), and bornite (Cu5FeS4). It also occurs uncombined as solely copper metal (Davenport 2001). Copper is most commonly present as copper-iron-sulfide and copper sulfide minerals (Schlesinger et al. 2011a). The copper content of ores ranges from 0.5 to 1 or 2% copper (Schlesinger et al. 2011a). Most copper is obtained from Cu-Fe-S ores, such as chalcopyrite and chalcocite, and the principal copper ore mineral is chalcopyrite, which yields a matte of approximately 50% copper (Morris and Wadsley 2001; Schlesinger et al. 2011a).

Mine production of recoverable copper in the United States totaled 1.3 million tons in 2019 (USGS 2020a). In 2015, the recoverable copper content per unit of ore mined was 0.47% (USGS 2017b). The United States is the world's fourth leading copper producer, along with Congo and following Chile, China, and Peru (USGS 2020a). In 2019, copper was actively mined in seven states with Arizona accounting for 68% of U.S. copper production, followed by Utah, New Mexico, Nevada, Montana, Michigan, and Missouri (USGS 2020a). There were 24 copper-producing U.S. mines in 2019, and 15 mines accounted for 99% of production in the United States. Production, processing and use of copper and copper compounds in the United States reported to the EPA's Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), listed by state, are displayed in [Table](#page-3-0) 5-1 and [Table](#page-4-0) 5-2, respectively.

# **Table 5-1. Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



## **Table 5-1. Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper**

aPost office state abbreviation used.

**bAmounts on site reported by facilities in each state,** cActivities/Uses:

- 
- 
- 4. Sale/Distribution

2. Import 7. Formulation Component<br>
3. Used Processing 8. Article Component

- 
- 5. Byproduct 10. Chemical Processing Aid
- 1. Produce 6. Reactant 11. Manufacture Aid
	-
	- 8. Article Component 13. Manufacture Impurity<br>
	9. Repackaging 14. Process Impurity
		-

Source: TRI18 2019; Data are from 2018

## **Table 5-2. Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper Compounds**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

# **Table 5-2. Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper Compounds**





## **Table 5-2. Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper Compounds**

aPost office state abbreviation used.

Source: TRI18 2019; Data are from 2018

**bAmounts on site reported by facilities in each state,** cActivities/Uses:

2. Import 7. Formulation Component 12. Ancillary

9. Repackaging The Contract Contract of the Separate Separate 14. Process Impurity

5. Byproduct 10. Chemical Processing Aid

1. Produce a metal is not more contained by the 6. Reactant contains the containing of the 11. Manufacture Aid

3. Used Processing 
and the B. Article Component (and the Manufacture Impurity and the State/Distribution of the State of Pepackaging (and the State of the S

Copper from oxidized minerals is usually produced by leaching, solvent extraction, and electrowinning (Schlesinger et al. 2011b). Since most copper comes from Cu-Fe-S ores that are not easily dissolved by aqueous solutions, most extraction occurs by concentration, smelting, and refining (Schlesinger et al. 2011b). This extraction occurs by crushing and grinding the ore and then isolating mineral particles to a concentrate by froth flotation, smelting the concentrate to a matte, oxidizing the matte to impure molten copper, and then fire- and electrorefining the copper (Schlesinger et al. 2011b).

Production of copper in the United States includes not only the processing of both domestic and foreign ores, but also the recovery of scrap. Scrap is a significant part of the U.S. copper supply. There are three types of scrap: home scrap (copper that primary producers cannot further process or sell), old scrap (metal that has been used in products), and new scrap (generated during manufacturing) (Schlesinger et al. 2011c). In 2015, smelting was performed in the United States by three smelters with a combined production of 527,000 metric tons per year (USGS 2017b). During 2015, three refineries produced 1,090,000 metric tons of copper from primary sources and 48,800 from secondary materials (scrap) for a combined total refinery production in the United States of 1,140,000 tons (USGS 2017b). Production of secondary copper amounted to 805,000 metric tons in 2015 (USGS 2017b). In 2019, three smelters, three electrolytic refineries, four fire refineries, and 14 electrowinning facilities operated in the United States (USGS 2020a). Refineries produced 1,000,000 metric tons from ore and 45,000 metric tons from scrap, for a total refinery production of 1,045,000 metric tons.

Copper sulfate is also produced as a byproduct of copper production by ore-leaching with sulfuric acid as the solvent. Production of copper sulfate in the United States increased from 22,800 metric tons in 2011

to 23,000 metric tons in 2013 but decreased to 18,497 metric tons in 2015 (USGS 2017b). Production figures for other copper compounds are not reported by the USGS.

### **5.2.2 Import/Export**

In 2019, 35,000 metric tons of unmanufactured copper and 650,000 metric tons of refined copper were imported into the United States. (USGS 2020a). Chile, Canada, and Mexico were the principal sources of imported refined copper. Imports of copper sulfate amounted to 43,900 metric tons in 2015 and were primarily obtained from Mexico (USGS 2017b).

In 2019, the United States exported 330,000 metric tons of unmanufactured copper and 140,000 metric tons of refined copper (USGS 2020a). In 2015, copper scrap was the leading U.S. copper export at 426,000 metric tons (USGS 2017b). Exports of copper sulfate amounted to 6,170 metric tons in 2015 (USGS 2017b).

### **5.2.3 Use**

Copper is one of the most important metals used in industries because of its resistance to corrosion, antimicrobial properties, durability, ductility, malleability, and electrical and thermal conductivity. It is used primarily as the metal or in alloys. Its alloys, including brass and bronze, are important commodities (USGS 2009a). Currently American coins are copper alloys (USDT 2018). A small percentage of copper production goes into the manufacture of copper compounds, primarily copper sulfate.

After accounting for production, imports, and exports, 1,800,000 metric tons of copper were available for use in 2019 (USGS 2020a). The Copper Development Association estimates that the end-use distribution of copper and copper alloy products in 2019 were: building construction, 43%; electrical and electrical products, 20%; transportation equipment, 20%; consumer and general products, 10%; and industrial machinery and equipment, 7% (USGS 2020a). The top 10 applications for copper in the United States, in order of percentage of total use, are building wire, plumbing and heating, automotive, air conditioning, refrigeration and natural gas, power utilities, telecommunications, in-plant equipment, ordnance, business electronics, and lighting and wiring devices (Schlesinger et al. 2011b). Copper plumbing is used in water distribution systems (Edwards et al. 2001; EPA 1995; Grace et al. 2012; Knobeloch et al. 1998; Lagos et al. 2001; Rajaratnam et al. 2002; Schock and Sandvig 2009; Turek et al. 2011). Copper and its salts are also used in cookware, kitchen utensils, and mugs; marine antifouling paints; animal feed supplements; fertilizers, fireworks; brake pads; water pipes; roofs; gutters; shingles; wood preservatives; and tires (Banavi et al. 2020; Koo et al. 2020; Lifset et al. 2012; Ni and Li 2008).

copper to the control of th

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

The EPA has registered about 300 copper compounds and alloys as antimicrobial agents (Vincent et al. 2016). Copper-silver ionization filters have been used in hospital water systems to control waterborne pathogens (Huang et al. 2008; Rohr et al. 1999), and copper sulfate is used as an algaecide and bactericide in drinking water in the United States (NSF 2021). Since copper's antimicrobial properties make it useful for drinking water treatment and distribution, it also has potential uses for reducing microbial contamination and health care-associated infections by controlling microorganisms in heating ventilation and air-conditioning systems (Arendsen et al. 2019; Vincent et al. 2016). Aside from possible use for controlling contamination and infections, copper has some other uses in medicine and health care. Copper-containing ointments are used in anthroposophical medicine (Gorter et al. 2004). Copper intrauterine devices (IUDs) are commonly used forms of birth control (Gu et al. 2012; Wildemeersch et al. 2014). Copper is also available in multivitamins, dietary supplements, and fortified foods.

Copper and copper compounds have many applications in agriculture, food processing, and production. Copper and copper compounds are registered as fungicides, bactericides, algaecides, herbicides, insecticides, and molluscicides for use on almost all food and feed crops (EPA 2009b). Copper can be present in growth stimulants and fertilizers for plants. Copper sulfate is used in land-applied pesticides in United States agriculture, primarily as a fungicide and bactericide for fruits and vegetables, and as a algaecide n reservoirs and waterways (Lifset et al. 2012). Industrial applications of copper sulfate include use as an activator in froth flotation of sulfide ores, production of chromated copper arsenate wood preservatives, electroplating, azo dye manufacturing, mordant for textile dyes, petroleum refining and in the manufacture of other copper salts such as copper hydroxide and copper carbonate (Mannsville Chemical Products 1984).

USGS estimates annual agricultural pesticide use in U.S. counties as part of the Pesticide National Synthesis Project. Estimated use for copper and copper compounds pesticides is presented in [Table 5-3.](#page-8-0)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>





## **Table 5-3. Estimated Pesticide Use (kg) in the United States from 2013-2017**

Source: USGS 2017a

Copper is widely used in many applications, and demand is projected to increase. However, as ore grades and natural deposits are depleted, more emphasis may be put on a circular economy of copper and secondary production (Ciacci et al. 2020; Shipper et al. 2018). Under different models to explore the impacts of different futures on global copper supply/demand, demand is estimated to increase by 300- 2100% through 2100, depending on population, welfare, and renewable energy development (Schipper et al. 2018). All scenarios result in increased demand that would deplete copper resources (Schipper et al. 2018). While increasing secondary flows and recycling could meet increasing demands and result in a circular economy, most scenarios analyzed by Ciacci et al. (2020) for Europe would not meet greenhouse gas reduction targets unless green technology and equitable lifestyles are emphasized.

## **5.2.4 Disposal**

Based on a review of several papers, it is estimated that 40%-84% of copper in waste materials is recovered, depending on the country (Schlesinger et al. 2011c). The recycling rate in the United States is estimated to be between 29 and 49% (Lifset et al. 2002; Lifset et al. 2012). In 2019, copper in scrap was estimated to contribute about 35% of the U.S. copper supply (USGS 2020a). There are several recycling processes depending on the copper content of scrap material, other metals present in the scrap, and size. Clean, high-grade copper scrap can be re-melted and recovered without further refining, while scrap of lower grade must be refined, often through electrorefining (Samuelsson and Bjorkman 2014). Copper is removed from industrial wastewaters using a variety of processes, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, membrane filtration, flotation, electrochemical treatment, coagulation/flocculation, and adsorption (Bilal et al. 2013). Copper and copper compounds that are not recycled are disposed of in landfills (Cui and Zhang 2008).

In case of a solid copper sulfate spill on land, the solids should be protected from rain and fire-fighting water by covering the material with plastic sheeting (AAR 1994). In the event of a water spill, the copper sulfate should be neutralized with crushed limestone, slaked lime, or sodium bicarbonate, and the solidified masses should be removed (AAR 1994).

COPPER 167

### **5.3 RELEASES TO THE ENVIRONMENT**

The Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) data should be used with caution because only certain types of facilities are required to report (EPA 2005). This is not an exhaustive list. Manufacturing and processing facilities are required to report information to the TRI only if they employ ≥10 full-time employees; if their facility is included in Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Codes 10 (except 1011, 1081, and 1094), 12 (except 1241), 20–39, 4911 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating electricity for distribution in commerce), 4931 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating electricity for distribution in commerce), 4939 (limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating electricity for distribution in commerce), 4953 (limited to facilities regulated under RCRA Subtitle C, 42 U.S.C. section 6921 et seq.), 5169, 5171, and 7389 (limited S.C. section 6921 et seq.), 5169, 5171, and 7389 (limited to facilities primarily engaged in solvents recovery services on a contract or fee basis); and if their facility produces, imports, or processes  $\geq$ 25,000 pounds of any TRI chemical or otherwise uses  $>$ 10,000 pounds of a TRI chemical in a calendar year (EPA 2005).

Industrial releases such as industrial effluents, mining and production of copper and other metals, municipal solid waste management, and fossil fuel combustion account for a portion of the total environmental releases of copper and copper compounds. Other sources of copper released into the environment include pesticides, marine paints, animal feeds, fertilizers, fireworks, brake pad wear, copper pipe corrosion, leaching from architectural surfaces, releases from treated wood, vehicle fluid leaks, tire wear, wood combustion, biomass burning, and sewage sludge (Lifset et al. 2012; Rauch and Graedel 2007). Natural sources of copper releases include windblown dust, volcanoes, decaying vegetation, forest fires, and sea spray (Georgopoulos et al. 2001; Rauch and Graedel 2007).

### **5.3.1 Air**

Estimated releases of 329,895 pounds (~150 metric tons) of copper to the atmosphere from 2,428 domestic manufacturing and processing facilities in 2018 accounted for about 1.7% of the estimated total environmental releases from facilities required to report to the TRI (TRI18 2020). These releases are summarized in [Table](#page-11-0) 5-4. Estimated releases of 567,357 pounds (~257 metric tons) of copper compounds to the atmosphere from 1,635 domestic manufacturing and processing facilities in 2018 accounted for about 0.3% of the estimated total environmental releases from facilities required to report to the TRI (TRI18 2020). These releases are summarized in [Table](#page-14-0) 5-5.

# **Table 5-4. Releases to the Environment from Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper**

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

# **Table 5-4. Releases to the Environment from Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper**



## **Table 5-4. Releases to the Environment from Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper**



Source: TRI18 2020; Data are from 2018

RF = Reporting Facilities; UI = Underground Injection

<sup>a</sup> The TRI data should be used with caution since only certain types of facilities are required to report. This is not an exhaustive list. Data are rounded to nearest whole number.

b Data in TRI are maximum amounts released by each facility.

c Post office state abbreviations are used.

d Number of reporting facilities.

<sup>e</sup> The sum of fugitive and point source releases by a given facility.

<sup>f</sup> The sum of on-site surface water discharges, and off-site transfers to wastewater treatment-(metals only), and publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) (metal and metal compounds).

<sup>g</sup> The sum of on-site and off-site disposal to underground injection wells (Class I wells and Class II-V).

h The sum of on-site and off-site disposal to: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) subtitle C landfills, other landfills, RCRA subtitle C surface impoundments, other surface impoundments, land treatment, other land disposal.

<sup>i</sup> Includes the sum of off-site transfers to storage only, solidification/stabilization (metals only) disposal, other off-site management, waste broker for disposal, unknown.

<sup>j</sup> Total on-site disposal or other releases of the chemical including emissions to air, surface water discharges, land, and underground injection wells.<br><sup>k</sup> Total amount of chemical transferred off-site for disposal or ot

1

<span id="page-14-0"></span>



## **Table 5-5. Releases to the Environment from Facilities that Produce, Process, or Use Copper Compounds**



Source: TRI18 2020; Data are from 2018

RF = Reporting Facilities; UI = Underground Injection

<sup>a</sup> The TRI data should be used with caution since only certain types of facilities are required to report. This is not an exhaustive list. Data are rounded to nearest whole number.

**b Data in TRI are maximum amounts released by each facility.** 

c Post office state abbreviations are used.

d Number of reporting facilities.

<sup>e</sup> The sum of fugitive and point source releases by a given facility.

<sup>f</sup> The sum of on-site surface water discharges, and off-site transfers to wastewater treatment-(metals only), and publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) (metal and metal compounds).

<sup>g</sup> The sum of on-site and off-site disposal to underground injection wells (Class I wells and Class II-V).

h The sum of on-site and off-site disposal to: Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) subtitle C landfills, other landfills, RCRA subtitle C surface impoundments, other surface impoundments, land treatment, other land disposal.

<sup>i</sup> Includes the sum of off-site transfers to: storage only, solidification/stabilization (metals only) disposal, other off-site management, waste broker for disposal, unknown.

