

APPENDIX A. ATSDR MINIMAL RISK LEVELS AND WORKSHEETS

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) [42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.], as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) [Pub. L. 99–499], requires that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) develop jointly with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in order of priority, a list of hazardous substances most commonly found at facilities on the CERCLA National Priorities List (NPL); prepare toxicological profiles for each substance included on the priority list of hazardous substances; and assure the initiation of a research program to fill identified data needs associated with the substances.

The toxicological profiles include an examination, summary, and interpretation of available toxicological information and epidemiologic evaluations of a hazardous substance. During the development of toxicological profiles, Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) are derived when reliable and sufficient data exist to identify the target organ(s) of effect or the most sensitive health effect(s) for a specific duration for a given route of exposure. An MRL is an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified duration of exposure. MRLs are based on noncancer health effects only and are not based on a consideration of cancer effects. These substance-specific estimates, which are intended to serve as screening levels, are used by ATSDR health assessors to identify contaminants and potential health effects that may be of concern at hazardous waste sites. It is important to note that MRLs are not intended to define clean-up or action levels.

MRLs are derived for hazardous substances using the no-observed-adverse-effect level/uncertainty factor approach. They are below levels that might cause adverse health effects in the people most sensitive to such chemical-induced effects. MRLs are derived for acute (1–14 days), intermediate (15–364 days), and chronic (365 days and longer) durations and for the oral and inhalation routes of exposure. Currently, MRLs for the dermal route of exposure are not derived because ATSDR has not yet identified a method suitable for this route of exposure. MRLs are generally based on the most sensitive chemical-induced end point considered to be of relevance to humans. Serious health effects (such as irreparable damage to the liver or kidneys, or birth defects) are not used as a basis for establishing MRLs. Exposure to a level above the MRL does not mean that adverse health effects will occur.

APPENDIX A

MRLs are intended only to serve as a screening tool to help public health professionals decide where to look more closely. They may also be viewed as a mechanism to identify those hazardous waste sites that are not expected to cause adverse health effects. Most MRLs contain a degree of uncertainty because of the lack of precise toxicological information on the people who might be most sensitive (e.g., infants, elderly, nutritionally or immunologically compromised) to the effects of hazardous substances. ATSDR uses a conservative (i.e., protective) approach to address this uncertainty consistent with the public health principle of prevention. Although human data are preferred, MRLs often must be based on animal studies because relevant human studies are lacking. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, ATSDR assumes that humans are more sensitive to the effects of hazardous substance than animals and that certain persons may be particularly sensitive. Thus, the resulting MRL may be as much as 100-fold below levels that have been shown to be nontoxic in laboratory animals.

Proposed MRLs undergo a rigorous review process: Health Effects/MRL Workgroup reviews within the Division of Toxicology, expert panel peer reviews, and agency-wide MRL Workgroup reviews, with participation from other federal agencies and comments from the public. They are subject to change as new information becomes available concomitant with updating the toxicological profiles. Thus, MRLs in the most recent toxicological profiles supersede previously published levels. For additional information regarding MRLs, please contact the Division of Toxicology, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Mailstop F-32, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: Nickel
CAS Number: 7440-02-0
Date: May 13, 2005
Profile Status: Final Post-Public Comment Draft
Route: Inhalation Oral
Duration: Acute Intermediate Chronic
Graph Key: 38
Species: F344 Rats

Minimal Risk Level: 0.0002 mg/kg/day mg/m³

Reference: NTP 1996c. Toxicology and carcinogenesis of nickel sulfate hexahydrate (CAS No. 10101-97-0) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Toxicology Program, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Experimental design: Groups of 10 male and 10 female F344/N rats were exposed to 0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, or 2.0 mg/m³ nickel sulfate hexahydrate (0.03, 0.06, 0.11, 0.22, or 0.44 mg Ni/m³, as calculated by study authors) for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 13 weeks. The mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) (and sigma g) values reported in Table K1 of the paper were 2.31 (2.1), 2.11 (2.7), 3.08 (2.9), 1.81 (2.2), and 2.01 (2.0) for the 0.03, 0.06, 0.11, 0.22, and 0.44 mg Ni/m³ concentrations, respectively. End points examined included body weight gain, clinical observations, hematology, and organ weights, and microscopic examinations of the following organs were completed: adrenal gland, bone, brain, clitoral gland, epididymis, oviduct, esophagus, heart, large intestine, small intestine, kidneys, larynx, liver, lung, lymph nodes, mammary gland, nose, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, pituitary, preputial gland, prostate, salivary gland, seminal vesicle, skin, spleen, stomach, testis, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, bladder, and uterus.