<sup>j</sup> Total on-site disposal or other releases of the chemical including emissions to air, surface water discharges, land, and underground injection wells.<br><sup>k</sup> Total amount of chemical transferred off-site for disposal or ot

Copper is emitted into the air from both natural and anthropogenic sources. Global atmospheric concentrations and releases of copper from manmade and natural sources have been estimated (Rauch and Graedel 2007) Estimates for the natural and anthropogenic emissions copper from various sources are shown in Table 5-6 and [Table 5-7.](#page-17-0) Based on these data, 6.9x107 kg/year of copper from natural sources is estimated to be emitted to the atmosphere.

**Table 5-6. Global Emissions of Copper from Natural Sources in the mid-1990s**

Source	Emissions (Gg <sup>a</sup> Cu/year)
Windblown dust	50
Sea salt spray	13
Biomass burning	3.3
Agricultural burning	0.14
Volcanic outgassing	27

 $^{\rm a}$ One Gg is one billion (10 $^{\rm 9}$ ) grams. It is the same as one million (10 $^{\rm 6}$ ) kilograms. Source: Rauch and Graedel 2007

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>**Table 5-7. Global Emissions of Copper from Anthropogenic Sources in the mid-1990s**



<sup>a</sup>One Gq is one billion (10<sup>9</sup>) grams. It is the same as one million (10<sup>6</sup>) kilograms. Source: Rauch and Graedel 2007

Windblown dusts account for an estimated global emission of 5.0x10<sup>7</sup> kg/year of copper into the atmosphere (Rauch and Graedal 2007). Other natural sources of copper emitted into air (in order of highest to lowest worldwide emissions) are sea salt spray, biomass burning, and volcanoes. Anthropogenic emission sources include nonferrous metal production, fabrication, and use; fossil fuel combustion; metal production; and mining. Lifset et al. (2012) estimates the following emissions to the atmosphere in the United States: fireworks  $(2.2x10^5 \text{ kg/year})$ , copper primary production  $(4.7x10^5$ kg/year), copper waste management  $(1.9x10<sup>5</sup>$  kg/year), coal combustion  $(1.36x10<sup>6</sup>$  kg/year), oil combustion (4.5x10<sup>5</sup> kg/year), metals production (2.0x10<sup>4</sup> kg/year), and wood combustion (4.0x10<sup>4</sup> kg/year).

The EPA conducted a detailed study of the total amount of copper emitted into the atmosphere (Weant

1985). The sources of emissions and the estimated quantities of copper emitted in  $10^6$  kg/year are presented in Table 5-8.



## **Table 5-8. Copper Emissions into the Atmosphere in 1984**

 $^{\rm a}$ One Gg is one billion (10 $^{\rm 9}$ ) grams. It is the same as one million (10 $^{\rm 6}$ ) kilograms. Source: Weant 1985

Using the ranges of copper emitted from these sources, it is estimated that annual U.S. copper emissions into air are  $0.94$  to  $79.74(x10<sup>5</sup>)$  kg. No recent reports updating these estimates have been found but due to changes in these industries over time, emissions are likely different now.

Daily stack emission rates have been reported for three coal-burning power plants on a kg/day/1,000 megawatt basis (Que Hee et al. 1982); they were 0.3–0.7 and 2.00 kg/day/1,000 megawatt for those using low-sulfur western coal and high-sulfur eastern coal, respectively. This amounted to annual emission rates of 110–260 megawatt for the low-sulfur western coal and 730 kg/1,000 megawatt for the high-sulfur eastern coal.

Emission factors in grams of copper released to the atmosphere per ton of product have been estimated for various industries (Nriagu and Pacyna 1988). These factors would enable estimation of an industry's copper emissions from its production volume. Missing from these emission estimates is fugitive dust arising from drilling, blasting, loading, and transporting operations associated with copper mining. The most common control for reducing fugitive dust is the manual use of water sprays (EPA 1980). The highest concentrations of copper in atmospheric particulate matter were obtained from mining activities, primary and secondary production, and industrial manufacturing [\(Table 5-8\)](#page-19-0).

Romo-Kröger et al. (1994) were able to show, through the use of radioactive tracers and cluster analysis of inter-elemental correlations, that copper, arsenic, sulfur, and zinc measured near a copper smelter in

Chile were derived from the plant and not from the surrounding soil. The concentration of copper in air near the plant decreased from 66 to 22 ng/m<sup>3</sup> of fine particles and from 131 to 50 ng/m<sup>3</sup> of coarse particles during a period of inactivity at the plant, demonstrating the contribution of plant emissions to copper levels in the surrounding area.

## <span id="page-19-0"></span>**Table 5-9. Concentrations of Copper in Particulate Matter (<10 µm) Generated from Various Sources**



<sup>a</sup> Values obtained from CEIDARS 2000

\* Data obtained from USEPA Speciate 3.0; Shareef, G.S; Radian, September 1987

† Data obtained from KVB Literature Search

Copper and other pollutants are present in fugitive dust originating from copper production sites or from waste sites. In one study, the amount of airborne copper and other heavy metals deposited near a large refuse dump that received municipal and industrial waste and sewage sludge was determined by first measuring the amount of the metal accumulated in moss bags suspended 1–3 meters above the ground. The deposition rate was then determined from the amount of copper in the moss bags accumulated over the summer of 1985 and compared with that for an agricultural control area. The mean copper deposition rates in the two areas were about the same: 0.55 mg/kg-month (range of 0.04–1.6 mg/kg-month) over the refuse dump and 0.51 mg/kg-month (range of 0.26–0.76 mg/kg-month) in the control area (Lodenius and Braunschweiler 1986). Lodenius and Braunschweiler (1986) concluded that the refuse dump did not contribute to copper concentrations in urban air above normal values.

A study of automobile exhaust emitted from light-duty vehicles conducted in Denver, Colorado showed that this source of copper emission makes a small local contribution to copper in air. The amount of

copper emitted in exhaust from automobiles powered by regular gasoline has been measured to be 0.001– 0.003 mg/mile driven using the Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) of the Federal Test Schedule (FTS) during the summer of 1996 and the winter of 1997 (Cadle et al. 1999). Diesel-powered vehicles were also studied and found to emit 0.005–0.039 mg of copper per mile driven for vehicles using #2 diesel fuel.

Only in a few cases has the form of copper released into the air been determined. Copper released into the atmosphere can be in particulate matter in the elemental form or in the form of an oxide, sulfate, or carbonate. Because copper smelters co-emit sulfur oxides gases, copper is expected to be released largely as the sulfate in particulate matter from these facilities. Combustion processes are reported to release copper into the atmosphere as the oxide, elemental copper, and adsorbed copper. Cupric oxide has been identified in emissions from steel manufacturing and in fly ash from oil-fired power plants and openhearth steel mills (Perwak et al. 1980). Copper associated with particles  $(\leq 10 \,\mu\text{m})$  has been suggested to originate from windblown soil and dust (Schroeder et al. 1987). Generally, aerosols from sea spray, dust, and volcanic mineral emissions tend to be larger than particles formed by condensation of gases in the troposphere (Buseck and Posfai 1999).

Copper and copper compounds were detected in air at 19 of the 929 NPL hazardous waste sites where copper had been detected in other environmental media (ATSDR 2019).

In a study of particulate matter emitted by fireworks, Hickey et al. (2020) sampled 10 types of fireworks and found that four of the 12 samples contained copper at concentrations of 12,000 to 53,000 ppm in the PM<sub>10</sub> size range. Using an emission factor of 3,000 ppm developed by the European Copper Institute, Lifset et al. (2012) estimated that releases from fireworks in the United States increased from 40 metric tons in 1975 to 220 metric tons in 2000.

### **5.3.2 Water**

Estimated releases of 152,405 pounds (~69 metric tons) of copper to surface water from 2,428 domestic manufacturing and processing facilities in 2018 accounted for about 0.8% of the estimated total environmental releases from facilities required to report to the TRI (TRI18 2020). An additional 58,472 pounds (~24 metric tons) were released to publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) (TRI18 2020). These releases are summarized in [Table 5-4.](#page-11-0)

Estimated releases of 408,995 pounds (~186 metric tons) of copper compounds to surface water from 1,635 domestic manufacturing and processing facilities in 2018 accounted for about 0.2% of the estimated total environmental releases from facilities required to report to the TRI (TRI18 2020). An additional

51,907 pounds (~23 metric tons) were released to publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) (TRI18 2020). These releases are summarized in [Table 5-5.](#page-14-0)

Sources of copper releases to water include algaecides, marine paints, corrosion of metallic copper, architectural uses, chromated copper arsenate (CCA) wood management, industrial effluent, and copper mining leachate (Lifset et al. 2012). Copper and copper compounds were detected in water at 195 of the 929 NPL hazardous waste sites where copper has been detected in environmental media (ATSDR 2019).

Copper is a natural constituent of soil and will be transported into streams and waterways in runoff either due to natural weathering or anthropogenic soil disturbances (Rader et al. 2018). Sixty-eight percent of releases of copper to water is estimated to derive from soil runoff and weathering, while copper sulfate use represents 13% of releases to water and urban runoff contributes 2% (Perwak et al. 1980). In the absence of specific industrial sources, runoff is the major factor contributing to elevated copper levels in river water (Nolte 1988). In the previous EPA National Urban Runoff Program, 86 samples of runoff from 19 cities throughout the United States were analyzed, and copper was found in 96% of samples, at concentrations of  $1-100 \mu g/L$  (equivalent to ppb) with a geometric mean of 18.7  $\mu g/L$  (Cole et al. 1984).

Giusti et al. (1993) provided estimates of global anthropogenic and natural copper inputs into oceans that are derived from two sources: atmospheric deposition and riverine input. Atmospheric input has been estimated at  $14-45x10^6$  kg/year for copper in a dissolved form (e.g., rainwater) and  $2-7x10^6$  kg/year for copper in a particulate form (e.g., aerosols). Riverine input is estimated to be  $10x10^6$  kg/year as dissolved copper and 1,500x106 kg/year as copper bound to particulates.

Domestic wastewater is the major anthropogenic source of copper in waterways (Isaac et al. 1997; Nriagu and Pacyna 1988). Studies in Cincinnati and St. Louis showed discharges of copper into sewer systems from residential areas to be significant, with an average loading of 42 mg/person/day (Perwak et al. 1980). In a more comprehensive review, Jenkins and Russell (1994) reported a range of average copper loadings derived from residential and some small industrial contributions of 2.8–83 mg/person/day. Concentrations of copper in influents to 239 wastewater treatment plants (12,351 observations) were 0.0001–36.5 ppm, and the median value was  $\sim 0.4$  ppm (Minear et al. 1981). Copper is not entirely removed in POTWs, and releases from these facilities contribute ~8% of all copper released to water (Perwak et al. 1980). Inputs into the Narraganset Bay, Rhode Island, in decreasing order of importance, are sewage effluent, rivers, urban runoff, and atmospheric fallout (Mills and Quinn 1984; Santschi et al. 1984). Ninety percent of both dissolved and particulate copper was from the effluent of sewage treatment plants that discharged into the Providence River.

While some copper is removed from the waste stream by sewage treatment facilities, considerable copper remains in the effluent and is released into receiving waters (EPA 1981; Perwak et al. 1980). Because removal efficiencies for copper from waste streams tend to remain constant rather than proportional to influent copper concentrations, increases in copper concentrations in POTW influent streams will also result in increased copper concentrations in the effluent streams (Isaac et al. 1997). The copper in domestic wastewater has been found to make up a substantial fraction of the copper found in POTW influent in the wastewater systems of four Massachusetts municipalities. The range of removal efficiencies reported for pilot and full-scale plants suggests that removal depends strongly on plant operation or influent characteristics.

A source of copper released into waterways is from urban stormwater runoff. Copper in stormwater runoff originates from the sidings and roofs of buildings, various emissions from automobiles, and wet and dry depositional processes (Davis et al. 2001). Concentrations of between 1 and 100 µg/L of copper in stormwater runoff have been measured (Georgopoulos et al. 2001). Stormwater runoff normally contributes approximately 2% to the total copper released to waterways. In contrast, copper in runoff that is obtained from the natural weathering of soil or is released from disturbed soils contributes 68% of the copper released to waterways (Georgopoulos et al. 2001).

The best data on typical POTWs using secondary treatment show that 55–90% of copper is removed in these plants with a median and mean removal efficiency of 82% (Perwak et al. 1980). By contrast, those plants using only primary treatment had a 37% median removal efficiency. A more recent study focused on heavy metal removal in three POTWs that received primarily municipal sewage and used activated sludge as a secondary treatment. The study looked at removals in both the primary and secondary treatment stage. The mean removal of soluble copper and total copper after secondary treatment were 49– 82 and 83–90%, respectively. The average copper concentration in the final effluent was 17–102 ppb, which would amount to an output of between 0.58 and 3.47 kg of copper into receiving waters per day, based on an effluent volume of 34,000 cubic meters (9 million gallons) per day (Aulenbach et al. 1987; Stephenson and Lester 1987).

Overflow outfalls within combined sewer systems (e.g., combination of domestic and industrial wastewater plus stormwater) are the primary sources of copper pollutants entering estuaries and other coastal areas of the United States (Crawford et al. 1995; Georgopoulos et al. 2001; Huh 1996; Iannuzzi et al. 1997). For example, Crawford et al. (1995) compiled a summary of the sources of various metals and other contaminants into the Newark Bay estuary. The mass loadings of copper into the estuary as a function of source are (in kg/day): discharges from the Passaic Valley Commission and Middlesex

copper that the contract of th

County Sewerage Authority, 126.5; municipal treatment systems, 103.4; stormwater runoff, 62.2; combined sewer overflows, 48.0; tributary flow, 39.1; and industry direct discharge, 8.82.

Discharges to water from active mining and milling are small, and most of the western U.S. operations do not release any water because water is a scarce resource and is recycled (Perwak et al. 1980). Discharges from electroplating operations are either made directly to the water environment or indirectly via POTWs. Runoff from abandoned mines is estimated to contribute 314 metric tons annually to surface water (Perwak et al. 1980). These discharges are primarily insoluble silicates and sulfides and readily settle out into stream, river, or lake beds. Releases from manufactured products containing copper may be substantial but are difficult to predict. Corrosion of copper in plumbing or construction may result in direct discharges or runoff into waterways. Copper and brass production releases relatively little copper to water.

Wastewater generated from copper mining operations comes from seepage, runoff from tailing piles, or utility water used for mine operation. The amount of wastewater generated ranges from 0–300 L water/metric ton of ore mined for open pit copper mines and 8–4,000 L water/metric ton of ore mined underground (EPA 1980). Copper concentrations in wastewater from a selected open pit and underground copper mine were 1.05 and 0.87 ppm, respectively. Data regarding copper concentrations in wastewater associated with selected concentrating, smelting, and refining operations can be found in EPA (1980). Drainage from mining operations and abandoned mines has been shown to have an effect on copper content in local surface waters with concentrations as high as 69,000 ppb being measured (Rösner 1998).

Results of an EPA industrial effluent survey show that mean and maximum levels of copper in treated wastewater from six industries exceeded 1 and 10 ppm, respectively (EPA 1981). These industries and their mean and maximum discharges in ppm are inorganic chemicals manufacturing (<1.6, 18); aluminum forming (<160, 2,200); porcelain enameling (1.3, 8.8); gum and wood chemicals (1.4, 3.0); nonferrous metals manufacturing  $(1.4, 27.0)$ ; and paint and ink formulation  $(0.1.0, 60.0)$ . Emission factors in nanograms of copper released per L of water outflow have been estimated for various industries. These factors would enable estimation of an industry's copper releases if the discharge volumes were known (Nriagu and Pacyna 1988).

Effluents from power plants that use copper alloys in the heat exchangers of their cooling systems discharge copper into receiving waters (Harrison and Bishop 1984). The largest discharges occur after startup and decrease rapidly thereafter. At the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Station, a very high startup discharge containing 7,700 ppb of copper fell to 67 ppb after 24 hours (Harrison et al. 1980). During

COPPER 181

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

normal operation at two nuclear power stations  $6.5x10<sup>6</sup>$  cubic meters (1,700 million gallons) of seawater per day is used as cooling water for these facilities and discharged into the ocean with copper levels in the effluent ranging between 0.6 and 3.3 ppb (Harrison et al. 1980). This amounts to a total output of copper in the discharged seawater of 3.9–42 kg per day or 1,400–15,000 kg/annum from these two power plants. Except for after start-up of the cooling system, most of the soluble copper (that which passes through a 0.45 µm filter) discharged was in bound forms (Harrison et al. 1980). During normal operation, <20% of the copper released was in the <1,000 molecular weight fraction, which contains the more available copper species.

Copper sulfate is added directly to lakes, reservoirs, and ponds for controlling algae. However, the copper concentration in the water column generally returns to pretreatment levels within a few days (Effler et al. 1980; Perwak et al. 1980). The reduction in dissolved copper during this period was accompanied by an increase in particulate copper (e.g., sorption to algae or other organic matter, which settles into the sediments of these bodies of water). The copper in the settled particulates is in equilibrium with the water column, which greatly favors copper in a bound state.

A potential source of copper release into waterways is leachate from municipal landfills. Copper concentrations in leachate obtained from waste sites have been found to vary widely. For example, copper concentrations in leachate from municipal landfills have been found to range from 0.005 to 1,110 ppm (Christensen et al. 1994; Perwak et al. 1980; Roy 1994). Although copper was measured in these leachates, its origin may not be from copper contained within the waste site, but from the surrounding soils. Cyr et al. (1987) reported that leachate from three municipal landfills in New Brunswick, Canada, did not contain copper concentrations significantly above those in control samples representing the surrounding soil types. Therefore, the emissions of copper from landfills into leachates should be made relative to the contribution of copper from surrounding soils, as determined from appropriately selected control samples.

Copper can enter surface waters as a result of agricultural runoff. For example, estimated loading rates of copper into surface water from irrigation water runoff near the Stillwater National Wildlife Refuge ranged from 0.307 to 8.34 mg/hour, depending on what period of the irrigation season samples were taken (Kilbride et al. 1998). The highest loading rates were obtained during the middle period (August through mid-September) of the irrigation season. The copper in the runoff water was found to be predominantly bound to drift material in the water (e.g., algae, vascular plants, invertebrates, vertebrates, and detrital material).

#### **5.3.3 Soil**

Estimated releases of 13,034,828 pounds (~5,912 metric tons) of copper to soils from 2,428 domestic manufacturing and processing facilities in 2018 accounted for about 67% of the estimated total environmental releases from facilities required to report to the TRI (TRI18 2020). An additional 95,188 pounds (~43 metric tons), constituting about 0.5% of the total environmental emissions, were released via underground injection (TRI18 2020). These releases are summarized in [Table 5-4.](#page-11-0)

Estimated releases of 174,149,134 pounds (~78,993 metric tons) of copper compounds (excluding elemental copper) to soils from 1,635 domestic manufacturing and processing facilities in 2018 accounted for about 98% of the estimated total environmental releases from facilities required to report to the TRI (TRI18 2020). An additional 660,365 pounds (~300 metric tons), constituting about 0.4% of the total environmental emissions, were released via underground injection (TRI18 2020). These releases are summarized in [Table 5-5.](#page-14-0)

Copper and copper compounds were detected in soils from 245 of the 929 NPL hazardous waste sites where copper had been detected in environmental media (ATSDR 2019). An estimated 97% of copper released from all sources into the environment is primarily released to land (Perwak et al. 1980). These include primarily tailings and overburdens from copper mines and tailings from mills. The copper in tailings represents the portion of copper that could not be recovered from the ore and is generally in the form of insoluble sulfides or silicates (Perwak et al. 1980). These wastes accumulate in mining states. Other releases to land include sludge from POTWs, municipal refuse, waste from electroplating, iron, and steel producers, discarded copper products (e.g., plumbing, wiring) that are not recycled, fungicides, animal feed, fertilizers, brake pads, vehicle leaks, and tire wear (Lifset et al. 2012; Perwak et al. 1980). The copper content of municipal solid waste is  $\sim 0.16\%$ . Much of this waste is landfilled directly or is in the form of residues following incineration. Emission factors in milligrams of copper released per gram of solid waste have been estimated for various industries. These factors would enable estimation of an industry's copper releases in terms of total quantity of solid waste discharged. Sludge from sewage treatment plants is a major source of copper released to land (Nriagu and Pacyna 1988). Agricultural products are believed to constitute 2% of the copper released to soil (Perwak et al. 1980).

### **5.4 ENVIRONMENTAL FATE**

### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**5.4.1 Transport and Partitioning**

**Air.** Copper is released to the atmosphere in the form of particulate matter or adsorbed to particulate matter. It is removed by gravitational settling (bulk deposition), dry deposition (inertial impaction

characterized by a deposition velocity), in-cloud scavenging (attachment of particles to rain droplets within clouds), and washout (collision and capture of particles by falling raindrops below clouds) (Schroeder et al. 1987). The removal rate and distance traveled from the source depend on a number of factors, including source characteristics, particle size, turbulence, and wind velocity.

Gravitational settling governs the removal of large particles with mass median aerodynamic (MMA) diameters of >5 µm, whereas smaller particles are removed by the other forms of dry and wet deposition. The importance of wet to dry deposition generally increases with decreasing particle size. The scavenging ratio (ratio of the copper concentration in precipitation [ppm] to its air concentration [ $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>]) for large particles displays a seasonal dependence that reflects more effective scavenging by snow than by rain (Chan et al. 1986). Copper from combustion sources is often adsorbed to sub-micron particulate matter. Thermal process may also release copper oxide or elemental copper as a vapor or copper adsorbed to larger particulates (Perwak et al. 1980). Copper adsorbed to sub-micron particles remain in the troposphere for an estimated 7–30 days. In that time, some copper may be carried far from its source (Perwak et al. 1980).

Rates of metal deposition (e.g., depositional fluxes) vary between dry and wet depositional processes and show spatial variability. Dry depositional fluxes of copper tend to be higher in highly urbanized areas and lower in less urbanized areas or areas with minimal anthropogenic activity. For example, average depositional rates were 0.06 mg/m2/day in Chicago, Illinois, 0.007 mg/m2/day in South Haven, Michigan, and 0.01 mg/m2/day 6 to 10 km offshore of Lake Michigan (Paode et al. 1998). Estimated copper deposition rates in urban areas are 0.119 and 0.164 kg per hectare per year (kg/ha/year) or 0.0326 and 0.0449 mg/m2/day for dry and wet deposition, respectively (Schroeder et al. 1987). Bulk deposition reportedly ranges from 0.002–3.01 kg/ha/year or 0.0005–0.825 mg/m2/day (Golomb et al. 1997; Landing et al. 1995; Schroeder et al. 1987). For rural areas, the range of bulk deposition reportedly is 0.018–0.5 kg/ha/year or 0.0049–0.1 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day, and wet deposition is 0.033 kg/ha/year or 0.0090 mg/m<sup>2</sup>/day. The washout ratio is 140–751 (Schroeder et al. 1987).

Levels of airborne copper measured at a rural site in Bondville, IL were similar to regional background levels in other urban study sites with only episodic increases, depending on wind speed and direction and location relative to local point sources. In one urban study site (East St. Louis), smelters were the primary source of copper. Copper depositional fluxes followed an exponential decay as one transitions from urban to rural settings (Sweet et al. 1993). Soil was not the major source of copper in cities or nearby rural soils but was the predominant source for copper in the atmosphere over more remote areas (Fergusson and Stewart 1992). However, high copper concentrations in snow and aerosols from polar snowfields and

remote locations has been attributed to airborne pollution and long-range transport (Annibaldi et al. 2007; Dinu et al. 2020). Sources of copper in urban areas include coal combustion, soil, tire wear, and automobile emissions (Kim and Fergusson 1994). Long-range transported emissions from combustion processes are typically associated with fine particles; however, there can be instances where the highest concentrations of copper are measured in coarse particles near point sources (Paode et al. 1998).

Estimates of depositional velocities for fine particles ( $\leq$ 2.5  $\mu$ m) and coarse particles (2.5–10  $\mu$ m) in urban (Chicago) and rural (Kankalee, Illinois) areas have been made (Pirrone and Keeler 1993). The estimated depositional velocities are urban, 0.25–0.46 cm/second and rural, 0.18–0.25 for fine particles; and urban, 1.47–2.93 cm/second and rural, 0.87–1.71 cm/second for coarse particles. The differences in depositional velocities are thought to be due to higher surface roughness and wind velocities in Chicago.

Copper concentrations in particulates formed in a controlled study of waste oil combustion were (in  $\mu$ g/g): 687±11 (10 µm diameter), 575±8 (50 µm diameter), 552±12 (100 µm diameter), 568±9 (300 µm diameter), and  $489\pm8$  (500 µm diameter). Approximately 25% of copper was in the 10 µm fraction and  $\sim$ 18% was in each of the larger fractions (e.g., 50, 100, 300, and 500 µm diameter) (Nerín et al. 1999). More recent data on transport were not found.

**Water.** The average concentrations of copper in Lakes Superior, Erie, and Ontario are 760, 870, and 830 ng/L, respectively (Georgopoulos et al. 2001; Nriagu et al. 1996). These values were derived from measurements taken from 11, 11, and 9 nearshore and offshore sampling sites at different points in the water column up to depths of 251, 55, and 145 meters for Lakes Superior, Erie, and Ontario, respectively (Nriagu et al. 1996). In Lake Ontario, the highest copper concentrations were found at nearshore sampling sites neighboring Buffalo, New York (887–1,051 ng/L), Rochester, New York (1,041– 1,098 ng/L), and Kingston, Ontario (921–1,026 ng/L). The lowest concentrations of copper in Lake Ontario were measured in an offshore sampling site (540–710 ng/L) that was approximately 40 km from the Buffalo sampling site.

The atmospheric input of copper into the Great Lakes is  $330-1,470$  ng/m<sup>2</sup>/year, which amounts to a total deposition of 8.00–35.6x1013 ng/year (80.0–356 kg/year). This input of copper accounts for 60–80% of the anthropogenic input into Lake Superior and 20–70% into Lakes Erie and Ontario (Georgopoulos et al. 2001; Nriagu et al. 1996). The mean residency times of copper in sediments are estimated to be 15 years in Lake Erie and 101 years in Lake Superior (Georgopoulos et al. 2001; Nriagu et al. 1996).

Much of the copper discharged into waterways is bound to particulate matter and settles out. In the water column and in sediments, copper adsorbs to organic matter, hydrous iron and manganese oxides, and clay.

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

In the open water column, a significant fraction of the copper is adsorbed within the first hour of introduction, and in most cases, a steady state is obtained within 24 hours (Harrison and Bishop 1984). Most dissolved copper in POTW effluent and surface runoff is mostly already in complexed form (Sedlak et al. 1997). Copper in wastewater discharged into Back River leading into Chesapeake Bay, Maryland contained 53 ppb of copper, of which 36 ppb (based on weight) were in the form of settleable solids (Helz et al. 1975). The concentration of copper rapidly decreased downstream of the outfall so that 2–3 km from the outfall, the copper concentration had fallen to 7 ppb. The concentration of copper in sediment 2–3 km downstream from the outfall was about a factor of 10 higher than in uncontaminated areas (e.g., Rappahannock River). Based on their data and the results from other studies, Helz et al. (1975) estimated that approximately 200 metric tons of copper entered the Chesapeake Bay from the effluent discharged from waste treatment plants annually. Whitall et al. (2010) concluded that copper released from antifouling paint on boats was a likely source of copper measured in the Choptank river estuary, a tributary of the Chesapeake Bay.

Copper binds primarily to organic matter in estuarine sediment unless the sediment is low in organic matter content. Davies-Colley et al. (1984) determined copper's absorptivity to model phases in artificial seawater in order to estimate copper distributions between estuarine sedimentary phases and water. The model phases included hydrous iron and manganese oxides, clay, aluminosilicates, and organic matter. The binding affinities varied by over a factor of 10,000 and were in the following order: hydrous manganese oxide >organic matter >hydrous iron oxide >aluminosilicates >clay (montmorillonite). The partition coefficients at pH 7 for the more strongly binding phases (manganese oxide, iron oxide, and estuarine humic material), were 6,300, 1,300, and 2,500, respectively. The affinity increased somewhat with pH but did not vary appreciably when the salinity was reduced from 35 to 5%. Considering the typical compositional characteristics of estuarine sediment in terms of binding capacity, the results indicate that copper binds predominantly to organic matter (humic material) and iron oxides. Manganese oxide contributes only 1% to the binding because of its generally low concentration in sediment; the other phases are usually unimportant. These findings concur with results of selective extraction experiments (Badri and Aston 1983) and studies of the association of copper with humic material (Raspor et al. 1984).

**Sediment and Soil.** Most copper deposited on soil from the atmosphere, agricultural use, and solid waste and sludge disposal is retained in the upper 5–10 centimeters of soil in comparison to lower soil depths, except in sandy soils where the lability of bound copper is greater (Breslin 1999; Giusquiani et al. 1992; Hutchinson 1979; Luncan-Bouché et al. 1997; Levy et al. 1992; Perwak et al. 1980). Copper's movement in soil is determined by a host of physical and chemical interactions of copper with the soil components. In general, copper will adsorb to organic matter, carbonate minerals, clay minerals, or

copper to the control of th

hydrous iron and manganese oxides (EPA 1979; Fuhrer 1986; Janssen et al. 1997; Petruzzelli 1997; Tyler and McBride 1982). Sandy soils with low pH have the greatest potential for leaching. In a laboratory study, Luncan-Bouché et al. (1997) have shown that between 55 and 85% of copper bound to sand (with no other soil components added) is remobilized upon reduction of the pH from 9 to 4. In most temperate soils, the pH, organic matter, concentrations of metal oxyhydroxides, and ionic strength of the soil solutions are the key factors affecting adsorption (Elliot et al. 1986; Fuhrer 1986; Gerritse and Van Driel 1984; Janssen et al. 1997; Rieuwerts et al. 1998; Tyler and McBride 1982). The ionic strength and pH of the soil solution affect the surface charge of soils and thereby influence ionic interaction (Rieuwerts et al. 1998). Soil microorganisms also affect the absorption of copper in soils due to the uptake and assimilation of the metal by these microorganisms (Rieuwerts et al. 1998). However, it is not known how the rate of uptake and absorption capacity of the microorganisms for copper compares with the binding capacity and affinities of copper by organic matter in soils, such as humic and fulvic acids. When the amount of organic matter is low, the mineral content or Fe, Mn, and Al oxides become important in determining the adsorption of copper. Fuhrer (1986) reported that, in oxidized estuarine sediment, adsorption of copper is dominated both by amorphous iron oxide and humic material.

Copper binds strongly to soils with high organic content (14–34% organic matter, dry weight), and the distribution of copper in the soil solution is less affected by changes in pH (within the range of pH normally encountered in the environment) than other metals are (Gerritse and Van Driel 1984). In a laboratory study of competitive adsorption and leaching of metals in soil columns of widely different characteristics, copper eluted in a 0.01 M CaCl2 leaching solution much more slowly and in much lower quantities than Zn, Cd, and Ni from a low-pH and a high-pH mineral soils and not at all from peat soil, which contained the greatest amount of organic matter (Tyler and McBride 1982). Elliot et al. (1986) investigated pH-dependent adsorption of the divalent transition metal cations cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc in two mineral soils (silty clay loam,  $0.5$  g/kg organic dry weight, and sandy clay,  $1.6$  g/kg organic) and two soils containing considerable organic matter (loamy sand, 20.5 g/kg organic, and silt loam, 42.5 g/kg organic). Adsorption increased with pH, and copper and lead were much more strongly retained than cadmium and zinc. Reduction in absorptivity after removal of the organic matter demonstrated the importance of organic matter in binding copper. In a study of clay soils, Wu et al. (1999) observed preferential copper binding to organic matter but found higher binding affinities to fine  $(<0.2 \mu m$ ) clay fractions once the organic matter had been removed.