Effects noted in study and corresponding doses: No exposure related deaths, alterations in body weight gain, or clinical signs were observed. A number of hematological alterations were observed in female rats—increased hematocrit, hemoglobin, and erythrocyte concentrations at 0.22 mg Ni/m³ and higher; increased reticulocytes at 0.03 mg Ni/m³ and higher; increased leukocyte levels at 0.11 mg Ni/m³ and higher; increased segmented neutrophils at 0.06 mg Ni/m³ and higher; and increased lymphocytes at 0.22 mg Ni/m³ and higher—the study authors noted that these alterations are consistent with chronic inflammation, hyperplasia of lymph nodes, and mild dehydration. Significant alterations in lung weights were observed at 0.06 mg Ni/m³ and higher. Lung lesions consisted of minimal alveolar macrophage hyperplasia at 0.03–0.11 mg Ni/m³, mild to moderate macrophage hyperplasia at 0.22 and 0.44 mg Ni/m³, interstitial infiltrates at 0.22 mg Ni/m³ and higher in males and 0.11 mg Ni/m³ and higher in females, and chronic active inflammation characterized by slight thickening of alveolar septae due to an increase in mononuclear inflammatory cells, and few neutrophils and fibroblasts in the intersitium. Hyperplasia of bronchial and mediastinal lymph nodes was observed at 0.22 mg Ni/m³ and higher and atrophy of the olfactory epithelium was observed at 0.22 and 0.44 mg Ni/m³.

The minimal alveolar macrophage hyperplasia observed at 0.03–0.11 mg Ni/m³ was not considered an adverse health effect because the slight changes in the number of macrophages were considered to be part of the normal physiologic response to inhaled particles and it is not believed to compromise the lung's ability to clear foreign matter.

APPENDIX A

Dose and end point used for MRL derivation:

NOAEL LOAEL

The NOAEL of 0.06 mg/m³ for chronic active inflammation in rats is the basis of the intermediate-duration inhalation MRL for nickel.

Uncertainty Factors used in MRL derivation:

- 10 for use of a LOAEL
- 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans with dosimetric adjustment
- 10 for human variability

Was a conversion used from ppm in food or water to a mg/body weight dose? No.

If an inhalation study in animals, list the conversion factors used in determining human equivalent dose:

The exposure concentration was adjusted for intermittent exposure (6 hours/24 hours, 5 days/7 days). A regional deposited dose ratio (RDDR) of 0.474 for the pulmonary region was used to extrapolate from particle deposition in rats to deposition in humans. The RDDR was calculated using EPA's software for calculating RDDRs. The following parameters were used: particle size (MMAD) of 2.11 µm and geometric standard deviation (sigma g) of 2.7; default human body weight (70 kg), minute volume (13 L) and pulmonary surface area (54 m²); default female F344 rat body weight (0.124 kg), minute volume (101.3 mL), and pulmonary surface area (0.34 m²).

$$\text{NOAEL}_{\text{ADJ}} = 0.06 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3 \times 6 \text{ hours/24 hours} \times 5 \text{ days/7 days} = 0.011 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3$$

$$\text{NOAEL}_{\text{HEC}} = \text{NOAEL}_{\text{ADJ}} \times \text{RDDR} = 0.011 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3 \times 0.474 = 0.0052 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3$$

Other additional studies or pertinent information which lend support to this MRL: The identification of the lung as the most sensitive target of nickel toxicity is supported by a number of acute-, intermediate-, and chronic-duration studies of nickel sulfate, nickel subsulfide, and nickel oxide in rats and mice (Benson et al. 1995a, 1995b; Horie et al. 1985; NTP 1996a, 1996b, 1996c; Ottolenghi et al. 1990; Tanaka et al. 1988). In these studies, respiratory effects, in particular chronic lung inflammation, was observed at the lowest LOAEL values. Three other inhalation studies have examined the toxicity of nickel sulfate. Benson et al. (1995a) observed mild alveolitis in rats exposed to 0.11 mg Ni/m³ 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 6 months; 4 months after exposure termination, alveolitis was still present in the nickel-exposed rats. Minimal alveolar macrophage hyperplasia was observed at 0.03 mg Ni/m³; this was not observed 4 months after exposure termination. In mice exposed to nickel sulfate (6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 13 weeks), chronic lung inflammation and fibrosis were observed at 0.44 mg Ni/m³; minimal alveolar hyperplasia was observed at 0.11 mg Ni/m³ and higher (NTP 1996c). Similarly, Benson et al. (1995a) reported minimal alveolar macrophage hyperplasia and interstitial pneumonia in mice exposed to 0.22 mg Ni/m³.

Similar studies in which rats and mice were exposed to nickel subsulfide (NTP 1996b) or nickel oxide (1996a) confirm that the lungs are the principal target of nickel toxicity following inhalation exposure. Comparison of the NOAEL and LOAEL values identified in the NTP studies of nickel sulfate (NTP 1996c), nickel subsulfide (NTP 1996b), and nickel oxide (NTP 1996a) demonstrate that nickel sulfate is more toxic than nickel subsulfide and nickel oxide. In rats, the NOAEL and LOAEL values for chronic lung inflammation were 0.06 and 0.11 mg Ni/m³ for nickel sulfate (NTP 1996c), 0.11 and 0.22 mg Ni/m³ for nickel subsulfide (NTP 1996b), and 2.0 and 3.9 mg Ni/m³ for nickel oxide (NTP 1996a). Atrophy of the nasal olfactory epithelium was observed at 0.22 and 0.44 mg Ni/m³ as nickel sulfate (NTP 1996c) and nickel subsulfide (NTP 1996b), respectively. Similar effects were observed in mice. For nickel sulfate

APPENDIX A

and nickel subsulfide, the LOAEL values in mice were higher than the LOAELs identified in rats; the LOAEL for chronic inflammation following exposure to nickel oxide was the same in rats and mice.