To determine the factors affecting copper leachability in soil, Hermann and Neumann-Mahlkau (1985) performed a study in the industrial Ruhr district of West Germany, which has a high groundwater table (10–80 cm from the surface) and a history of heavy metal pollution. Groundwater samples were taken

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

from six locations and two soil horizons, an upper oxidizing loam, and a lower reducing loam. Total copper concentrations were high in the upper soil horizons and low in the lower horizons. Copper showed a pronounced leachability only in the oxidizing environment. In the reducing environment, the mobility was low, possibly due to the formation of sulfides.

The mobility of copper from soils was also found to increase following the introduction of 10–100 mM sodium chloride or calcium magnesium acetate deicing salts into soil (Amrhein et al. 1992). The concentration of sodium chloride or calcium magnesium acetate used in the study approximate those in runoff water produced from the melting of snow along salted roadways.

For concentrations up to 2 mg of copper per liter of water, 25–75% of copper entering POTWs is removed in sludge, much of which is disposed of by spreading on land. Thus, it is useful to ascertain whether copper in sludge is apt to leach into soil. This did not appear to be the case: leachate collected from sludge-amended soil contained <12 ppb of copper (Perwak et al. 1980). Older studies found that small amounts of copper were found in leachate from soils treated with copper-containing sludge, and copper is typically confined to the upper 5-10 cm of soil (Breslin 1999; Davis et al. 1988; Giusquiani et al. 1992; Ritter and Eastburn 1978). In soils receiving long-term, heavy applications of sludge, high copper concentrations (471 mg/kg in comparison to 19.1 mg/kg in unamended control soils) were reported to depths of up to 25 cm (Richards et al. 1998). Brown et al. (1983) found that copper remained in the upper 12.7 cm of soil treated with sewage sludge for a year. The mobility of copper into soil from sludge was found to be determined mainly by the amount of soil organic carbon and soil surface area (Domergue and Védy 1992; Gao et al. 1997). In addition, soils amended by sludge with low metal content were found to have increased sorption of copper due to the increased binding capacity provided by the "low metal" organics in the sludge (Petruzzelli et al. 1994). From the results of other work, the major portion of the copper (40–74%) is expected to be associated with the organic Fe-Mn-oxide and carbonate fractions of most soils (Ma and Rao 1997).

Recent studies on the long-term effects of soil treated with organic amendments such as sludge, manure,

and compost, on copper availability have been published. Smolders et al. (2012) found that copper availability in soil treated long-term with organic amendments is lower than that in soil that has been spiked with  $Cu^{2+}$  salts because of its lower availability in the original matrix and due to aging reactions. Cagnarini et al. (2021) simulated long-term metal concentrations in soil treated with organic amendments in Switzerland. Copper concentrations have decreased over time and are projected to remain nearly constant or in decline through 2100 (Cagnarini et al. 2021). The model suggests that although

copper to the control of th

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

concentrations of copper in soil treated with sewage sludge are expected to decrease, historic inputs of sewage sludge would result in exceedances of the threshold concentration that would persist through 2100. Copper availability in soil to which stabilized sewage sludge or biosolids has been applied has also been more recently studied; concentrations of copper in biosolid treated clay, calcareous, and sandy soil were significantly higher than in control samples (Mahdy et al. 2007).

**Other Media.** The bioconcentration factor (BCF) of copper in fish obtained in field studies is 667 in marine fish and 50-200 in freshwater fish, suggesting a low potential for bioconcentration (Perwak et al. 1980). The BCF is higher for mollusks such as hard-shell clams and squid with BCFs of 30,000 and 2.1x107, respectively (Perwak et al. 1980). This may present a major dietary source of copper that could be of concern for those individuals who regularly consume oysters, clams, or squid. Since mollusks are filter feeders and copper concentrations are higher in particulates than in water, this is to be expected (Perwak et al. 1980). For example, a study was conducted with white suckers and bullheads, both bottomfeeding fish, in two acidic Adirondack, New York, lakes (Heit and Klusek 1985). These lakes were known to have received elevated loadings of copper, but the suckers and bullhead had average copper levels of only 0.85 and 1.2 ppm (dry weight) in their muscle tissue. The biomagnification ratio (the concentration of copper in fish compared to that in their potential food sources on a wet weight/wet weight basis) was <l, indicating no biomagnification in the food chain. The copper content of muscle tissue of fish from copper contaminated lakes near Sudbury, Ontario, did not differ significantly from that of the same fish species in lakes far from this source (Bradley and Morris 1986). In a commercial catfish pond where copper was applied as an algaecide, only 0.01% of the copper applied was taken up by the fish (Liu et al. 2006). Similarly, the copper concentration in shrimps in a shrimp farm with high copper bioavailability did not differ from other shrimp populations (Lacerda et al. 2009).

No evidence of bioaccumulation was obtained from a study of various pollutants in the muscle and livers of 10 mammal species in Donana National Park in Spain (Hernandez et al. 1985). The park is impacted by organochlorine compounds and heavy metals emitted from anthropogenic activities that surround the park. For example, the Guadalquivir River that flows through the park first flows through a major mining region in addition to a large urban area and industrial areas, potentially carrying with it contaminants acquired from these sites. The animal species in the study were classified into three categories (herbivorous, omnivorous, and carnivorous) to ascertain if the pollutants were showing biomagnification in higher trophic levels of animals. No evidence of copper biomagnification in the food chain was observed. Likewise, in a study of a food web in a beech tree forest in Northern Germany, there was no evidence of biomagnification in tertiary consumers (e.g., vole, shrew, and mouse) compared to secondary consumers (e.g., earthworm, snail, beetle, and isopod) (Scharenberg and Ebeling 1996). A study of heavy

\*\*\*DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT\*\*\*

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

metals in cottontail rabbits on mined land treated with sewage sludge showed that, while the concentration of copper in surface soil was 130% higher than in a control area, the elevation was relatively little in foliar samples. No significant increase in copper was observed in rabbit muscle, femur, kidney, or liver. Apparently, copper was not bioaccumulating in the food chain of the rabbit (Dressler et al. 1986).

At the lowest levels of the food chain, there is little evidence of copper bioaccumulation. In a study of copper uptake in earthworms as a function of copper concentration (6–320 mg/kg dry weight) in sludge amended soils, a bioconcentration factor of <1 (0.67) was obtained (Neuhauser et al. 1995). In another example, a study of earthworms and soil from 20 diverse sites in Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, copper concentrations in earthworms showed a poor correlation with that in soil (Beyer and Cromartie 1987). These results are consistent with the results of another study that also showed no clear correlation between copper concentrations in earthworm tissues and two soils that were heavily contaminated with heavy metals (copper concentrations of 242 and 815 mg/kg dry weight) (Marinussen et al. 1997).

However, there is some evidence in one study for bioconcentration of copper at low copper concentrations in soil. Even though Scharenberg and Ebeling (1996) showed that there was no evidence for biomagnification of copper in a forest food web, their results did show that the total concentrations of copper in the secondary (18.3–192.0 mg/kg dry weight) and tertiary consumers (9.9–17.4 mg/kg dry weight) were higher than the concentrations of the metal in the dominant vegetation (5.3–10.9 mg/kg dry weight) and soil (1.8–5.8 mg/kg dry weight) in the ecosystem.

Diks and Allen (1983) added copper to four sediment/water systems and studied the distribution of copper among five geochemical phases, namely, absorbed/exchangeable, carbonate, easily reducible (Mn-oxides and amorphous Fe-oxides), organic, and moderately reducible (hydrous Fe-oxides). The investigators then attempted to correlate the concentration in each phase with the copper uptake by tubificid worms. Only copper extracted from the manganese oxide/easily reducible phase correlated with the copper content of worms at the 95% confidence level. This result suggests that the redox potential and pH in the gut of the worm is such that manganese oxide coatings are dissolved. The copper in the dissolved manganese oxide phase could be assumed to be soluble and available for uptake by other organisms.

### **5.4.2 Transformation and Degradation**

**Air.** Data is available on the speciation of copper in airborne particulates. It is generally assumed that metals of anthropogenic origin, especially those from combustion sources, exist in the atmosphere as oxides because metallic species are readily attacked by atmospheric oxidants. As these oxides age,

sulfurization may occur, but only when  $SO<sub>X</sub>$  gases are present in the atmosphere in sufficient amount. For example, in Arizona, atmospheric copper oxide levels near copper smelters were strongly correlated with co-emitted sulfur (Schroeder et al. 1987). Copper was primarily bound to organics and sulfides in dry deposition near a smelter in China, and dust from the smelter and in deposition samples showed sulfides and oxides (Liu et al. 2021). Copper has been observed bound to fine aerosol particles as the sulfate and nitrate (Osan et al. 2010). The form of copper in the coarse fraction could be used to trace its source to soil resuspension or brake pad wear erosion (Osan et al. 2010).

In fog water,  $Cu(II)$  is reduced to  $Cu(II)$  by sulfite, which becomes enhanced by the fact that sulfite is also a ligand of and binds to Cu(I) (Xue et al. 1991). Concentrations of Cu(I) in fog water ranged between 0.1 and 1  $\mu$ M or, respectively, 4 and >90% of copper in the Cu(I) state. The reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) is pH dependent and occurs rapidly at pHs>6 (Xue et al. 1991).

**Water.** Free Cu<sup>+</sup> ion is unstable in aqueous solution, tending to disproportionate to Cu<sup>2+</sup> and copper metal unless a stabilizing ligand is present (EPA 1979; Kust 1978). The only cuprous compounds stable in water are insoluble ones such as Cu2S, CuCN, and CuF. Therefore, human exposures to copper will predominately be in the form of Cu(II). Copper in its Cu(II) state forms coordination compounds or complexes with both inorganic and organic ligands. Ammonium and chloride ions can form stable ligands with copper. Copper also forms stable complexes with organic ligands such as humic acids, binding to -NH2 and -SH functional groups and, to a lesser extent, with -OH functional groups. Copper binding to humic and fulvic substances appears as both ionic binding and chelation. Natural waters contain varying amounts of inorganic and organic species. This affects the complexing and binding capacity of the water and the types of complexes formed. In seawater, organic matter is generally the most important complexing agent (Coale and Bruland 1988). In water, the presence of ligands may affect other physicochemical processes such as adsorption, precipitation, and oxidation-reduction (EPA 1979). More specific information on the transformation and degradation of copper in its cupric [Cu(II)] and cuprous [Cu(I)] states is given below.

At the pH values and carbonate concentrations characteristic of fresh surface waters, most dissolved Cu(II) exists as carbonate complexes rather than as free (hydrated) cupric ions (Stiff 1971).

Based on the results of a theoretical model, the major species of soluble copper found in freshwater, seawater, and a 50:50 combination of the freshwater and seawater over a pH range of 6.5–7.5 is Cu<sup>2+</sup>,  $Cu(HCO<sub>3</sub>)<sup>+</sup>$ , and  $Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub>$  (Long and Angino 1977).

copper to the control of th

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

The concentration of dissolved copper depends on factors such as pH, the oxidation-reduction potential of the water, and the presence of competing cations  $(Ca^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, etc.),$  anions  $(OH, S^2, PO_4^3, CO_3^2),$ and soluble cupric-organic and -inorganic complexing agents. If the combination of a particular anion with copper forms an insoluble salt, precipitation of that salt will occur. The most significant precipitate formed in fresh surface waters is malachite  $\left(Cu_2\left[OH\right]_2CO_3\right)$  (Sylva 1976). Other important precipitates are  $Cu(OH)_2$  (and ultimately CuO) and azurite  $(Cu_3[OH]_2[CO_3]_2)$ . In anaerobic waters, Cu<sub>2</sub>S, Cu<sub>2</sub>O, and metallic copper forms and settles out (EPA 1979). The combined processes of complexation, adsorption, and precipitation control the level of free  $Cu(II)$  in water. The chemical conditions in most natural water are such that, even at relatively high copper concentrations, these processes will reduce the free Cu(II) ion concentration to extremely low values.

As a result of the previously described physico-chemical processes, copper in water may be dissolved or associated with colloidal or particulate matter. Copper in particulate form includes precipitates, insoluble organic complexes, and copper adsorbed to clay and other mineral solids. In a survey of nine rivers in the United Kingdom, 43–88% of the copper was in the particulate fraction (Stiff 1971). A study using suspended solids from the Flint River in Michigan found that the fraction of adsorbed copper increased sharply with pH, reaching a maximum at a pH of 5.5–7.5 (McIlroy et al. 1986).

The soluble fraction of copper in water is usually defined as that which will pass through a  $0.45 \mu m$  filter. It includes free copper and soluble complexes as well as fine particulates and colloids. The soluble fraction may be divided according to the lability (e.g., the relative ability of the copper to dissociate from the bound form to the free ion) of the copper forms in the water. Categories range from the very labile metal (e.g., free metal ion, ion pairs, inorganic or organic complexes) to slowly or nonlabile metal (e.g., colloidally bound to inorganic colloidal phases of other metals such as  $Fe(OH)$ <sub>3</sub> or  $FeOOH$ , or bound to high molecular weight organic material) (Tan et al. 1988). For example, in a typical study, 18–70% of dissolved copper in river water was labile and 13–30% was slowly labile (Tan et al. 1988). Various techniques may be used to classify the lability of different fractions of soluble copper; these techniques include solvent extraction, ion-specific electrodes, ion exchange, ultrafiltration, electrochemical methods such as anodic stripping voltammetry, and gel filtration chromatography (Harrison and Bishop 1984). Newer technologies include hyphenated ICP-MS (Agilent Technologies 2012). The resulting classification depends on the specific procedure employed. Therefore, a comparison of the results of different researchers should be done in general terms.

The nature of copper's association with inorganic and organic ligands will vary depending on the pH, copper concentration, concentration of competing ligands, binding capacity of the ligands, and hardness or salinity of the water (Breault et al. 1996; Cao et al. 1995; Gardner and Ravenscroft 1991; Giusti et al. 1993; Lores and Pennock 1998; Town and Filella 2000). In river water from the northwestern United States that had a relatively high pH  $(7.0-8.5)$  and alkalinity  $(24-219$  ppm as CaCO<sub>3</sub>), inorganic species like  $CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>$  and OH were the most important ligands at high copper concentrations (McCrady and Chapman 1979). However, other species such as organic compounds were important at low copper concentrations. On the other hand, copper in samples from surface water of lakes and rivers in southern Maine with a relatively low pH  $(4.6–6.3)$  and alkalinity  $(1–30$  ppm as CaCO<sub>3</sub>) was largely associated with organic matter (Giesy et al. 1978). The binding of copper to dissolved organics was found to be dependent on the specific organic chemical species (e.g., fulvic acid) and their concentrations in the surface water, the number of available binding sites per fulvic acid carbon, and the hardness of the water (Breault et al. 1996). Increasing water hardness results in deceased fulvic acid binding sites. This effect is due more to the depression of the solubility of high molecular weight fulvic acid in the presence of Ca and Mg ions than to competition of these ions with copper for fulvic acid binding sites. Changing pH from 8 to 6 resulted in a 7-fold increase in the binding constant for Cu(II) with humic acid (Cao et al. 1995).