No intermediate-duration human inhalation exposure studies were identified; a number of chronic exposure studies have examined the potential of nickel and nickel compounds to induce respiratory effects in workers. Most of these studies are cohort mortality studies that did not find significant increases in the number of deaths from nonmalignant respiratory system disease (Arena et al. 1998; Cox et al. 1981; Cragle et al. 1984; Egedahl et al. 2001; Enterline and Marsh 1982; Redmond 1984; Roberts et al. 1989b; Shannon et al. 1984b, 1991). A few studies have examined workers for possible nonlethal respiratory effects. Two studies examined chest x-rays of workers: one found an increased risk of moderate pulmonary fibrosis (Berge and Skyberg 2003) and the other did not find any significant alterations (Muir et al. 1993). Although most of occupational exposure studies did not report exposure levels, workers were typically exposed to nickel levels that far exceed levels found in ambient air.

Agency Contact (Chemical Managers): Mike Fay, Sharon Wilbur, and Henry Abadin

APPENDIX A

MINIMAL RISK LEVEL (MRL) WORKSHEET

Chemical Name: Nickel
CAS Number: 7440-02-0
Date: May 13, 2005
Profile Status: Final Post-Public Comment Draft
Route: Inhalation Oral
Duration: Acute Intermediate Chronic
Graph Key: 77
Species: F344 Rats

Minimal Risk Level: 9×10^{-5} mg/kg/day mg/m³

Reference: NTP 1996c. Toxicology and carcinogenesis of nickel sulfate hexahydrate (CAS No. 10101-97-0) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (inhalation studies). Research Triangle Park, NC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Toxicology Program.

Experimental design: Groups of male and female F344 rats were exposed to 0.12, 0.25, or 0.5 mg/m³ nickel sulfate hexahydrate (0, 0.03, 0.06, or 0.11 mg Ni/m³ as calculated by study authors) 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 2 years. The mean mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) and sigma g values (reported in Table K2 of the paper) were 2.50 (sigma g of 2.38), 2.24 (2.21), and 2.25 (2.08) for the 0.03, 0.06, and 0.11 mg Ni/m³ concentrations, respectively. End points examined included body weight gain, clinical observations, hematology, and organ weights. Microscopic examinations of the following organs were completed: adrenal gland, bone, brain, clitoral gland, epididymis, oviduct, esophagus, heart, large intestine, small intestine, kidneys, larynx, liver, lung, lymph nodes, mammary gland, nose, ovary, pancreas, parathyroid gland, pituitary, preputial gland, prostate, salivary gland, seminal vesicle, skin, spleen, stomach, testis, thymus, thyroid gland, trachea, bladder, and uterus.

Effects noted in study and corresponding doses: No significant alterations in survival, body weight, or the occurrence of clinical signs were observed. The only treatment-related changes noted were in the respiratory tract. Lung lesions consisted of chronic active inflammation, hyperplasia of alveolar macrophages, alveolar proteinosis, and fibrosis at 0.06 and 0.11 mg Ni/m³. The combined incidences of chronic active inflammation in the male and female rats were 28/106, 24/106, 91/106, and 98/107 in the 0, 0.03, 0.06, and 0.11 mg Ni/m³ groups, respectively. The chronic inflammation consisted of multifocal, minimal to mild accumulation of macrophages, neutrophils, and cellular debris within the alveolar spaces. No significant alterations in the malignant tumors were observed in the lungs. Significant increases in the incidence of lymphoid hyperplasia of the bronchial lymph nodes and atrophy of the olfactory epithelium were observed at 0.11 mg Ni/m³.

Dose and end point used for MRL derivation:

NOAEL LOAEL

The NOAEL of 0.03 mg/m³ for chronic active inflammation and lung fibrosis in rats is the basis of the chronic inhalation MRL for nickel.

APPENDIX A

Uncertainty Factors used in MRL derivation:

- 10 for use of a LOAEL
- 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans with dosimetric adjustment
- 10 for human variability

Was a conversion used from ppm in food or water to a mg/body weight dose? No.