The extent to which copper binds to inorganic and organic ligands can be altered by materials carried in runoff. For example, after a period of rain in southeastern New Hampshire, inorganic constituents contributed more to copper binding in lakes and rivers than did dissolved organic matter (Truitt and Weber 1981). A green precipitate, confirmed to be malachite  $(Cu_2[OH]_2CO_3)$ , was formed in river water in Exeter, NH. The water had a high alkaline pH  $(7.4)$  with 43.5 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub> as a buffering agent that was higher than six other surface waters (e.g., three rivers, two reservoirs, a pond, and a swamp) with pH values of 5.7– 7.4 and 1.7–41 mg/L, respectively. A computer simulation of the copper species in water of a pond and water obtained from an artesian well that fed the pond predicted that 98% of the copper in the artesian well water would exist as the free copper ion  $(Cu^{+2})$ , whereas 88 and 63% of the copper in pond water would be bound to organics in the spring and fall, respectively (Giesy et al. 1983). These estimates were based on experimentally determined binding capacities of the organic matter in the two water sources and stability constants for the copper-organic matter complexes.

Seawater samples obtained in a transect of the uppermost Narragansett Bay in August 1980 were analyzed for dissolved, particulate, and organically bound copper to investigate the geochemistry of copper-organic complexes (Mills and Quinn 1984). Narragansett Bay is a partly mixed estuary in Massachusetts and Rhode Island that receives organic matter and metals from rivers, municipal and industrial effluents, and from runoff. The Fields Point waste treatment facility accounts for 90% of the copper input into the bay through the Providence River with dissolved copper representing 60% of the

COPPER 193

total copper input. The concentrations of dissolved and organic copper ranged from 16.4 and 2.3 µg/kg in the Providence River to 0.23 and 0.12 µg/kg in Rhode Island Sound. Particulate copper concentrations in Narragansett Bay ranged from 0.06 to 2.42 µg/kg and generally comprised 40% of the total copper in the bay. Analysis of the data indicated that ~75% of the dissolved copper that enters the bay from the Providence River is removed within the bay.

Organic ligands can contain a variety of binding sites, and the strength of the resulting copper complexes will vary accordingly. Over 99.7% of the total dissolved copper in ocean surface water from the northeast Pacific was associated with organic ligands (Coale and Bruland 1988). The dominant organic complex, limited to surface water, was a strong ligand of biological origin. A second, weaker class of organic ligand was of geologic origin. An independent study showed that copper binds to humic material at a number of sites. The binding strength of the sites varied by two orders of magnitude (Giesy et al. 1986). The humic material in this study was derived from nine surface waters in the southeastern United States. Soluble copper in water discharged from a nuclear power station was primarily complexed with organic matter in the 1,000–100,000 molecular weight range (Harrison et al. 1980). Ten to 75% of the discharged copper was in particulate form.

The bioavailability of Cu(I) is difficult to access due to its thermodynamic instability in the environment (Xue et al. 1991). Cu(I) is a reactive reducing agent, and its concentrations in the environment is typically determined both by its reaction with oxygen and other oxidants in the aqueous environment to form  $Cu(II)$  and its rate of production through the reaction of  $Cu(II)$  with reducing agents (Sharma and Millero 1988). Investigators have shown the presence of Cu(I) in seawater, which is thought to occur through the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) by photochemical processes (Moffett and Zika 1987; Xue et al. 1991). The detection of  $Cu(I)$  in seawater is likely the result of the stabilization of  $Cu(I)$  through complex formation with chloride ions. Cu(II)-organic complexes absorb radiation at wavelengths >290 nm and can undergo charge transfer reactions where the Cu(II) is reduced and a ligand is oxidized. Photochemically-generated reducing agents such as  $O^2$  and  $H_2O_2$  in the surface water of oceans and possibly other natural waters (e.g., lakes) may contribute to the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) in these waters (Moffett and Zika 1987; Sharma and Millero 1988).

Cu(I) concentration is highest in the surface layer of seawater, and the hydrogen peroxide concentration increases in parallel to that of Cu(I) (Moffett and Zika 1987). In addition, the percentage of free Cu(I) is highest on the surface. Sharma and Millero (1988) measured the rate of Cu(I) oxidation in seawater as a function of pH, temperature, and salinity. The rate of reaction increased with pH and temperature and decreased with increasing ionic strength (or higher salinity) (Sharma and Millero 1988). The results

suggested that the rates are controlled by  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ , Cl, and HCO<sub>3</sub> through their involvement in complex formation and ligand exchange (Sharma and Millero 1988).

**Sediment and Soil.** The adsorption of copper to soil and sediment was discussed in Section 5.4.1 under transport and partitioning. It is important to understand the transport and fate of copper and its compounds in soils and sediments because these compartments tend to be large reservoirs of copper and could have an impact on human exposures. Copper concentrations in drinking water obtained from groundwater can be affected by the leaching of copper from soil. Reservoir sediments have been shown to be sources of copper in drinking water (Georgopoulos et al. 2001). Although much of the copper is bound to inorganic or organic matrices in soils and sediments, there is the potential for release of copper into pore water within soils and sediments depending on soil conditions and the forms of the copper present. There is evidence to suggest that copper binding in soil is correlated with pH, cation exchange capacity, the organic content of the soil, the presence of manganese and iron oxides, and even the presence of inorganic carbon such as carbonates (Petruzzelli 1997; Rieuwerts et al. 1998). At pH levels above 5, absorption of copper from pore water onto soil components becomes a significant process, whereas at pH levels below 5, copper largely remains in pore water and is, therefore, mobile in soil (Perwak et al. 1980). However, broad generalizations about the mobility of copper in soils are not possible since the situation will differ among different soil types and environmental conditions. More specific information on the lability (e.g., extractability) of copper from differing soils and conditions is given below.

There are several ways for determining the forms of copper in soil, the most common method being the measuring of the extractability of the copper with different solvents. Extractability is a function of the nature of the soil and the form of copper deposited in the soil. If a relatively labile form of copper is applied, binding to inorganic and organic ligands can occur, as well as other transformations. The capacity of soil to remove copper and the nature of the bound copper were evaluated by incubating 70 ppm of copper with 5 g samples of soil for 6 days (King 1988). Twenty-one samples of soils (10 mineral and 3 organic) from the southeastern United States were included in the study. Some soil samples were taken from the subsoil as well as the surface. The amount of adsorbed copper ranged from 36 to 100%, of which 13–100% was nonexchangeable when extracted with KCl. Removal of copper from solution was much higher with surface soils than with subsurface sandy soils; 95–100% of the copper was removed by five of the mineral surface soils and all three organic soils. The percentage of copper that was nonexchangeable was relatively high in all but some of the acid subsoils. While the fraction of exchangeable copper was not dependent on pH in surface soils, 96% of the variation in exchangeability was correlated with pH in subsoils. The soil/water partition coefficient for copper was  $>64$  for mineral soils and >273 for organic soils. Of the 8 heavy metals in the study, only lead and antimony had higher

copper to the control of th

partition coefficients than copper. Most of the copper in Columbia River estuary sediment and soil was associated with inorganic carbon (e.g., carbonate), but not with the amount of extractable Fe or the organic carbon content of the sediment (Fuhrer 1986).

The amount of ammonium acetate- and DTPA-extractable copper in wetland soil/sediment resulting from atmospheric deposition from smelters in Sudbury, Ontario showed the same pattern as total copper, despite random variations in soil pH, redox potential, and organic carbon (Taylor and Crowder 1983). Therefore, in this case, soil characteristics were not the dominant factors determining extractability and availability, but rather the form of copper that was deposited. The median concentrations of total copper, ammonium acetate-extractable copper, and DTPA-extractable copper at 25 sample sites were 371, 49, and 98 ppm, respectively.

In another study of copper partitioning in nine different contaminated soils, sequential extractions were used to operationally define six soil fractions in decreasing order of copper availability: water soluble >exchangeable >carbonate >Fe-Mn oxide >organic >residual (Ma and Rao 1997). The results of this study showed that the distribution of copper in these six soil fractions differed depending on the total copper concentration in the soil. As the copper concentration increased above 240 mg/kg, between 69 and 74.4% of the total copper was found in the water soluble, carbonate, Fe-Mn oxide, and organic fractions. In relatively uncontaminated soils (<240 mg/kg copper), between 97.6 and 99.6% of the copper was found to be associated with the residual fraction.

In estuarine environments, anaerobic sediments are known to be the main reservoir of trace metals. Under anaerobic conditions,  $Cu(II)$  salts will reduce to  $Cu(I)$  salts. The precipitation of cupric sulfide and the formation of copper bisulfide and/or polysulfide complexes determine copper's behavior in these sediments (Davies-Colley et al. 1985). In the more common case where the free sulfide concentration is low due to the controlling coexistence of iron oxide and sulfide, anaerobic sediment acts as a sink for copper, that is, the copper is removed from water and held in the sediment as an insoluble cuprous sulfide. However, in the unusual situation where the free sulfide concentration is high, soluble cuprous sulfide complexes may form, and the copper concentration in sediment pore water may then be high.

In sediment, copper is generally associated with mineral matter or tightly bound to organic material (Kennish 1998). As is common when a metal is associated with organic matter, copper generally is associated with fine, as opposed to coarse, sediment. Badri and Aston (1984) studied the association of heavy metals in three estuarine sediments with different geochemical phases. The phases were identified by their extractability with different chemicals and termed easily or freely leachable and exchangeable;

copper to the control of th

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

oxidizable-organic (bound to organic matter); acid-reducible (Mn and Fe oxides and possibly carbonates); and resistant (lithogenic). In the three sediments, the nonlithogenic fraction accounted for  $\sim$ 14–18% of the total copper and the easily exchangeable component was 5% of the total copper. In addition, the compositional associations of copper in sediment samples taken from western Lake Ontario were analyzed employing a series of sequential extractions (Poulton et al. 1988). The mean (±standard deviation) percentages of copper in the various fractions were exchangeable,  $0\pm 0$ ; carbonate salt,  $0.1\pm 0.3$ ; iron or manganese oxide-bound, 0.2±0.3; organic-bound, 40±11; and residual, 60±8. Another study found that 10–20% of the copper in Lake Ontario sediment samples was bound to humic acids, with virtually all of the copper bound to organic matter (Nriagu and Coker 1980). The concentration of copper associated with humic acids was 21–40 times greater than in the sediment as a whole.

**Other Media.** Copper is an essential nutrient for plant growth and metabolism. Therefore, uptake of copper from soil by plants through the roots is a natural and necessary process, actively regulated by the plant (Clemens 2001). However, loss of biodiversity has been reported in environments contaminated with copper. Naveed et al. (2014) found that increasing copper pollution resulting from a former wood preservation plant had a negative impact on plant growth and species. Earthworms, bacteria, nematodes, and fungi showed a similar response to increasing copper concentrations. Results of this study showed that there was a 10% loss in soil biodiversity within a copper concentration range of 110 to 800 mg/kg (Naveed et al. 2014).

The uptake of copper into plants is dependent on the concentration and bioavailability of copper in soils. The bioavailability of copper is determined largely by the equilibrium between copper bound to soil components and copper in soil solution. As noted in the discussion of copper binding in soils, this is determined by copper concentrations in soil, soil type, soil components, pH, oxidation-reduction potential of the soil, concentrations of other cations and anions in the soil, etc. (Rieuwerts et al. 1998). Other factors involved root surface area, plant genotype, stage of plant development, weather conditions, interaction with other nutrients in the soil, and the water table (Gupta 1979). Using lime (calcium carbonate) to adjust soil pH is another factor that affects copper uptake. For example, liming acidic soils can increase copper uptake in hay, but decrease copper uptake in wheat (Gupta 1979). However, the effect of liming on increasing soil pH does not appear to be the overriding factor behind the changes in copper uptake by plants, even though there is evidence that the addition of lime to soil to increase the pH to 7 or 8 reduces copper bioavailability to some plants (Perwak 1980). This is evidenced by the fact that changes in pH (5.4–8.0) have little effect on copper concentrations in plant tissues (Gupta 1979).

It appears that microorganisms are able to transform copper and affect the copper bioavailable for plant uptake (Mulder and van Veen 1968). Hydrogen sulfide (H2S) forming microorganisms may be involved in soil copper precipitation as nearly insoluble sulfide salts. Bacteria of the genera *Thiobacillus* and *Ferrobacillus* are able to oxidize *CuS* to *CuSO4.* Johnson et al. (2017) carried out experiments to study the redox transformation of copper by acidophilic bacteria and found that oxidation and reduction of copper were mediated by acidophilic bacteria indirectly. Copper (I) accumulated in aerobic cultures of sulfurgrown *Acidithiobacillus* spp. More copper (I) was produced by *At. Caldus* than by the other species. Reduction of copper (II) by aerobic cultures of sulfur-grown *Acidithiobacillus* spp. Was more pronounced as culture pH declined. *Acidithiobacillus* grown anaerobically on hydrogen and *Acidiphilium cryptum* grown micro-aerobically on glucose only reduced copper (II) when iron (III) was included. Copper (I) was only oxidized by growing cultures of *Acidithiobacillus* spp. When iron (II) was included.

## **5.5 LEVELS IN THE ENVIRONMENT**

Reliable evaluation of the potential for human exposure to copper depends, in part, on the reliability of supporting analytical data from environmental samples and biological specimens. Concentrations of copper in unpolluted atmospheres and in pristine surface waters are often so low as to be near the limits of current analytical methods. In reviewing data on copper levels monitored or estimated in the environment, it should also be noted that the amount of chemical identified analytically is not necessarily equivalent to the amount that is bioavailable.

[Table](#page-40-0) 5-9 shows the limit of detections typically achieved by analytical analysis in environmental media. Presented in Table 5-10 is a summary of the range of concentrations detected in environmental media at NPL sites.



### <span id="page-40-0"></span>**Table 5-10. Lowest Limit of Detection for Copper Based on Standardsa**

## **Table 5-10. Lowest Limit of Detection for Copper Based on Standardsa**



aDetection limits based on using appropriate preparation and analytics. These limits may not be possible in all situations.<br><sup>b</sup>Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma, Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES)

<sup>c</sup>Inductively-Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES)<br><sup>d</sup>Inductively-Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES)<br><sup>e</sup>Axially viewed inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (AVICP-AES

fInductively Coupled Plasma - Mass Spectrometry

gGraphite Furnace Atomic Absorption (GFAA)

hInductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry or X-ray Fluorescence

i Inductively coupled plasma dynamic reaction cell mass spectrometry (ICP-DRC-MS)



aConcentrations found in ATSDR site documents from 1981 to 2019 for 1,867 NPL sites (ATSDR 2019). Maximum concentrations were abstracted for types of environmental media for which exposure is likely. Pathways do not necessarily involve exposure or levels of concern.

### **5.5.1 Air**

Human exposure to copper in air comes from both natural and anthropogenic sources. The concentrations of copper in air can be higher in the proximity of major sources such as smelters, mining operations, and combustion sources (e.g., power plants, incinerators, automobiles, etc.). Data from EPA's Air Quality System (AQS) for the years 2016 through 2019 are reported in [Table 5-12.](#page-42-0) Most monitors are in California and a few others have been located in Michigan in varying years including three in 2016, six in 2017, two in 2018, and two in 2019. Based on these data, the general population is expected to be exposed to copper concentrations in air below  $0.8 \mu g/m<sup>3</sup>$ .



## <span id="page-42-0"></span>**Table 5-12. Percentile Distribution of Annual Mean Copper (TSP) Concentrations (μg/m3) Measured in Ambient Air at Locations Across the United States**

TSP = Total suspended particles

Source: EPA 2020a

One recent study found that the mean concentration of copper in ambient air from 13 U.S. cities was 0.005 μg/m<sup>3</sup>, and concentrations ranged from 0.002 to 0.006 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (Chen and Lippmann 2009). The results of several studies in which concentrations of copper in air were reported are described below and summarized in Table 5-13. It should be noted that older data may not be representative of current concentrations, given the reduction of ambient air pollution in the United States.