If an inhalation study in animals, list the conversion factors used in determining human equivalent dose:

The exposure concentration was adjusted for intermittent exposure (6 hours/24 hours, 5 days/7 days). A regional deposited dose ratio (RDDR) of 0.506 for the pulmonary region was used to extrapolate from a deposited dose in rats to a deposited dose in humans. The RDDR was calculated using EPA's software for calculating RDDRs. The following parameters were used: particle size (MMAD) of 2.5 μm and geometric standard deviation (sigma g) of 2.38; default human body weight (70 kg), minute volume (13 L) and pulmonary surface area (54 m^2); default female F344 rat body weight (0.229 kg), minute volume (167.3 mL), and pulmonary surface area (0.34 m^2).

$$\text{NOAEL}_{\text{ADJ}} = 0.03 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3 \times 6 \text{ hours/24 hours} \times 5 \text{ days/7 days} = 0.0054 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3$$

$$\text{NOAEL}_{\text{HEC}} = \text{NOAEL}_{\text{ADJ}} \times \text{RDDR} = 0.0054 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3 \times 0.506 = 0.0027 \text{ mg Ni/m}^3$$

Other additional studies or pertinent information which lend support to this MRL: The identification of the lung as the most sensitive target of nickel toxicity is supported by a number of acute-, intermediate-, and chronic-duration studies of nickel sulfate, nickel subsulfide, and nickel oxide in rats and mice (Benson et al. 1995a, 1995b; Horie et al. 1985; NTP 1996a, 1996b, 1996c; Ottolenghi et al. 1990; Tanaka et al. 1988). In these studies, respiratory effects, in particular chronic lung inflammation, was observed at the lowest LOAEL values. One other inhalation study has examined the toxicity of nickel sulfate. Chronic active lung inflammation was observed in mice exposed to 0.11 or 0.22 mg Ni/m^3 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 2 years (NTP 1996c); no respiratory tract effects were observed at 0.06 mg Ni/m^3 . Chronic-duration studies (all studies involved 6 hour/day, 5 day/week exposures) with different nickel compounds have also found inflammatory lung effects at in rats exposed to 0.11 mg Ni/m^3 as nickel subsulfide for 2 years (NTP 1996b), rats exposed to 0.7 mg Ni/m^3 as nickel subsulfide for 78 weeks (Ottolenghi et al. 1990), mice exposed to 0.44 mg Ni/m^3 as nickel subsulfide for 2 years (NTP 1996b), rats exposed to 0.2 mg Ni/m^3 as nickel oxide for 2 years (NTP 1996a), and mice exposed to 1 mg Ni/m^3 as nickel oxide for 2 years (NTP 1996a).

The potential of nickel to induce nonmalignant respiratory tract effects has been examined in a number of cohort mortality studies. In general, these studies did not find significant increases in the risk of dying from nonmalignant respiratory system disease (Arena et al. 1998; Cox et al. 1981; Cragle et al. 1984; Egedahl et al. 2001; Enterline and Marsh 1982; Redmond 1984; Roberts et al. 1989b; Shannon et al. 1984b, 1991). Mixed results have been found in the few studies examining nonlethal respiratory tract effects. Two studies examined chest x-rays of nickel workers: one found an increased risk of moderate pulmonary fibrosis (Berge and Skyberg 2003) and the other did not find any significant alterations (Muir et al. 1993). Although most of occupational exposure studies did not report exposure levels, workers were typically exposed to nickel levels that far exceed levels found in ambient air.

Agency Contact (Chemical Managers): Mike Fay, Sharon Wilbur, and Henry Abadin

APPENDIX B. USER'S GUIDE

Chapter 1

Public Health Statement

This chapter of the profile is a health effects summary written in non-technical language. Its intended audience is the general public, especially people living in the vicinity of a hazardous waste site or chemical release. If the Public Health Statement were removed from the rest of the document, it would still communicate to the lay public essential information about the chemical.

The major headings in the Public Health Statement are useful to find specific topics of concern. The topics are written in a question and answer format. The answer to each question includes a sentence that will direct the reader to chapters in the profile that will provide more information on the given topic.

Chapter 2

Relevance to Public Health

This chapter provides a health effects summary based on evaluations of existing toxicologic, epidemiologic, and toxicokinetic information. This summary is designed to present interpretive, weight-of-evidence discussions for human health end points by addressing the following questions:

1. What effects are known to occur in humans?
2. What effects observed in animals are likely to be of concern to humans?
3. What exposure conditions are likely to be of concern to humans, especially around hazardous waste sites?

The chapter covers end points in the same order that they appear within the Discussion of Health Effects by Route of Exposure section, by route (inhalation, oral, and dermal) and within route by effect. Human data are presented first, then animal data. Both are organized by duration (acute, intermediate, chronic). *In vitro* data and data from parenteral routes (intramuscular, intravenous, subcutaneous, etc.) are also considered in this chapter.

The carcinogenic potential of the profiled substance is qualitatively evaluated, when appropriate, using existing toxicokinetic, genotoxic, and carcinogenic data. ATSDR does not currently assess cancer potency or perform cancer risk assessments. Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for noncancer end points (if derived) and the end points from which they were derived are indicated and discussed.

Limitations to existing scientific literature that prevent a satisfactory evaluation of the relevance to public health are identified in the Chapter 3 Data Needs section.

Interpretation of Minimal Risk Levels

Where sufficient toxicologic information is available, ATSDR has derived MRLs for inhalation and oral routes of entry at each duration of exposure (acute, intermediate, and chronic). These MRLs are not

APPENDIX B

meant to support regulatory action, but to acquaint health professionals with exposure levels at which adverse health effects are not expected to occur in humans.

MRLs should help physicians and public health officials determine the safety of a community living near a chemical emission, given the concentration of a contaminant in air or the estimated daily dose in water. MRLs are based largely on toxicological studies in animals and on reports of human occupational exposure.

MRL users should be familiar with the toxicologic information on which the number is based. Chapter 2, "Relevance to Public Health," contains basic information known about the substance. Other sections such as Chapter 3 Section 3.9, "Interactions with Other Substances," and Section 3.10, "Populations that are Unusually Susceptible" provide important supplemental information.

MRL users should also understand the MRL derivation methodology. MRLs are derived using a modified version of the risk assessment methodology that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provides (Barnes and Dourson 1988) to determine reference doses (RfDs) for lifetime exposure.

To derive an MRL, ATSDR generally selects the most sensitive end point which, in its best judgement, represents the most sensitive human health effect for a given exposure route and duration. ATSDR cannot make this judgement or derive an MRL unless information (quantitative or qualitative) is available for all potential systemic, neurological, and developmental effects. If this information and reliable quantitative data on the chosen end point are available, ATSDR derives an MRL using the most sensitive species (when information from multiple species is available) with the highest no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) that does not exceed any adverse effect levels. When a NOAEL is not available, a lowest-observed-adverse-effect level (LOAEL) can be used to derive an MRL, and an uncertainty factor (UF) of 10 must be employed. Additional uncertainty factors of 10 must be used both for human variability to protect sensitive subpopulations (people who are most susceptible to the health effects caused by the substance) and for interspecies variability (extrapolation from animals to humans). In deriving an MRL, these individual uncertainty factors are multiplied together. The product is then divided into the inhalation concentration or oral dosage selected from the study. Uncertainty factors used in developing a substance-specific MRL are provided in the footnotes of the levels of significant exposure (LSE) tables.

Chapter 3

Health Effects

Tables and Figures for Levels of Significant Exposure (LSE)

Tables and figures are used to summarize health effects and illustrate graphically levels of exposure associated with those effects. These levels cover health effects observed at increasing dose concentrations and durations, differences in response by species, MRLs to humans for noncancer end points, and EPA's estimated range associated with an upper-bound individual lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 10,000,000. Use the LSE tables and figures for a quick review of the health effects and to locate data for a specific exposure scenario. The LSE tables and figures should always be used in conjunction with the text. All entries in these tables and figures represent studies that provide reliable, quantitative estimates of NOAELs, LOAELs, or Cancer Effect Levels (CELs).

The legends presented below demonstrate the application of these tables and figures. Representative examples of LSE Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1 are shown. The numbers in the left column of the legends correspond to the numbers in the example table and figure.

APPENDIX B

LEGEND**See Sample LSE Table 3-1 (page B-6)**

- (1) **Route of Exposure.** One of the first considerations when reviewing the toxicity of a substance using these tables and figures should be the relevant and appropriate route of exposure. Typically when sufficient data exist, three LSE tables and two LSE figures are presented in the document. The three LSE tables present data on the three principal routes of exposure, i.e., inhalation, oral, and dermal (LSE Tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3, respectively). LSE figures are limited to the inhalation (LSE Figure 3-1) and oral (LSE Figure 3-2) routes. Not all substances will have data on each route of exposure and will not, therefore, have all five of the tables and figures.
- (2) **Exposure Period.** Three exposure periods—acute (less than 15 days), intermediate (15–364 days), and chronic (365 days or more)—are presented within each relevant route of exposure. In this example, an inhalation study of intermediate exposure duration is reported. For quick reference to health effects occurring from a known length of exposure, locate the applicable exposure period within the LSE table and figure.
- (3) **Health Effect.** The major categories of health effects included in LSE tables and figures are death, systemic, immunological, neurological, developmental, reproductive, and cancer. NOAELs and LOAELs can be reported in the tables and figures for all effects but cancer. Systemic effects are further defined in the "System" column of the LSE table (see key number 18).
- (4) **Key to Figure.** Each key number in the LSE table links study information to one or more data points using the same key number in the corresponding LSE figure. In this example, the study represented by key number 18 has been used to derive a NOAEL and a Less Serious LOAEL (also see the two "18r" data points in sample Figure 3-1).
- (5) **Species.** The test species, whether animal or human, are identified in this column. Chapter 2, "Relevance to Public Health," covers the relevance of animal data to human toxicity and Section 3.4, "Toxicokinetics," contains any available information on comparative toxicokinetics. Although NOAELs and LOAELs are species specific, the levels are extrapolated to equivalent human doses to derive an MRL.
- (6) **Exposure Frequency/Duration.** The duration of the study and the weekly and daily exposure regimens are provided in this column. This permits comparison of NOAELs and LOAELs from different studies. In this case (key number 18), rats were exposed to "Chemical x" via inhalation for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week, for 13 weeks. For a more complete review of the dosing regimen, refer to the appropriate sections of the text or the original reference paper (i.e., Nitschke et al. 1981).
- (7) **System.** This column further defines the systemic effects. These systems include respiratory, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, hematological, musculoskeletal, hepatic, renal, and dermal/ocular. "Other" refers to any systemic effect (e.g., a decrease in body weight) not covered in these systems. In the example of key number 18, one systemic effect (respiratory) was investigated.
- (8) **NOAEL.** A NOAEL is the highest exposure level at which no harmful effects were seen in the organ system studied. Key number 18 reports a NOAEL of 3 ppm for the respiratory system, which was used to derive an intermediate exposure, inhalation MRL of 0.005 ppm (see footnote "b").