# **Table 5-13. Outdoor Air Monitoring Data for Copper**

aSamples from National Survey



## **Table 5-13. Outdoor Air Monitoring Data for Copper**

Davies and Bennett (1985) reported average atmospheric copper concentrations of  $5-50$  ng/m<sup>3</sup> in rural areas and  $20-200$  ng/m<sup>3</sup> in urban locations. Data from many urban locations in the United States show concentrations of copper associated with particulate matter ranging from 3 to 5,140 ng/m3 (Schroeder et al. 1987). Remote and rural areas have concentrations of  $0.029-12$  and  $3-280$  ng/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively (Schroeder et al. 1987). In remote areas such as national parks, differences in copper concentrations have been attributed to greater vegetative cover and higher moisture and larger amounts of exposed rock and soil (Davidson et al. 1985). Copper follows the same pattern as other heavy metals, in that increased copper levels are present in urban areas in winter and in rural areas in summer (Evans et al. 1984; Lioy et al. 1987.

Anderson et al. (1988) performed a study of the atmospheric aerosols collected at a site in Chandler, Arizona. Several major copper smelters are located  $\sim$ 120 km to the southeast, which were upwind of the sampling site during approximately 50% of the study period. The most abundant type of Cu-bearing particle, representing 74% of the total, was associated with sulfur. However, the analysis was not able to specify the form of sulfur present. Anderson et al. (1988) concluded that the smelters to the southeast were the probable source. Mine waste dump sites are another source of airborne copper (Mullins and Norman 1994). Particle size distribution and the concentration of copper in particle size ranges differ depending on the mine waste site (Mullins and Norman 1994).

Mean concentration ranges of copper in remote (any area of lowest copper concentration such as the Antarctic or Arctic) and rural (any site that represents a regional background that is not directly influenced by local anthropogenic emissions) precipitation ranges were 0.013–1.83 and 0.68–1.5 ppb, respectively, based on a weight per unit volume basis (Barrie et al. 1987). Although an earlier survey referred to by these investigators (Galloway et al. 1982) yielded much higher values of 0.060 and 5.4 ppb, these were ascribed to sample contamination. The mean concentration of copper in rain reported in an extensive study in southern Ontario, Canada was 1.57±0.36 ppb during 1982 (Chan et al. 1986). These concentrations showed little spatial variability. Concentration of copper in cloud water over Olympic Peninsula in Washington State has been measured at  $1.7\pm1.6$   $\mu$ g/L (air equivalent mean concentration of  $0.5$  ng/m<sup>3</sup>) (Vong et al. 1997). Copper concentrations in precipitation may be affected by proximity to

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

industry, but concentrations do not appear to be affected by proximity to automobile emissions. Elevated levels of copper in fog water have been observed 3 km downwind from a refuse incinerator in Switzerland (Johnson et al. 1987). The concentration of copper in rain samples taken within 2–15 km downwind of the Claremont, New Hampshire municipal waste incinerator was found to range from 0.11 to 2.12  $\mu$ g/L with a mean concentration of 0.87  $\mu$ g/L. (Feng et al. 2000). Cu(II) concentrations in fog water from the central valley of California ranged from 1.7 to 388 ppb (Miller et al. 1987). The source of the copper was not investigated. The highest values were recorded just as the fog was dissipating.

Copper deposition from automobile emissions, as measured by the concentration of copper in snow, did not vary significantly as a function of distance (15–150 meters) from an expressway in Montreal, Canada (Loranger et al. 1996).

Airborne concentrations of copper in the indoor atmosphere within homes located in Suffolk and Onondaga counties in New York average between 8 and 12 ng/m3 (Koutrakis et al. 1992). The concentration was significantly affected by the use of kerosene heaters, which were found to emit copper into the indoor air at a rate of 15,630 ng/hour (Koutrakis et al. 1992).

### **5.5.2 Water**

Copper is widely distributed in water since it is a naturally occurring element. The results of several studies in which concentrations of copper in water were reported are described below and summarized in Tables 5-14, 5-15, and 5-16. Data from older studies may have been analyzed with instrumentation with high detection limits, and samples were often contaminated during collection, treatment, and analysis.

Groundwater collected from wells from 2013 to 2016 by USGS for the National Water-Quality Assessment Project show that copper concentration ranges from 0.2 to 98.4 µg/L (USGS 2020b). Copper concentrations in drinking water can vary widely  $(\leq 5-10,200 \text{ pb})$  and can exceed the action level of 1,300 ppb (1.3 mg/L) that is the regulatory Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) for copper in drinking water (EPA 1991; EPA 2021, 40 CFR Part 141). Copper was found at concentrations greater than EPA's Treatment Technology Action Level of 1.3 mg/L in 0.06 percent of domestic wells sampled by USGS from 1991 to 2004 (USGS 2009b). An Action Level is the concentration of a contaminant in potable water, which if exceeded in ten percent of monitoring systems requires treatment for corrosion control and public notification (EPA 2018).



a Range is µg/L unless otherwise stated

USGS = United States Geological Survey



## **Table 5-15***.* **Copper Concentrations in Groundwater Water Monitoring Data**

## **Table 5-16***.* **Copper Concentrations in Drinking Water Monitoring Data**



			Range	Mean		
Location(s)	Type	Date(s)	(µg/L)	$(\mu g/L)$	<b>Notes</b>	Reference
New Jersey	School drinking water	1991-1992	BD-10.2	NR.	Sampled 2 water fountains in each of 50 schools. Median concentration ranged from 0.068 to 0.26 depending on time of day. Noted differences based on time of day and corrosivity of samples	Murphy 1993
Berlin,	Running tap	June 1998-	$0.009 - 4.2$	$0.436 -$	2619 samples from	Zietz et al.
Germany	water from	March		0.561	2944 households	2003a
	municipal water supply	2001			were tested.	
Lower	Tap water	January	$>0.01 -$	$0.106 -$	1619 stagnated	Zietz et al.
Saxony,	from	1997-	6.40	0.183	water samples and	2003b
Germany	municipal	November			1660 random	
	water supply	1999			daytime samples.	

**Table 5-16***.* **Copper Concentrations in Drinking Water Monitoring Data** 

BD = below detection; NR = not reported

Copper concentrations in drinking water vary widely as a result of variations in pH, hardness of the source water, and copper released from the water distribution system materials (Davies and Bennett 1985; Yannoni and Piorkowski 1995). A Canadian national survey of copper and other metals in drinking water was conducted from November 1976 to January 1977 (Meranger et al. 1979). Supplies from 70 municipalities representing 38% of the Canadian population were included in the survey, including 50 derived from river or lake water and 20 derived from groundwater. Unfiltered raw, treated, and distributed drinking waters were analyzed. Whether the water was derived from river, lake, or well water did not significantly affect the copper concentration in the raw water. Only in a few supplies did copper levels in raw water exceed 20 ppb and only one of these was derived from groundwater. The results in groundwater contrast with those of Page (1981) in New Jersey, in which over 100 wells contained copper levels in excess of 64 ppb. However, that study included groundwater that was a source of drinking water, in addition to groundwater that was not. The copper concentration in Canadian treated water was generally ~10 ppb (Meranger et al. 1979). In 20% of the samples, the copper level in distributed water was significantly higher than the treated water. The increase was greater in areas where the water was soft and corrosive, thus enhancing leaching of copper from the distribution system.

Elevated concentrations of copper in drinking water can result as a consequence of leaching processes

that occur in water distribution systems. Data from 208 U.S. households indicates that about a third of U.S. homes have drinking water containing more than 0.1 ppm copper (Brewer 2010). A study of 1,000 water samples from random households in Ohio found that  $\sim$ 30% contained copper levels  $>1$  ppm (Strain et al. 1984). The highest copper level in the study was 18 ppm. In a study of private water wells in four communities in Nova Scotia, Maessen et al. (1985) found that the concentrations of copper increased in water that remained in the distribution system overnight, indicating that copper was mobilized from the distribution system. Whereas the level of copper in running water was generally very low, that in standing water was variable and exceeded 1.0 ppm in 53% of the homes. Similar results were reported for U.S. cities (Maessen et al. 1985; Schock and Neff 1988; Strain et al. 1984). In a study in Seattle, Washington, the mean copper concentrations in running and standing water were 0.16 and 0.45 ppm, respectively, and 24% of the standing water samples exceeded 1.0 ppm (Maessen et al. 1985). The difference in copper level between standing and flushed systems became evident at pH 7 and increased with decreasing pH (Strain et al. 1984). Copper levels in school drinking water were found to differ by 3-fold between first draw and 10-minute flush water samples, irrespective of the corrosiveness of the water (Murphy 1993). However, the concentration of copper in both first draw and 10-minute flush samples decreased by approximately 10-fold as the corrosiveness of the water decreased. Increasing pH in water distribution lines has been found to result in an overall decrease in metal concentrations. For example, increasing the pH of water from 7.5 to 8.5 in distribution lines decreased copper concentration by 50% (Yannoni and Piorkowski 1995).

In homes with copper piping, the mean concentration of copper in tap water has been shown to decline with the age of the home. In a sampling of tap water of 2,619 households in Berlin, Germany that are supplied with municipal drinking water, the mean concentration of copper decreased from 0.77 ppm in homes with stated ages of 0– $\leq$ 5 years to 0.23 ppm in homes with stated ages of 35– $\leq$ 40 years (Zietz et al. 2003a). In another study of 1,619 homes in Lower Saxony, Germany, the mean concentration of copper in first draw tap water decreased from 0.37 ppm in homes with stated ages of 0–<5 years to 0.05 ppm in homes with stated ages of 35–<45 years (Zietz et al. 2003b). These decreases of copper concentration with age were attributed to a buildup of a surface layer on the piping that reduced corrosion. However, in these same two studies, it was found that the concentration of copper in tap water began to increase with increasing age in homes with stated ages of >45 years. This increase in copper concentration was attributed to the increased probability of repair or partial placement (or unknown total replacement) of piping in these homes.

In a study of groundwaters and surface waters throughout New Jersey in which >1,000 wells and 600 surface sites were sampled, the median copper levels in groundwater and surface water were 5.0 and 3.0 ppb, respectively (Page 1981, Table 1). The respective 90th percentile and maximum levels were 64.0 and 2,783.0 ppb for groundwater and 9.0 and 261.0 ppb for surface water. The pattern of contamination in surface water correlates with light hydrocarbons, while that in groundwater correlates with heavy metals. This suggests that the sources of contamination of surface water and groundwater are different. The nature of the sites with elevated levels of copper was not indicated.

Copper levels in surface water range from  $0.5-1,000$  ppb, with a median of 10 ppb; seawater contains  $\leq l$ 5 ppb (Davies and Bennett 1985; Mart and Nurnberg 1984; Page 1981; Perwak et al. 1980; Yeats 1988). USGS collects data on the bottom material and surface water of streams for the Regional Stream Quality Assessment. Copper in bottom material ranged from 0.005 to 306 mg/kg (USGS 2018). The geometric mean, standard deviation, and median concentration of dissolved copper in surface water based on 53,862 occurrences in the Water Quality Portal are 4.2±2.71 and 4.0 ppb, respectively (WQP 2020). Higher concentrations tend to be found in New England, the western Gulf, and the lower Colorado River.

Copper concentrations were measured in surface water obtained from sampling sites in the Spearfish Creek, Whitewood Creek, and Bear Butte Creek watersheds. These watersheds are affected by water leaching from tailings and acid mine drainage from gold mining operations in the Black Hills of South Dakota. Copper concentrations of  $\leq 0.24-28$  µg/L were measured in surface water, whereas concentrations in sediments were much higher, ranging from 7.8 to 159 mg/kg (May et al. 2001).

In a survey of sources of copper in stormwater, measurements of copper concentrations in stormwater samples were taken from various urban locations in Birmingham, Alabama. Copper concentrations were generally low in filtered samples (dissolved copper), ranging between 1.4 and 20  $\mu$ g/L; however, they were much higher in unfiltered samples (copper bound to particulate matter) with mean values (in  $\mu g/L$ ) of 280 (street runoff), 135 (vehicle service areas), 116 (parking areas), 110 (roof areas), 81 (landscaped areas), 50 (urban creeks), and 43 (retention ponds) (Pitt et al. 1995).

As a result of improvements in controlling the quality of discharges from municipal and industrial wastewater treatment plants mandated in the Clean Water Act, copper concentrations have been declining in surface waters. For example, median copper concentrations in the Hudson River estuary have fallen 36– 56% between the mid-1970s and the mid-1990s (Sañudo-Wilhelmy and Gill 1999).

The copper concentration in some bodies of water evidently varies with season. In a study of a small pond in Massachusetts from April of 1971 to March 1972, the concentration of copper was found to vary, decreasing during the spring and early summer to lows of <10–30 ppm in early August and then increasing when the pond was under the cover of ice to maximum values of 80–105 ppb in late January

and early February (Kimball 1973). Similar seasonal variations were noted in the epilimnion of the offshore waters of the Great Lakes (Nriagu et al. 1996). In both examples, the cycling of copper concentrations is thought to be a response to biological need and copper uptake during the growing season and its subsequent release from seasonal die-off and decay of biota.

Copper concentrations in seawater usually are in the 1–5 ppb range (Perwak et al. 1980). Copper levels are overall lower in the Pacific Ocean versus the Atlantic Ocean and higher near the continental shelf than in the open ocean. Copper concentrations in surface water at a depth of 1 meter transected on a cruise from Nova Scotia to the Sargasso Sea ranged from 57.2 to 210 parts per trillion (ppt) (Yeats 1988). The mean value in surface water sampled at a depth of 1 meter of the eastern Arctic Ocean was 93 ppt (Mart and Nurnberg 1984). As noted in a review by Kennish (1998), concentrations of copper in estuarine and coastal waters in the United States were 0.3–3.8 and 0.1–2.5 ppb, respectively.

#### **5.5.3 Sediment and Soil**

Copper occurs naturally in the Earth's crust at a mean concentration of approximately 50 ppm (Henckens and Worrell 2020). Rauch and Graedel (2007) estimate that  $9.9 \times 10^{11}$  Gg ( $9.9 \times 10^{8}$  kg) of copper exists in the earth's crust. Several databases report copper levels in soil and sediment in the United States. The National Geochemical Database by USGS (2016) reports that copper occurs in soils at levels of 0.005 to 200,000 ppm in sediment at levels of 0.001 to 150,000 ppm. The median level of copper in soils and sediments reported to the National Geochemical Database is 30 ppm in soils and sediments (USGS 2016). The National Water Information System by USGS reports copper in soil at levels of 0.84 to 9.8 mg/kg (WQP 2020). Copper occurs in sediments at levels of 0.12 to 35,700 mg/kg (WQP 2020). EPA reports levels in soil of 0.58 to 334 mg/kg (WQP 2020). In 2007, USGS conducted a geochemical and mineralogical survey of soils of the conterminous United States. The mean concentration of copper calculated from the 4841 samples taken was 17.9 mg/kg, with values ranging from <0.5 to 996 mg/kg (USGS 2013).

Copper concentrations in soil may be much higher in the vicinity of a source of copper emissions, such as a mining operation or smelter. Concentrations in the top 5 cm of soil near the boundary of a secondary copper smelter were 2,480±585 ppm (Davies and Bennett 1985). Maximum wetland soil/sediment copper concentrations were 6,912 ppm in the immediate vicinity of a Sudbury, Ontario smelter but the concentration decreased logarithmically with increasing distance from the smelter (Taylor and Crowder 1983). The observation that the copper concentrations were highest in soils within 1–2 km from the smelter and decreased exponentially with increasing distance from the plant suggests that copper in the soil from the study area was primarily derived from particulate emissions from the smelter.

COPPER 208

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

Copper and its compounds were reported at 929 of 1,867 hazardous waste sites on the NPL of highest priority sites for possible remedial action (ATSDR 2019). Since copper is commonly found in soil, technically it occurs at all sites. In past work, data analysis of metal concentrations measured in soil from hazardous waste sites taken from the 1980–1983 Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Analytical Results Data Base (CARD) was conducted to ascertain whether elemental concentrations at hazardous waste sites were elevated above that which normally would be expected in soil of similar composition and derivation. Of the 1,307 samples in CARD, 10.5 and 7.3% (95 and 99% confidence intervals, respectively) had copper concentrations exceeding the number normally expected in soil (Eckel and Langley 1988).

In a study of 340 soil samples collected from diverse land-use situations, the average copper concentrations were 25 mg/kg in agricultural land, 50 mg/kg in suburban/residential land, 100 mg/kg in mixed industrial/residential land, and 175 ppm in industrial/inner urban areas (Haines 1984). From an analysis of the spatial distribution of the copper concentrations in soils where lowest copper soil concentrations are observed for rural (agricultural) soils and highest in soils obtained from industrialized urban areas, it was concluded that most of the contamination was a result of airborne deposition from industrial sources. Soil samples from urban gardens in New York had concentrations of copper ranging from 16.9 to 171 mg/kg, and an orchard had copper concentrations ranging from 19.7 to 62.8 mg/kg (Cai et al. 2016).

The concentrations of copper in soils and sediments were assessed as part of the National Water-Quality Assessment Program (Rice 1999). The median concentrations of copper at 541 sites throughout the conterminous United States ranged from 5 to 70  $\mu$ g/g (dry weight). At nonurban indicator sites, the median concentrations ranged from 13 to 47  $\mu$ g/g. The same study derived an average crustal abundance of copper of 60  $\mu$ g/g (60 ppm).

Sediment is an important sink and reservoir for copper. In areas where there is no known input of copper obtained from anthropogenic sources, sediment generally contains <50 mg/kg copper. The level can reach several thousand ppm in polluted areas (Harrison and Bishop 1984). The mean copper level in surficial sediment of Penobscot Bay, Maine was 14.1 mg/kg (dry weight), while that in estuaries or bays in other New England locations ranged from 4.4 to 57.7 mg/kg (Larsen et al. 1983b). Levels reflect anthropogenic input as well as the mineral content of the regional bedrock. Copper levels in sediment from 24 sites along the New Jersey coast ranged from <1.0 to 202 mg/kg, with a mean value of 66 mg/kg (Renwick and Edenborn 1983). The texture of the sediment varied from 94% clay to 100% sand, and the copper level was correlated negatively with the percentage of sand in the sediment.

COPPER 209

Surficial sediment in lakes in the Sudbury region of northeastern Ontario, where several smelters operate, decreased rapidly with increasing distance from the smelters (Bradley and Morris 1986). Three lakes, 10 km from the Sudbury smelters, contained copper concentrations in sediment approaching 2,000 mg/kg dry weight, over 100 times the concentration in a baseline lake 180 km away.

An analysis of the Coastal Sediment Database (COSED) showed that 73% of coastal waterways had copper concentrations below 42  $\mu$ g/g; 25% had copper concentrations between 42 and 210  $\mu$ g/g; and 2% were above 210  $\mu$ g/g. These higher concentrations were associated with locations of high ship traffic, industrial activity, and relatively poor water flushing (Daskalakis and O'Connor 1995). In coastal areas receiving persistently high influxes of contaminants, high concentrations of copper (151 ppm) have been measured in sediments to depths of 54 cm (Bopp et al. 1993). Combined sewer outflows can also contribute significantly to the copper content of sediments. For example, mean (arithmetic) copper concentrations of 180, 208, 280, and 284 mg/kg were measured in sediment samples obtained near four sewer outflows in the lower Passaic River, New Jersey (Iannuzzi et al. 1997). In Jamaica Bay, New York, copper concentrations in sediments were 151–406 mg/kg, with a concentration of 151 ppm in sediment core samples obtained at a depth of 52–54 cm (Bopp et al. 1993). The highest concentrations were found in the middle depths (16–44 cm) ranging from 280 to 406 mg/kg during a period when untreated industrial effluents and sewage outflows entered the bay. However, copper concentrations in surface sediments (0–2 cm) were measured at 208 mg/kg. The decrease in copper concentration in the surface sediments suggests that efforts to reduce metal contaminants from sewage outflows have been making an impact on the copper concentrations in receiving waters and their sediments.

#### **5.5.4 Other Media**

In addition to the ingestion of drinking water, the consumption of food is the other primary route for copper intake in the general population. Copper is an essential nutrient present in many plant and animal foods and available as a dietary supplement. The Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL) by life stage group are presented in [Table 5-17.](#page-53-0) Copper intake per day based on NHANES data is provided in [Table 5-18.](#page-53-1) Voluntary food fortification in the United States increases the probability of consuming copper and is associated with greater risk of exceeding the UL for children (Sacco et al. 2013).

<span id="page-53-0"></span>

## **Table 5-17. Dietary Reference Intakes for Copper**

Source: Institute of Medicine 2006

RDA = Recommended Dietary Allowance, UL = Upper Intake Level

<sup>a</sup>RDAs are not estimated for ages 0 to 12 months. Adequate intake at this life stage is 220 µg/day.

<span id="page-53-1"></span>

Source: USDA 2020

The FDA Total Diet Survey provides copper concentration in various foods, examples of which are given in [Table 5-19](#page-54-0) (FDA 2017). The copper content in baby food is given in [Table 5-20.](#page-57-0) The highest concentrations of dietary copper were found in liver; in some oat and bran cereals; in some legumes and nuts; and in chocolate syrup, candy, and some desserts. Coleman et al. (1992) reported copper concentrations in the edible tissues of livestock and poultry with the highest mean concentrations (mg/kg) found in liver (cow 3.7; lamb 89.8; chicken 4.60; turkey 7.14), followed by kidney (cow 8.15; lamb 5.39;

chicken 3.07; turkey 3.68), and muscle (cow 1.41; lamb 1.47; chicken 0.67; turkey 0.83) (Coleman et al. 1992).

<span id="page-54-0"></span>

# **Table 5-19. Copper Content of Selected Foods (mg/kg)**

# **Table 5-19. Copper Content of Selected Foods (mg/kg)**



# **Table 5-19. Copper Content of Selected Foods (mg/kg)**



SD = standard deviation Source : FDA 2017

<span id="page-57-0"></span>



SD = standard deviation Source : FDA 2017

The contribution of food groups to copper intake varies depending on the age group (Pennington and Schoen 1996). For example, animal flesh only contributes to 18% of the copper intake for a 2-year-old child but contributes to 38% of the copper intake for a 60–65-year-old male.

Wu et al. (2018) conducted a review of the literature to determine nutrient composition in human milk in the United States and Canada from 1980 to 2017. Average copper levels ranged from 0.02–0.08 µg per 100 g of human milk in women one to six months postpartum and from  $0.017-0.02$  µg per 100 g of human milk in women seven to twelve months postpartum.

High concentrations of copper have been measured in shellfish and crustacean species such as shrimp and prawns which use a copper-containing protein, hemocyanin, as an oxygen-transport molecule (Olmedo et al. 2013; Venugopal and Gopakumar 2017). Median copper concentrations ranged from 0 mg/kg wet weight in canned frigate to 6.865 mg/kg wet weight in frozen prawn (Olmedo et al. 2013). The calculated intake of copper from fish and shellfish is 0.117 mg/day, which is not expected to pose a risk to the average consumer (Olmedo et al. 2013). Shellfish provide between 7 to 378% of percent daily values of copper, with the highest contributions from oysters, squid, and lobster (Venugopal and Gopakumar 2017). The concentrations of copper in the soft tissue in mussels and oysters collected as part of the U.S. Mussel Watch Program in 1976–1978 were 4–10 ppm (dry weight) for mussels and 25–600 ppm for oysters (Goldberg 1986). Copper concentrations in mussels collected from 11 sites near Monterey Bay, California were 4.63–8.93 ppm (dry weight) (Martin and Castle 1984). Perwak et al. (1980) reported similar results for mussels (3.9–8.5 ppm) and for clams (8.4–171 ppm). Recent measurements of copper concentrations in zebra and quagga mussels taken from Lakes Erie and Ontario in 1997 ranged from 21 to 41 ppm (dry weight) (Rutzke et al. 2000). In the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Mussel Watch Project, copper concentrations were quantified in mollusks (*M. edulis*, *M. californianus*, *C. virginica*, and *Ostrea equestris*) from 113 sites around the United States in 1993 and compared to copper concentrations measured in mollusks taken from the same site in the EPA2 Mussel Watch Program, 1976–1978 (Lauenstein and Daskalakis 1998). The results of the comparison indicate that the decreasing and increasing trends in copper concentrations in mollusks were approximately equal among the sites, except in California, where increasing trends were noted at five sites.

As a part of the National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, eight species of freshwater fish were collected at 112 stations in the United States in 1978–1979 and 1980–1981 (Lowe et al. 1985). The geometric mean concentrations of copper in ppm (wet weight, whole

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

fish) for these two periods were 0.86 and 0.68, respectively; the  $85<sup>th</sup>$  percentiles were 1.14 and 0.90, respectively, and the ranges were 0.29–38.75 and 0.25–24.10, respectively. The highest concentration, 38.75 and 24.10 ppm, during both collecting periods was in white perch from the Susquehanna River; the second highest concentration, 19.3 ppm, was found in white perch from the Delaware River near Trenton, New Jersey. Copper concentrations in common carp and white catfish collected from the same station at the same time were 0.76 and 1.35 ppm, respectively.

Copper residues in muscle of 268 fish specimens from 17 species were analyzed over a 5-year period in several surface water systems in eastern Tennessee (Blevins and Pancorbo 1986). The mean residue levels in the muscle of different species of fish from nine stations ranged from 0.12–0.86 ppm (wet weight). Maximum levels ranged from 0.14 to 2.2 ppm.

Concentrations of copper in three species of fish living in storm treatment ponds have been compared to copper concentrations in controls collected from surrounding surface waters near Orlando, Florida (Campbell 1994). In redear sunfish and bluegill sunfish collected from stormwater ponds, the mean whole body copper concentrations were 6.37 and 2.08 mg/kg wet weight, respectively, and were significantly higher than the mean concentrations of copper, 0.879 and 1.07 mg/kg wet weight, respectively, measured in controls collected in natural lakes or ponds. However, in largemouth bass, the mean copper concentrations in fish collected from stormwater ponds and controls did not significantly differ, with values of 3.81 and 4.71 mg/kg wet weight, respectively.

Respective mean and median copper concentrations of 127 samples of finfish from Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries were 1.66 and 0.36 ppm in 1978, and 1.85 and 0.61 ppm in 1979 (Eisenberg and Topping 1986). In striped bass taken from Turkey Point in the bay, copper levels were below the detection limit of the study (<0.1  $\mu$ g/g) in muscle, but were higher in liver tissue ranging from 0.86 to 23.5  $\mu$ g/g. In gonad tissue obtained from tissue from a different site on the bay, there was also an increase in the mean copper concentration in this tissue (4.25  $\mu$ g/g) as compared to muscle (0.76  $\mu$ g/g). The copper content of muscle tissue of several species of fish collected from metal-contaminated lakes near Sudbury, Ontario, ranged from 0.5 to 1.4 ppm (dry weight). No major pattern in variation was evident among species or among the study lakes (Bradley and Morris 1986). The copper concentration in the livers ranged from 5 to 185 ppm (dry weight) and differed significantly among species and among lakes. Unlike muscle tissue, liver tissue is a good indicator of copper availability, although the data suggest that there are other factor(s) that influence the availability and bioaccumulation of copper in these fish.

COPPER 217

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

The copper concentrations in the liver of lake trout and grayling taken from four freshwater lakes in Alaska did not correlate well with the concentrations of copper in the sediments of these lakes (Allen-Gil et al. 1997). Lake trout were found to have significantly higher burdens ( $p<0.05$ ) of copper in their livers than grayling, and the concentrations of copper in the livers of trout varied considerably depending on the lake from which they were collected. The species and site differences in copper concentrations in fish livers have been attributed to differences in diet (grayling consume mainly insects, whereas trout consume a mix of snails, insects, and small fish) and time spent at various depths of the water column.

Although the concentrations of copper in plants vary widely, they usually range from 1 to 50 ppm (dry weight) (Davies and Bennett 1985) and from 1 to 143 ppm (dry weight) in edible plants (Perwak et al. 1980). Concentration ratios of copper in plants relative to soil (concentration factors or CF) demonstrate that copper uptake differs significantly between plants. For example, CF values have been found to vary from 0.02 (onion), 0.13 (celery), 0.21 (lettuce), and 0.30 (potato) to 2 (grapes), 4.5 (alfalfa), and 6.8 (grass) (Pinochet et al. 1999). Concentration factors in rice grown in Japan were found to vary among soil types  $(0.59-3.58)$  with copper concentrations in rice ranging from 1.7 to 5.1  $\mu$ g/g (Herawati et al. 2000). Copper concentrations in rice grain from the Yangtze delta in China have been found to increase significantly from 1.4 to 15.5  $\mu$ g/g when copper concentrations in wastewater irrigated soils increased from 17.0 mg/kg (wet weight) to 101.2 mg/kg (wet weight) (Cao and Hu 2000).

Studies of copper in human tissues suggest that copper content in a 70 kg adult ranges from 50–70 mg (Davies and Bennett 1985). Wise and Zeisler (1984) reported an average copper concentration of 10 ppm in the human liver in 36 samples. Despite the wide variation in copper concentrations in the environment, the copper concentration in the liver only varied by a factor of 2–3.5. The concentration of copper in blood is not expected to be predictive of the total body burden of copper: Saltzman et al. (1990) found that the correlation between copper concentrations measured in blood and total body burden was poor  $(r=0.54)$ .

Copper content in 25 tea samples from China ranged from 7.73 to 63.71 mg/kg (Zhong et al. 2015). In a study of copper release from the inner surface of copper teapots, Ni and Li  $(2008)$  found that Cu<sub>2</sub>O was a main mineral component of the corrosion by-products.

The range of copper concentrations in the filler tobacco of 10 cigarette brands manufactured by British American Tobacco and International Tobacco Company was 18.26–34.94 µg/cigarette (Benson et al. 2017). The range in the filters after smoking was  $1.77-36.48 \mu g/g$ . The mean copper content of tobacco in Finnish cigarettes was 24.7±10.8 ppm (Mussalo-Rauhamaa et al. 1986). However, only 0.2% of this

copper passes into mainstream smoke. This translates to a daily exposure of approximately 1 µg of copper in a pack of 20 cigarettes.

In an EPA-sponsored study conducted to determine the metal concentration in sewage sludge (Feiler et al. 1980), copper concentrations in primary sludge at seven POTWs were reported to be 3.0–77.4 ppm, with a median concentration of 20.5 ppm. The plant with the highest copper concentrations received wastes from plating industries, foundries, and coking plants. In a comprehensive survey of heavy metals in sewage sludge, 30 sludges from 23 American cities were analyzed (Mumma et al. 1984). The copper concentration in the sludges ranged from 126 to 7,729 ppm (dry weight), with a median value of 991 ppm. Gutenmann et al. (1994) report similar concentrations (217–793 ppm, dry weight) in sewage sludge obtained from 16 major cities in the United States. The proposed limit for copper in sludge spread on agricultural land is 1,000 ppm (Mumma et al. 1984). The concentration of copper in cow's manure is  $\sim$  5 ppm (Mumma et al. 1984).