APPENDIX B

- (9) LOAEL. A LOAEL is the lowest dose used in the study that caused a harmful health effect. LOAELs have been classified into "Less Serious" and "Serious" effects. These distinctions help readers identify the levels of exposure at which adverse health effects first appear and the gradation of effects with increasing dose. A brief description of the specific end point used to quantify the adverse effect accompanies the LOAEL. The respiratory effect reported in key number 18 (hyperplasia) is a Less Serious LOAEL of 10 ppm. MRLs are not derived from Serious LOAELs.
- (10) Reference. The complete reference citation is given in Chapter 9 of the profile.
- (11) CEL. A CEL is the lowest exposure level associated with the onset of carcinogenesis in experimental or epidemiologic studies. CELs are always considered serious effects. The LSE tables and figures do not contain NOAELs for cancer, but the text may report doses not causing measurable cancer increases.
- (12) Footnotes. Explanations of abbreviations or reference notes for data in the LSE tables are found in the footnotes. Footnote "b" indicates that the NOAEL of 3 ppm in key number 18 was used to derive an MRL of 0.005 ppm.

LEGEND**See Sample Figure 3-1 (page B-7)**

LSE figures graphically illustrate the data presented in the corresponding LSE tables. Figures help the reader quickly compare health effects according to exposure concentrations for particular exposure periods.

- (13) Exposure Period. The same exposure periods appear as in the LSE table. In this example, health effects observed within the acute and intermediate exposure periods are illustrated.
- (14) Health Effect. These are the categories of health effects for which reliable quantitative data exists. The same health effects appear in the LSE table.
- (15) Levels of Exposure. Concentrations or doses for each health effect in the LSE tables are graphically displayed in the LSE figures. Exposure concentration or dose is measured on the log scale "y" axis. Inhalation exposure is reported in mg/m³ or ppm and oral exposure is reported in mg/kg/day.
- (16) NOAEL. In this example, the open circle designated 18r identifies a NOAEL critical end point in the rat upon which an intermediate inhalation exposure MRL is based. The key number 18 corresponds to the entry in the LSE table. The dashed descending arrow indicates the extrapolation from the exposure level of 3 ppm (see entry 18 in the table) to the MRL of 0.005 ppm (see footnote "b" in the LSE table).
- (17) CEL. Key number 38m is one of three studies for which CELs were derived. The diamond symbol refers to a CEL for the test species-mouse. The number 38 corresponds to the entry in the LSE table.

APPENDIX B

- (18) Estimated Upper-Bound Human Cancer Risk Levels. This is the range associated with the upper-bound for lifetime cancer risk of 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 10,000,000. These risk levels are derived from the EPA's Human Health Assessment Group's upper-bound estimates of the slope of the cancer dose response curve at low dose levels (q_1^*).
- (19) Key to LSE Figure. The Key explains the abbreviations and symbols used in the figure.

SAMPLE

1 →

Table 3-1. Levels of Significant Exposure to [Chemical x] – Inhalation

Key to figure ^a	Species	Exposure frequency/ duration	System	NOAEL (ppm)	LOAEL (effect)		Reference
					Less serious (ppm)	Serious (ppm)	
INTERMEDIATE EXPOSURE							
	5	6	7	8	9		10
3 →	Systemic	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
4 →	18	Rat	13 wk 5 d/wk 6 hr/d	Resp	3 ^b	10 (hyperplasia)	Nitschke et al. 1981
CHRONIC EXPOSURE							
	Cancer					11	
					↓		
	38	Rat	18 mo 5 d/wk 7 hr/d			20	(CEL, multiple organs) Wong et al. 1982
	39	Rat	89–104 wk 5 d/wk 6 hr/d			10	(CEL, lung tumors, nasal tumors) NTP 1982
	40	Mouse	79–103 wk 5 d/wk 6 hr/d			10	(CEL, lung tumors, hemangiosarcomas) NTP 1982


12 →

^a The number corresponds to entries in Figure 3-1.

^b Used to derive an intermediate inhalation Minimal Risk Level (MRL) of 5×10^{-3} ppm; dose adjusted for intermittent exposure and divided by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animal to humans, 10 for human variability).

SAMPLE

Figure 3-1. Levels of Significant Exposure to [Chemical X] - Inhalation



APPENDIX C. ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ACOEM	American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine
ADI	acceptable daily intake
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion
AED	atomic emission detection
AFID	alkali flame ionization detector
AFOSH	Air Force Office of Safety and Health
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AML	acute myeloid leukemia
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemists
AOEC	Association of Occupational and Environmental Clinics
AP	alkaline phosphatase
APHA	American Public Health Association
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
atm	atmosphere
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AWQC	Ambient Water Quality Criteria
BAT	best available technology
BCF	bioconcentration factor
BEI	Biological Exposure Index
BMD	benchmark dose
BMR	benchmark response
BSC	Board of Scientific Counselors
BUN	Blood urea nitrogen
C	centigrade
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAG	Cancer Assessment Group of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CAS	Chemical Abstract Services
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CEL	cancer effect level
CELDS	Computer-Environmental Legislative Data System
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Ci	curie
CI	confidence interval
CL	ceiling limit value
CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
cm	centimeter
CML	chronic myeloid leukemia
CPSC	Consumer Products Safety Commission
CWA	Clean Water Act
DHEW	Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
DHHS	Department of Health and Human Services
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DOD	Department of Defense
DOE	Department of Energy
DOL	Department of Labor
DOT	Department of Transportation

APPENDIX C

DOT/UN/ NA/IMCO	Department of Transportation/United Nations/ North America/International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code
DWEL	drinking water exposure level
ECD	electron capture detection
ECG/EKG	electrocardiogram
EEG	electroencephalogram
EEGL	Emergency Exposure Guidance Level
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
F	Fahrenheit
F ₁	first-filial generation
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FPD	flame photometric detection
fpm	feet per minute
FR	Federal Register
FSH	follicle stimulating hormone
g	gram
GC	gas chromatography
gd	gestational day
GLC	gas liquid chromatography
GPC	gel permeation chromatography
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
HRGC	high resolution gas chromatography
HSDB	Hazardous Substance Data Bank
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
IDLH	immediately dangerous to life and health
ILO	International Labor Organization
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
K _d	adsorption ratio
kg	kilogram
kgg	metric ton
K _{oc}	organic carbon partition coefficient
K _{ow}	octanol-water partition coefficient
L	liter
LC	liquid chromatography
LC ₅₀	lethal concentration, 50% kill
LC _{Lo}	lethal concentration, low
LD ₅₀	lethal dose, 50% kill
LD _{Lo}	lethal dose, low
LDH	lactic dehydrogenase
LH	lutinizing hormone
LOAEL	lowest-observed-adverse-effect level
LSE	Levels of Significant Exposure
LT ₅₀	lethal time, 50% kill
m	meter
MA	<i>trans,trans</i> -muconic acid
MAL	maximum allowable level
mCi	millicurie
MCL	maximum contaminant level

APPENDIX C

MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal
MF	modifying factor
MFO	mixed function oxidase
mg	milligram
mL	milliliter
mm	millimeter
MMAD	Mass median aerodynamic diameter
mmHg	millimeters of mercury
mmol	millimole
mppcf	millions of particles per cubic foot
MRL	Minimal Risk Level
MS	mass spectrometry
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standard
NAG	N-Acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase
NAS	National Academy of Science
NATICH	National Air Toxics Information Clearinghouse
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NCE	normochromatic erythrocytes
NCEH	National Center for Environmental Health
NCI	National Cancer Institute
ND	not detected
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
ng	nanogram
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
NIEHS	National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NIOSHTIC	NIOSH's Computerized Information Retrieval System
NLM	National Library of Medicine
nm	nanometer
nmol	nanomole
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
NOES	National Occupational Exposure Survey
NOHS	National Occupational Hazard Survey
NPD	nitrogen phosphorus detection
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NR	not reported
NRC	National Research Council
NS	not specified
NSPS	New Source Performance Standards
NTIS	National Technical Information Service
NTP	National Toxicology Program
ODW	Office of Drinking Water, EPA
OERR	Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, EPA
OHM/TADS	Oil and Hazardous Materials/Technical Assistance Data System
OPP	Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA
OPPT	Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, EPA
OPPTS	Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances, EPA
OR	odds ratio
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSW	Office of Solid Waste, EPA

APPENDIX C

OTS	Office of Toxic Substances
OW	Office of Water
OWRS	Office of Water Regulations and Standards, EPA
PAH	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PBPD	physiologically based pharmacodynamic
PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetic
PCE	polychromatic erythrocytes
PEL	permissible exposure limit
pg	picogram
PHS	Public Health Service
PID	photo ionization detector
pmol	picomole
PMR	proportionate mortality ratio
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per trillion
PSNS	pretreatment standards for new sources
RBC	red blood cell
REL	recommended exposure level/limit
RfC	reference concentration
RfD	reference dose
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RQ	reportable quantity
RTECS	Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SCE	sister chromatid exchange
SGOT	serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase
SGPT	serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase
SIC	standard industrial classification
SIM	selected ion monitoring
SMCL	secondary maximum contaminant level
SMR	standardized mortality ratio
SNARL	suggested no adverse response level
SPEGL	Short-Term Public Emergency Guidance Level
STEL	short term exposure limit
STORET	Storage and Retrieval
TD ₅₀	toxic dose, 50% specific toxic effect
TLV	threshold limit value
TOC	total organic carbon
TPQ	threshold planning quantity
TRI	Toxics Release Inventory
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
TWA	time-weighted average
UF	uncertainty factor
U.S.	United States
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VOC	volatile organic compound
WBC	white blood cell
WHO	World Health Organization