In municipal solid waste compost obtained from nine sites in the United States, a mean copper concentration of 281 mg/kg (dry weight) was obtained with range of 36.4–424 mg/kg (He et al. 1995). Lisk et al. (1992) reported copper concentrations in composts formed from yard waste ranging from 22.7 to 327 ppm, from sewage sludge ranging from 432 to 1,019 ppm and from municipal solid waste ranging from 191 to 1,143 ppm.

Bolan et al. (2003) analyzed copper in farm effluent and sludge samples at dairy and pig farms that utilized copper hydroxide and at farms that did not use copper hydroxide. The concentration of total copper was higher at farms that used the compound. Copper concentration was higher in the sludge samples than the effluent. At dairy farms utilizing copper hydroxide, copper concentration ranged from 52 to 105 mg/kg in sludge and from 2.5 to 10.5 mg/l in effluent. At pig farms utilizing copper hydroxide, copper concentrations ranged from 12.5 to 526 mg/kg in sludge and from 0.1 to 1.55 mg/l in effluent.

Copper concentrations in waste from the combustion of municipal solid waste and other combustion processes have been reported. Copper in incinerator bottom ash and fly ash has been measured at mean concentrations of 1,700 and 1,000 mg/kg, respectively (Goldin et al. 1992). Buchholz and Landberger (1995) report concentrations of copper of 390–530  $\mu$ g/g in fly ash, 1,560–2,110  $\mu$ g/g in bottom ash, and 1,140–1,540 µg/g in combined ash. In sewage sludge incineration process steams, copper concentrations were 4,561 mg/kg in sludge cake, 3,465 mg/kg in bottom ash, 3,707 mg/kg in cyclone ash, 3,684 mg/kg in scrubber particulate matter, and 6,666 mg/kg in stack particulate matter (Balogh 1996). In fossil fuel wastes, copper concentrations of 33–2,200 mg/kg in fly ash, 4–930 mg/kg in bottom ash, 6–340 mg/kg in flue gas desulfurization sludge, 10–130,000 mg/kg oil ash, and 2–190 mg/kg in coal have been obtained (Eary et al. 1990).

Copper concentrations have been measured in several types of electronic and e-waste. The concentration of copper was 276,186-423,727 mg/kg in discarded basic phones and 268,945-434,628 mg/kg in discarded smartphones (Singh et al. 2019). The average concentration in basic phones and smartphones was 378,406 and 357,560 mg/kg, respectively. The average weight of copper in different electronic devices is: 700,300 mg in plasma TVs, 625,600 mg in color CRT TVs, 206,000 mg in LCD TVs, 102,800 mg in laptop computers, 59,500 mg in LCD monitors, and 18,800 mg in cell phones (Woo et al. 2016). In an assessment of hazardous chemicals in a market-representative set of waste printed circuit boards (WPCBs) originating from computers manufactured from 1996–2010, copper was found ranging from 177,000 to 268,000 mg/kg and was the most abundant metal in the WPCBs (Chen et al. 2016). In WPCBs, copper is used to transmit electric signals and is fundamental but results from the study show that technological innovation modeled by three types of Intel chipsets correlates with an overall decrease in copper concentration (Chen et al. 2016).

Copper may also be found in clothing. Herrero et al. (2020) analyzed 39 swimsuits made in Vietnam, China, Cambodia, Albania, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Tunisia, Spain, Morocco, and Myanmar. Copper was detected in 64% of the samples at an average concentration of 27.9 mg/kg, with concentrations ranging from less than 0.15 to 328 mg/kg. Although Herrero et al. (2020) does not specifically discuss the origins of copper in swimsuits, the authors do note that many swimsuits are made of artificial fibers so that they may be water repellant or fast drying. Metals may be used in the textile industry as dyes, antimicrobials, and water repellants (Herrero et al. 2020).

An assessment of trace metals in lip balms, lip glosses, and lipsticks found that copper was one of the three major trace metals found in lip cosmetics (Gao et al. 2018). Copper concentrations ranged from 11.07 to 136.73 mg/kg in the products sampled. The mean concentrations were 61.96 (lip balms), 81.28 (lip glosses), and 93.93 mg/kg (lipsticks).

Copper has been detected in pigments in American tattoo ink (Liszewski and Warshaw 2019). Of 44 distinct pigments identified, four contained copper. All four pigments were phthalocyanine. The most frequently used pigment containing copper is found in 13 tattoo ink brands and in 562 inks; the least frequently used is found in 1 brand and 1 ink.

Concentrations of copper in fertilizers, soil amendments, and other agricultural materials have been measured by Raven and Loeppert (1997). The materials and mean concentrations are urea  $($ <0.6  $\mu$ g/g),

ammonium nitrate (<0.6  $\mu$ g/g), ammonium sulfate (<0.6  $\mu$ g/g), ammonium phosphate (<2– 41.8  $\mu$ g/g), potassium chloride (<2–3.5 µg/g), potassium-magnesium-sulfate (1.4–5 µg/g), North Carolina rock phosphate (9.6  $\mu$ g/g), calcite (2.3  $\mu$ g/g), corn leaves (9.4  $\mu$ g/g), manure (17.5  $\mu$ g/g), and austinite (300  $\mu$ g/g). Copper was measured in cement dust from the United States at an average concentration of 23.66  $\pm$ 7.23 µg/g (Ogunbileje et al. 2013).

### **5.6 GENERAL POPULATION EXPOSURE**

Due to the ubiquity of copper in the environment and the general occurrence of copper in airborne particulates, exposure to copper through inhalation is commonplace. Estimates of atmospheric copper concentrations from different source categories (e.g., smelters, ore processing, steel production, and combustion) yielded a maximum annual concentration of 30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (EPA 1987). If a person is assumed to inhale 20 m<sup>3</sup> of air/day, this would amount to an average daily intake of 600  $\mu$ g of copper. For the reported range of annual atmospheric copper concentrations, 5–200 ng/m<sup>3</sup> (EPA 1987), the average daily intake by inhalation, would range from 0.1 to 4.0  $\mu$ g. At the maximum reported ambient air concentration, 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for a 24-hour period at a location within one-half mile of a major source (EPA 1987), the average daily intake would rise to 2,000 µg. These estimates assume that all of the copper is attached to particles of inhalable size, less than 10 µm in diameter.

The average daily dietary intake of copper from food is  $\sim$ 2 mg/day. The dietary intake of copper is expected to be above this average for those individuals who regularly consume organ meats (e.g., liver and kidney), nuts, seeds (including cocoa powder), legumes, and bran and germ portions of grains; these intakes are not expected to exceed the maximum recommended limits of 10–12 mg/day (WHO 1996). In the United States, Tolerable Upper Intake Levels vary by life stage, ranging from 1 mg/day for 1-year old children and 10 mg/day for adults and pregnant and lactating females 19-years old and older (Table 7-17). Those individuals who regularly consume oysters or clams may increase their dietary intake of copper by 2–150 mg/day when consuming 250 g of edible tissue per day, based on copper concentrations of 25–600 and 8.4–171 ppm in oysters and clams, respectively (Goldberg 1986; Perwak et al. 1980). Assuming a median copper concentration in drinking water of 75 µg/L, the average daily copper exposure from consumption of 2 L of water per day is 0.15 mg. However, many people may have high levels of copper in their tap water that were acquired during transport through the water distribution system. While corrosion can occur in plumbing of any age, new copper plumbing is a potential source of exposure as copper leaches into drinking water. In the presence of certain water qualities, copper levels in excess of the EPA action level (1.3 mg/L) are most likely to occur in newly constructed homes and buildings with copper plumbing, or at sites that have been recently renovated with new copper plumbing (Edwards et al.

2001; EPA 1995; Grace et al. 2012; Knobeloch et al. 1998; Lagos et al. 2001; Rajaratnam et al. 2002; Schock and Sandvig 2009; Turek et al. 2011). If the system is not permitted to flush out, average intakes from water may be >2 mg/day. It is less likely that high dermal exposures will result from bathing in this tap water because the distribution system will flush itself out as the water is drawn. Data on serum copper from NHANES is presented in [Table 5-21.](#page-64-0)



### <span id="page-64-0"></span>**Table 5-21. Geometric Mean and Selected Percentiles of Serum Copper (in µg/L) for the U.S. Population from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (CDC 2016, 2018)**

CI = confidence interval

A National Occupational Exposure Survey (NOES) conducted by NIOSH from 1981 to 1983 estimated that potentially 505,982 workers, including 42,557 women, were occupationally exposed to copper in the United States (NIOSH 1989). The NOES estimate is provisional because all of the data for trade name

COPPER 222

#### 5. POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

products that may contain copper have not been analyzed. Of the potential exposures, 1,073 are to pure copper, while in the other cases, the molecular form of copper was unspecified. Additionally, according to the NOES, 125,045 workers, including 38,075 women, were potentially exposed to copper sulfate (NIOSH 1988). The NOES was based on field surveys of 4,490 facilities and was designed as a nationwide survey based on a statistically valid sample of virtually all workplace environments in the United States where eight or more persons are employed in all standard industrial codes (SIC) except mining and agriculture. The exclusion of mining and agriculture is significant for estimating exposure to copper since there is a high potential for exposure in these industries. Current occupational exposure limits for copper fume are 0.2 and 1 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for dust and mists (Frazier and Hage 1998).

Children are not small adults. A child's exposure may differ from an adult's exposure in many ways. Children drink more fluids, eat more food, breathe more air per kg of body weight, and have a larger skin surface in proportion to their body volume. A child's diet often differs from that of adults. The developing human's source of nutrition changes with age: from placental nourishment to breast milk or formula to the diet of older children who eat more of certain types of foods than adults. A child's behavior and lifestyle also influence exposure. Children crawl on the floor, put things in their mouths, sometimes eat inappropriate things (such as dirt or paint chips), and spend more time outdoors. Children also are closer to the ground, and they do not use the judgment of adults to avoid hazards (NRC 1993).

Children could be exposed to copper through contact with wood treated with alkaline copper quaternary (ACQ) (Cushing et al. 2007). ACQ, which contains copper oxide, is used to treat residential decks and playsets. Children might ingest ACQ from dislodged wood residues via hand to mouth contact or be exposed via dermal contact.

Exposure of copper through oral routes may differ between children and adults, due to differences in the consumption of various food groups between children and adults and ingestion of dust and soils. The dietary copper intake for infants who receive the major portion of their nutritional requirements from breast milk is likely to be different from infants whose nutritional needs are either supplemented or entirely received through the consumption of formula. Estimates of copper intake from inhalation and ingestion in children in the United States are limited. From the work of Pennington et al. (1986), the copper intakes from food consumption for a 6–11-month-old infant and a 2-year-old child were estimated to be 0.47 and 0.58 mg/day, respectively, values which are lower than the adult intake of  $\sim$ 1 mg/day. One study has provided estimated inhalation and ingestion exposures of copper for 6- to 10-year-old children in India (Raghunath et al. 1997). In this work, mean daily concentrations of copper in particulates in air from six locations were measured at  $0.01-0.26 \mu g/m^3$ . Based on these measurements, estimated inhalation exposures of children to copper were calculated to be  $0.1-3.2 \mu g/day$ . In this same work, exposures to copper through ingestion were estimated to be between 684–1,732 µg/day.

Exposures of children to copper are likely to increase in areas where copper concentrations in air are expected to be high, such as mining sites, waste dump sites, smelters, and foundries. For example, copper burdens in children living near a lead smelter, as measured by copper concentration in teeth, increased closer to the smelter (Blanuša et al. 1990). Children are also at risk for increased copper intake through consumption of drinking water where leaching of copper from the distribution system has occurred (Murphy 1993; Yannoni and Piorkowski 1995). Copper-contaminated drinking water has been reported to create a light blue or blue-green color to water, and may results in a metallic, bitter taste (WHO 2004). This route of copper exposure can be minimized through the flushing of drinking water supply lines or increasing the pH of the water in the distribution system.

Arcega-Cabrera and Fargher (2016) measured copper in blood and urine samples of children in Mexico and found that 79.4% had copper detected in urine and 100% had copper detected in blood. The range of median copper in blood at nine elementary schools was 723.02 to 1143.7 µg/dL, and in urine ranged from below detection limit to 20.62 µg/dL. Using ethnographic data, Arcega-Cabrera and Fargher (2016) identified potential sources and pathways of exposure to metals. They concluded that children from poor or marginalized families tended to be exposed to copper while children from wealthier families tended to be exposed to inorganic copper (copper sulfate). There was a positive correlation between the frequency that children ate fresh fish and copper in blood, while there was a negative correlation between the frequency and copper in urine. This is likely due to the copper in fish being protein-bound. Since copper sulfate is used as a preservative in fresh fish and as a water treatment in ponds and other freshwater surfaces, children who eat fresh fish more often may be exposed to it. Piped or well water in the study was found to contain higher levels of copper than purified water, and children of poorer or more marginalized families who cooked with piped or well water had higher levels of copper in urine. Children from households cooking over open food fires also had higher levels of copper in urine than households cooking with gas.

### **5.7 POPULATIONS WITH POTENTIALLY HIGH EXPOSURES**

In discussing exposure to copper, the important question is whether individuals are exposed to readily available copper, which in general means free (hydrated) Cu(II) ions and perhaps some weakly complexed or adsorbed small particulate copper ions. The data indicate that copper in natural water, sediment, and soil mainly exists in bound form. Even so, the free form of copper can be released readily from ingested materials, for example a child's sampling of soil contaminated with copper, followed by

exposure to the low acidic pHs encountered in the stomach (Pizarro et al. 2001). Potential for high uptakes of copper in the general population may exist in situations where people consume large amounts of tap water that contains dissolved copper that come from corrosion of copper in the distribution system, or already have a high copper background due to natural or anthropogenic activities (e.g., close proximity to mining activities or mine drainage). Leaching of copper from water distribution system materials is likely to occur where the water is soft and not flushed out of the system by running the water down the drain before collecting some of it for use. In such cases, the initial concentration of copper frequently exceeds 1 ppm. A large fraction of the copper may be in the form of free cupric ion, and uptake will result by ingestion and, perhaps, dermal contact. Soluble cupric salts are used extensively in agriculture and in water treatment. Workers engaged in the formulation and application of these chemicals along with industrial workers, such as those in the plating industry, may come into dermal contact with absorbable copper ions. Exposure to high levels of free Cu(II) can occur, for example, from swimming in water that was recently treated with a copper-containing algaecide.

Serum concentrations of copper were significantly elevated in users of skin-whitening agents (Iyanda et al. 2011). Copper concentrations ranged from 2.27 to 8.48 mg/kg in skin lightening creams sold in Nigeria (Sani et al. 2016; Theresa et al. 2011). Consumers who use skin-whitening agents could be at risk of high exposure to copper.

Based on the available data, people living close to NPL sites may be at greater risk for exposure to copper than the general population. In this case, exposure can occur through inhalation of airborne particulates from the NPL sites, ingestion of water from private wells in close proximity to the sites, ingestion of contaminated soil, and/or uptake of copper into fruits and vegetables raised in gardens of residents living near NPL sites.

People living near copper smelters and refineries, and workers within these and other industries can be exposed to high levels of dust-borne copper by both inhalation and ingestion. In some industries, workers may be exposed to fumes or very fine dust that may be more hazardous than coarse-grained dust, because it can be inhaled and penetrate more deeply into the lung, thereby evading the mucocilliary escalator.

Exposure to ultrafine particles of copper poses a risk to human health due to their smaller size, larger surface area, surface material, and physical characteristics (Schraufnagel 2020). Traffic exhaust is a common source of exposure, although homes near a trash burning site, bedrooms with burning coils for mosquito abatement, homes with smokers, and kitchens during domestic cooking are also sources of exposure to ultrafine particles (Schraufnagel 2020). Particles created by brake wear, including copper

particles, are in the range of 2.8 µm (Wahlin et al. 2006). Copper has been identified in ultrafine particles leading to metal fume fever among welders (Schraufnagel 2020).