APPENDIX C

>	greater than
≥	greater than or equal to
=	equal to
<	less than
≤	less than or equal to
%	percent
α	alpha
β	beta
γ	gamma
δ	delta
μm	micrometer
μg	microgram
q ₁ *	cancer slope factor
-	negative
+	positive
(+)	weakly positive result
(-)	weakly negative result

APPENDIX D. INDEX

absorbed dose.....	161, 181
active transport.....	153
adenocarcinomas.....	80, 86
adrenal gland.....	72, 112, 134, 138
adrenals.....	138
adsorbed.....	212, 215, 220, 223, 224, 225, 229, 258, 276
adsorption.....	213, 220, 223, 224, 225, 226, 231, 258, 266
aerobic.....	212, 230
ambient air.....	11, 20, 22, 25, 205, 211, 231, 238, 243
anaerobic.....	213, 230
bioaccumulation.....	228
bioavailability.....	136, 167, 181, 213, 226, 227, 228, 254, 255, 258
bioconcentration factor.....	227
biomarker.....	161, 164, 275
biomarkers.....	160, 161, 162, 164, 180, 259, 265, 275
body weight effects.....	18, 73, 115
breast milk.....	3, 8, 250, 253, 255, 259
cancer.....	5, 6, 7, 14, 16, 26, 27, 79, 80, 81, 86, 87, 123, 130, 138, 157, 159, 175, 283
carcinogen.....	7, 16, 87, 279, 282, 283
carcinogenic.....	7, 13, 16, 17, 25, 26, 79, 80, 81, 86, 87, 155, 157, 175, 279, 283
carcinogenicity.....	15, 81, 153, 155, 156, 169, 175, 179, 183
carcinoma.....	87, 88
carcinomas.....	80, 86
cardiovascular.....	69, 78, 123
cardiovascular effects.....	69, 109
chromosomal aberrations.....	155, 176
clearance.....	19, 139, 143, 149, 150, 151, 153, 157, 163, 168, 181, 283
contact dermatitis.....	12, 13, 14, 72, 127, 129, 166, 169, 172, 173, 175, 177, 178, 179, 183
death.....	13, 15, 25, 26, 27, 28, 65, 86, 90, 91, 123, 170
dermal effects.....	72, 113, 127
DNA.....	130, 132, 133, 134, 155, 156, 161, 164, 169, 176, 180
elimination half-time.....	143
endocrine.....	72, 112, 126, 154, 157, 158
endocrine effects.....	72, 112, 126, 158
erythema.....	113
fetus.....	8, 120, 158, 160, 182
fractional absorption.....	148
gastrointestinal effects.....	69, 109, 110
general population.....	4, 11, 12, 13, 14, 80, 113, 127, 138, 160, 161, 162, 178, 179, 205, 211, 243, 244, 246, 256, 263, 275
genotoxic.....	133, 155, 176
genotoxicity.....	130, 156, 176
groundwater.....	2, 11, 211, 212, 219, 223, 226, 227, 230, 235, 253, 256, 268, 270
half-life.....	25, 135, 141, 161, 163, 220
hematological effects.....	70, 110, 123
hepatic effects.....	70, 111, 126

APPENDIX D

hydroxyl radical	213
immune system	7, 12, 73, 75
immunological	25, 73, 74, 76, 116, 117, 172, 177, 178
immunological effects.....	117, 172, 178
K_{ow}	191, 192, 193
LD_{50}	90, 91, 173
lung cancer.....	13, 16, 80, 86, 87
lymphatic	76
lymphoreticular	74, 76, 174
metabolic effects	74, 115
milk	3, 8, 91, 134, 136, 142, 154, 155, 160, 167, 177, 242, 250, 253
mucociliary	143, 163, 167
musculoskeletal effects	70, 110
neonatal.....	23, 160, 174, 179
neurobehavioral.....	158, 179
neurochemical	77
neurodevelopmental	120
ocular effects	28, 123
pharmacodynamic	144
pharmacokinetic	115, 144, 145, 146, 159, 165
placenta	8, 79, 134, 140, 160
pulmonary fibrosis	15, 20, 22, 65
rate constant	147, 148
renal effects	71, 111, 112
retention	139, 140, 223, 224, 226, 262, 283
salivation	90
sarcoma	130, 132
solubility	15, 25, 68, 134, 137, 143, 169, 213, 226, 261
spermatogonia.....	118
thyroid.....	72, 112, 138
toxicokinetic.....	25, 147, 157, 160, 181, 182
tumors	16, 86, 89, 123, 156, 157, 175